

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB
Date: 2/19/2020

Name		Anonymous	
Address <i>(optional)</i>			
City <i>(optional)</i>		Zip <i>(optional)</i>	
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>			
Email: <i>(optional)</i>			
Representing			
Position on Bill:	For	Against	
	Neutral		

Where there is risk
there must be choice.
There is real risk
(aside from redness
at injection site). More people have died
from the measles vaccine in the past decade
than from the disease itself in the USA.
The science is not settled. Please be
aware of what was said by leaders of the
WHO, CDC at the WHO summit that took
place overseas in Dec, 2019. They said the
science is not settled. + there is a lot that
still needs to be examined. Adjuvants that
are present in the live virus vaccine can
interact w/ antigens from separate vaccines given
the same day & nobody knows what problems
will arise. A choice must be given on experimental
medicine, which is what vaccines are. The safe & effective
argument is nonsense as it compares children who
received MM2 to children who were fully vaccinated
except for MM2. All children were injected w/ adjuvants etc.
This is not a safety study. Please do not take
away parental rights. Only parents know their
children best. Please don't sell your soul for money. VOTE NO!

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-163

Date: 2/19/2020

I oppose this segregating and demoralizing bill that is clearly a violation of privacy and compromises personal information.

It is biased and does not address the risks and serious side effects in its "re-education" requirements. Forcing an individual to comply with a program that is clearly designed to implicate them of wrongdoing is unjust and unconstitutional.

The forms that are not yet provided for review may contain compelled speech that could intimidate parents who have already diligently done research on vaccine effectiveness and safety. The bill violates freedom of religion. It puts the validity of religious belief in the hands of doctors who may or may not hold the same beliefs. It removes the distinctions between religious and philosophical and places them in the same non-medical category. For so many reasons, this bill is dangerous and un-American. It is also unclear what this bill means for homeschoolers. Pharma is corrupt industry.

Name Amy Barone		
Address (optional)		
City (optional) Littleton	Zip (optional)	
Telephone: (optional)		
Email: (optional)		
Representing		
Position on Bill:	For	Against
	Neutral	

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-163

Date: ~~2-20-2020~~ DC 2-19-2020

I oppose this bill.

The reason I support option-
to-decline-immunizations is
because I have educated
myself. Most of the

Name <u>Danielle Carr</u>		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>	Zip <i>(optional)</i>	
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing <u>Self</u>		
Position on Bill:	For	Against <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Neutral	

ingredients have no place in my children's bodies. I
have (in the past) been protected by the personal/or
religious exemption. One of my children has a
severe allergy to ingredients in vaccines. Because he
didn't have an allergic reaction to vaccines I have no
proof medically but have been able to use the personal/or
religious exemptions for my family to opt-out. I do not
want my other children to be at risk of injury*. As a
Bible Believing-God-fearing Christian I do not follow the rule
of any denomination allowing or disallowing vaccines. My
ancestors ^{who fled Europe aboard the Mayflower, and} are Amish & Mennonite, but my faith rests in a
personal relationship with God. I believe our bodies are His
temple. Not to be defiled by human DNA, aborted fetal tissue,
foreign/toxic substances that do harm, animal organs, or blood. The
word tells me that God gave children to me as an inheritance and
it is my job as their parent to raise them and train them up.
Not the government, not a particular religion or denomination
but their mother and father. No stakeholders were consulted
therefore this bill was illegal from the beginning. Int it legally
required?

eliminate these options.
→
do not agree

does this bring sep Church + state?

Written Testimony

P. 2.

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-163

Date: 2-19-2020

This is only about
Vaccine injured protection? I'm
wanting to prevent injury.
I know my children more
than anyone here.

Name	Danielle Carr	
Address <small>(optional)</small>		
City <small>(optional)</small>	Zip <small>(optional)</small>	
Telephone: <small>(optional)</small>		
Email: <small>(optional)</small>		
Representing	Self	
Position on Bill:	For	Against
	Neutral	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

"I want a religious exemption not because I
want a religious exemption away from sciences
but because I want scientific relief from your
religious belief in vaccines" - Del Bigtree at NJ
Senate committee hearing. I do not subscribe to
the ~~religion~~ religion of Pharma.

- If I do not agree with wording in an online form that isn't
yet written
I will not be given an option to decline the wording.

* risk of injury is due to knowing so many families who
have vaccine-injured children, or who have been injured themselves
(I took the Yellow Fever shot in 2006 and hemorrhaged severely.
How can pediatricians say they are experts on vaccines
when safety studies haven't been done? How much training
do they receive in Medical School? The info sheets given
in offices aren't informative about ingredients.

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-163

Date: 2-19-20

I believe this bill is an infringement on my personal privacy and my children's personal privacy. Under the current law, privacy is protected by FERPA and current law requires parents to submit their exemptions directly to the school. SB20-163 would change this and require parents to submit exemptions directly to the health department either through the online module or by the provider who signs the religious, personal or medical exemption, making FERPA privacy protection lost. Something that alarms me is once personal health data is entered into the tracking system, it is never purged! The so called "opt out" does not remove the name or demographic information. Vaccine tracking systems including CDS are used to identify individuals and/or group of people who choose not to vaccinate, then making room for interventions such as home visits. This bill, if passed, would pave the way for open discrimination and shaming of individuals.

Name Megan Kibling		
Address (optional) 8205 Ingalls cir.		
City (optional) Arvada	Zip (optional) 80003	
Telephone: (optional) 6		
Email: (optional)		
Representing Self		
Position on Bill:	For	Against
	Neutral	

or groups who choose not to vaccinate: SBao-11/3- will

result in the shaming of schools who do not achieve the so called "vaccine-protected children" standard of 95% vaccination rate. Unfairly, families who choose to vaccinate in total, they are allowed to keep their privacy rights by submitting the certificate of immunization to the school while families—who selectively vaccinate have their exemption certificate and personal medical information given to CDPHE where there is NO privacy protection.

On the matter of safety, it was recently documented, at the recent Global Vaccine Summit, the Chief Scientist made the statement, "I think we cannot overemphasize the fact that we really don't have very good safety monitoring systems in many countries, and this adds to the miscommunication and misapprehensions because we're not able to give clear cut answers when people ask questions about the deaths that have occurred due to particular vaccines. Also, the Gold Star standard for safety studies according to the FDA is a double-blind, inert placebo study. There has never been one study to prove the safety and effectiveness of vaccines. This bill is un-American, unconstitutional and unjust. I urge you to vote NO! My family and I will never comply!!!

SBao-11/3- will

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-163

Date: 2/19/2020

Name			Kayson Parker		
Address <i>(optional)</i>					
City <i>(optional)</i>		Zip <i>(optional)</i>	80109		
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>					
Email: <i>(optional)</i>					
Representing					
Position on Bill:	For	Against			
	Neutral				

Thank you madam chair
and community members.
My name is Kayson
Parker and I am against
SB20-163. This bill is state supported
discrimination against a minority. If
this bill is passed I will have to leave
my enrichment program and all my friends.
If I am to stay I will be bullied
for not being vaccinated. I will be
shunned and lose some of my best
friends. Please vote no on SB20-163.
Thank you.

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-163

Date: 2/19/2020

Thank you for your consideration of my testimony. SB20-163 is a piece of legislation looking for an issue. While it claims to want to help increase our vaccination

rates for kindergartners, doctors I have spoken with explained to me that typically parents wait until just before their kids start First Grade before they get the next round of shots. This is why they refer to them as First Grade shots, and this was confirmed when CDPHE admitted that there isn't an issue with First Grade vaccination rates. You would never exempt a one month old from their two month vaccinations, why would you ^{require} ~~expect~~ a five year old to ~~be~~ receive an exemption for their First Grade shots, when according to ACIP guidelines the child isn't out of compliance. Again, this piece of legislation is supposedly intended to improve vaccination rates which aren't in need of improvement, and because of this I ask that you vote against this piece of legislation.

Name	RUSSELL PARKER	
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>	Zip <i>(optional)</i>	80109
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing	MYSELF & FAMILY	
Position on Bill:	For	Against
	Neutral	

1. Introduction

The purpose of this study is to investigate the effects of

the independent variable on the dependent variable.

The study is based on a sample of 100 participants.

The results of the study are as follows:

The first finding is that there is a significant positive correlation

between the independent variable and the dependent variable.

The second finding is that the effect size is moderate to large.

The third finding is that the results are consistent across different

subgroups of the sample.

The fourth finding is that the study has several limitations.

First, the sample size is relatively small.

Second, the study is correlational and cannot establish causality.

Third, the study is limited to a specific population.

Fourth, the study is limited to a specific time period.

Despite these limitations, the study provides valuable insights

into the relationship between the independent and dependent variables.

The findings have important implications for practice and research.

Future research should investigate the underlying mechanisms

of the relationship between the independent and dependent variables.

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB-163

Date: 19 Feb 2020

This bill directs the CDPHE to update the program and form annually.

Name <i>Jemo M...</i>		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>	Zip <i>(optional)</i>	
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing		
Position on Bill:	For	Against
	Neutral	

Vote No! You don't know what the changes will be next year or the year after or the year after or the year after etc.

As responsible representatives of Colorado, you must vote NO. It would be irresponsible to ~~to~~ vote for statutes ~~that~~ that will be applied differently every year.

These annual changes will cause undue burden on citizens attempting to follow the law.

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB-163

Date: 19 Feb 2020

Leave the religious
and personal belief
exemptions alone.

Colorado's Constitution - article 2 - protects
free practice of religion.

The United States Constitution - guarantees free
exercise of religion.

C.R.S. 22-32-109 prohibits any act meant to
coerce any student for any reason, including
religion.

Title IV of the Civil Rights Act of 1964
protects students ~~are~~ against religious discrimination
or coercion.

Religious beliefs are defined by the
U.S. Supreme Court as "...sincere and
meaningful beliefs." and this definition
extends beyond traditional religions.

Do not discriminate against religious
citizens. Vote NO!

Name <i>Jenny Amador</i>		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>	Zip <i>(optional)</i>	
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing		
Position on Bill:	For	Against
	Neutral	

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB 20-103

Date: 9/19/2020

I really appreciate ^{the time that we have}
 Hello my name is Viktoriya
 Goncharov. ~~But~~ I represent
 myself and my family
 and I strongly
 oppose this bill.

Name Viktoriya Goncharov		
Address (optional)		
City (optional)	Zip (optional)	
Telephone: (optional)		
Email: (optional)		
Representing		
Position on Bill:	For	Against
	Neutral	

I work in the medical

field and even though I don't directly

work ^{with} vaccines I know how pharma works,
 they are not ^{interested in} our health ^{means} they are
 after our money. When we talk about

All I ask that ~~most of all~~ for us to have
 a choice to vaccinate or to not vaccinate.

To be able to ~~to~~ make an ~~educated~~ ^{educated} ~~educated~~
 medical decision about our ^{health} ~~medical~~ choices. That's what ~~who~~ ^{is} ~~who~~

this country stands for - "liberty & justice for all"

I strongly request to kill this bill ^{freedom}

and provide parents an option to make a choice
 for the children that can't speak for themselves.

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB 20-163

Date: 2/19/2020

Name Veniamin Goncharov		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>	Zip <i>(optional)</i>	
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing family		
Position on Bill:	For	Against
	Neutral	

I Request NO vote on
bill SB 20-163.


My wife works in
medical field and I really,

Really know how much Pharma industry spends on
bribing doctors (or, if you are politically correct, you may
call it "lobbying")

Its very easy to determine public view on this bill: Just
take a look how many proponents and opponents are left
fill the vote and decide for yourself

The bottom line question is: who do children belongs
to? Parents or State? If you believe children
belong to State, you are not alone; Soviet Union
thought the same, and it is no more, North
Korea believes the same way, and I invite you to
visit them. Cuba believes the same, why don't you move
there?! I can go on, but I hope you got the idea

Being an engineer I am trying to make logic out of
exemption process: why does a doctor has to sign
non-medical exemption? Those who are planning to
vote^{yes} for this bill, do you call a plumber when
your house has an electrical problem? Or do you
call mechanic when your child has a seizure
after the vaccination?



Written Testimony


Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB-20-163

Date: 2/19/20

Everyone should have a
choice when it concerns
something invasive. No one
should be forced to have
something injected into their body if it goes against
what they believe.

Name <u>Yelena Scheel</u>		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>		Zip <i>(optional)</i>
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing		
Position on Bill:	For	Against
	Neutral	



Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-163

Date: Feb. 19, 2020

My name is
Evangelina Souder
and I oppose
this bill
because

Name <i>Evangelina Souder</i>		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>	Zip <i>(optional)</i>	
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing		
Position on Bill:	For	Against <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Neutral	

I'm healthy without a single
vaccine being injected in my body
and want to stay that way!

Please oppose SB20-163!

Thank You!

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20 -103

Date: Feb. 19, 2020

Name <u>Matasha Souder</u>		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>	Zip <i>(optional)</i>	
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing <u>myself</u>		
Position on Bill	For	Against
	Neutral	

I oppose this bill because:

- ✓ 1. The US Supreme Court classified vaccines as 'unavoidably unsafe'.
- 2. Vaccines have cell lines of aborted babies, animal DNA and many other ingredients very dangerous to health.
- ✓ 3. If people have to be reeducated, let's do it on both sides, show everyone official government sites, how dangerous vaccines are; ^{not FB, Inst, Instagram} horrifying pictures of adverse reaction.
- 4. My body, my choice.
- 5. Any proponents here with children?
Only opponents. It tells us a lot about who cares.
- ✓ 6. Never met a medical professional who knows anything about vaccines. All they say is: vaccines are safe and effective.

If you claim to be a doctor, plz do your studies!
Please vote NO!

Do you know the ^{vaccine} insert says: AIDS? NO
... aborted cell lines, ... animal DNA, aluminum, mercury? Then what do you know?
I know a ^{very} few medical professionals who simply don't vaccinate

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-163

Date: Feb. 19, 2020

My name is Abraham Souder, and I am 14 years old.

I oppose SB20-163

for the following reason:

In 2011, the United States Supreme Court classified vaccines as "Unavoidably Unsafe."

Please vote no on this bill.

Name <i>Abraham Souder</i>		
Address (optional)		
City (optional)	Zip (optional)	
Telephone: (optional)		
Email: (optional)		
Representing		
Position on Bill	For	Against <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Neutral	

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-163

Date: Feb. 19, 2020

I am Isaac Souder

10 years old.

I was born when

my mom was 28 weeks

pregnant. ~~I~~ My weight was 2 lbs only.

My parents decided not to give me and my twin sister any shots.

So, up until today I haven't received a single shot, and not even Vitamin K.

I am as healthy as can be, so we hardly ever see the doctor.

I never had a single ear infection, or any other serious sickness.

I don't even remember last time I had temperature or took Tylenol.

I oppose SB20-163 bill because I don't want to get sick after vaccines. I'm already healthy.

Name Isaac Souder		
Address (optional)		
City (optional)		Zip (optional)
Telephone: (optional)		
Email: (optional)		
Representing myself		
Position on Bill:	For	Against ✓
	Neutral	

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-163

Date: 2-19-2020

*see attached
testimony

Name James Heath		
Address (optional)		
City (optional) Parker	Zip (optional) 80138	
Telephone: (optional)		
Email: (optional)		
Representing self/Families		
Position on Bill:	For	Against <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Neutral	

(No subject)

rebecca heath <b.loves.jh@hotmail.com>

Tue 2/18/2020 10:49 PM

To: rebecca heath <b.loves.jh@hotmail.com>

Dear Senators of the state of Colorado,

Please retract your support of, or vote against SB20-163. If passed this bill would have major consequences that will not be good for the people of Colorado.

This bill presents serious ramifications fiscally for the state of Colorado and Colorado families. Here is the very real scenario that our family will be facing should this bill pass;

This year, in order for my teen to carry over the counter ibuprofen to school to use responsibly to manage period cramps, the school ordered that we would need a doctor's note. The doctor refused to write a note without a visit totaling \$350. Our family falls in the category of Colorado citizens who don't qualify for Medicaid, and don't make enough for health insurance. We could not afford the \$350 so we were unable to help our teen with a simple solution she needs to be able to make it to school on days when her period cramps are at their worst.

Should this bill pass, our family would face the same situation with all of our children regarding vaccine exemptions. We would incur an extra yearly doctor bill of over \$2000 to get our children into school to meet the requirement of a doctor's signature. When this happens where shall we send the bill? May we send it to you?

To add insult to injury, we are being kicked out of yet another pediatrics office for protecting our children from the harms that vaccines would do to them. Our genetic testing shows an inability to detoxify the adjuvants included in all vaccines. This leads to serious damage in a body system. We can no longer find pediatricians who will accept patients with vaccine exemptions of any kind. This is happening to families all over the state of Colorado! Herein lies your greatest strategic problem with SB20-163. If there are no doctors willing to see children who are unvaccinated, or vaccinate on an augmented schedule, How Can They Possibly Fulfill The Requirements Of This Bill???? SB20-163 will literally be impossible to implement!

The sponsors of this bill reported that their reasoning was to create "equal effort" for vaccine exempt families. This Incredibly Insensitive motive is an insult to families carrying an already unbelievable burden of caring for a vaccine injured child. I promise you, their effort goes above and beyond "equal". We ARE the ones carrying the POPULATION burden for the vaccine failure rate found in writing on the vaccine inserts and the CDC website. We should NOT be forced to carry an even Greater burden! The very idea is obscene. Our country has created a problem and is forcing the victims of that problem to shoulder the entire burden of that problem. It defies reason.

Should you sign this bill into law, it would give broad authority to CDPHE and the Board of Health, who are unelected and unaccountable to the people of Colorado. They will have the ability to add additional coerced speech to the standardized state exemption form and education module, to make changes to the required vaccine schedule for school attendance, and to remove vaccine exemptions in the future with zero accountability. This effectively removes parental rights as an eventuality. This country was founded on Human Rights, Civil Rights, Parental Rights, and bodily autonomy. We call upon you as the Senators of the State of Colorado to uphold those rights even at the risk of social, societal, or invested business's pressure. Your election was by the people of Colorado who had little else in mind, but to preserve our freedoms.

Should you allow this bill to become law, it will lead to harassment, discrimination, and bullying for the minority of children whose parents choose vaccine exemptions. Children and their families will have to be even more diligent than they already are to prevent anyone from discovering their personal health choices. This will be very hard for children, as they might innocently talk about their vaccination status at school. These children and families will also become targets within their communities. Already, people within the medical freedom community have targets on their backs. Many have received death threats, lost friends and family members, and have been treated like sub-humans. Many children in schools whose vaccination status has been "outed" have been victims of brutal bullying.

