

Dear Legislator,

Jonathan Scott HB19-1032

I urge you to please reject HB19-1032. I submit to you four reasons why this bill is damaging, dangerous and reckless:

1. It will hurt Schools

- a. Recently, the Denver Post had an article which explained that Colorado Public Schools had its slowest rate of growth since the 1988-89 school year (30 years!). At the same time, Colorado's population is growing at an incredible rate. How can this be explained? Simply put, people don't like the product that the public-school system is putting forth. As an individual who works with hundreds of families (in both the faith-based and public realm), I am amazed at how many people complain to me (unsolicited) about the public-school system... they don't like the schools. This bill will only further entrench their perception and cause a further decline in attendance (and funding numbers) for public schools.
- b. One example of this (among many that I could share) is when my wife (who has a 4-year teaching degree) and I were trying to decide where to send our oldest daughter to kindergarten. While we were on a family walk in our neighborhood, we came across a lady who was watering her flowers. She asked where we were going to send our daughter to school. When we told her that we were not sure, she told us not to send our daughter to the public elementary school... Why? Her answer, "Believe me, I'm a teacher there and you do not want to send your daughter to that school." This bill will only fortify our decision to explore other options for our children's schooling.
- c. Also, how is it even possible to teach "sexual education" without basing it on a gender norm (heterosexual) viewpoint which this bill would outlaw? The wording does not make logical sense? (*I believe that the home - not schools - are the place where this education should be taking place, but that is beside the point.*) If the LGBTQ community can't naturally have children of their own, the only recourse for training the next generation is the schools. We, the people, are on to that... We see through that tactic and we are not going to let them indoctrinate our children with philosophies that attack us and our beliefs.

2. It will hurt You and your agenda

- a. This bill will be destructive because it will motivate the opposite side of the political debate. It will be the equivalent of "throwing a rock at the bee-hive" of the faith-based community. Not only this, but the majority of Americans still believe in gender norms, and this would alienate them from you. There are a lot of us who have been sitting on the sideline doing absolutely nothing, and this would mobilize us to action.
- b. Governor Polis mentioned the need to work together and warned against "over reach." This bill is about as big of an overreach as is possible. It goes against the very thing he warned you about. If this bill is passed, the result will be that it will awake a sleeping giant within the faith-based and conservative communities.

3. It will hurt me and my family

- a. As a Christian, and a member of the faith-based community, I am appalled at the blatant disregard to my rights. To have my family indoctrinated into a mindset that not only goes against my beliefs but makes my beliefs illegal is unbelievable.
  - b. When my 3-year old daughter enjoys playing with her older brothers, and later tells me "Dad, I want to be a boy when I grow up." I truly believe that it is logical for me to tell her, "No, God made you a girl and God made them boys. You are a girl, and you are all very valuable to God." I don't want my school to try to undermine that biological logic. *(Just like when I tell her after a long, hard day of work that I feel like ("identify") as an old man... it doesn't actually mean that I'm an old man who can then apply for social security because I identify as a 70-year-old.)*
4. It set a dangerous precedent of discrimination against religion and the faith-based community.
- a. This bill is basically a direct attack on the faith-based communities of Colorado. There is no other way to describe it.
  - b. This bill is the very definition of discrimination. It attacks Christianity, religious groups, and any other person who views sexual intimacy as heterosexual. This bill is the very definition of intolerance. It excludes one side of the discussion, while requiring only one viewpoint to be taught. It is not right.
  - c. This bill attacks our constitutional rights of freedom of religion and freedom of speech. When Freedom of religion is mentioned within the constitution (in the very first amendment of the Bill of Rights), it specifically prohibits the suppressing of the "free exercise of religion." This bill does exactly that! (Freedom of religion has been misinterpreted for many years as a freedom from religion. If the constitution would have truly meant a complete separation, then God would not have been mentioned *four times* in the declaration of independence.)
  - d. Tolerance has been defined as: "the ability or willingness to tolerate something, in particular the existence of opinions or behavior that one does not necessarily agree with." All this bill does is exclude one side of the discussion... that is not tolerance or diversity at all.

In conclusion:

- ⇒ I assure you that my comments are not motivated by hate or intolerance... (Hate is being redefined in our society to mean that if anybody dares to disagree with you or speaks out against anything that you don't agree with, then it is considered "hate.") Although I don't agree with you, I don't hate you.
- ⇒ Hate, discrimination, intolerance... none of that, here. Love, Concern, Passion for what is right, yes. If I truly love someone or something, I'm going to speak out when that someone or something is under attack...
  - This bill will be damaging to (1) the education system of Colorado, (2) you, (3) me and my family, and (4) the precedent of law. As one of your constituents I would ask you to please reject this bill.

