

DEAR REPRESENTATIVE, FROM OUR HILL TO CAPITOL HILL

THESE FLAGS REPRESENT 51 MILLION ABORTED CHILDREN.



In the sunset upon Our Hill at Light of the World Roman Catholic Church, little pink and blue flags represent but a fraction of the baby boys and girls who never saw the sun rise, because they were aborted. While God's setting sun shines upon them in loving remembrance, our elected officials' vision grows more profoundly dark.

These flags represent more than 51 million children who have been aborted and the men and women who mourn that decision - Jesus Divine Healer

As so many communities have found creative ways to communicate the urgency of putting an end to the horrific practice of abortion, for the past three years during October, blue and pink marking flags are placed on the Hill at Light of the World Roman Catholic Church in Littleton, Colorado. This is a memorial to change with which you and all of the senators and representatives on Capitol Hill are entrusted.

We want you to know that we are indeed concerned with needed healthcare reform. We care about the health and welfare of every woman, man and child for there are many who have been deeply scarred mentally, emotionally, physically, and spiritually by the death of so many children. This issue alone is fundamental to justice, which has been discarded and is thus crippling our nation.

The coverage of abortion utilizing constituent's tax dollars is not only immoral, it is unethical for legislative bodies to support sustaining this healthcare bill provision in whatever version it resides: the Baucus Bill, HR 3200, the Cass Amendment, or any other version (the "Bill").

This issue alone is crippling our nation. We expect our legislators to listen to the voice of the majority of the people and protect all of life from womb to tomb.


The question is: Do you listen and hear? Do you see the light from our Hill? Will you abide by the majority of Americans who oppose such a blatant funded mandate of abortion - as opposed to sustaining the Hyde Amendment's annual limitation on the Health and Human Services appropriation bill?

Surely you can understand the premise of justice within this context. We therefore expect you to demonstrate leadership in

Will you therefore actively legislate the removal of this abortion mandate which can also be based on the following?

Separation of Church and State

While the imposition of church precepts upon the populous would prompt vehement objection, this becomes a two edged sword ---- the state has no right to impose upon the




constituency, the misappropriation of tax dollars in contravention of the people's moral, and religious beliefs, or the precepts of their particular religious institution.

Does the End Justify the Means?

It is particularly reprehensible that an immoral act be imposed upon our health care professionals, attempting to financially coerce them into medical practices that would be the antithesis of their moral orientation against abortion.

From a sociological perspective how long can we sustain a caring society or maintain respect for each other if we acquiesce to "the end justifies the means"?

So that you may convey the sobering reality to others, we have included one pink and one blue flag thus enabling all to contemplate the gravity of their legislative consideration.



RECENT POLLS SHOW PRO-ABORTION CONGRESS OUT OF STEP WITH THE AMERICAN PUBLIC

Turning a Deaf Ear to Public Opinion

WASHINGTON – A new poll released today by the Pew Research Center for the People and the Press and the Pew Forum on Religion and Life finds that more Americans are revealing that they are opposed to most or all abortions. The poll found that 45% of American's oppose abortion in most or all cases, up 4% from last year.

"These results are unsurprising and track with earlier polling, including Gallup, and, most recently, a poll conducted by Rasmussen indicating that the majority of Americans are opposed to funding abortions in the healthcare bill," said David N. O'Steen, Ph.D., executive director of the National Right to Life Committee (NRLC).




MAJOR OPINION

The majority of Americans are opposed to funding abortions in the healthcare bill.



In the President's speech before the joint session of Congress he stated there would be no abortion provision in the health care bill.

"And one more misunderstanding I want to clear up - under our plan, no federal dollars will be used to fund abortions, and federal conscience laws will remain in place."



*From our Hill to Capitol Hill
For those unborn, who have no voice,
We shall rise to their defense!*

www.ourhill.com


Human selfishness, compounded by a *secular expedient orientation*, and greed (both political and financial), on the other hand is the nexus which can only be foreclosed by our Senator's voting no on the immoral and unconstitutional provisions within RHEA 2.0 as well as setting about reversing HB22-1279; thus, affording protection under the 5th and 14th Amendments to the Constitution.