If SB20-163 passes, doctors will be very hesitant to sign off on any exemptions, as they will be under great pressure from the American Academy of Pediatrics to maintain a pro-vax position. Parents of children who currently have medical exemptions will have to request new exemptions from their doctors, and will largely be denied. This is because doctors often do not feel the freedom to give medical exemptions, even if they believe they are needed, because of the scrutiny that they bring. Therefore, many Coloradans will have their ability to use a medical exemption jeopardized, as the overwhelming majority of doctors will tell their patients to take a personal or religious exemption instead. However, in order to take a religious or personal exemption, these parents will be forced to be "re-educated" through a state-sponsored, one-sided, online module, which will require the surrender of Personally Identifiable Information. So, they will be forced with a decision: surrender their and their children's PII OR allow their children to be vaccinated against their will!

There are people here in this room this day whom are literal refugees from their home states fleeing this very tyranny! And now they are taking a stand and fighting for their freedoms in one more state. Don't follow the poor legislative decisions of other states, and create more refugees. Set a standard for the nation to follow! A standard of Bodily Medical Autonomy, Parental Rights, Human Rights, and Civil Rights. Refuse to back down. Learn from us here today. Fight like we do! Fight like your freedoms depend on it! Because ours do., and you will find that eventually..so do yours.


1

CDPHE already has very effective practices in place, that have protected Colorado and kept our state safe. Allow those practices to continue, and realize that the least expensive way to meet your goal to raise vaccination rates in Colorado would be to provide free vaccines to families who want them, but who can't afford them, or who live in such rural areas as to find getting vaccines prohibitive. This one act would put us over 95%, and would simultaneously maintain essential human and parental rights.

Protecting personal privacy and avoiding adding law abiding citizens to "government registries" should be of utmost importance to you. Do the right thing. Stand for Essential Human Rights this legislative season.

Please stop SB20-163 from becoming law.

Respectfully,


James Heath

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB163

Date: 2-19-2020

Name <u>Michelle Kennedy Bobrick</u>		
Address (optional) <u>10983 Yates Court</u>		
City (optional) <u>Westminster</u>	Zip (optional) <u>80031</u>	
Telephone: (optional) <u>303 931 3741</u>		
Email: (optional) <u>dinoj36@aol.com</u>		
Representing <u>myself - CHCA</u>		
Position on Bill:	For	Against
	Neutral	

My name is Michelle Bobrick and I am testifying on behalf of myself, my family, and the Colorado Health Choice

Alliance. I ask you to vote **NO** on SB163

This bill undermines my medical freedoms, freedom for my autonomy, and religious right and beliefs.

If this bill passes, moving forward, it would give power and authority to the CDHRE to make immunization program requirements, and/or change them, without any legislative process or input. Where is the protection from government interfering is my civil rights to

my own choice to not vaccinate my child, either one or more vaccines is my constitutional rights. Does this bill also hinder my FERPA rights?

My right of choice should never be taken from me in any capacity. We are dealing with a product that is not liability free. ~~It is~~ ~~not~~ ~~for~~ ~~me~~ ~~or~~ ~~any~~ ~~consumer~~. There is vaccine reform and what's in them.

I will have to pick and choose what ~~vaccines~~ will be best for me or my family, my body, my child, my choice.

You too can oath to uphold our US and CO constitutional rights.

~~me~~ You are my voice - VOTE NO on SB163

Good afternoon,

2/19/20
SB 20-163

I have TWO minutes to explain to you why it's important to me that you continue to use your power as an elected, public servant, to protect Coloradans from an overreach of Government. Regarding vaccines, I have learned the hard way. Following our horrible experience early on, I read, researched and understood and then intentionally chose a path that works for our family and our individual systems. We like our Doctor, but this Bill puts Doctors who support exemption in a terrible position.

Everywhere you look in our society, lives are being ravaged by the effects of Pharma. There is so much corruption. We are being misrepresented as lazy and uninformed-but those of us here today represent a much larger group that can't be here who are informed and involved parents. We need your help to protect us from Pharma encroaching on our right to privacy. Do not give them a foothold in our lives. I moved my family away from all our family in Washington State because of the direction the state was headed regarding vaccinations. I do not like the direction I see Colorado beginning to head but we cannot afford to move again. I am not a homeschooling parent. My children love their school, community and being home with me is not an option.

I am not interested in watching a video with more vaccine information, I have plenty of information. Possibly more than those advocating for a blanket approach to healthcare. It is also not anyone's business what path for health I am on and therefore, I am uninterested in being placed in a database where I can be tracked.

Watching a video that 'educates' me on one perspective of vaccines, could be likened to being forced to watch a video on Abortion before proceeding. Democrats don't seem to like that approach and yet here we are opposing bill that aims to have us do just that? We know why we choose a different path than the mainstream approach. I am having a different experience than the "slam-dunk, don't worry, vaccines are awesome", approach that is working for the masses. I won't get into that today, but I would like to assert my desire that you vote NO on SB20-163 and any other bill of its kind that are presented in the future, that aims to isolate a small minority of taxpayers who want autonomy from their Governments "healthplan".

This issue has opposition for diverse reasons but recognize this bill is the tip of the iceberg for what is desired nationwide in regards to Vaccinations. Don't give them an inch. We are the present, aware and intentional parents pleading that you understand the fight you've been brought into and to be on the side of history that we can all live with-physically and morally.

Thank you,
Katherine Heuser-Arvada

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB 20163

Date: 2-19-2020

This bill speaks to the costs, my family is well over \$200k, for education & privacy issues. We have done this before in my family. We did so in Budapest in WWII, my gay uncle in the 50s & 60s

Name Anne Marie Robbins	
Address (optional)	
City (optional)	Zip (optional) 80126
Telephone: (optional)	
Email: (optional)	
Representing My family	
Position on Bill: 163	For
	Against
	Neutral

was tormented, had to hide & was traumatized the rest of his life. My vaccine injured son was excluded, by school choice, from a major field trip. ~~Segregating~~ segregating the vaccine. Protected in one category is provoking bullying by peers.

I watched the module Pg. 3, line 20-25 of the bill states flu is controlled through vaccines. Slide 15 shows a list of vaccines the link for the flu states there is "a very, very small chance of a reaction. The patient should stay just in case."

You want higher vaccination rates. My son, should to get a flu shot, requires that I knowingly harm my son. I allow injury up to death. I knowingly have an allergen injected into him & then hope my pediatrician can handle an anaphylactic reaction & hope there won't be a biphasic reaction. Furthermore, this known harm, or child abuse, is protected by your laws. But if I abuse him otherwise, CPS is at my door. You are combining child abuse & giving medical -6

preparations a pass. ~~that's~~ my
your hope is that I will change my mind by
reaching the middle, my challenge to you is to
be you willing to change your minds in light
of what you've heard?
When you state in the bill that you want to protect
kids, what you really mean is you want higher
vaccination rates. Are you willing to have students
who have had measles stay home for at least seven
days while they are shedding the virus + protect
those who can't receive measles?
I am unwilling to further harm any of my
children as this is already being refused and
face segregation, stand out and
suffer daily the consequences.

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-163

Date: 2-19-2020

I am a health care practitioner and a mother. My children should not have their medical information

put into any tracking system because they are missing any of the many recommended vaccines. The wording of the bill states that a Dr. (MD) has to sign off on a religious exemption. How can a MD decide if your religious exemption is valid? This, in itself, is unconstitutional. ~~It goes against~~ Colorado does not have any outbreaks and this is one of the healthiest states. We do not need to change our policies on vaccine exemptions. ~~changed.~~

This will not change parents' minds on giving one or more of the vaccines. This will cause more discrimination.

Name <u>Melissa Matthew, DC</u>		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>	Zip <i>(optional)</i>	<u>80130</u>
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing <u>self & family</u>		
Position on Bill	For	Against <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Neutral	

My name is stacey and I am here to testify against SB 163.

I am against this bill because it is discriminatory, had no stakeholder input before this committee hearing, and many other reasons, but for now I would like to point out one specific area I feel this bill doesn't make sense and requires clarification.

This bill mentions on page 4 lines 10- 12 the number of students who have exemptions for one or more vaccinations- which is 28, 874. Next it states in lines 13-16 nearly 25, 000 of those are for the MMR vaccine.

Using these figures- that leaves at least 1.54 percent of the exemptions for vaccinations other than MMR. When we are talking such small exemption rates- 1.54 percent is not a small figure.

My question is how will different vaccines be segmented out in the overall 95 percent goal? I understand preventing a measles outbreak is perhaps the main thrust of this bill...but shouldn't we look at this 95 percent goal for each specific vaccine? For example- many parents opt out of the Hepatitis B vaccine for young children because if their mother doesn't have hepatitis B- and they aren't engaged in drug use or sexual activity in elementary school- a parent might delay it until middle school or later. I would not consider this a disease that can be transmitted through the air like measles can- and thus many people safely opt out of this vaccine until middle school or later. I have an example of one of my child's potential schools if you would like to see what I am referring to.

If you do not kill this bill altogether, I would like to ensure you require the bill to be amended to clarify that we are looking at your 95 % threshold for each specific disease. I would imagine doing this will boost the bill's perceived success rate for you anyways- especially since the thrust is to prevent a measles outbreak. Not a hepatitis B outbreak in an elementary school. I would like some clarification from the sponsors of if they intend to break out each individual vaccine for independent analysis


Additionally- I would like to request that the Section 5 of the bill- lines 14 through 26 be taken out as it seems entirely improper to transfer all vaccine decisions in the state to one small group vs. an entire body of elected officials.

School & Child Care Rates

Compare Facilities

Reporting Map

School Year 2018/2019 Survey Type School  School or Child Care District 



Denver County 1 School District (For Comparison)

Hepatitis B = 91%

MMR = 97%

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB 20-163

Date: 2/17/2020

I am against this bill. It won't fulfill what it claims to do, it takes away religious rights to freedom. If the intent is truly to increase vaccination rates then we should be spending time and money ~~fixing~~ enforcing the current system to account for those unrecorded individuals.

Name <i>Evin Urban</i>		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>	Zip <i>(optional)</i> <i>80203</i>	
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing		
Position on Bill:	For	Against <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Neutral	

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-163

Date: 2-19-2020

Dear Senators, thank you for reading my testimony.

I urge you to oppose SB20-163.

Reasons include the following:

-The tracking system is discriminatory.

-It does not make sense to combine current religious & personal belief exemption. The title "nonmedical exemption" makes no sense. Vaccines are a medical procedure. Every exemption is medical no matter whether a doctor is consulted or not. An exemption is a private matter and one should not be forced to get a signature from a person who has a different view of health, and whose income depends on sale & administration of a product the individual does not want.

-The other option is "an education module."

The departments choose which education is appropriate. The module says it will be interactive (pg. 13, line 8) - will it force parent/guardian to answer "correctly" according to CDPH beliefs in order to receive "nonmedical exemption Certificate" ???

-Proponents of the bill keep speaking of "equal effort". We moved to CO from CA, way beyond "equal effort". We left family & friends behind. Please, oppose this bill.

Name <u>Asir Mohammadi</u>		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i> <u>Denver</u>	Zip <i>(optional)</i> <u>80221</u>	
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing <u>Self & Family</u>		
Position on Bill:	For	Against
	Neutral	

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-163

Date: 02-19-2020

I am the parent of 3 VACCINATED children. It was my right and choice to make that decision. I am NOT fearful of the remote possibility of maybe 1 or 2 little kids in "Johnny's" classroom who are not vaccinated, infecting my child with a disease that (a) he doesn't have, or (b) a disease for which the medical community has told us a vaccine will protect against. We either believe the science, or we don't, correct? What I AM most fearful of, is the constant erosion of our civil rights, and constitutional rights. I'm fearful we're trying to invest more time, more resources, and more taxpayer funds into yet another time consuming, process-heavy system, when we already have in place that is not being enforced! Just because parents "sign a simple form" at their school, does not mean that they haven't put in the "effort!" It doesn't mean that they haven't been in, or at, their doctor's office, and it does not mean they haven't put in the "effort" to make a best choice decision for their child. Who here has the right, or ability, to judge how, and what amount of time a parent must "invest" to make informed decisions? Do we make potential parents take an education module prior to becoming a parent, and then sign a form with compelled speech? I'm also fearful as your constituent that we're

Name CYNTHIA SHELDEN		
Address (optional) *		
City (optional) MORRISON	Zip (optional) 80465	
Telephone: (optional) 303.904.0444		
Email: (optional)		
Representing MYSELF * FAMILY		
Position on Bill:	For	Against
	Neutral	

(PAGE 1)

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB 20-163

Date: 02/19/2020

Name	CYNTHIA SHELDEN	
Address <small>(optional)</small>		
City <small>(optional)</small>	(PAGE 2)	Zip <small>(optional)</small>
Telephone: <small>(optional)</small>		
Email: <small>(optional)</small>		
Representing		
Position on Bill:	For	Against
	Neutral	

taking funds out of our very limited budgets simply for the sake of data collection and statistics. I'm fearful that instead of protecting the 650 children at my kids' school in Jeffco against the lead and asbestos in the building - that we're going to allocate funds away for unlawful data collection on "Johnnyno-vax-kid" on the far-fetched idea he'll infect us. Furthermore, where is the stakeholder input in this bill? When I read and discussed SB20-163 with my very pro-vaccine pediatrician this was her reply: "OMG. We don't have time for this shit in our office. We are overworked, overformed, overmetrized... We don't have time to do our jobs of seeing SICK Kids because we have to fill out so many forms!!!! We are not doctors anymore, we are administrative assistants. This is why we are going to continue to have primary care doctors retiring early, quitting, not going in to primary care medicine and the shortage continues!!! Eventually access to care will be only for the rich. These dictatorial lawmakers, in the name of equality for all, are getting equality for none." Lastly, bodily integrity as outlined after the Nuremberg code was adopted, was defined as *the inviolability of the

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB 20-163

Date: 02/19/2020

Name: Cynthia Stelden		
Address (optional)		
City (optional): PAGE (3)		Zip (optional)
Telephone: (optional)		
Email: (optional)		
Representing		
Position on Bill:	For	Against
	Neutral	

physical body and emphasizes the importance of personal autonomy and the self-determination of human beings over their own

bodies. In the field of human rights, violation of the bodily integrity of another, is regarded as unethical infringement, intrusive, and possibly criminal.* This bill is infringement, it is intrusive, it is discriminatory, it is based on misplaced fear - not facts or accurate statistics, it is costly, it violates our freedoms and it chips away at our rights. We already have a process in place that does not cost YOUR taxpayers another dime, it protects against discrimination and it protects FERPA and data collection privacy rights. I beg you to listen to the ALREADY EDUCATED constituents of Colorado, who YOU are here to fight for and serve. Please oppose this bill - Thank you !!!

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill:

Date: Feb 19, 2020

OPPOSE SB-163

It's absolutely unnecessary, overreaching, terribly burdensome to families, and has not gone through the proper stakeholder process.

Name	NICOLE BECK	
Address (optional)	14138 Double Dutch	
City (optional)	Zip (optional)	80134
Telephone (optional)	979-356-8577	
Email (optional)	bagg072@yahoo.com	
Representing		
Position on Bill:	For	Against
	Neutral	

My family was effectively kicked out of California b/c of our personal life choices. AB 2109 in California which required a physicians signature for a personal belief or religious exemption. Senator Pan assured everyone that exemptions were safe, parental rights and medical autonomy would be respected, and he would never take away exemptions. Then came SB 277 which eliminated both of those exemptions. Despite seeing the largest # of people in history at the Capitol, the bill passed. During this process, Pan stated that medical exemptions would be →

protected, and doctors would be given wide berth when writing them.

That was not true. Last year, Pau got rid of Medical exemptions entirely in California. It also caps medical exemptions at 4, b/c at 5 or more, doctors are flagged, and their licenses are on the line. See Dr. Bob Sears.

SB 163 removes exemptions, forces my kids into a database that only sex offenders have seen. It requires I sign a document under duress, and compels me to watch a one-sided "Re-education" video that I could easily refute quicker than I could watch it.

What other group of people are you comfortable doing this to, besides criminals, and what happens when I refuse to comply?

From: Athena Mills <athenamills67@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 19, 2020 7:57 AM
To: Gary Mills
Subject: Fwd: My Testimony for SB 20-163

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Athena Mills <athenamills67@gmail.com>
Date: Wed, Feb 19, 2020 at 7:53 AM
Subject: My Testimony for SB 20-163
To: athenamills67 <athenamills67@gmail.com>

Athena Mills RN
5788 Knoll Crest Ct
Boulder, CO 80301

My name is Athena Mills and I am testifying in opposition to Bill SB20-163. I am a registered nurse in the state of CO. I am against this bill because it harasses individuals and families who want to make choices for themselves that are against the CDC schedule, it is coercive and bullies individuals and families to accept pharmaceutical products that they are objecting to and that do not support their health. It violates their rights and it would put healthy unvaccinated children into a tracking system that could be used against them.

My story is perhaps unique because I was one of those unvaccinated children until the age of 37 when I submitted to the minimal vaccine requirements for nursing school. My brothers were raised completely vaccine free due to my mother's concerns for vaccine safety which is still one of the top concerns of parents today. Our family has enjoyed exceptionally good health, and we have not had any cancer in our immediate family, our major health concerns include minor allergies to cats, hay fever and a few extra pounds. I attribute this good health to eating a very healthy diet, choosing not to vaccinate, exercising, living a clean lifestyle, avoiding toxins and chemicals as much as possible. In speaking with other people who have chosen not to vaccinate their children, the difference is striking in children who have not been vaccinated. In general, those children enjoy robust health, and they are hitting their milestones much faster than those children who have followed the CDC vaccination schedule. Those children are excelling in school! This has been proven over and over again through studies comparing vaccinated and unvaccinated children.

When my mother was a child, she was given the DPT which resulted in her screaming for days and as a result, she felt she had endured some brain damage and this is why she chose not to vaccinate her children.. We now know vaccines can cause encephalitis which can cause brain damage in certain individuals. I come from generations of unvaccinated, or slightly vaccinated individuals. A child raised in the sixties, a fully vaccinated child would have had 3 to 5 vaccines to be considered fully vaccinated. Now the requirements are significantly higher, requiring around 70 doses of highly toxic vaccines on the childhood schedule for a child to be fully vaccinated.

