

House Transportation, Housing & Local Government

04/14/2026

SB26-109 Building Code Accessibility

Typed Text of Testimony Submitted

Name, Position, Representing	Typed Text of Testimony
Davon Williams For themselves	<p>Chair and members of the Committee,</p> <p>My name is Davon Williams, and I am a candidate for Colorado House District 3, representing communities in Denver and Arapahoe County. I am writing in support of SB26-109.</p> <p>This bill clarifies and strengthens standards for accessible housing, particularly in developments supported by public resources, by updating definitions, reinforcing the intent of accessibility requirements, and improving how those standards are implemented.</p> <p>SB26-109 helps ensure that accessibility requirements are clearly understood and consistently applied, especially for individuals with nonambulatory and semiambulatory disabilities. Clear standards are essential to making sure accessibility is delivered in practice—“not just written into policy.</p> <p>The bill also requires developers to create implementation plans to meet accessibility requirements and allows for more structured processes to resolve disputes around how standards are applied. These are practical steps that improve accountability and consistency in how accessible housing is delivered.</p> <p>As someone who owns both residential and commercial property, I understand how important it is for requirements to be clearly defined and operationally workable. When expectations are clear, outcomes are more consistent and effective.</p>

	<p>SB26-109 represents a targeted improvement to strengthen how accessible housing standards are carried out.</p> <p>For these reasons, I support this bill.</p> <p>Thank you for your time and consideration.</p> <p>Best,</p> <p>Davon Williams</p>
<p>JEANY RUSH</p> <p>Against themselves</p>	<p>TO: HOUSE TRANSPORTATION, HOUSING, & LOCAL GOVERNMENT 4-14-26</p> <p>TO: SENATE HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES 1ST SUBMISSION 3-5-26</p> <p>RE: SB26-109 BLDG. CODE STANDARDS/ACCESSIBLE HOUSING/PUBL.MONEY</p> <p>SPONSORS: EXUM, CUTTER, JOEPH, RICKS</p> <p>FROM: JEANY RUSH, COLORADO CONSTITUENT</p> <p>VOTE: NO</p> <p>We have the ADA, Americans with Disabilities Act to create specific requirements for access/accommodations.</p> <p>Here we go again, "Covered Agencies" to develop alternative processes, even interpretations? Standards exist.</p> <p>This is another PPP, Private, Public , Partnerships, to work our systems.</p> <p>I realize we need accessible housing, which the market does have and even some minimum standards already in place.</p> <p>This legislature keeps stepping around commerce, business, construction, real estate, and more in an attempt to CREATE MORE COMPLIANCE. The market place will respond to requests, needs, but this over-subsidizing, skirting existing laws, does not encourage more development of any needed end results. It costs everyone more, and creates less demand, affordability, and puts too many new issues in front of the very industries you want cooperation from in construction, availability in markets, and more people run away. Those that come are in it for the subsidies, not the end product positive financial result.</p> <p>Long and short answer here is, this is another place where state government has gone into overdrive, or overstepped.</p>

	<p>As if the overstep was not enough, LETS SAFETY CLAUSE THE VOTERS, SO THEY CANNOT VOTE ON THE ISSUE. AFTERALL? WHY SHOULD THE TAXPAYERS HAVE A RIGHT TO VOTE ON WHAT THEY PAY FOR!???</p> <p>None of us wants to harm disabled citizens, but start by helping them, rather than the tens of thousands of illegal invaders sucking the kitty dry of funds!</p>
--	---