There exists the sociological, emotional, and physical trauma sustained by mothers and fathers, upon whom *complicity* has and continues to be imposed because of sociological pressures and by some, their indifference. Government imposed *complicity* does affect those of us who are prolife if we acquiesce to *taxation without representation vis-à-vis expropriation of constituents' tax dollars*. The Federal ACA accomplished this *surreptitiously*, funneled through the *subterfuge* of the insurance companies' supporting ***underwriting of the State Insurance Exchange in subsidizing Medicaid vis-à-vis reimbursement of abortions!***

Regulatory *fiat* allowed expunging parental consent, undermining our families and society when the ACA was adopted. The previous Secretary of HHS, Kathleen Sebelius authorized *the morning after pill made available to our little girls down to 12 years of age...without parental consent!* Families are to be afforded their God given right to influence the moral direction and fortitude of their children, by way of inculcating their religious faith precepts and beliefs, without Government interference!

HB22-1279 and RHEA 2.0 are in contravention of President Obama's representation before a joint session of congress, prior to passage of the Affordable Healthcare Act (ACA) in which he stated: "... ***And one more misunderstanding I want to clear up – under our plan, no Federal dollars will be used to fund abortions and Federal conscience laws will remain in place***". There is no funding to enforce Federal Protection of Conscience Law". Why has the *word* of the acknowledged leader of the Democrat Party, been swept aside in the wake of all that has evolved in our understanding?

The macro-economic adversity is indeed profound, given the abortion of some 65 million babies. From a purely secular perspective, those that would have otherwise joined the workforce could have contributed more than \$2.5 Trillion per year to GDP, over their 30-35 year working lifetime.



Required goods and services, given what would have been prospective earned income; would have dramatically expanded and improved the US economy, might we have avoided the recessions, and would that have contributed to our families' viability and sustainability? Demographically we are in trouble if we continue going down the road of abortion on demand – the US is in a negative 15% trend!

RHEA 2.0 (the “Bill”) must be voted down. Why? Abortion on-demand provisions within the preceding HB22-1279 as well as such Federal companion bills as HR-3755 or derivatives thereof, (*euphemistically* referred to as the Women’s Healthcare Protection Act) are of course morally wrong! Scientifically, now proven, life begins at conception. Spiritually, the faith community in the United States; Catholic, as well as the various Christian denominations; Jewish, Mormon, and Muslim Faiths all share the foundational Tenant, Life is Transcendent, above all else!

Additionally, there are: 1) the Constitutional provisions (assuring the practice of religion w/o government interference); 2) the financial dimensions; 3) Sociological ramifications; and most importantly 4) the cornerstone of society...we must protect the Family.

How? By recognizing and appreciating the universe of people of good will; those also concerned with the universe of secular, personal considerations. This is manifest from those within the majority represented within by 70% of Americans who oppose tax-payer funding of abortion.

These supporting premises underscore the intrinsic value of life and therefore the dignity to be accorded all human beings (*the “Premise”*). In recognition of *our spiritual obligation*, it is *the* crucial, inextricably intertwined, ***religious/secular paradigm*** protecting our 1st Amendment rights in accordance with the 5th and 14th Amendments as well. This is achievable under the Supreme Court’s interpretive application of the 14th Amendment to ***with respect to Roe vs. Wade, which contravened the ordinary principals of justice!*** The Constitution is our umbrella, protecting our religious rights.

**The secular dimensions under the BILL: 1) taxation without representation in expropriating tax dollars for subsidizing abortion upon demand; and 2) macro-economic impact; were births to have been the result, absent abortion....*

We can effectively confront and overcome the secularist pro-abortion orientation (euphemistically referred to in the Federal Bill HR 3755 under Women’s Healthcare Protection Act, as pro-choice; women’s healthcare; women’s rights, etc.). **Abortion is indeed the nexus of the war on women,** not those of a moral persuasion that happen to be of a particular religion or party, or gender.

Scientific revelations citing DNA at conception vs. the harvesting of an evolving baby’s organs (i.e. recent Planned Parenthood *depravity and illegal activity for financial gain*) **dispels PP’s premise of a baby not being viable until he or she is born.** For if the organs are viable and obviously valuable to the furtherance of the PP *money changer’s* enterprise, does this not at least imply a preborn baby’s viability? Therefore, is not acknowledgement of the baby’s *Personhood protection entitlement* to be afforded, pursuant to the 5th and 14th amendment to the Constitution, logical?

Believing God’s creation of Earth’s intricacy is deemed worthy of protection, embracing the aforesaid premise leaves no basis from which one can justify abortion of God’s creation...an unborn child.