My mother, my grandmother and my great grandmother all chose to raise their children in a way that was considered unique and a little different than the standard, and she was not bullied or harassed into choices that did not support our beliefs. As a result, my brothers and I enjoyed exceptionally good health. We were rarely sick, and although we did have the chicken pox, it hardly slowed us down. This was the only childhood illness we encountered as children who were unvaccinated and even though we have travelled extensively throughout

Europe, Asia and North America, we have not contracted or spread any diseases as a result of being unvaccinated, as the media would have you fear. In our society today, many MD's and health care individuals will bully and harass you into accepting vaccines and biologics that might not work for you and could damage your health for life. I truly believe this decision needs to be left up to the individual, it should never be forced by the state.

When I was in nursing school, we were taught "vaccines are safe and effective" and reactions are very rare, one in a million. Our education on vaccines was very limited and I understand this is the case for most MD's, nurses and medical professionals. We were not taught that vaccines can cause reactions including seizures, allergies, asthma, autoimmune disorders, diabetes, paralysis and a significant amount of harmful side effects, including death. We were not taught about all the ingredients in vaccines, including the harmful adjuvants which can cause poor reactions in some individuals. When I started working as a nurse, my friend, an RN was paralyzed by a mandatory flu shot she was bullied into accepting. She was diagnosed with Guillian Barre, a known side effect of the flu shot and other vaccines. She was unable to walk for several months and she endured physical therapy to help her to regain the ability to walk and ten years later, she is still suffering from nerve pain and damage from one flu shot. Another co-workers perfectly healthy daughter became severely Autistic after a second MMR and she is now in a wheelchair. Her life is forever changed by one vaccine her mother was bullied into giving her daughter. Within the past year, I have had two friends children injured by the Gardasil vaccine. One of these young teens is now unable to walk, her life is forever changed by a vaccine with a poor safety track record. The other teen suffers from black outs, neurological tic's, migraine headaches which he did not have before the vaccine and now he is missing considerable time from school as a result of this vaccine. I have witnessed additional vaccine injury and damage but I won't go into the other cases here. If parents are required to complete "re-education" about vaccines in order to obtain a non medical exemption, in my case, no amount of re-education is going to change my mind about vaccine safety after the vaccine injury I have witnessed. I expect this is the same for other parents who have spent considerable time educating themselves on the risks of the vaccines in comparison to any injuries their children might encounter as a result of contracting a mild childhood illness.

If Colorado, if this bill passes, and the ACIP recommendations are followed, this could require HPV, Hepatitis A, Influenza, Meningococcal vaccines as well as any vaccine recommended by the ACIP Committee. HPV vaccine and the Influenza vaccines have a poor track record for safety and many people have had to file with the vaccine injury compensation board as a result of the injuries they have experienced from these two vaccines.

I fully believe parents should be able to opt out of any vaccine they choose without experiencing discrimination, harrassment, or bullying from medical professionals, the school their children are attending or the state where they are living. I completely object to putting healthy children into a tracking system that could be used against them as we have witnessed this past year in New York. With information used in their tracking system, individuals who were refusing to get the MMR based on their religious principles were threatened with jail time, hefty fines, removal from schools, if they did not comply with demands from the state. I do not want to see this happen here in CO.

For these reasons, I am opposing this bill and I believe this is a decision that needs to be left up to parents, the individual and their health care providers without interference and harassment from the state government.

Thanks for listening to my testimony.

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-103

Date: 2.19.2020

Hello and Thank you madame
Chair. my name is Amber
Eggers and I represent
myself and family. I
oppose SB20-103.

Name <i>Amber Eggers</i>		
Address <small>(optional)</small>		
City <small>(optional)</small>	Zip <small>(optional)</small>	
Telephone: <small>(optional)</small>		
Email: <small>(optional)</small>		
Representing <i>myself</i>		
Position on Bill: <i>Opposed</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> For	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Against
	<input type="checkbox"/> Neutral	<input type="checkbox"/>

I reference the bill of 5, Sect. 2, line 7,
item (1.7) "nonmedical exemption" means an
immunization exemption based upon a religious
belief whose teaching are opposed to immunizations
or a personal belief that is opposed to immunizations

This is not okay as it intrudes on our
First Amendment: The first Amendment guarantees
freedoms concerning religion, expression, assembly,
and the right to petition. It forbids Congress
from both promoting one religion over others and
also restricting an individual's religious practices.
by prohibiting Congress from restricting the press
or the rights of individuals to speak freely.
It also guarantees the right of citizens to
assemble peacefully and to petition their
government.

As you can see my First Amendment
should not be thrown in "non-medical exemption"

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-103

Date: 2.19.2020

Keep them separate.

Vote no on SB20-103

Thank you.

Name <u>Amber Eggers</u>		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>	Zip <i>(optional)</i>	
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing		
Position on Bill: <u>Opposed</u>	For <u>Neutral</u>	Against

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-163

Date: 2-19-20

Madame Chair, members of the committee, my name is Amber Taylor and I oppose SB20-163.

Name	Amber Taylor		
Address <small>(optional)</small>	1737 E. 15 th Street		
City <small>(optional)</small>	Loveland	Zip <small>(optional)</small>	80538
Telephone: <small>(optional)</small>			
Email: <small>(optional)</small>			
Representing	self		
Position on Bill:	For	Against	
	Neutral		

I believe our children's privacy is of the utmost importance and disclosing vaccine status of some children but protecting the privacy of a child with Hepatitis or HIV is complete discrimination. This opens the door for these children to be targeted and bullied by their peers and potentially their communities.

I am concerned about my religious belief being categorized into a "non-medical" exemption. Using that kind of verbage just sets it up so that a non-medical exemption is easier to get rid of in the future.

The decisions I've made regarding vaccines are highly based on my religious beliefs and deeply rooted in my faith. To label it as anything else would be stripping me of my religious freedom and attacking this fundamental right is unconstitutional.

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB 20-163

Date: 2-19-20

Name	Amber Taylor	
Address <i>(optional)</i>	1737 E. 15th Street	
City <i>(optional)</i>	Loveland	Zip <i>(optional)</i> 80538
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing	Self	
Position on Bill:	For	Against
	Neutral	

In regard to the required education and certification, we can be sure that the disclosures on the form

and the module will include coerced speech.

Re-education of vaccines is the same as coercive videos before abortions. Why are we protecting women's rights over her body during pregnancy but then denying these same rights after delivery? Our babies are not government property!

This bill gives unlimited and unchecked authority to CDPHE without the need for future legislation to add to the schedule, change the form, and remove exemptions. This violates the Colorado Constitution for how laws are made. There has also been a complete lack of stakeholder involvement in this rushed process.

I ask you to please consider what this bill truly means and what freedoms are at stake.

I ask you to vote NO. Thank you.

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB 163

Date: Wednesday, February 19, 2020

My name is Lori DeBoer and I am here today to speak on behalf of my vaccine-injured teen. He has had two vaccine

reactions, one as an infant and one as a teen, and our doctor advised no more vaccines. This bill troubles me because it violates my son's right to medical privacy. I am also opposed because, as a historian, I know that tracking a population never comes to any good. Tracking is a precursor to discrimination and atrocities. My son is doing dual enrollment at a local college and is in both National Honor Society through his high school and is also an inductee into the National Society for Leadership and Success. He is an exemplary, hard-working student who has so much to contribute. Yet, it saddens me to say that since the introduction of HB 1312 last year and SB 163 this year he has been bullied and ostracized. I have received death threats. Your pursuit of these measures to track us has emboldened bullies and led to a climate of fear for our family. As a lifelong Democrat, this saddens me. I feel abandoned by my party, which?

Name	Lori DeBoer	
Address (optional)	1390 Judson Drive	
City (optional)	Boulder	Zip (optional) 80305
Telephone: (optional)	720-383-5488	
Email: (optional)	lorideboer@rocketmail.com	
Representing	myself	
Position on Bill:	For	Against
	Neutral	

which has repeatedly introduced these
killers that target my vulnerable kid.
You are doing exactly what you criticize
Trumpsters of doing: endangering hate and
fanning the flames of

There are numerous public health
crises in Colorado that require your attention:
poor air quality, lack of affordable housing
and lack of affordable health insurance.

get you attack and target the vulnerable
harmless of vaccine-injured kids. My son has
ongoing health problems due to his injury and
get his life seems like it doesn't matter to

you. Why is my son's life important? Why
do you not believe me - a woman - when I
say: "I'm not to?" Please represent your

constituents and not all of the lobbyists. Please
who stand to profit from these bills. Please
listen to us moms.

Thank you!

Kam DeBoer

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-163

Date: 2-19-20

My name is Emily Fischer and I'm here representing myself. I'm a lifelong democrat. I oppose SB20-163. My mom is a retired nurse here in Colorado.


Name		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>		Zip <i>(optional)</i>
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing		
Position on Bill	For	Against
	Neutral	

She is not here testifying because she is scared of the repercussions and the bullying. Which is something she has already experienced just by having critical discussions about vaccines we have talked about this a lot. She told me that in her experience as a school nurse for several thousand Colorado students. The reasons a parent might file for an exemption are many and complicated. She had many students who either had exemptions or were non-compliant out of fear for family members who are undocumented. She had other parents with other reasons for not wanting any government officials involved in their personal lifestyle choices. She had parents who felt uncomfortable discussing their reasons with her. Since the new exemption forms required either a doctor's visit or an address and other PII, were stakeholders representing those families consulted? Will some families

now be forced to choose between their personal lifestyle choices and protecting their undocumented family members? With the addresses of their numbers these forms require, will families with undocumented family members, or any other families for that matter, be harassed through phone calls and even home visits as was recently done in Florida? How much fear will this bill bring?



A Good Shot: Reaching Immunization Targets in Duval County



"By taking the evidence-based practices documented in The Community Guide, we saved time and avoided trial and error."

Lucy Wells
Immunization Service Line
Manager Duval County Health
Department

More Information

**Duval County Health
Department Center for
Health Statistics on
Immunizations**
www.duval.floridahealth.gov

**The Community Guide:
CPSTF Recommendations on
Vaccination Interventions**
[www.thecommunityguide.org/
topic/vaccination](http://www.thecommunityguide.org/topic/vaccination)

**CDC's National Center
for Immunization and
Respiratory Diseases**
www.cdc.gov/ncird



Although most infants and toddlers receive their recommended vaccines by age 2, a significant proportion in some communities do not, leaving them and their communities at increased risk for disease outbreaks. The Duval County Health Department (DCHD) in Jacksonville, Florida was challenged with low childhood immunization rates, particularly in the county's urban core. DCHD took action by implementing a quality improvement strategy from the Community Preventive Services Task Force (CPSTF), which combined several recommendations for increasing vaccination. Their plan significantly increased the number of 2-year-olds who had completed their vaccination series. The CPSTF recommendations—which can be found in the Guide to Community Preventive Services (The Community Guide), helped DCHD eliminate guesswork with evidence-based strategies, which they successfully used to reach their target.

Low Immunization Rates Put Communities At Risk

County health departments work to make sure that young children, who are particularly susceptible to infectious diseases, receive the appropriate vaccines to prevent disease and protect the community from outbreaks. Before 2009, Duval County's vaccination completion rates for 2-year-olds consistently ranked below the national target of 90 percent, with particularly low rates in the urban center of Jacksonville. The trend began to change in 2009 when the health department received a quality improvement grant to support their immunization program. Initial progress was slow, but the DCHD immunization team developed a plan to advance their goals by turning to best practices and evidence-based strategies from The Community Guide.

Program Coordinators Turn to The Community Guide

Limited time and resources made it critical for DCHD to avoid "reinventing the wheel." According to Lucy Wells, DCHD Immunization Service Line Manager, "Using the Community Guide allowed us to see interventions that had already been proven to work and use them in our own quality improvement program." The health department selected Task Force-recommended interventions that were intended to be used in combination to comprehensively address the roles of the health care system and community in increasing immunization rates. These interventions were:

- Health care system-based interventions implemented in combination (e.g., client reminder and recall systems; standing orders; clinic-based client education), and
- Community-based interventions implemented in combination (e.g., the use of staff to conduct manual outreach and tracking of clients; educational activities).

A Combined Approach Reaches the Community

"The Community Guide recommends using more than one of the evidence-based practices to go into the community and make things happen," says Ms. Wells. Heeding this guidance, DCHD launched a combined effort to engage parents, health care providers, and the community.

- Using the Florida Shots Registry, which tracks each resident's vaccinations, clinic staff identified infants and toddlers who were due for, or had missed, vaccinations. They sent monthly reminders to parents about upcoming vaccination due dates and urged them to make appointments. For children behind on their immunization series, clinic staff reached out to parents through phone calls, letters, and home visits encouraging them to schedule "catch-up" vaccinations. DCHD also produced educational materials with vaccination schedules and safety information to help parents keep up with their children's immunizations.




What is The Community Guide?

The Guide to Community Preventive Services (The Community Guide) is an essential resource for people who want to know what works in public health. It provides evidence-based recommendations about public health interventions and policies to improve health and promote safety.

The Community Preventive Services Task Force (CPSTF)—an independent, nonfederal, unpaid panel of public health and prevention experts—bases its recommendations on systematic reviews of the scientific literature. With oversight from the CPSTF, scientists and subject-matter experts from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention conduct these reviews in collaboration with a wide range of government, academic, policy, and practice-based partners.

www.thecommunityguide.org

 Follow us @CPSTF

 Community Preventive Services Task Force

May 2012

- At local clinics, DCHD trained additional staff to administer vaccines and instituted a standing order authorizing them to do so without the need for a physician or other practitioner with prescription privileges to be present. The goal was to increase access to vaccinations and avoid missed opportunities.
- DCHD established an Immunization CPSTF comprised of community partners from the private sector and other organizations. This group worked with local hospitals to send a team of two nurses and one physician into the community each week to immunize children in churches and other locations. DCHD provided vaccines and hospitals provided staff.

Duval County Reaches Its Target

Within one year, the percentage of 2-year-olds with complete immunization records rose from 75 to 90 percent, hitting the national target. One clinic in particular, which had the worst immunization rates of all the Duval County clinics, made remarkable progress, increasing from 74 to 92 percent in 18 months. Now that the target has been reached, DCHD has set their sights on raising the immunization rate to 95 percent by increasing the number of client reminders to 2 to 3 per month and engaging more community partners.

"We are trying to reach out to as many community health partners as we can," says Ms. Wells. "As the health department, we can provide the vaccines and training if our partners can provide outreach to the community. Now that we have a model that is working, we really want to focus on the outreach piece."


Based on the success of the immunization program, DCHD is applying interventions from the Community Guide to other areas such as tobacco-use cessation and prevention of sexually transmitted infections. DCHD continues to share The Community Guide with community partners to broaden awareness of interventions that work.

Lessons Learned

- **Take advantage of work already done.** All of the interventions included in the Community Guide have been scientifically reviewed and classified. Save valuable time and resources by using interventions proven to work.
- **Combine interventions for a greater impact.** The Community Guide often identifies multiple interventions that address a public health problem from different angles. DCHD found that following the recommendation to implement interventions in combination worked to increase awareness, access, and demand for vaccinations in their community.
- **Use The Community Guide as a multi-purpose tool.** DCHD also used the Community Guide for validating budget reports to state legislators and grant writing for violence prevention activities. They have used the success of their immunization program as a stepping stone to champion the Community Guide across other departments and with community partners.



A Good Shot: Reaching Immunization Targets in Duval County



"By taking the evidence-based practices documented in The Community Guide, we saved time and avoided trial and error."

Lucy Wells
Immunization Service Line
Manager Duval County Health
Department

More Information

**Duval County Health
Department Center for
Health Statistics on
Immunizations**
www.duval.floridahealth.gov

**The Community Guide:
CPSTF Recommendations on
Vaccination Interventions**
[www.thecommunityguide.org/
topic/vaccination](http://www.thecommunityguide.org/topic/vaccination)

**CDC's National Center
for Immunization and
Respiratory Diseases**
www.cdc.gov/ncird



Although most infants and toddlers receive their recommended vaccines by age 2, a significant proportion in some communities do not, leaving them and their communities at increased risk for disease outbreaks. The Duval County Health Department (DCHD) in Jacksonville, Florida was challenged with low childhood immunization rates, particularly in the county's urban core. DCHD took action by implementing a quality improvement strategy from the Community Preventive Services Task Force (CPSTF), which combined several recommendations for increasing vaccination. Their plan significantly increased the number of 2-year-olds who had completed their vaccination series. The CPSTF recommendations—which can be found in the Guide to Community Preventive Services (The Community Guide), helped DCHD eliminate guesswork with evidence-based strategies, which they successfully used to reach their target.

Low Immunization Rates Put Communities At Risk

County health departments work to make sure that young children, who are particularly susceptible to infectious diseases, receive the appropriate vaccines to prevent disease and protect the community from outbreaks. Before 2009, Duval County's vaccination completion rates for 2-year-olds consistently ranked below the national target of 90 percent, with particularly low rates in the urban center of Jacksonville. The trend began to change in 2009 when the health department received a quality improvement grant to support their immunization program. Initial progress was slow, but the DCHD immunization team developed a plan to advance their goals by turning to best practices and evidence-based strategies from The Community Guide.

Program Coordinators Turn to The Community Guide

Limited time and resources made it critical for DCHD to avoid "reinventing the wheel." According to Lucy Wells, DCHD Immunization Service Line Manager, "Using the Community Guide allowed us to see interventions that had already been proven to work and use them in our own quality improvement program." The health department selected Task Force-recommended interventions that were intended to be used in combination to comprehensively address the roles of the health care system and community in increasing immunization rates. These interventions were:

- Health care system-based interventions implemented in combination (e.g., client reminder and recall systems; standing orders; clinic-based client education), and
- Community-based interventions implemented in combination (e.g., the use of staff to conduct manual outreach and tracking of clients; educational activities).

A Combined Approach Reaches the Community

"The Community Guide recommends using more than one of the evidence-based practices to go into the community and make things happen," says Ms. Wells. Heeding this guidance, DCHD launched a combined effort to engage parents, health care providers, and the community.

- Using the Florida Shots Registry, which tracks each resident's vaccinations, clinic staff identified infants and toddlers who were due for, or had missed, vaccinations. They sent monthly reminders to parents about upcoming vaccination due dates and urged them to make appointments. For children behind on their immunization series, clinic staff reached out to parents through phone calls, letters, and home visits encouraging them to schedule "catch-up" vaccinations. DCHD also produced educational materials with vaccination schedules and safety information to help parents keep up with their children's immunizations.



What is The Community Guide?

The Guide to Community Preventive Services (The Community Guide) is an essential resource for people who want to know what works in public health. It provides evidence-based recommendations about public health interventions and policies to improve health and promote safety.

The Community Preventive Services Task Force (CPSTF)—an independent, nonfederal, unpaid panel of public health and prevention experts—bases its recommendations on systematic reviews of the scientific literature. With oversight from the CPSTF, scientists and subject-matter experts from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention conduct these reviews in collaboration with a wide range of government, academic, policy, and practice-based partners.

www.thecommunityguide.org

 Follow us @CPSTF

 Community Preventive Services Task Force

May 2012

- At local clinics, DCHD trained additional staff to administer vaccines and instituted a standing order authorizing them to do so without the need for a physician or other practitioner with prescription privileges to be present. The goal was to increase access to vaccinations and avoid missed opportunities.

- DCHD established an Immunization CPSTF comprised of community partners from the private sector and other organizations. This group worked with local hospitals to send a team of two nurses and one physician into the community each week to immunize children in churches and other locations. DCHD provided vaccines and hospitals provided staff.

Duval County Reaches Its Target

Within one year, the percentage of 2-year-olds with complete immunization records rose from 75 to 90 percent, hitting the national target. One clinic in particular, which had the worst immunization rates of all the Duval County clinics, made remarkable progress, increasing from 74 to 92 percent in 18 months. Now that the target has been reached, DCHD has set their sights on raising the immunization rate to 95 percent by increasing the number of client reminders to 2 to 3 per month and engaging more community partners.

"We are trying to reach out to as many community health partners as we can," says Ms. Wells. "As the health department, we can provide the vaccines and training if our partners can provide outreach to the community. Now that we have a model that is working, we really want to focus on the outreach piece."


Based on the success of the immunization program, DCHD is applying interventions from the Community Guide to other areas such as tobacco-use cessation and prevention of sexually transmitted infections. DCHD continues to share The Community Guide with community partners to broaden awareness of interventions that work.

Lessons Learned

- **Take advantage of work already done.** All of the interventions included in the Community Guide have been scientifically reviewed and classified. Save valuable time and resources by using interventions proven to work.
- **Combine interventions for a greater impact.** The Community Guide often identifies multiple interventions that address a public health problem from different angles. DCHD found that following the recommendation to implement interventions in combination worked to increase awareness, access, and demand for vaccinations in their community.
- **Use The Community Guide as a multi-purpose tool.** DCHD also used the Community Guide for validating budget reports to state legislators and grant writing for violence prevention activities. They have used the success of their immunization program as a stepping stone to champion the Community Guide across other departments and with community partners.



A Good Shot: Reaching Immunization Targets in Duval County



"By taking the evidence-based practices documented in The Community Guide, we saved time and avoided trial and error."

Lucy Wells
Immunization Service Line
Manager Duval County Health
Department

More Information

Duval County Health
Department Center for
Health Statistics on
Immunizations
www.duval.floridahealth.gov

The Community Guide:
CPSTF Recommendations on
Vaccination Interventions
[www.thecommunityguide.org/
topic/vaccination](http://www.thecommunityguide.org/topic/vaccination)

CDC's National Center
for Immunization and
Respiratory Diseases
www.cdc.gov/ncird



Although most infants and toddlers receive their recommended vaccines by age 2, a significant proportion in some communities do not, leaving them and their communities at increased risk for disease outbreaks. The Duval County Health Department (DCHD) in Jacksonville, Florida was challenged with low childhood immunization rates, particularly in the county's urban core. DCHD took action by implementing a quality improvement strategy from the Community Preventive Services Task Force (CPSTF), which combined several recommendations for increasing vaccination. Their plan significantly increased the number of 2-year-olds who had completed their vaccination series. The CPSTF recommendations—which can be found in the Guide to Community Preventive Services (The Community Guide), helped DCHD eliminate guesswork with evidence-based strategies, which they successfully used to reach their target.

Low Immunization Rates Put Communities At Risk

County health departments work to make sure that young children, who are particularly susceptible to infectious diseases, receive the appropriate vaccines to prevent disease and protect the community from outbreaks. Before 2009, Duval County's vaccination completion rates for 2-year-olds consistently ranked below the national target of 90 percent, with particularly low rates in the urban center of Jacksonville. The trend began to change in 2009 when the health department received a quality improvement grant to support their immunization program. Initial progress was slow, but the DCHD immunization team developed a plan to advance their goals by turning to best practices and evidence-based strategies from The Community Guide.

Program Coordinators Turn to The Community Guide

Limited time and resources made it critical for DCHD to avoid "reinventing the wheel." According to Lucy Wells, DCHD Immunization Service Line Manager, "Using the Community Guide allowed us to see interventions that had already been proven to work and use them in our own quality improvement program." The health department selected Task Force-recommended interventions that were intended to be used in combination to comprehensively address the roles of the health care system and community in increasing immunization rates. These interventions were:

- Health care system-based interventions implemented in combination (e.g., client reminder and recall systems; standing orders; clinic-based client education), and
- Community-based interventions implemented in combination (e.g., the use of staff to conduct manual outreach and tracking of clients; educational activities).

A Combined Approach Reaches the Community

"The Community Guide recommends using more than one of the evidence-based practices to go into the community and make things happen," says Ms. Wells. Heeding this guidance, DCHD launched a combined effort to engage parents, health care providers, and the community.

- Using the Florida Shots Registry, which tracks each resident's vaccinations, clinic staff identified infants and toddlers who were due for, or had missed, vaccinations. They sent monthly reminders to parents about upcoming vaccination due dates and urged them to make appointments. For children behind on their immunization series, clinic staff reached out to parents through phone calls, letters, and home visits encouraging them to schedule "catch-up" vaccinations. DCHD also produced educational materials with vaccination schedules and safety information to help parents keep up with their children's immunizations.



What is The Community Guide?

The Guide to Community Preventive Services (The Community Guide) is an essential resource for people who want to know what works in public health. It provides evidence-based recommendations about public health interventions and policies to improve health and promote safety.

The Community Preventive Services Task Force (CPSTF)—an independent, nonfederal, unpaid panel of public health and prevention experts—bases its recommendations on systematic reviews of the scientific literature. With oversight from the CPSTF, scientists and subject-matter experts from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention conduct these reviews in collaboration with a wide range of government, academic, policy, and practice-based partners.

www.thecommunityguide.org

 Follow us @CPSTF

 Community Preventive Services Task Force

May 2012

- At local clinics, DCHD trained additional staff to administer vaccines and instituted a standing order authorizing them to do so without the need for a physician or other practitioner with prescription privileges to be present. The goal was to increase access to vaccinations and avoid missed opportunities.
- DCHD established an Immunization CPSTF comprised of community partners from the private sector and other organizations. This group worked with local hospitals to send a team of two nurses and one physician into the community each week to immunize children in churches and other locations. DCHD provided vaccines and hospitals provided staff.

Duval County Reaches Its Target

Within one year, the percentage of 2-year-olds with complete immunization records rose from 75 to 90 percent, hitting the national target. One clinic in particular, which had the worst immunization rates of all the Duval County clinics, made remarkable progress, increasing from 74 to 92 percent in 18 months. Now that the target has been reached, DCHD has set their sights on raising the immunization rate to 95 percent by increasing the number of client reminders to 2 to 3 per month and engaging more community partners.

"We are trying to reach out to as many community health partners as we can," says Ms. Wells. "As the health department, we can provide the vaccines and training if our partners can provide outreach to the community. Now that we have a model that is working, we really want to focus on the outreach piece."


Based on the success of the immunization program, DCHD is applying interventions from the Community Guide to other areas such as tobacco-use cessation and prevention of sexually transmitted infections. DCHD continues to share The Community Guide with community partners to broaden awareness of interventions that work.

Lessons Learned

- **Take advantage of work already done.** All of the interventions included in the Community Guide have been scientifically reviewed and classified. Save valuable time and resources by using interventions proven to work.
- **Combine interventions for a greater impact.** The Community Guide often identifies multiple interventions that address a public health problem from different angles. DCHD found that following the recommendation to implement interventions in combination worked to increase awareness, access, and demand for vaccinations in their community.
- **Use The Community Guide as a multi-purpose tool.** DCHD also used the Community Guide for validating budget reports to state legislators and grant writing for violence prevention activities. They have used the success of their immunization program as a stepping stone to champion the Community Guide across other departments and with community partners.



A Good Shot: Reaching Immunization Targets in Duval County



"By taking the evidence-based practices documented in The Community Guide, we saved time and avoided trial and error."

Lucy Wells
Immunization Service Line
Manager Duval County Health
Department

More Information

**Duval County Health
Department Center for
Health Statistics on
Immunizations**
www.duval.floridahealth.gov

**The Community Guide:
CPSTF Recommendations on
Vaccination Interventions**
[www.thecommunityguide.org/
topic/vaccination](http://www.thecommunityguide.org/topic/vaccination)

**CDC's National Center
for Immunization and
Respiratory Diseases**
www.cdc.gov/ncird



Although most infants and toddlers receive their recommended vaccines by age 2, a significant proportion in some communities do not, leaving them and their communities at increased risk for disease outbreaks. The Duval County Health Department (DCHD) in Jacksonville, Florida was challenged with low childhood immunization rates, particularly in the county's urban core. DCHD took action by implementing a quality improvement strategy from the Community Preventive Services Task Force (CPSTF), which combined several recommendations for increasing vaccination. Their plan significantly increased the number of 2-year-olds who had completed their vaccination series. The CPSTF recommendations—which can be found in the Guide to Community Preventive Services (The Community Guide), helped DCHD eliminate guesswork with evidence-based strategies, which they successfully used to reach their target.

Low Immunization Rates Put Communities At Risk

County health departments work to make sure that young children, who are particularly susceptible to infectious diseases, receive the appropriate vaccines to prevent disease and protect the community from outbreaks. Before 2009, Duval County's vaccination completion rates for 2-year-olds consistently ranked below the national target of 90 percent, with particularly low rates in the urban center of Jacksonville. The trend began to change in 2009 when the health department received a quality improvement grant to support their immunization program. Initial progress was slow, but the DCHD immunization team developed a plan to advance their goals by turning to best practices and evidence-based strategies from The Community Guide.

Program Coordinators Turn to The Community Guide

Limited time and resources made it critical for DCHD to avoid "reinventing the wheel." According to Lucy Wells, DCHD Immunization Service Line Manager, "Using the Community Guide allowed us to see interventions that had already been proven to work and use them in our own quality improvement program." The health department selected Task Force-recommended interventions that were intended to be used in combination to comprehensively address the roles of the health care system and community in increasing immunization rates. These interventions were:

- Health care system-based interventions implemented in combination (e.g., client reminder and recall systems; standing orders; clinic-based client education), and
- Community-based interventions implemented in combination (e.g., the use of staff to conduct manual outreach and tracking of clients; educational activities).

A Combined Approach Reaches the Community

"The Community Guide recommends using more than one of the evidence-based practices to go into the community and make things happen," says Ms. Wells. Heeding this guidance, DCHD launched a combined effort to engage parents, health care providers, and the community.

- Using the Florida Shots Registry, which tracks each resident's vaccinations, clinic staff identified infants and toddlers who were due for, or had missed, vaccinations. They sent monthly reminders to parents about upcoming vaccination due dates and urged them to make appointments. For children behind on their immunization series, clinic staff reached out to parents through phone calls, letters, and home visits encouraging them to schedule "catch-up" vaccinations. DCHD also produced educational materials with vaccination schedules and safety information to help parents keep up with their children's immunizations.



What is The Community Guide?

The Guide to Community Preventive Services (The Community Guide) is an essential resource for people who want to know what works in public health. It provides evidence-based recommendations about public health interventions and policies to improve health and promote safety.

The Community Preventive Services Task Force (CPSTF)—an independent, nonfederal, unpaid panel of public health and prevention experts—bases its recommendations on systematic reviews of the scientific literature. With oversight from the CPSTF, scientists and subject-matter experts from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention conduct these reviews in collaboration with a wide range of government, academic, policy, and practice-based partners.

www.thecommunityguide.org

 Follow us @CPSTF

 Community Preventive Services Task Force

May 2012

- At local clinics, DCHD trained additional staff to administer vaccines and instituted a standing order authorizing them to do so without the need for a physician or other practitioner with prescription privileges to be present. The goal was to increase access to vaccinations and avoid missed opportunities.
- DCHD established an Immunization CPSTF comprised of community partners from the private sector and other organizations. This group worked with local hospitals to send a team of two nurses and one physician into the community each week to immunize children in churches and other locations. DCHD provided vaccines and hospitals provided staff.

Duval County Reaches Its Target

Within one year, the percentage of 2-year-olds with complete immunization records rose from 75 to 90 percent, hitting the national target. One clinic in particular, which had the worst immunization rates of all the Duval County clinics, made remarkable progress, increasing from 74 to 92 percent in 18 months. Now that the target has been reached, DCHD has set their sights on raising the immunization rate to 95 percent by increasing the number of client reminders to 2 to 3 per month and engaging more community partners.

"We are trying to reach out to as many community health partners as we can," says Ms. Wells. "As the health department, we can provide the vaccines and training if our partners can provide outreach to the community. Now that we have a model that is working, we really want to focus on the outreach piece."


Based on the success of the immunization program, DCHD is applying interventions from the Community Guide to other areas such as tobacco-use cessation and prevention of sexually transmitted infections. DCHD continues to share The Community Guide with community partners to broaden awareness of interventions that work.

Lessons Learned

- **Take advantage of work already done.** All of the interventions included in the Community Guide have been scientifically reviewed and classified. Save valuable time and resources by using interventions proven to work.
- **Combine interventions for a greater impact.** The Community Guide often identifies multiple interventions that address a public health problem from different angles. DCHD found that following the recommendation to implement interventions in combination worked to increase awareness, access, and demand for vaccinations in their community.
- **Use The Community Guide as a multi-purpose tool.** DCHD also used the Community Guide for validating budget reports to state legislators and grant writing for violence prevention activities. They have used the success of their immunization program as a stepping stone to champion the Community Guide across other departments and with community partners.



A Good Shot: Reaching Immunization Targets in Duval County



"By taking the evidence-based practices documented in The Community Guide, we saved time and avoided trial and error."

Lucy Wells
Immunization Service Line
Manager Duval County Health
Department

More Information

**Duval County Health
Department Center for
Health Statistics on
Immunizations**
www.duval.floridahealth.gov

**The Community Guide:
CPSTF Recommendations on
Vaccination Interventions**
[www.thecommunityguide.org/
topic/vaccination](http://www.thecommunityguide.org/topic/vaccination)

**CDC's National Center
for Immunization and
Respiratory Diseases**
www.cdc.gov/ncird



Although most infants and toddlers receive their recommended vaccines by age 2, a significant proportion in some communities do not, leaving them and their communities at increased risk for disease outbreaks. The Duval County Health Department (DCHD) in Jacksonville, Florida was challenged with low childhood immunization rates, particularly in the county's urban core. DCHD took action by implementing a quality improvement strategy from the Community Preventive Services Task Force (CPSTF), which combined several recommendations for increasing vaccination. Their plan significantly increased the number of 2-year-olds who had completed their vaccination series. The CPSTF recommendations—which can be found in the Guide to Community Preventive Services (The Community Guide), helped DCHD eliminate guesswork with evidence-based strategies, which they successfully used to reach their target.

Low Immunization Rates Put Communities At Risk

County health departments work to make sure that young children, who are particularly susceptible to infectious diseases, receive the appropriate vaccines to prevent disease and protect the community from outbreaks. Before 2009, Duval County's vaccination completion rates for 2-year-olds consistently ranked below the national target of 90 percent, with particularly low rates in the urban center of Jacksonville. The trend began to change in 2009 when the health department received a quality improvement grant to support their immunization program. Initial progress was slow, but the DCHD immunization team developed a plan to advance their goals by turning to best practices and evidence-based strategies from The Community Guide.

Program Coordinators Turn to The Community Guide

Limited time and resources made it critical for DCHD to avoid "reinventing the wheel." According to Lucy Wells, DCHD Immunization Service Line Manager, "Using the Community Guide allowed us to see interventions that had already been proven to work and use them in our own quality improvement program." The health department selected Task Force-recommended interventions that were intended to be used in combination to comprehensively address the roles of the health care system and community in increasing immunization rates. These interventions were:

- Health care system-based interventions implemented in combination (e.g., client reminder and recall systems; standing orders; clinic-based client education), and
- Community-based interventions implemented in combination (e.g., the use of staff to conduct manual outreach and tracking of clients; educational activities).

A Combined Approach Reaches the Community

"The Community Guide recommends using more than one of the evidence-based practices to go into the community and make things happen," says Ms. Wells. Heeding this guidance, DCHD launched a combined effort to engage parents, health care providers, and the community.

- Using the Florida Shots Registry, which tracks each resident's vaccinations, clinic staff identified infants and toddlers who were due for, or had missed, vaccinations. They sent monthly reminders to parents about upcoming vaccination due dates and urged them to make appointments. For children behind on their immunization series, clinic staff reached out to parents through phone calls, letters, and home visits encouraging them to schedule "catch-up" vaccinations. DCHD also produced educational materials with vaccination schedules and safety information to help parents keep up with their children's immunizations.



What is The Community Guide?

The Guide to Community Preventive Services (The Community Guide) is an essential resource for people who want to know what works in public health. It provides evidence-based recommendations about public health interventions and policies to improve health and promote safety.

The Community Preventive Services Task Force (CPSTF)—an independent, nonfederal, unpaid panel of public health and prevention experts—bases its recommendations on systematic reviews of the scientific literature. With oversight from the CPSTF, scientists and subject-matter experts from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention conduct these reviews in collaboration with a wide range of government, academic, policy, and practice-based partners.

www.thecommunityguide.org

 Follow us @CPSTF

 Community Preventive Services Task Force

May 2012

- At local clinics, DCHD trained additional staff to administer vaccines and instituted a standing order authorizing them to do so without the need for a physician or other practitioner with prescription privileges to be present. The goal was to increase access to vaccinations and avoid missed opportunities.
- DCHD established an Immunization CPSTF comprised of community partners from the private sector and other organizations. This group worked with local hospitals to send a team of two nurses and one physician into the community each week to immunize children in churches and other locations. DCHD provided vaccines and hospitals provided staff.

Duval County Reaches Its Target

Within one year, the percentage of 2-year-olds with complete immunization records rose from 75 to 90 percent, hitting the national target. One clinic in particular, which had the worst immunization rates of all the Duval County clinics, made remarkable progress, increasing from 74 to 92 percent in 18 months. Now that the target has been reached, DCHD has set their sights on raising the immunization rate to 95 percent by increasing the number of client reminders to 2 to 3 per month and engaging more community partners.

"We are trying to reach out to as many community health partners as we can," says Ms. Wells. "As the health department, we can provide the vaccines and training if our partners can provide outreach to the community. Now that we have a model that is working, we really want to focus on the outreach piece."

Based on the success of the immunization program, DCHD is applying interventions from the Community Guide to other areas such as tobacco-use cessation and prevention of sexually transmitted infections. DCHD continues to share The Community Guide with community partners to broaden awareness of interventions that work.

Lessons Learned

- **Take advantage of work already done.** All of the interventions included in the Community Guide have been scientifically reviewed and classified. Save valuable time and resources by using interventions proven to work.
- **Combine interventions for a greater impact.** The Community Guide often identifies multiple interventions that address a public health problem from different angles. DCHD found that following the recommendation to implement interventions in combination worked to increase awareness, access, and demand for vaccinations in their community.
- **Use The Community Guide as a multi-purpose tool.** DCHD also used the Community Guide for validating budget reports to state legislators and grant writing for violence prevention activities. They have used the success of their immunization program as a stepping stone to champion the Community Guide across other departments and with community partners.

Good afternoon Madame Chair and members of the committee.

My name is Christine Oxner. I oppose this bill.

I have concerns about an education model that omits serious side effects from the curriculum, nor does it take into account any prior medical history of the child. This curriculum sugar coats the side effects of vaccines and only addresses the most common, yet less severe side effects. Many of the families I know who have stopped vaccinating have done so because their children have detox pathways that are NOT working correctly. Since the vaccine ad-ja-vants (adjuvants) are not quickly expelled from the body these children have a greater chance of experiencing the more severe side effects. My son gets frequent headaches from environmental allergies, if he were to get a vaccine without my knowledge, I will not know that his headache may be a sign of a serious side effect that needs immediate care.

The pharmaceutical industry has an established track record of placing profits above people. In a 10 year time span, from 2009-2019, the pharmaceutical industry paid more than \$35 billion in fines for products that have serious side effects. Six out of 10 claims in TV prescription ads exaggerate or omit information. This bill uses that same deceitful strategy, as Section 1 of this bill has 13 out of 14 declarations that are exaggerated or omit information. Has this industry really taken the moral high road and made a product that is safe or will the science be proven wrong in a few years? When this does happen will you be able to reflect on your time in office and know that you were brave and stood against the majority because the science is questioning that there is a possibility, A POSSIBILITY that the data has been manipulated, discarded or falsified and there are far more risks than are being reported to the public. I urge you to be BE BRAVE and vote no.

CHAPTER I. THE DISCOVERY OF AMERICA

The discovery of America is one of the most important events in the history of the world. It opened up a new world of opportunity and led to the development of a new civilization. The discovery was made by Christopher Columbus in 1492. He was an Italian explorer who was sailing for Spain. He discovered the island of San Salvador in the Bahamas. This was the first of many islands that he discovered. He then sailed to the mainland of North America. He was the first European to see the continent of North America. His discovery led to the colonization of North America by the Europeans. The Europeans came to North America for many reasons. Some came for trade, some for adventure, and some for religious freedom. The Europeans brought with them many new things, such as guns, horses, and new crops. They also brought diseases that the Native Americans had never seen before. The Native Americans were very different from the Europeans. They had their own culture, language, and way of life. The Europeans tried to convert the Native Americans to Christianity. They also tried to teach them European ways. The Native Americans were very resistant to these changes. They wanted to keep their own way of life. The Europeans and Native Americans fought many wars. The Europeans won most of these wars. The Native Americans were forced to give up their land and way of life. The Europeans built cities and towns in North America. They grew crops and raised animals. They became a powerful civilization. The discovery of America led to the development of the United States of America. The United States is a country that is very different from any other country in the world. It has a unique culture, language, and way of life. The United States is a country that has made many contributions to the world. It has led the way in many areas, such as science, technology, and art. The United States is a country that is proud of its history and its achievements.

Good afternoon Madame Chair and members of the committee.

My name is Jarvis Oxner and I oppose this bill. I retired from the US Army after 20 years of service. I served three tours in the Middle East fighting for the freedom of our country. I frequently reflect on one of the powerful statements my uncle Patrick often made while hanging out together: "Son, this is what you're fighting for." Every time he told me that it instantly sunk in and made me think about how many freedoms myself, and so many others, really were fighting for.

This proposed bill would force an education model onto my family that does not take into account our beliefs and would take away those freedoms I fought so hard to preserve for 20 years. There have been multiple examples in history when tracking was utilized and people's freedoms were taken away for what the leaders at the time deemed to be for the greater good of society. Some instances include: the American Japanese Internment Camps, the Cambodian Genocide and the Holocaust. I fought bravely for our country's freedoms. I encourage you to BE BRAVE and vote no on this bill to preserve our freedom of choice.

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-163

Date: 2.19.20

Thank you Madame Chair & committee. My name is Dana & I oppose this bill 163. I am a project manager that implements technology, complex databases, apps, and websites. Data security is a major concern for all.

Name <u>Dana Led</u>		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>	Zip <i>(optional)</i> <u>80401</u>	
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing <u>Self</u>		
Position on Bill:	For	Against
	Neutral	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

The budget on the Fiscal Note on what I know it takes to create and support a new database & programs I searched the .gov website and found pricing for new databases

- Electronic Birth Registration System 1 Million
 - New Campus Registration for Emergencies 1.6 Million
 - Regional Center Electronic Health Record System 1.5 Million
- Yet the Fiscal note for this new database is less than \$50,000.

Additionally, there is allocation 0.1 FTE at \$5,238 annually for a HEALTH PROFESSIONAL to create, revise, and publish online education module AND provide technical assistance.

Who would take a job with all responsibility for only 5 hours per week to support over 27,000 exempting children.

Lastly, the bill lists both opt-in and opt out. You can't have both.

- Page 9 Signature for certificate
- Page 10 Certificate for online completion
- Page 10 med professional put into database
- Page 10 thus is different than what exists today.

- Page 11 you may exclude info
- Page 13 collect information for schools
- Page 14 maintain tracking system

~~There are multiple states going the opposite direction of this bill.~~

I will not comply. There are too many risks from a data security perspective. ~~It's~~ an unnecessary expenditure. ~~CTDPE~~ only needs for reporting information. accountable for reporting information.

~~I~~ appreciate a no vote and I appreciate of questions.

Madam Chair, members of the Committee,

My Name is Israel Bowman & I am representing myself, my wife, & 3 daughters.

In ~~1954~~ 1954 US Supreme Court decision

Brown v. Board of Education stated:

"Today, Education is perhaps the most important function of state & local governments. Compulsory school attendance laws & the great expenditures for education BOTH demonstrate our recognition of the importance of education to our democratic society.

It is required in the performance of our most basic public responsibilities, even service in the Armed Forces. It is the very foundation of good citizenship.

1. The first part of the document is a list of names.

2. The second part is a list of dates.

3. The third part is a list of locations.

4. The fourth part is a list of events.

5. The fifth part is a list of people.

6. The sixth part is a list of organizations.

7. The seventh part is a list of activities.

8. The eighth part is a list of results.

9. The ninth part is a list of conclusions.

10. The tenth part is a list of recommendations.

11. The eleventh part is a list of references.

12. The twelfth part is a list of appendices.

13. The thirteenth part is a list of footnotes.

14. The fourteenth part is a list of glossary.

15. The fifteenth part is a list of index.

2

Today it is a principal instrument in awakening the child to cultural values, in preparing him for later professional training, & in helping him to adjust normally to his environment. In these days, it is doubtful that any child may reasonably be expected to succeed in life if he is denied the opportunity of an education. Such an opportunity, where the State has undertaken to provide it, is a right which must be made available to ALL ON EQUAL TERMS.

I urge you all to vote "NO" on this & any bill that excludes children from a Free Public Education.

100

at 1000 ft. 1000 ft. 1000 ft. 1000 ft.

at 1000 ft. 1000 ft. 1000 ft. 1000 ft.

at 1000 ft. 1000 ft. 1000 ft. 1000 ft.

at 1000 ft. 1000 ft. 1000 ft. 1000 ft.

at 1000 ft. 1000 ft. 1000 ft. 1000 ft.

at 1000 ft. 1000 ft. 1000 ft. 1000 ft.

at 1000 ft. 1000 ft. 1000 ft. 1000 ft.

at 1000 ft. 1000 ft. 1000 ft. 1000 ft.

at 1000 ft. 1000 ft. 1000 ft. 1000 ft.

at 1000 ft. 1000 ft. 1000 ft. 1000 ft.

at 1000 ft. 1000 ft. 1000 ft. 1000 ft.

at 1000 ft. 1000 ft. 1000 ft. 1000 ft.

at 1000 ft. 1000 ft. 1000 ft. 1000 ft.

at 1000 ft. 1000 ft. 1000 ft. 1000 ft.

at 1000 ft. 1000 ft. 1000 ft. 1000 ft.

at 1000 ft. 1000 ft. 1000 ft. 1000 ft.

at 1000 ft. 1000 ft. 1000 ft. 1000 ft.

3

This bill tells parents to comply or your children will be punished.

Comply or your children's access to free public education will be taken away.

The US Supreme Court has combated discrimination against children regarding education even to the extent that

it has ruled in Plyler v. Doe, in 1982

that the 14th Amendment covered even

~~those~~ students who were not legally admitted

into the United States. Stating:

"In sum, education has a fundamental role in maintaining the fabric of our society.

We cannot ignore the significant social

costs borne by our nation when select

1. The first step in the process of writing is to choose a topic.

2. The second step is to gather information about the topic.

3. The third step is to organize the information into a logical order.

4. The fourth step is to write a draft of the paper.

5. The fifth step is to revise the draft.

6. The sixth step is to edit the paper.

7. The seventh step is to proofread the paper.

8. The eighth step is to format the paper.

9. The ninth step is to submit the paper.

10. The tenth step is to receive feedback on the paper.

11. The eleventh step is to use the feedback to improve the paper.

12. The twelfth step is to submit the final paper.

13. The thirteenth step is to receive a grade on the paper.

14. The fourteenth step is to use the grade to improve future writing.

15. The fifteenth step is to continue to practice writing.

16. The sixteenth step is to become a professional writer.



groups are devised the means
to absorb the values & skills upon
which our social order rests"

(A)

41

2003/04 9/17 18.000 200.000 800000

1-7/04 2110000 0 200000 200000 200000

2004-05 700000 100000 200000 200000

Madame Chair, members of the committee, my name is Brent Nims and I oppose this bill because I believe it would put my children's sensitive personal identifying and highly confidential medical information at risk, potentially resulting in identity theft.

In a recent study by Michigan State and Johns Hopkins University, After examining the hacks of 1,461 healthcare organizations, the researchers found that in 964 breaches, which affected 150 million patients, sensitive information, including social security numbers and dates of birth, were compromised. When healthcare information is hacked, criminals gain access not only to health information, but also to demographic and financial data that could compromise patients' privacy and future financial security.

I was recently shocked to learn that 33 percent of U.S. adults have experienced identity theft and over 1 million children in the U.S. were victims of identity theft in 2017 alone, costing families \$540 million in out-of-pocket expenses. We are extremely careful with our children's personal identifying information and with the increasing rate of cyber crime & identity theft, we do not feel it is prudent to have our children's sensitive information in a vulnerable database.

Additionally, keeping a registry of *only* the children who exempt from one or more vaccines is targeting a minority group for their "personal lifestyle choices". This is a discriminatory practice that we of the great state of Colorado should reject outright.

Finally, I would like to admonish you with our current Colorado Revised Statute 13-22-107

(1)(a) The general assembly hereby finds, determines, and declares it is the public policy of this state that: . . .

(III) Parents have a fundamental right and responsibility to make decisions concerning the care, custody, and control of their children. The law has long presumed that parents act in the best interest of their children.

SB20-163 clearly violates this statute by coercing and bullying parents into making a decision that goes against what they believe to be in the best interest of their children and their religious or philosophical beliefs.

I urge you to vote no on SB20-163

Thank you

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-163

Date: 2-19-2020

Name	Lindsay McKinney	
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>	Zip <i>(optional)</i>	
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing	myself + my family	
Position on Bill:	For <input type="checkbox"/>	Against <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Neutral <input type="checkbox"/>	

I oppose this bill for 3
main reasons (there are more but
I'll keep it brief).

1) It is an infringement on mine
and my children's personal privacy. The verbiage in the bill is unclear
on what personal information will be tracked, who will have
access to the personal information, or how the security of
this database will be protected + paid for

2) Religious + philosophical exemption should NOT
be taken away. The verbiage is also unclear on how
a doctor will decide if a religious belief qualifies
as a "non-medical exemption". If this bill is not set
out ~~to remove~~ ^{religious} rights, how is a Doctor an
appropriate person to decide if a belief is valid or not???

3) The re-education module for those that choose to be
exempt, implies that our religious beliefs can be
"taught away", that they are from a lack of education.
How is this Freedom of Religion???. And what will be
included in these reeducation modules? Will vaccine inserts
be included in these education forums?

Good afternoon Madame Chair and members of the committee. My name is Amy Rickman and I oppose SB-163. I am a representative of Medical Autonomy Colorado which has over 3,000 people and I am an educator for K-5th grade in three different programs.

On page 10 lines 24-27, SB-163 gives full authority and capability to Colorado to collect Personally Identifiable Information (PII). The State can target, harass, and attack individuals, just as they did in NY last year by showing up at citizen's private residence, issuing quarantines, jail time, and fines for people's personal medical decisions.

Prior to this job, I worked for the DoD for 12 years and was required to have a high level security clearance for my job in the Pentagon. In 2015, I was notified, hackers were able to access highly sensitive security clearance information, to include my own. Deeply personal information about mental illnesses, drug and alcohol use, past arrests, bankruptcies, SSNs, contacts, relatives, addresses, employment and travel were compromised for thousands of military and civilian personnel. Last year I dealt with an identity theft issue where someone used my information in another state where police and lawyers were involved. If a highly secured system for national security can be compromised and US intelligence personnel put at high risk, how will CO protect children's identities in this database? The answer is it cannot because no system is completely safe.

The certificate of completion of the online education module mentioned on page 6 lines 15-20 are coercive and incriminating, which will only lead to discriminating a minority of people, tracking, and bullying. This puts students and families at risk for harassment. In our schools, I thought the focus was to reduce bullying based on someone's religion, race and beliefs. What example is this setting for our youth?

Ironically, Colorado was one of the first states to legalize marijuana. Would it be a wise idea to find all the marijuana users and have them complete a re-education module on why they should not do marijuana to include the risks and harmful effects? Do you think that will change their mind? What about tracking marijuana users? Do users tend to commit more crimes so that means we should put them all in a tracking system just in case? This would be seen as an infringement of their rights and so is this bill.

What about LGBTQ. Do you think reeducating them would work?
The free exercise of religion and personal beliefs are being smothered by this bill and that is a violation of constitutional rights listed in the bill of rights: "Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof." Choosing what vaccinations I put into my children is work with an exercise of my religion and faith. *Nor will it work with this highly educated group,*

Many denominations, religions, and beliefs are against vaccinations to include some groups of Jewish, Christian, Muslim, Amish, Mormons, vegetarians, etc. In New York State, orthodox Jews and Amish populations were targeted for not being vaccinated last year. This bill will lead to tracking and discrimination in CO.

Stanley Plotkin, an American physician who worked to develop vaccines, admitted under oath that 74 fetuses were used to create one Rubella vaccine. Ingredients for vaccines were derived from no fewer than 107 human abortions that were sacrificed for social reasons and are used in past and ongoing vaccine research.

1. Introduction

The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records and the role of the auditor in ensuring the integrity of the financial statements. It highlights the need for transparency and accountability in the reporting process.

The second part of the document focuses on the specific requirements for the audit of the financial statements, including the scope of the audit and the responsibilities of the auditor. It also discusses the importance of communication between the auditor and the management of the entity.

The third part of the document provides a detailed analysis of the financial statements, including the balance sheet, the income statement, and the cash flow statement. It discusses the key findings of the audit and the implications for the entity's financial position.

The fourth part of the document discusses the overall conclusions of the audit and the auditor's opinion on the financial statements. It also provides recommendations for the entity to improve its financial reporting practices and to address any identified weaknesses.

The fifth part of the document provides a summary of the key findings and conclusions of the audit. It also discusses the implications of the audit for the entity's financial position and for the confidence of the investors and other stakeholders.

The sixth part of the document discusses the overall conclusions of the audit and the auditor's opinion on the financial statements. It also provides recommendations for the entity to improve its financial reporting practices and to address any identified weaknesses.

The seventh part of the document provides a summary of the key findings and conclusions of the audit. It also discusses the implications of the audit for the entity's financial position and for the confidence of the investors and other stakeholders.

The eighth part of the document discusses the overall conclusions of the audit and the auditor's opinion on the financial statements. It also provides recommendations for the entity to improve its financial reporting practices and to address any identified weaknesses.

The ninth part of the document provides a summary of the key findings and conclusions of the audit. It also discusses the implications of the audit for the entity's financial position and for the confidence of the investors and other stakeholders.

Page 2, lines 11-12 talks about an online education module that would need to be completed for a certificate with scientific information regarding the benefits and risks of immunizations. I went to Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE) website and went through the Immunization Educational Module. The module incorrectly states two abortions from the 1960s were used for fetal cell lines to create some vaccinations. Unfortunately, this is probably one of the biggest falsehoods that have been propagated on an unsuspecting American public for decades.

Not only are many people of faith and conscience opposed to human fetal tissues but many follow the advice of health professionals of reducing toxins in bodies in order to stay healthy. In reviewing this module they list some of neurotoxins used in vaccines such as aluminum, mercury, and formaldehyde; however, the information is not accurately providing the full picture of how these toxins impact children's bodies.

