

While the bill may appear to address concerns surrounding the integrity of elections and political communications, its approach risks encroaching upon fundamental principles of free speech. By imposing restrictions on the distribution of certain types of content, namely deepfakes, the legislation potentially stifles the expression of diverse viewpoints and political discourse. Freedom of speech is a cornerstone of democracy, essential for the exchange of ideas and the robust functioning of society.

Moreover, while the bill purports to protect against the dissemination of deceptive media content, its effectiveness in safeguarding the electoral process is questionable. Instead, the focus should be on empowering individuals to critically evaluate the information they encounter, rather than resorting to regulatory measures that may inadvertently suppress speech.

On the other hand, there is merit in strengthening privacy rights and protections, particularly in the digital realm. Deepfake technology poses significant threats to individuals' privacy by enabling the creation of manipulated media content that can be used to spread false narratives and harm reputations. Strengthening privacy rights would provide individuals with recourse to legal action in cases where their likeness or personal information is unlawfully exploited.

By bolstering privacy protections and enabling lawsuits in tort, individuals would have the means to seek redress for violations of their privacy rights, deterring malicious actors from engaging in deceptive practices. This approach strikes a balance between safeguarding free speech and protecting individuals' privacy in an increasingly digital and interconnected world.”

Marla Fernandez  
Paralegal extraordinaire and certified mediator  
Email Disclaimer: