

Bill ... here are some other concerns and questions.

I do not see where the bill addresses what happens if the abusers get caught with the animal

I am guessing they would be indirectly in contempt, there would be a hearing{cost} if the person was indigent they would have to have a court appointed attorney{cost} ...and what are those consequences,

Once again what happens to that animal? The animal in this situation should also go to a no kill. All animals should get an order of protection

In the animal cruelty statute depending on the offense, there is jail time I believe 6 months to 3 years, with parole of 1 and 2 years. The fines from \$500 to \$100,000

The bill is not clear, does the time of ban start while in jail?

If the ban is past the parole time how do you enforce it? You can't just walk into someone's house.

Are they put on a list like a sex offender registry and here is why they should.

To ensure the safety of other people and animals. Humans view animals as family members. It would break their heart if they could have known that a person was a threat.

Animals abusers stats shows 80% are related to other crimes or will commit a crime.

Most offenders will not reveal their offenses. Having them on a list may deter them from a crime.

Examples of offender interacting with society

If they try to be a roommate with someone with an animal

If they try to get a job at a pet store or shelter any animal entity

Volunteer at a shelter or another animal entity.

Animal owner finds out while offender is already in home or job, more chance of confrontation and harder, if living with you to evict.

The only way to help enforce this bill as written, is to have a registry.

I believe, you cannot make someone go on probation, it would have to be in a plea deal.

This bill also as I stated before is a copy of the existing animal cruelty law. The court already has the determination of evaluation, and programs. Except for mandatory, which must be added in front of mental health programs. This would be a preventive measure for gateway and continued crimes.

Only thing this bill does; it states you cannot own an animal without enforcement or penalties, or the safety a welfare of the animal found during the ban time. This bill needs a lot more work. As is this is not a serious bill. To even begin to make sense would be the animal if found during the ban time, would go to a no kill, a registry, add mandatory in front of mental health, lengthen jail and parole.

This bill was not thought thru, with little research. It could interfere with what needs to be done and that is to update and change our existing animal cruelty laws,

Best thing is to kill the bill and do it correctly, it does not address best care of the initial abused animal.

If you just need bill 1092, amend it to do longer jail time and parole to let the DA have leverage to plea bargain, because as written, there is no enforcement to ban an animal. To have a registry for the above reasons, have the animal go to a no kill and add mandatory in front of mental health programs.

Anything less would be irresponsible.

Maxine.303-659-4792..creativeacre@aol.com