What about true risks of a vaccine injury such as severe anaphylaxis, auto-immune, severe allergies/asthma, diabetes or fatalities? This education module doesn't show pictures of kids that are permanently in wheel chairs or dealing with a debilitating auto-immune issue after a vaccine. The educational module leads one to believe that vaccine injury is a rarity but people in this building right now can assure you that is not the case.

Page 5 lines 21-24 state, "A local public health agency stating that the student has received immunizations against communicable diseases as specified by the state board of health." Last time I checked tetanus; Hep B and controversial sexually transmitted Human Papillomavirus Infection (HPV) are not communicable but are still required under this legislation. This information is on communicable diseases is misleading and shows that this is more about increases vaccination rates to make vaccine companies more money.

Under the bill summary on page two states, "Whereby the immunization rate goal for every school is 95% of the student population to be vaccinated." This means the goal of 95% for each school to have compliance is going to put schools in the uncomfortable position of coercing and shaming parents into vaccinations to overcoming their religious and personal beliefs. SB-163 creates a discriminatory system where one student receives full privacy and another student who exempts loses privacy. This bill will hurt schools in Colorado as many parents will remove their children from the school systems instead of choosing to comply with this requirement. Case in point is California lost the philosophical exemptions, and four years after that they lost their medical exemptions. California has now lost 49,000 children from their school system and schools are closing all over the state.

Our school district is hurting financially as reported by the Gazette. My children attend a charter school. In our district \$7,554 is given by the state per full-time student. Do you want to discourage children from education and cause the schools to bleed more funding? Have the stakeholders, including already burdened schools, that would be impacted by this bill been notified of this proposed legislation? My understanding is they have not!

Since CIIS costs \$3.3 million per year of tax payer money. The Fiscal Note for this bill states that \$26,555 would be needed for this bill in 2021-2022. Does this take into account that this education module and information would need to be translated into other languages? In the school my children attend we have many Spanish speaking families and the weekly newsletters are sent in both languages.

The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions. It emphasizes that proper record-keeping is essential for the success of any business and for the protection of the interests of all parties involved. The document outlines the various methods and procedures that should be followed to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the records.

The second part of the document provides a detailed description of the various types of records that should be maintained. It includes a list of the different categories of records, such as financial records, legal records, and operational records, and explains the specific information that should be recorded in each category. The document also discusses the importance of keeping these records up-to-date and accessible at all times.

The third part of the document discusses the various methods and procedures that should be followed to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the records. It includes a list of the different methods and procedures, such as double-checking entries, using standardized forms, and maintaining a clear and organized filing system. The document also discusses the importance of training staff members in proper record-keeping procedures and the need for regular audits to ensure the accuracy of the records.

The fourth part of the document discusses the various methods and procedures that should be followed to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the records. It includes a list of the different methods and procedures, such as using computerized systems, maintaining backup copies of records, and ensuring the security of the records. The document also discusses the importance of keeping records for a sufficient period of time and the need for proper disposal of records that are no longer needed.

The fifth part of the document discusses the various methods and procedures that should be followed to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the records. It includes a list of the different methods and procedures, such as using standardized forms, maintaining a clear and organized filing system, and ensuring the security of the records. The document also discusses the importance of training staff members in proper record-keeping procedures and the need for regular audits to ensure the accuracy of the records.

The sixth part of the document discusses the various methods and procedures that should be followed to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the records. It includes a list of the different methods and procedures, such as using computerized systems, maintaining backup copies of records, and ensuring the security of the records. The document also discusses the importance of keeping records for a sufficient period of time and the need for proper disposal of records that are no longer needed.

The seventh part of the document discusses the various methods and procedures that should be followed to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the records. It includes a list of the different methods and procedures, such as using standardized forms, maintaining a clear and organized filing system, and ensuring the security of the records. The document also discusses the importance of training staff members in proper record-keeping procedures and the need for regular audits to ensure the accuracy of the records.

Will these minority families be discriminated against or coerced into this system? Do they understand opt out? Instead of bullying parents into this system and tracking, why don't we defund CIIS and put this \$3.3 into schools that need the funding?

A press release (Business Wire, January 21st 2016) predicted that pharmaceutical corporations who produce vaccines will reach an estimated \$61 billion in profits by 2020. If a drug company gets just one vaccine added to a schedule, they can make upwards of **\$30B** in one year (Brandy Vaughan, Merck Sales Rep. 2001-2003 via Vaxxed).

A large chunk of the profits goes to buying off medical professional and politicians. Blue Cross Blue Shield has documents showing it pays pediatricians \$400 per fully vaccinated child. If your pediatrician has just 100 fully-vaccinated patients turning 2 this year, that's \$40,000. Blue Cross Blue Shield pays your doctor a \$40,000 bonus for fully vaccinating 100 patients under the age of 2. These same medical professionals are the ones referenced on page 5 to obtain a certificate of immunization. This is a conflict of interest and the same professionals that are asked to write medical exemptions, which most often will not do due to pressure by the money making practices.

\$1.6 million of these profits have gone toward the campaign committees of six U.S. senators, who have received \$100,000 or more from those seven companies' pharmaceutical companies according to Forbes. The campaign committee for Senator Bob Casey of Pennsylvania, who ran for reelection in 2018, received the most in that time span: \$121,500.

This conflict of interest and taking of bribes reminds me of a verse in Ezekiel 22:12, "In you are people who accept bribes to shed blood; you take interest and make a profit from the poor. You extort unjust gain from your neighbors. And you have forgotten me, declares the Sovereign LORD."

My question is will you protect consumers and innocent children or allow the multi-billion dollar liability-free industry to write legislation, line politicians, the medical establishment, and many other pockets if this passes? I say follow the money to find the truth. This bill will be a win for the four companies that manufacture vaccines in the U.S. but will be a loss for schools, children, families and Colorado. Many people on both sides of the aisle are watching closing from across Colorado to see if their rights will be infringed. This will likely impact the upcoming elections this year. It is My Body, My Choice and My Child, My Choice!

William Wilberforce was a leader of the movement to abolish the slave trade and met much resistance. He stated, "You can choose to look the other way but you can never say again you did not know."

Thank you for your time and attention.

1. The first step in the process of identifying a problem is to define the problem clearly. This involves identifying the symptoms of the problem and determining the scope of the problem. Once the problem is defined, the next step is to identify the causes of the problem. This involves identifying the factors that are contributing to the problem and determining the underlying causes. Once the causes are identified, the next step is to develop a plan of action to address the problem. This involves identifying the steps that need to be taken to solve the problem and determining the resources that will be needed to implement the plan. Finally, the last step is to evaluate the results of the plan and determine if the problem has been solved. If not, the process starts over.

2. The second step in the process of identifying a problem is to identify the causes of the problem. This involves identifying the factors that are contributing to the problem and determining the underlying causes. Once the causes are identified, the next step is to develop a plan of action to address the problem. This involves identifying the steps that need to be taken to solve the problem and determining the resources that will be needed to implement the plan. Finally, the last step is to evaluate the results of the plan and determine if the problem has been solved. If not, the process starts over.

3. The third step in the process of identifying a problem is to develop a plan of action to address the problem. This involves identifying the steps that need to be taken to solve the problem and determining the resources that will be needed to implement the plan. Finally, the last step is to evaluate the results of the plan and determine if the problem has been solved. If not, the process starts over.

4. The fourth step in the process of identifying a problem is to evaluate the results of the plan and determine if the problem has been solved. If not, the process starts over.


5. The fifth step in the process of identifying a problem is to identify the causes of the problem. This involves identifying the factors that are contributing to the problem and determining the underlying causes. Once the causes are identified, the next step is to develop a plan of action to address the problem. This involves identifying the steps that need to be taken to solve the problem and determining the resources that will be needed to implement the plan. Finally, the last step is to evaluate the results of the plan and determine if the problem has been solved. If not, the process starts over.

6. The sixth step in the process of identifying a problem is to develop a plan of action to address the problem. This involves identifying the steps that need to be taken to solve the problem and determining the resources that will be needed to implement the plan. Finally, the last step is to evaluate the results of the plan and determine if the problem has been solved. If not, the process starts over.

7. The seventh step in the process of identifying a problem is to evaluate the results of the plan and determine if the problem has been solved. If not, the process starts over.

8. The eighth step in the process of identifying a problem is to identify the causes of the problem. This involves identifying the factors that are contributing to the problem and determining the underlying causes. Once the causes are identified, the next step is to develop a plan of action to address the problem. This involves identifying the steps that need to be taken to solve the problem and determining the resources that will be needed to implement the plan. Finally, the last step is to evaluate the results of the plan and determine if the problem has been solved. If not, the process starts over.

Good evening Madame Chair
and members of the committee my
name is Daniel and I am 10 years
old, and I oppose SB 163. I
hope I will be able to show
you evidence that there is
no reason to pass this bill.



Body paragraph #1
(Paragraph #2)

[2] To start out I'd like to point out that

this bill will be trying to push citizens

who are not wanting to take these vaccines

to complete a certificate of either completion

or non-medical exemption. These forms are written

using compelled speech which in the process,

would ~~interfere with~~ ^{interfere with} parents.

by removing the distinctions of

Religious and philosophical this bill strips

coloradans of their freedoms of speech,

though, and religion. Are you willing

to take away freedoms that were

given in the days of our forefathers?

[5] When did the people of Colorado,

the U.S.A. ever want their freedoms

taken? The main motive for SB 103

seems to be data and tracking of citizens →

through CITS. This system
tracks people as if they are criminals,
who need to be watched at all times
wherever or whenever they are present.

In the ^{horrible} measles outbreak in New
York a couple years ago only

about ~~12,000~~ 1,200 people got it

mainly because people wanted to get immune

to it. [5] Fortunately, our towns and cities

are not as packed and therefore not

in as much danger. [6] Who's worried about

that?

Paragraph #3
(Body paragraph #2)

When I first read through this bill

I realize you have good intentions, which

should help the population even save

money. I think that's a great idea, but there seems to be

one thing wrong. Although vaccines do save

lives, it doesn't work on the UTA or 3rd try,

because of this. Lives are still often

lost to the point that many die

we get these diseases. Don't the fact

that these people are vaccinated in section

~~I am not sure if that~~

~~correct though. Can't imagine it~~


Part of the bill states that

students might have to stay away

from school 21 days or more. Why

work about that?

To tell you about myself I have
the MTHFR mutation. Originally
our family took vaccines until my mom
did research and found what was in these vaccines.
We are now fully against this bill and
against the past bill of HB 1312.
We will stand for freedom thank you
very much and I hope that you will
kill this Bill!



CONGRATS!

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB 20-163

Date: 2/19/2020

Name <u>Tanya Hircock</u>		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>	Zip <i>(optional)</i>	
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing		
Position on Bill:	For	Against <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Neutral	

I am adamantly opposed to SB 20-163. This bill is discriminatory and extremely vague. This bill also takes personal & religious exemptions and lumps them into one "non-medical" category. This is clearly being done to pave the way to remove all "non-medical" exemptions in a future bill. The on line training module is coercive and designed to indoctrinate & brainwash parents rather than present all of the risks vs. benefits. The module ONLY shows the benefits. Requiring a parent to beg a doctor to sign a personal or religious exemption is discriminatory and unfair. A doctor is trained in medicine they are not trained in determining whether someone's "non-medical" beliefs are legitimate. It's absolutely ridiculous that a bill would even be written that requires such a thing. The current exemption forms that are written by CBPHE are full of compelled speech the forms that will come out if this bill passes are sure

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB 20-163

Date: 2/19/2020

to be even worse. There is no way this bill will do what it is proposing. Instead it will push even more parents to not comply! Vote ~~no~~ on 163!!!

Name <i>Tanya Hircock</i>		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>	Zip <i>(optional)</i>	
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing		
Position on Bill:	For	Against <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Neutral	

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-163

Date: 2/19/2020

This bill does nothing to increase the safety profiles of vaccines, which is exactly why parents are choosing not to vaccinate. The lack of addressing this ~~lack of safety~~ issue, leads me to think that supporters of this bill are not interested in convincing people to vaccinate, only coerce them into vaccinating.

Regarding the education module. Will it explain the following?

1. What kind of child is most likely to suffer a vaccine adverse rxn?

2. Why do some vaccines contain residual fetal & animal DNA fragments large enough to cause insertional mutations?

3. Why are vaccines not studied for safety in combination, only individually?

4. What safety measures are taken to prevent retroviral contamination of vaccines?

5. How can the "success" of the vaccine program account for the increased dose requirements of DTAP, MMR & HPV?

6. Why are pharmaceutical companies exempt from paying damages to ppl who are harmed by their product?

Name Jenny Whittington		
Address (optional)		
City (optional)	Zip (optional)	
Telephone: (optional)		
Email: (optional)		
Representing		
Position on Bill:	For	Against X
	Neutral	

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB163

Date: Feb. 19th 2020

Name Brooke Chavez		
Address (optional)		
City (optional)	Zip (optional)	
Telephone: (optional)		
Email: (optional)		
Representing Myself & My family		
Position on Bill:	For	Against
	Neutral	

I oppose this bill on Every level. A "re-education" module, to ONLY the parents who have spent countless hours researching & choosing what's best for their children? Do you want to vote yes on discrimination against a minority group? Why would "the Land of the free" advocate to "track" a minority group? Does this sound like Freedom to you?

This bill would violate healthy innocent children. Do you wonder why the "anti vax" group is growing? Because our children are getting hurt by this liability free product! We are being forced to educate ourselves on what's happening! It floors me the lack of knowledge these "so called" health experts have displayed today in testimonials. And were suppose to answer to doctors who receive kickbacks from pharmaceutical companies to pressure us to consume their product? We want what's best for our families. The state will never supercede our God given conscience.

Thank you.

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-163

Date: 2/19/2020

I am not going to go into why & why not I refuse to vaccinate. My right to keep my children's medical information private, will be violated. This bill is premeditated & it was written out of spite. This would be modern day segregation & dangerous to innocent children. Just 2 weeks ago, my child was sent home w/ another student's immunization record, from school, I have it as proof of how easy it can be for not only my children, but your families' child, to be attacked, bullied, separated from their friends. It's trying to "label" my child & track my child as if they are Sex offenders or convicted felons. But they are Michael (he is 13 years old, played every sport & still does but w/ caution because after his HPV shot he developed a 2° curvature scoliosis on his spine. he is going to be a surgeon, "if he does't become a cardiologist first" or Haliyah 9 years old who will become a veterinarian" because all the animals need me" or Samantha who deals with allergies to over 30+, constant gut issues, chronic migraines EVERYDAY since her shots @ 4 years old. or Pauline who's 1 1/2, completely vaccine free, because I do not trust what my doctor recommends as "safe & effective" anymore. You can guarantee this state will lose so many residents & it's not a bill pass. This is my state has been since the beginning. I will walk away from my Democratic party & state.

Name <u>Dominique Nevaroz</u>		
Address (optional)		
City (optional) <u>Aurora</u>	Zip (optional)	
Telephone: (optional)		
Email: (optional)		
Representing <u>My 4 children</u>		
Position on Bill	For	Against <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Neutral	

My children deserve their civil rights to be upheld. Allow done this bill, how dare my child's privacy be SOLD. This needs to be the last straw. I am fully aware of the 96% vaccination rate at my child's school that had 769 students & the 79% rate at my other child's school that has 128 students. As Mr. Gonzalez stated, we mothers DO our research & DO take responsibility for our children. Please know my children DO not belong on a "list" Michael, Haligyan, Sorinme & Pauline need you to oppose SB20-163.

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB 20-163

Date: 2/19/20

My Name is Ilene Arvey

I Represent my family and myself. I Strongly oppose this Bill SB 163.

This Bill is a unclear Bill and should be considered Not voted in.

This is a Bill of Money trail.

Do not take our Rights and freedoms away Based on Money increase to Doctors & CDC.

Do not take away personal lifestyle choices. It appears that the Senate has made up their mind Before this forum started.

We will show you all our feelings at the poll.

Ilene Arvey

Name	Ilene Arvey	
Address (optional)	16203 Olive Way	
City (optional)	Brighton	Zip (optional) 8080602
Telephone: (optional)	303-906-6314	
Email: (optional)	arvey5@aol.com	
Representing	Opposed	
Position on Bill:	For	Against
SB 163	Neutral	

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: 20-163 Madam Chair & Committee members

Date: 2.19.2020

My name is Angela Silva

and I am 43 years old. I

am a wife and mother to

a 4-year old son. My testimony

is going to be different than

others heard today. I'm not going to talk about how vaccines injured my child, because I never allowed that risk, but how they injured me. In 2007, I received a flu vaccine and became gravely ill. In 2012, I received another vaccine and got Bell's Palsy, the doctor informed me it was a bad reaction to the vaccine. Prior to 2012 I had no idea vaccines could harm and was sure vaccines were important. I have since learned this is not true. While deciding to start a family my wife and I talked a lot about our desire to raise a positive, productive citizen in the community. While researching IUI treatments and going through a lot of red tape to become same-sex parents in the State of Colorado we also researched car seats, strollers and baby lotion. Finding out Johnson & Johnson used red dye 40 in their baby lotion opened my eyes and I began boycotting Monsanto. In 2014, my wife became pregnant and the research surrounding vaccines truly took front stage, I found I wasn't alone in the vaccine injury realm and found hundreds of thousands of parents who are confident vaccines injured their children.

Name <u>Angela Silva</u>		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>	Zip <i>(optional)</i>	
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing <u>Myself</u>		
Position on Bill	For	Against <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Neutral	

Our son was born in April 2015 and we decided to decline all vaccinations. We changed our lifestyle and eat all organic non-GMO food and see a chiropractor regularly. Our son was 9 days old at his first chiropractic adjustment. His first year went fast and we were able to proudly say our boy has never been sick even though he is surrounded by others that were sniffing and coughing. His second year came and went with ~~again~~ not one illness, cough or cold. Same with the 3rd and now 4th year. He has never had an ear infection or a fever and we contribute his health to his nutrition and our decision not to put unnecessary toxic ingredients in his body; instead we ~~discouraged~~ encouraged and fostered his natural ability to immunity. When we presented this to our pediatrician and requested they study his health, we were told they only do studies on illnesses. I belong to a large group of parents around the world who are raising healthy unvaccinated children. Where there is risk, there must be choice and my body, my choice shouldn't only apply to women who chose abortion, but it should also apply to women and men who have the same constitutional right over their own bodies and the bodies of their children. Who pose no risk to the health of anyone; who he poses a risk to is the Pharmaceutical Companies bottom line. Vaccines are not one size fits all and those with the MTHFR gene like myself are subject to injury. Please oppose this bill 20-163 and allow healthy children like my son who pose no risk to others continue to thrive in public settings. I strongly oppose this bill.