Karen Banko Bill # 19-1032

I am against this bill...  
it allows only 1 viewpoint to  
be taught and not other viewpoints  
such as believing in only 1 man  
+ 1 woman = marriage. They are pushing  
the agenda of tolerance yet are  
very intolerant to any viewpoint  
outside of "everything is O.K."  
They do not tolerate opposing  
viewpoints. We are not pushing  
our viewpoint on anyone saying  
they have to believe what we do  
but we want "EQUAL RIGHTS"  
to say & be heard as to what we  
believe.

Briana Zappavigna Bill # 19-1032

I am against the bill because  
it asks to promote free and healthy  
relationships while also allowing contraceptives  
to be encouraged. Abstinence is the best method  
for that, I know from my own  
experience. I am a 16 yr old girl. I'm  
not supposed to support abstinence, but I do.  
So there must be some truth in it. Thank you  
for your time!

I am opposed to HB 19-1032.

Hong-Thuy Patton

3895 W Berry Ave

Littleton, CO 80123

I have school age children and do not wish to have my children to be exposed to the details described in this Bill. This is an extreme Bill.

HB 10-32

I oppose the bill in its entirety, and specifically the provision that states that parents are not notified before sexual orientation instruction.  
Why?

This provision circumvents the parental authority and responsibility.

Whose kids are they and who is paying for the education?

There is a banner that the State Patrol has at the Security Check-In, it states "Our family, protecting your family."

This bill does the exact opposite. It imposes the will of a segment of the population and is a complete overreach of government.

Having served on a local school board, it should be the discretion of the school board and not the mandate of the state government.  
Thanks you. Tom Peterson / Elizabeth Co

EARL Hood  
Bill # 1032

I Oppose This Bill.  
Government HAS NO BUSINESS  
IN FAMILY BUSINESS. ITS AN  
OVER REACH BY UPSURPING  
PARENTS RIGHTS

Respect Fully  
Earl Hood  
10600 CR 25  
Fort Lupton, Co  
80621

HB19-1032

Jonathan Lundquist

# 12233 W Cross Dr #105, Littleton, CO 80127

A G A I N S T Bill

I do not support this bill as I do not think government-dictated educational curriculum on sexual issues will properly take into account family-centric variety of approaches to these issues.

Furthermore, I don't believe this bill will accomplish the goals of reduced violence and healthy relationships.

3624 W Girard Ave Denver 80236

My Name is Dorothy Paul  
and I am against this  
House bill 1032

① NO SEX best birth control  
so that option of no pregnancy  
must be taught!

② teaching my female  
grand daughters about girls  
liking girls unacceptable  
my girls being taught or seen  
how sex act with girls look  
like - OVER My Dead Body  
you can cut of my head  
my granddaughter will be  
taught about sex by their  
parents. How wonderful God's will  
is by women and men sex acts  
under marriage. emotionally  
spiritually physically ~~and~~  
healthy way to live.

③ 9 year old boy in my g-daughters  
class ~~was~~ hung himself 9 yro old  
confused of hisp sexuality.

Unhealthy  
NO NO NO

dottie55@hotmail.  
com

HB-1032

Krissy King

Krissy.King777@gmail.com

720-971-2880

My statement - AGAINST <sup>OVER EXPOSED</sup> <sup>OR</sup> UNPREPARED

As a parent of a 15 year old girl and an advocate for our precious, precious children, please consider this bill too much TOO SOON. Our young children are in an age where they can't UNSEE these teachings. We spend lots of money and energy on Internet Filters to try to rein in any exposure to early. Let US Parent!

Population on LG135 - 7-8% (T bring minisculde)  
Children Sexually abused - 1 in 4

PS  
Explode the curriculum in teaching how to get out of bad situations

Jeremy Goodall

Against HB 19-1032

This Bill represents an application of the duty and responsibility of adults to protect children.

The under age exposure to sexual material is a cross cultural crime.

It is a human universal trait that children should not be exposed to this sort of material.

[My name is Trevor Tustin. I represent myself.]