Thank you, Angela M. Silva

Good Afternoon Madam Chair and Senators

My name is Angela Silva, I'm 43 years old and am a wife and mother to a 4 year old son. My testimony is going to be different than others heard today. I'm not going to talk about how vaccines injured my child, because I never allowed that risk, but how they injured me. In 2007 I received a flu vaccine and became gravely ill. In 2012, I received another vaccine and got Bell's palsy, the doctor informed me it was a bad reaction to the vaccine. Prior to 2012 I had no idea vaccines could harm and was sure vaccines were important. I have since learned this is not true. While deciding to start a family my wife and I talked a lot about our desire to start a family and raise a positive, productive citizen in the community. While researching IUI treatments and going through a lot red tape to become same-sex parents in the state of Colorado we also researched car seats, strollers and baby lotion. Finding out Johnson and Johnson used red dye 40 in their baby lotion opened my eyes and I began boycotting Monsanto. In 2014, my wife became pregnant and the research surrounding vaccines truly took front stage. I found I wasn't alone in the vaccine injury realm and found hundreds of thousands of parents who were confident vaccines injured their children. Our son was born in April 2015 and we decided to decline all vaccinations. We changed our lifestyle and eat all organic non GMO food and see a chiropractor regularly. Our son was 9 days old at his first chiropractor appointment. His first year went fast and we were able to proudly say our boy has never been sick even he is surrounded by others that were sniffing and coughing. His second year came and went with again not one illness, cough or cold- Same with the 3rd and now 4th year. He has never had an ear infection or a fever and we contribute his health to his nutrition and our decision not to put unnecessary toxic ingredients in his body; instead we encouraged and fostered his natural ability to immunity.. When we presented this to our pediatrician and requested they study his health, we were told they only do studies on illnesses. I belong to a large group of parents around the world who are raising healthy unvaccinated children. Where there is risk, there must be choice and my body my choice shouldn't only apply to woman who choose abortion, but it should also apply to women and men who have the same constitutional right over their own bodies and the bodies of their children- who pose no risk to the health of anyone; who he poses a risk to is the pharmaceutical companies bottom line. Please oppose this bill 20-163 and allow healthy children like my son who pose no risk to others continue to thrive in public settings.

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB-163

Date: 19 Feb 2020

The fiscal note for the bill presents woeful ~~underfunding~~ at only ~\$49,000 this year and ~\$26,000 for each year following.

How much will it actually cost?

- to develop an on-line education module
- to annually update education module
- to train the local public health agencies and schools to provide information and technical assistance (p14)
- to develop a form (p7)
- to annually update form (p7)
- to maintain comprehensive immunization tracking system (p14-15)

← ~~\$~~
- How much will it cost the schools to reach the "95% standard"?

Not even addressed in the bill, is cyber-security for the online module or immunization tracking system. How much will that cost??

Name	Jennie McCabe	
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>		Zip <i>(optional)</i>
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing		
Position on Bill	For	Against
	Neutral	

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB-163

Date: 19 Feb 2020

Name <i>Jenny McCabe</i>		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>	Zip <i>(optional)</i>	
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing		
Position on Bill:	For	Against
	Neutral	

How will this bill affect camps? ~~etc~~

My children have enjoyed camps in our mountains. At camp they met many, many campers who came from out of state. Are the parents of out-of-state campers willing to spend the time for an on-line education? Then will they be willing to provide their personal identifiable information just so their kids can go to camp here? No matter how beautiful how ~~our~~ our mountains are, I believe parents will send their kids to camp elsewhere. ~~etc~~

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-163

Date: 2/19/20

Vaccines are, as described by the Supreme Court, "unavoidably unsafe." I've listened to doctors today, who receive half-day of education on vaccines, testify that the science is settled & there is an incredible amount of it. I have provided you with

a table from the Institute of Medicine, a group of doctors which looks at all of the science related to vaccines. This table identifies all the vaccines and the possible adverse events. You will note, most of the spaces are blank or I's, which means there is absolutely no data or insufficient data to make a determination if the vaccine causes the issue. In short, there is no science for nearly all of these events. This document is what the CDC points to when it says vaccines are safe. Since 1991 the IOM has been telling the CDC to conduct the proper studies, it's 2020 & they still haven't done them. If vaccines are the holy grail of health, the manufacturers would not receive a National law removing liability, completely, from this one product. If vaccines were flawless, gifts from God that is passed to everyone from birth, you would not need to write laws in this state & across the country which seek to coerce the people to use them! But if vaccines weren't safe, perhaps you would need to write a bill that seeks to coerce people into vaccination by making it harder to receive an exemption, while putting their vaccine status into a tracking system? Maybe you would require a doctor or nurse to sign-off on non-medical exemptions... our doctors have no idea what we personally believe & have absolutely no standing to verify our religious beliefs! These doctors will never sign those non-medical exemptions; they are reluctant to sign clear medical exemptions. Not to mention the incentives doctors get for vaccination.

I pay my doctor for her medical services, not for religious counseling or approval of

Name: <u>Jacob Kophamer</u>		
Address (optional): <u>10400 W Dartmouth Ave</u>		
City (optional): <u>Lakewood</u>	Zip (optional): <u>80227</u>	
Telephone (optional):		
Email (optional):		
Representing: <u>Parents for the Modernization of VACCINE Reporting</u>		
Position on Bill: <u>SB-163</u>	For <input type="checkbox"/>	Against <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Neutral <input type="checkbox"/>	

Cont. ...

my beliefs. Instead of writing laws to force or coerce the people of a supposedly free nation to take a medical product, you should be writing laws to guarantee the safety of your constituents through laws that, protect medical freedom, require vaccines to undergo the same safety studies as all other medical products - the inert, double-blind placebo study, & to ascertain the safety of the vaccine schedule as a whole.

The only way you will change our minds on vaccines is by proving they are safe through proper studies, which as the IOM has shown, aren't being done.

I ask you to pursue safety, & not coercion. I ask you to vote NO on SB-163, & instead prove us wrong or force the creation of safer vaccines by passing laws to adequately test for safety.

TABLE S-1 Adverse Events and Causality Conclusions Included in the Vaccine Chapters

Adverse Event	MMR Vaccine Chapter 4	Varicella Vaccine Chapter 5	Influenza Vaccine Chapter 6	Hepatitis A Vaccine Chapter 7	Hepatitis B Vaccine Chapter 8	HPV Vaccine Chapter 9	DT-, TT-, and aP-Containing Vaccines Chapter 10	Meningococcal Vaccine Chapter 11	Injection-Related Events Chapter 12
Disseminated Oka VZV without Other Organ Involvement		CS							
Disseminated Oka VZV with Subsequent Infection Resulting in Pneumonia, Meningitis, or Hepatitis		CS ^a							
Vaccine Strain Viral Reactivation without Other Organ Involvement		CS							
Vaccine Strain Viral Reactivation with Subsequent Infection Resulting in Meningitis or Encephalitis		CS							
Measles Inclusion Body Encephalitis	CS ^{a,b}								
Encephalitis	I		I		I		I	I	
Encephalopathy	I	I	I		I		I	I	
Infantile Spasms							I		

continued 3

TABLE S-1 Continued

Adverse Event	MMR Vaccine Chapter 4	Varicella Vaccine Chapter 5	Influenza Vaccine Chapter 6	Hepatitis A Vaccine Chapter 7	Hepatitis B Vaccine Chapter 8	HPV Vaccine Chapter 9	DT-, TT-, and aP-Containing Vaccines Chapter 10	Meningococcal Vaccine Chapter 11	Injection-Related Events Chapter 12
Febrile Seizures	CS								
Afebrile Seizures	I								
Seizures		I	I ^c		I		I		
Meningitis	I ^c								
Cerebellar Ataxia		I							
Ataxia	I						I		
Autism	FR						I		
Acute Disseminated Encephalomyelitis	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	
Transverse Myelitis	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	
Optic Neuritis	I ^c		I ^c		I ^c		I ^c		
Neuromyelitis Optica	I ^c		I		I	I			
Multiple Sclerosis Onset in Adults	I		I		I		I		
Multiple Sclerosis Onset in Children	I				I				
Multiple Sclerosis Relapse in Adults			I		I		I		

Multiple Sclerosis Relapse in Children					I		I	
Multiple Sclerosis				I		I		I
First Demyelinating Event in Adults					I			
First Demyelinating Event in Children					I			
Guillain-Barré Syndrome	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
Chronic Inflammatory Disseminated Polyneuropathy	I		I	I	I	I	I	I
Opsoclonus Myoclonus Syndrome	I						I	
Bell's Palsy			FR	I			I	
Brachial Neuritis	I		I		I	I		
Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis						I		
Small Fiber Neuropathy		I ^c	I					
Anaphylaxis	CS	CS	CS	I	CS ^d	FA	CS ^e	CS
Chronic Urticaria							I	
Serum Sickness							I	

continued 5

TABLE S-1 Continued

Adverse Event	MMR Vaccine Chapter 4	Varicella Vaccine Chapter 5	Influenza Vaccine Chapter 6	Hepatitis A Vaccine Chapter 7	Hepatitis B Vaccine Chapter 8	HPV Vaccine Chapter 9	DT-, TT-, and aP- Containing Vaccines Chapter 10	Meningococcal Vaccine Chapter 11	Injection-Related Events Chapter 12
Inactivated Influenza Vaccine and Asthma Exacerbation or Reactive Airway Disease Episodes in Children and Adults			FR						
Live Attenuated Influenza Vaccine and Asthma Exacerbation or Reactive Airway Disease Episodes in Children Younger Than 5 Years of Age			I						
Live Attenuated Influenza Vaccine and Asthma Exacerbation or Reactive Airway Disease Episodes in Persons 5 Years of Age or Older			I						
Erythema Nodosum									I ^c
Systemic Lupus Erythematosus			I						I
Vasculitis			I						I
Polyarteritis Nodosa			I						I

TABLE S-1 Continued

Adverse Event	MMR Vaccine Chapter 4	Varicella Vaccine Chapter 5	Influenza Vaccine Chapter 6	Hepatitis A Vaccine Chapter 7	Hepatitis B Vaccine Chapter 8	HPV Vaccine Chapter 9	DT-, TT-, and aP-Containing Vaccines Chapter 10	Meningococcal Vaccine Chapter 11	Injection-Related Events Chapter 12
Hepatitis	I								
Thromboembolic Events						I			
Stroke		I ^c	I						
Hypercoagulable States						I			
Myocardial Infarction			I						
Chronic Fatigue Syndrome	I								
Chronic Headache								I	
Fibromyalgia	I		I		I		I		
Sudden Infant Death Syndrome							I		
Hearing Loss	I								
All Cause Mortality			I ^c						
Oculorespiratory Syndrome			FA ^g						
Thrombocytopenia		I							
Immune Thrombocytopenic Purpura							I		
Complex Regional Pain Syndrome									I

Deltoid Bursitis	CS
Syncope	CS

NOTE: CS = convincingly supports a causal relationship; FA = favors acceptance of a causal relationship; FR = favors rejection of a causal relationship; I = inadequate to accept or reject a causal relationship.

^a The committee attributes causation to individuals with demonstrated immunodeficiencies.

^b The committee attributes causation to the measles component of the vaccine.

^c Although not originally charged to the committee by the sponsor, the committee considered this adverse event in its review of the literature.

^d The committee attributes causation to yeast-sensitive individuals.

^e The committee attributes causation to the tetanus toxoid vaccine. The evidence is inadequate to accept or reject a causal relationship between anaphylaxis and diphtheria toxoid or acellular pertussis vaccine.

^f The committee attributes causation to the rubella component of the vaccine.

^g The committee attributes causation to two particular vaccines used in three particular years in Canada.

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-163

Date: 2/19/2020

Name <u>John Kiely</u>		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>		Zip <i>(optional)</i>
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing <u>My Family</u>		
Position on Bill:	For	Against
	Neutral	

I John Kiely Oppose this bill due to common sense. If it's not broke don't fix it!!! Colorado already has a bill that works just fine. We have one of our kids had a adverse reaction to vaccine so we have done ~~our~~ our research because of that we thought that vaccines are safe but not for all. I think if there wasn't a Flu vaccine there would be no Flu ~~my~~ my personal opinion is if you have been vaccinated you should be ~~at~~ immune to the disease. That being said it shouldn't matter to the majority if a small group is not vaccinated because they are immune. That is not the case because of fear. Thank you for your time.

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill:

Date:

Madame Chair, members of the committee

my name is Stacy, & I am here

to oppose SB-20163. I believe

whole heartedly its a violation of my

family's personal privacy. This bill

is poorly written & opens doors to major issues & unanswered questions.

On page 11 line 25-26, it states that the parents can exclude

info from CIIS, but on pg 12- line 410, it says that non

identifiable information will still be collected. Pg. 15 line 2-9

indicates that there is another tracking system either embedded within CIIS or somewhere else all together. Are we going

to track those who have the unfortunate diagnosis of HIV,

STD's, or Hepatitis? The bill is highly flawed & confusing. How

can we trust this when its so contradictory in what its saying?

This bill will open the flood gates & allow my family & many

others to be ostracized, discriminated against, harrassed &

bullied because it would give full authority & capability

to Colorado to collect Personally Identifiable Information (PII)

so the state can target & attack individuals. What will be

done with our info? What if there is a breach? For

example, in NY, Health officials showed up to private

residents homes, issued quarantines, fines & Jail

time. This is segregation & discrimination. In today

climate, parents who exercise their medical choices have

Name <u>Stacy Bretz</u>		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>	Zip <i>(optional)</i>	
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing <u>MYSELF</u>		
Position on Bill:	For	Against
	Neutral	

been targeted and been given death threats. Its unacceptable.

Another reason why I oppose is the lack of Stareholder process. Families, schools, + doctors have not been informed properly on how this could affect them. I have two school aged kids. If I pull my kids + move, the school will lose upwards of \$14,000 a year. What about doctors? Will they be able to handle a tax care of patients? Will they be overwhelmed? This is going to have major financial consequences

The re-education module is coercive + offensive.

Have we re-educating women + making them sit through an interactive module before an abortion? and after that if that doctor decided to sign off on it?

I am asking as a concerned citizen to please not pass this bill + oppose it because of the many flaws it contains. This will allow for catastrophic consequences.

Thank you

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB 20-163

Date: 2-18-2020

I am strongly opposed to
SB 20-163 on the basis
that the bill assumes vaccines
are safe and effective

Name	John Zerwick	
Address <small>(optional)</small>	6936 W 71 Ave	
City <small>(optional)</small>	Arvada CO	Zip <small>(optional)</small> 80003
Telephone: <small>(optional)</small>	303 518 1295	
Email: <small>(optional)</small>	johnzerwick@gmail.com	
Representing	Self + 5 children + 9 grand children	
Position on Bill:	For	Against
	Neutral	

(A) Regarding safety -

- Annual deaths & injury is 5.8 million by CDC own #'s (58,000 * only 1% reporting rate)
- Doctors never trained in the risks of vaccines and don't tell parents of the risks disclosed on vaccine inserts
- Conflicts of interest. Doctors are bribed to attain high immunization rates given \$1000s in bonuses
- Flawed vaccine safety studies. There has never been a double blind placebo controlled study on ANY vaccine (CDC admitted to congress under oath)

(B) Vaccines are far from 100% effective

- For instance MMR ^{destroys} a child's natural immunity to measles (once incurred) for life & vaccinated mothers do not transfer measles immunity to their infant children
- Many measles outbreaks are caused by vaccinated children (a fact covered up) because of virus shedding for up to a month & because of incomplete immunity of vaccinated children

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: **SB 20-163**

Date: 1/19/2020

Name <i>Sabrina Kiely</i>		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>	Zip <i>(optional)</i>	
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing <i>Myself + My Family</i>		
Position on Bill:	For	Against
	Neutral	

I oppose this bill.

① Due to the infringement on my children's privacy, the fact their personal information will be tracked and ^{they will be} harassed as if they were a criminal. ② Due to the interference with doctor patient relationship by requiring my doctor to be an agent of the state and report personal medical information. ③ My child was vaccine injured and does not deserve to suffer any further. Please vote NO on this Bill as this does not help Colorado this bill hurts the Colorado residents. Thank you for your time to read my testimony.

Vaccine Ingredients

1 message

Sabrina Kiely <skiely8006@gmail.com>
To: Sabrina Kiely <skiely8006@gmail.com>

Sat, Feb 15, 2020 at 8:04 AM



1. Name 5 vaccine ingredients -

Aluminum, Thimerosal, Polysorbate 80, formaldehyde, MSG, squalene, egg protein, casein, soy, chicken embryo, monkey kidney, calf serum, gelatin....



2. What is MRC-5?

MRC-5 (Medical research council strain 5) is a diploid human cell culture line composed of fibroblasts derived from lung tissue of an 14 week old aborted Caucasian male fetus. The cell line was isolated by J.P. Jacobs and colleagues in September 1966.

MRC-5 cells are used to produce several vaccines including MMR, Varicella and Polio. Infected MRC-5 cells secrete the virus, and can be cultured in large volumes suitable for commercial production.



3. What is WI-38?

WI-38 is a diploid human cell culture line composed of fibroblasts derived from lung tissue of a 3 months gestation white (Caucasian) female fetus.



4. What is vaccine court?

The Office of Special Masters of the U.S. Court of Federal Claims, popularly known as "vaccine court", administers a no-fault system for litigating vaccine injury claims. These claims against vaccine manufacturers cannot normally be filed in state or federal civil courts, but instead must be heard in the U.S. Court of Federal Claims sitting without a jury.



5. What is the National Vaccine Injury Compensation program?

If you or your child is injured or dies from a vaccine, you file a claim with VAERS (Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System) and if you get through all the red tape and win your case, you get up to \$250,000.



6. What is the 1986 national vaccine injury act?

The National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program (VICP) was established by the 1986 National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act (NCVIA), passed by the United States Congress in response to a threat to the vaccine supply due to a 1980s scare over the DPT vaccine. A .75 tax per vaccine is put in the fund.



7. How has the CDC schedule changed since 1986?

The vaccine schedule went from 22 doses to 73 doses.



8. How much money has been paid out by vaccine injury court?

Over 3.7 billion.