Hello Chairman and Committee members, I am here to today to ask for your "NO" vote on HB1032. The bill not only violates our cherished constitutional freedoms of speech and religion, but it also deprives parents and teachers of their right to censor obscenity. Colorado provides for the local control of education by school districts. Schools determine curriculum— not the state government. HB1032 also repeals the rights of public and charter schools to decide— along with the teachers and parents who stand behind them. Teachers, school administrators, parents, and students are all denied the freedoms of speech and religion because they are mandated not to use religious or gender-affirming language. We are told that youth have a right to medically accurate information concerning safe sexual practices. However, legislators who support comprehensive sex education cannot demonstrate its effectiveness in protecting youth.

The Institute for Research and Evaluation published an executive summary this year of Comprehensive Sex Education. Researchers investigated 103 studies worldwide. In their recommendations section they wrote, "Given the threat posed by STDs, HIV, and pregnancy to the health of young people worldwide, and the compelling lack of evidence of effectiveness for school-based Comprehensive Sex Education after nearly 30 years and 103 credible studies, we recommend that policymakers abandon plans for its global dissemination and pursue alternative prevention paradigms for reducing the negative consequences of adolescent sexual activity." As a CO voter and a substitute teacher, I am disturbed to know that this bill would require students to be indoctrinated into LGBTQ sex practices. My sister is a biology teacher at a charter school in Colorado Springs. She wishes she could be here to testify, but instead she cannot get today off. She encourages her students to make healthy and wise choices about their lives. She feels that

this bill would teach things that endorse risky behavior and violate her moral code. Decisions like this should be left to parents who decide moral values for their families. Decisions like this should not be forced on teachers who would have to teach things against their beliefs that they would want to protect their own children from.

Again, I urge you to vote "NO" on HB1032. Thank you. Do you have any questions?

Father Samuel Morehead

HB19-1032

Pastor of All Souls Catholic Parish

Parish of Catholics in Cherry Hill Valley, Greenwood Valley, Englewood,  
Littleton, and Centennial, CO

As a Catholic priest and citizen of Colorado, I wholeheartedly discourage support of this bill, which contradicts natural law, parental rights, and the long-term common good of our state. While some forms of sex education are helpful and even necessary, the State should never presume to tell parents what is best for their children. These are decisions best left to local control according to the principle of subsidiarity. There needs to be respect, moreover, for the religious, ethical, and moral legacy of our State. This bill contradicts all three and must be opposed in the strongest way possible.

Mark Crabtree, Golden CO HB19-1032

I am adamantly against this Bill. Parents should have the final say on what is taught in sex education and homosexuality & transgender education has no place in any school! I have never come down to the Capitol before, but will watch this very carefully!!!

PROJECT RECORD

PROJECT:

BY:

DATE: 1-30-19

TIME:

PARTIES PRESENT:

NOTES: HB1032



VOTE NO!!!!

Our children are currently being exposed to inappropriate sexual behaviors through multiple venues including but not limited to these devices iPhones, iPads, internet, social media. I believe our children need to be taught healthy sex education, but not sexual behavior. Our children need to be taught the things schools are for. Social Science math, reading and history. Let our children's brains develop and mature and teach them character based education - Kindness, respect, integrity and work ethics. It's hard to explain all I'd like to about helping our children to grow up to be healthy adults, but I know as a PARENT/GRAND PARENTS these kids deserve better than to be taught that SEX is their identity. PLEASE VOTE AGAINST

HB1032. ANDREA MOSBY  
hopeforKiddDenver@msn.com



Renay M. Buller

719-373-8902

danz4glory@gmail.com

2535 Rick Court, Colorado Springs, CO. 80919

- AGAINST -

January 30, 2019

State of Colorado - Legislative Committee

Capitol Building

200 E. Colfax Ave.

Denver, CO. 80203

RE: HB19 1032, "Comprehensive Human Sexuality Education".

Good-Day to you Chairman and Committee Members,

My name is, Renay Buller and I associate with Charis Bible College/Andrew Wommack Ministries, of citizens who share my views on this bill. I am here representing myself and my family. I have been married 26 yrs to my husband and raise 2 boys and 2 girls together, a mixed family and now have 6 grandchildren. Which two of them are at the ages in which this proposed bill would be starting to affect. I am aware this is the 1st hearing stage in the CO State House Health and Insurance Committee, in regard to the HB19 1032 - A BILL FOR AN ACT 101 Concerning Comprehensive Human Sexuality Education, and 102 In Connection therewith, Making An Appropriation. I have come before you today to ask for you to vote, 'NO!', on HB19 1032. It is wrong for this bill to legally mandate the school institutions to usurp a parents authority over their child regarding such sexually intimate education in the name of safety and protection. This would be a form of victimizing our young children/ students impressionable minds to a specific way of believing that all sex and ways of life are totally normal and natural, when we all know their is a natural scientific proven created union between a male and female to go forth and multiple.....anything else outside of that natural union can not procreate and therefore is sexual perversion and is very hurtful and damaging. Therefore the schools do not need to teach these very personal intimate sexual choices and desires. Any issues arising for a student who may need or want help in this area should be tuned back to the parents for answers and if this is not a safe place, then help to find a resource person they can trust and ask the hard questions privately. We rate movies and games do to explicit issues so parents can monitor for themselves. This bill is unnecessary when there is structure for the State of Colorado sexual education mandates of teaching from the American College of Pediatricians - Sept 2018 and the states individual District rights to make the decisions with the parents involvement regarding such intimate education matters. Sexual education should be focused primarily on reproduction - how humans reproduce - as part of a bio-science curriculum and educating students on the facts of the body, not personal intimate sexual desires. Sex education is taught for self-worth, self-physical awareness and dignity as an individual.

Under HB19-1032, not only would explicit acts be described to our children, but gender norms and gender stereotypes, like male and female, would be prohibited. Requiring children in local public and charter schools to learn the explicit sexual techniques of lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender individuals. It is discrimination in forbidding teachers to discuss any religious concerns students may have regarding the state-mandated views of LGBTQ lifestyles, when they conflict with the child's religious parent taught understanding and beliefs. This violates the rights of the student and parents of biblical moral standards being taught to the students, regarding natural ways for sexual relations and the sex given at birth. Under this bill, faith-based values would be prohibited, while LGBTQ ideology would be required. It is not the states responsibility to facilitate

a special interest groups agenda for children to learn how to have sex in numerous different ways. The bill, also has damaging points for the communication between the schools administration, student and the parents, by making this a required law. In this countries founding beliefs and laws, All Parents have the right to raise their children the way they believe is best. Not in an abusive way, but with love, protection and education. This Bill, takes that right away! No one, should be allowed to force their sexual preference on our developing children's minds. It's not right to criticize and prohibit one ideology then replace it with another ideology that by their own statics is known to be a broken hurtful lifestyle, usually with multiple partners. I am a christian and believer in Jesus Christ, this is not about hate towards these people, this is about protecting our young children from adult intimacy issues. The mother of my husbands children has lived an alternate life style and my younger adoptive sister all our children's lives and we have discussed these issues and all told us they didn't concern themselves with what sex they might be having!

As I end and express again, sex education is taught for self-physical awareness, personal acceptance and dignity for their body! So, think to yourself, what real effect this could have on our children? Isn't it true, parents should be able to make these types of educational choices for their child? **Please, vote 'NO' on this proposed legislation HB19 1032, "Comprehensive Human Sexuality Education"**

*Sincerely, Renay M. Buller. (Mother / Grandmother) Citizen of the State of Colorado*



- If this is a response to a Federal Over reach the solution is not a *State* overreach (I heard mention of a federal proposal for abstinence education requirement.) I believe education decisions should be made at the local level as local people know best what is needed for their area.

- The best solution for these problems (bullying, sexual assault, teen pregnancy, needing to find medical information) is not a State mandated program.

Local districts are elected and are member of the community and are more likely to know what their local needs are and how these are best addressed. State level solutions are not as effective. Problems in each community are not universal and have unique solutions specific to each community. As medical and scientific recommendations change, mandated programs slow the dissemination of updated and correct information.

- Resources are available at the local level, so education about how to access those resources must be designed locally.

Resources, problems, and solutions available to children and adolescents are local as children and adolescents have limited access to resources. Adolescents are less able to travel and must obtain resources locally. Programs need to be designed and run at the local level.

- Colorado with our current system has lower teen pregnancy rates, and lower STD/STI rates. We should not look to replicate programs from less effective States, but should be a model for the rest of the Nation.

Section 1, Para 1<sup>2</sup> Lines 14-16, explains that Colorado's current program is more effective. The school district programs in Colorado are working better than most of the county. We should not copy these less effective programs. The rest of the States should study ours.

- Bullying is not solved at the State level, but at the local level

It comes from learning respect, and consideration for all people. It is a complex issue expanding far beyond the LGBT community. Bullying is not something that is solved in a mandated program. It is something that needs to be addressed within the local community.

- A bill like this makes it difficult if not impossible to meet the needs of some special needs students.

Mandates take the ability to be flexible and adjust a program to individual students needs away from educators. This leaves parents in a position where they need to opt of a program they may have otherwise allowed their children to participate in. (Being left out of the program may increase bullying these children experience.)

- Colorado High School Requirements are becoming increasing difficult and burdensome.

This mandate is wasteful! Even for districts whose programs currently meets the bill's requirements, resources must be used to demonstrate compliance. Demonstrating and documenting compliance requires the district consume a significant amount of resources. If this bill passes, those resource will be taken from every student in Colorado.

Laura Davis

303-408-2086

Laura Davis 993@gmail.com

HB 19-1032

AGAINST

THE BILL SUMMARY MAKES PLAIN:

1. THE INCLUSION OF LGBTQ+ AGENDA IS FOR THE PURPOSE OF EXCLUDING OPPOSING VIEWS. IT ASSUMES THOSE VIEWS BRING SHAME.
  2. IT ASSUMES THAT THIS, RELATIVELY NEW, IDEA OF GENDER FLUIDITY IS TRIED AND TRUE. IN FACT IT IS NOT BASED ON SCIENCE OR SOUND LOGIC.
  3. IT MAKES PLAIN THAT LGBTQ+ IDEOLOGY SHOULD HAVE A GREATER INFLUENCE IN OUR SCHOOLS THAN WHAT WE HAVE PRACTICED FOR THOUSANDS OF YEARS.
- THIS BILL PROMOTES EXCLUSIVITY RATHER THAN INCLUSIVITY, IT IS ANYTHING OTHER THAN TOLERANT.
  - IF ANYTHING, SCHOOLS SHOULD EXCLUDE THEMSELVES FROM SEX EDUCATION RATHER THAN TRYING TO IMPOSE MORE UNHEALTHY RHETORIC ON OUR CHILDREN.
  - WE ARE CONFUSING OUR CHILDREN RATHER THAN HELPING THEM.
  - PEOPLE WHO UNDERGO TRANSGENDER REASSIGNMENT OPERATIONS HAVE A SUICIDE RATE 40 TIMES HIGHER THAN THE AVERAGE RATE.
  - THIS TYPE OF EDUCATION TEACHES THAT TRUTH IS RELATIVE (SUBJECTIVE). IT IS NOT FOUNDED IN ANY TYPE OF MORALITY OR SCIENTIFIC PRINCIPLE. WHAT HAPPENS TO A SOCIETY WHEN PEOPLE ARE ALLOWED TO THINK THEY CAN BE A BOY ONE DAY, A GIRL ANOTHER AND AN IT THE NEXT? IT ONLY SERVES TO CONFUSE CHILDREN MORE.

- MARRIAGE BETWEEN A MAN AND A WOMAN, PRODUCING OFFSPRING, IS THE ONLY WAY TO PERPETUATE AND STABILIZE SOCIETY.
- WE WILL BE JUDGED ONE DAY FOR HOW WE CONFUSE OUR CHILDREN AND CAUSED CHAOS IN OUR SOCIETY.
- THERE ARE ONLY TWO GENDERS, THAT IS IMPERICAL EVIDENCE BASED ON SCIENCE (OBSERVATION). ANYTHING ELSE IS A SUBJECTIVE THOUGHT ONLY IN ONES MIND. THUS, IT CANNOT BE PROVEN TO BE THE CASE - UNLESS YOU ASK THAT PERSON (IT'S STILL SUPPOSITION)

THANK YOU FOR ALLOWING ME TO RESPOND

JAMES G. DEZWAAN

COLORADO SPRINGS, CO

1/30/19

Dummer, Co. 70235

Jason N. MORRIS

sokorah44@gmail.com

HB19-1032 - I am Against this bill.

I believe this bill to be un-constitutional in it's current form.

It does Not seem to have a clause for parental Notification in regards to subject matter.

I believe this bill would violate the 1<sup>st</sup> Amendment of The U.S. Constitution.

- It would give a voice and coercive power to one small minority over the rights, beliefs, and free speech of a much larger group of people.

Jason Morris

Shareena Morris creativesharee@gmail.com  
HB19-1032 Denver, CO resident and voter

I would like to share my views on the bill. I don't agree with the local or State school districts overriding the rights of the parents, nor the respect of the laws in place already that protect the home and how parents raise their children. I completely disagree with this bill.

wed jan 30, 2019

S Morris