9. How many doses of how many vaccines are in the CDC schedule between birth and age 18?

73 doses of 17 vaccines.



10. Do vaccine contain aborted fetal tissue? Yes. If so, which vaccines? MMR, varicella, hep A, Rotovirus, rabies, shingles...

👉 11. Do any vaccines contain dog, Monkey, Pig, and human DNA? Yes

👉 12. What is an adjuvant? Something used to stimulate an immune response, like aluminum. This is needed in killed virus vaccines.

👉 13. What is an antigen? A substance that provokes the immune system to generate antibodies against it.

👉 14. Which arm of the immune system do vaccines stimulate?
Humoral

👉 15. Which arms of the immune system do natural diseases stimulate?
Humoral and cellular

👉 16. What is transverse myelitis?

Transverse myelitis is a neurological condition in which the spinal cord is inflamed. The inflammation damages nerves and causes them to lose their myelin coating leading to decreased electrical conductivity in the central nervous system.

👉 17. What is encephalopathy?

Inflammation of the brain. Encephalopathy is listed on the package insert and Autism is a result of encephalopathy.

👉 18. What is the rate of Autism in 2017? 1/36.

◇ What was it in 2000? 1/150

◇ What was it in 1980? 1/10,000.

👉 19. What is glyphosate and is it in vaccines?

It's a pesticide sprayed on crops, and yes.

👉 20. If your child is injured, who will take physical, emotional and financial responsibility?

The parent.

👉 21. What was the Supreme Court's statement on vaccines in 2011?

Vaccines are unavoidably unsafe. This eliminates manufacturer liability for a vaccine's unavoidable, adverse side effects.

👉 22. Can you provide a study showing vaccinated vs unvaccinated health outcomes?

There are none.

👉 23. Can you show me a safety study proving it is safe to inject multiple vaccines?

There are none.

👉 24. What is vaccine shedding?

When a live virus vaccine is shed in body fluids like sneezing, coughing, saliva, sweat, urine, feces and vomit.

👉 25. Do vaccines shed? Yes live virus vaccines shed.

Which vaccines can shed for up to 6 weeks?

MMR, Varicella (chickenpox), Rotavirus, Influenza (nasal spray), oral polio

👉 26. Which vaccines are live virus vaccines?

MMR, Varicella (chickenpox), Influenza (nasal spray), Rotavirus

👉 27. What is the #VICP?

Vaccine Injury Compensation Program.

👉 28. What is SV 40?

Simion Virus 40 derived from monkey kidney cells, found in the polio vaccine.

👉 29. What is MTHFR?

Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase.

A gene mutation in which it is difficult to metabolize folate and eliminate toxins. People with #MTHFR have higher incidence of adverse reactions from vaccines.

👉 30. What is an acceptable amount of aluminum to ingest per day and how much is injected via the hep B vaccine on day one of life?

The FDA safety limit for aluminum is 4-5mcg/kg of body weight per day. Hep B contains 250 mcg of aluminum and is given on day one of life.

👉 31. Can someone who was vaccinated for pertussis still spread pertussis after being exposed to it? If so for how long?

Yes, a person who is vaccinated for pertussis may have some protection from the disease, but if exposed to pertussis, becomes an asymptomatic carrier and harbors the bacteria in the throat and therefore can transmit the infection to others unknowingly for a few weeks.

👉 32. What is the death rate from measles in the US from 2005-2015?

MMR vaccine in same time frame?

Zero deaths from measles, 108 from #MMR vaccine.

!!This is all of the research I have collected on vaccinations. ALL OF THESE STUDIES ARE PUBLISHED, LEGITIMATE STUDIES ON PUBMED which is a government database.!!

<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1y4Ysa7OPnqMlj-NuNVYJnlHGsvbsyqFam8NaQDSSI8o/mobilebasic?fbclid=IwAR1on5zJErWUBO37HOOctILOe7Mu5pa6a6eFWNr8tDeva5nKpC-6jVBj3w>

<https://vaccine.guide/vaccine-ingredients/overview/vaccine-ingredient-glossary-and-details/>

#VaccineMandates #InformedConsent

#VAERS #SIDS #InfantMortality #dtap #MMR #MMRV #DTP #CDC #WHO #MedicalChoiceIsFreedom

#HealthyLife #ForcedVaccinations #bigpharma #MandatoryVaccines #flushot #vaccineingredients

#naturalhealthcare #medicaltyranny #cdcwhistleblower #protectthemneverinjectthem #health #vaccines

#vaccineinjury #vaccineskill #educatebeforeyouvaccinate #vaccineinjuryawareness #vaccinetruth

#antivaxer #antivaccine #cdc #vaccine #knowtherisk #vaxxed #hearthiswell #vaccinesharm

#flyhighnicholas #wedid #theneverendingdisease #bigpharma #bigpharmakills #truth #wakeup

#humanrights #healthcare #knowledge

—

Thank You,

Sabrina Kiely

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-163

Date: 2-19-20

Current laws regarding immunization reporting is sufficient. If passed, SB-163 will take away students FERPA rights &

create a government database containing personal identifiable information + other private health information that, according to the CDPHE rep testifying earlier, is not protected by HIPAA. This bill gives unlimited and unchecked authority to the CDPHE and BDI, who are unelected and unaccountable to the people of Colorado. With this unchecked authority the CDPHE can add to the current vaccine schedule, change the exemption form and remove exemptions without the need of future legislation. This bill is unclear on how it will impact homeschooled, especially those that participate in a 1 day a week enrichment program through their public school districts. The state is intruding & interfering with the doctor/patient relationship. Doctors will be required to report health + personal information to a government database, and deall

Name Susan Michael		
Address (optional)		
City (optional)		Zip (optional) 80920
Telephone: (optional)		
Email: (optional)		
Representing Myself		
Position on Bill:	For	Against <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Neutral	

if my personal or religious beliefs are an

enough to deny an exemption from. I do not need

an 'education' program from the government to

explain to me the risks and benefits of liability-

free vaccines. I have the fundamental right to

direct the medical care of children - NOT the state,

NOT the pharmaceutical companies, NOR the

CDPHE with its Stakeholder process.

Please Use ND or SB103! Thank you.

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-163

Date: 2/19/2020

I OPPOSE THIS BILL.

My daughter has an autoimmune disease as a result of vaccination.

I trusted my doctor and the medical community, but

I cannot in good conscience allow further vaccination.

Although this bill does not mandate vaccines, it sets the framework. If it passes, even one missed vaccine (i.e. HPV) would require an "education" module, which in truth would be a "re-education"

attempt and coercion to vaccinate. Additionally, my child would be added to a database - for what exactly?

For tracking. I oppose this bill because it is not necessary. She is no threat to anyone, so why

would this even be a part of this bill? It is discriminatory and leaves my child & myself

w/ the potential of being threatened, bullied and our information leaked.

Name	Michiko Daly	
Address (optional)		
City (optional)	Aurora	Zip (optional) 80014
Telephone: (optional)		
Email: (optional)	michiko.roj@gmail.com	
Representing		
Position on Bill:	For	Against
	Neutral	

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-103

Date: Feb. 19, 2020

Name <u>Kari Bengtson</u>		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>	<u>Westminster</u>	Zip <i>(optional)</i>
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i> <u>kari.bengtson@hotmail.com</u>		
Representing <u>myself + my family</u>		
Position on Bill:	For	<u>Against</u>
	Neutral	

I am vehemently opposed to this abhorrent

bill. It adds numerous vaccines - none

of which are truly safety tested against

inert placebos - by changing the Colorado

standard to ACIP standard "recommendations"

(they rubberstamp every new vaccine, even if they themselves question safety of it)

This bill is a softcore mandate. There are very few doctors in Colorado currently

willing to sign medical exemptions, and they absolutely will not sign "non-medical"

exemptions. My own pediatrician has told us that her office will not sign any

exemptions for any reason, for fear of losing their medical licenses. The CDPIE

form ^{that this bill would require} contains coercive language that places families at risk of repercussions from

the state, including an unwanted investigation from CPS.

SB20-103 is setting the stage for discrimination, bullying, and harassment

for the families who cannot or choose not to vaccinate. There are no medical

products ~~are~~ ^{that} are always "safe and effective" for everyone, and vaccines

are no different. The online module is already posted online, and ^{it} glosses over

any risks, as we expected it would ~~do~~ ^{do}. Risks are real; injuries are not rare.

I have spent hundreds of hours over more than the last year reading through

peer-reviewed studies, watching hours and hours of lectures from respected

physicians and immunologists, reading studies from pediatricians in

private practice who conducted reviews and comparisons of the thousands

of kids in their practices, comparing the ^{overall} health of vaccinated vs unvaccinated

children. In every case, they have found that unvaccinated children are far

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-163

Date: 2/19/2020

healthier than their vaccinated peers.

The "equal efforts" argument is ridiculous;

non-vaccinating families like mine

have spent exponentially more time, effort,

and in many cases, more money than

vaccinating families, on research, ^{and} on doctor appointments to address autoimmune

diseases brought on from vaccines. Getting vaccinated takes at most a few

minutes. There are more than 70 slides in the online education module

(one for each dose of vaccine kids are "supposed" to get, ironically), which

will take exponentially more time to complete than families who vaccinate.

This bill is a dangerous, ~~and~~ slippery slope bill that will accomplish nothing

other than coercion, and state-sanctioned discrimination.

Name <u>Kari Bengtson</u>		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i> <u>Westminster</u>	Zip <i>(optional)</i>	
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i> <u>Kari.bengtson@hotmail.com</u>		
Representing		
Position on Bill:	For	Against
	Neutral	

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB163

Date: 2.19.2020

As a military member,
you give up some of
your rights so civilians
can have theirs.

We know what we sign up for and
sometimes pay the ultimate price, \$ so
the people of the United States have
their freedom and their rights.

This bill infringes on some of those rights.

Name: Hector Guzman		
Address (optional)		
City (optional)		Zip (optional)
Telephone (optional): 720-335-2940		
Email (optional)		
Representing myself		
Position on Bill:	For	Against <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Neutral	

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB 20-163

Date: 2/19/20

I oppose SB 20-163 for a number of reasons:

1) Violation of parental rights that are underlying in the writing of the bill. We would no

longer be able to make medical decisions for our children but it would be dictated to us by the state and by doctors. It creates a slippery slope eroding our freedom to choose what is best for our children.

2) Violation of privacy and should not be on a registry for public research and possibly leaked/hacked giving vital personal information to the public arena.

3) Targets certain religions ~~to~~ because it asks what our religion is. The registry does not ~~also~~ have clear guidelines on who can access this registry and therefore this could become an easy to use resource for people who desire to target specific religious groups.

4) It's illegal, it promotes large government control, it's expensive, it's harmful to our families - the building block of our civilization.

Name	Yvonne O'Brien	
Address (optional)		
City (optional)		Zip (optional)
Telephone: (optional)		
Email: (optional)	vonce106@gmail.com	
Representing	my family	
Position on Bill	For <input type="checkbox"/>	Against <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Neutral <input type="checkbox"/>	

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-163

Date: 2/19/2020

Bill SB20-163 is a
infringement on our rights to
privacy.

My children are healthy
and on the occasion they
~~do~~ have a minor illness they stay home. It is an
insult to assume that I don't "make an effort".
because my kids currently see the doctor for check ups
monthly. At one point, they each had appointments ~~two~~
times per week concerning their health and development.

The terms re education videos use ~~coercion~~
compelling speech. In what other areas would you
be ok with that for medical decisions?

Changing the language to Non-medical
puts a negative connotation on the exemption.
The term reduces the validity of Personal Belief
and religious Exemptions. The term invokes an
emotional reaction against these exemptions.

Name <u>Tisdale</u>		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>	Zip <i>(optional)</i>	
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing <u>Family</u>		
Position on Bill: Against	For	Against <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Neutral	

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-163

Date: 3/10/2020

~~SB20-163 is a deal~~

Bill SB20-163 is really scary
 it means and shows that
 our country is falling
~~apart~~ apart. I don't think
 it is fair for everybody to have to take
 vaccines ~~and~~ is it not a free country?

Name <u>Dillon</u>		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>	Zip <i>(optional)</i>	
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing <u>my family</u>		
Position on Bill:	For	Against <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Neutral	

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20 103

Date: Feb 19, 2020

Seventeen years ago on Feb 20,
2003 my daughter turned blue
and stopped breathing 14 hours
after her 2 month vaccines.
No doctor gave me information

Name	Lindsay Swain	
Address <small>(optional)</small>		
City <small>(optional)</small>	FT Collins	Zip <small>(optional)</small> 80525
Telephone: <small>(optional)</small>		
Email: <small>(optional)</small>		
Representing	my family	
Position on Bill:	For	Against
	Neutral	X

on the risks & vaccines prior to vaccinations. No medical
professionals told me about VAERS or the National Vaccine
Injury Act of 1986 that has paid over 4 billion dollars to
vaccine injured children. Section 17 of the Infanrix package
insert states for the Provider to provide this information to
families. Section 6.2 of this package insert lists Sudden
Infant Death as a potential reaction under "General Disorders
and Administration site conditions. If passed, this bill
would violate my child's privacy. If passed, would this
education module include risks and dangers to vaccines
as listed on the insert? If passed how much power and authority
would CDPHE be given? I have lost trust in medicine
and pharmaceuticals and now I am losing trust in the
government to protect all children and all families.
Most parents who do not vaccinate DID vaccinate. Our
children were injured or in my case died. Who fights for
me? Who fights for our minority group?
I will not sign a document with compelled speech
and I do not need reeducation on vaccines. Thank you.

Jennifer Valdois
3908 Magnolia St.
Colorado Springs, CO 80907
JValdois123@gmail.com

Testimony opposed to SB20-163

Madame Chair, members of the committee, my name is Jennifer Valdois, and I'm representing myself. I regularly meet with over 50 Colorado mothers and protecting and maintaining medical freedom and privacy is something we talk about frequently. I oppose this bill because it is an infringement of my family's privacy and personal and religious beliefs.

This bill targets a minority of students who claim a religious or personal belief exemption. By forcing us to obtain an exemption certificate from our doctors, you are violating our free exercise of religion as well as our patient-doctor relationship. My doctor is already well aware of my personal lifestyle choice. My doctor should not become an agent of the state granting me permission for my religious and personal beliefs. With a close reading of this bill, I see that it DOES NOT require my doctor to sign an exemption for me! (page 9-10 / Section 2.b.II.B) If this bill passes doctors may discriminate against Coloradans who have religious and personal beliefs opposed to vaccination. I could lose my trusted pediatrician of over 17 years.

If instead, I opt to use the "online learning module" to obtain an exemption, I can guarantee you nothing is going to change my deeply held convictions. But I am worried that compelled speech will seep into the module and that I may be coerced into giving "correct" answers that go against my conscience.

In addition, requiring our children's sensitive, personal health information to be entered into a government tracking system, causes those who opt out of *even one* vaccine-- to lose their FERPA rights. It also puts their Personal Identifying Information at risk of being compromised by a data breach. Last year 7.9 billion records were exposed through data breaches.

Current law regarding immunization reporting is sufficient and doesn't need to be changed. I am counting on you reject this bill and to protect my family's privacy and our freedom of thought, conscience, and religion. Thank you!

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-163

Date: 19 Feb 2020

This bill affects my family + the community because many will end up home schooling to start off with,

then many will eventually move, + take their taxable income with them. This Govt overreach

Name NICOLE ROGERS		
Address (optional)		
City (optional) COS	Zip (optional) 80923	
Telephone: (optional) 719 244 4997		
Email: (optional) flygir11989@yahoo.com		
Representing MYSELF + MY FAMILY		
Position on Bill:	For	Against SB20-163
	Neutral	

w/ in the Health Dept is going to be economic suicide for this state. There are already procedures in place in case of an outbreak, THIS bill points out measles specifically. Is it because this seems to be the disease that makes the scary news headlines? Will this education module that we will be forced to watch, be playing on your fears + using biased research in making your case? You see, no matter what "education" you let the health dept develop, you cannot place fear onto the very people you are targeting because we are educated + NOT afraid. Do you see how that is not going to work? I wanted to touch on a disease that has a vaccine attached to it w/ more deaths than measles. Tuberculosis, which is the BCG vaccine, NOT on either the childhood or adults schedule. + yet, this disease ranked 57th for cause of death in the USA. w/ 578 reported deaths. Measles all the while, still sitting at zero. Do you want to guess where measles is ranked in the USA? It is 74th in the USA w/ zero deaths. So that means that there are 73 other diseases that kill more than measles + are ^{far} more scarier than measles in this country. In closing, if this bill is NOT about fear, then it is about money! So which is it? The people who support this bill really need to listen to what the opposition is saying. For those of you who support this bill, look up + research the science we are showing! Look at the World Health Org's vaccine Summit from Dec 2019. They admit they don't have the science to back up what pro sides is repeating. It's time to WAKE UP! Because your cognitive dissonance is showing.

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-163

Date: 2/19/2020

I strongly oppose
this bill! For one thing,
this will put unfair
pressure on doctors.

In California, any doctor who has given
5 or more exemptions is investigated -
they're guilty until proved innocent!
This means it's very hard to find a doctor
for those who seek a non-medical exemption.
On another note, I know of 4 people
who had strong adverse reactions
to vaccines. One of them, my mom, eventually
died due to the damage the swine flu
vaccine caused.

Also, setting up a tracking system will
not only create a tremendous amount of work
and cost but also make discriminating
against these families easy.

Name Beverly Reilly		
Address (optional)		
City (optional)	Zip (optional)	
Telephone: (optional)		
Email: (optional)		
Representing myself		
Position on Bill:	For	Against <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Neutral	

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB 20-163

Date: 2-19-20

Name Ashlio Steele		
Address (optional)		
City (optional)	Zip (optional)	
Telephone: (optional)		
Email: (optional)		
Representing Myself + my family		
Position on Bill:	For	Against <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Neutral	

I strongly oppose SB-163 as a parent and unmanocompromised individual myself. I

~~am not a resident~~

Colorados current law regarding exemptions works - Why are we trying to reinvent the wheel by taking away my right to choice based on personal or religious beliefs. I will not sign a form with coerced speech that forces me to acknowledge I am putting mine + others @ harm by choosing to partially or not vaccinate. This bill has been fast-tracked without following the proper protocol of involving All stakeholders - this alone should make this bill postponed indefinitely.

I do not believe my family + children's PII should be in a tracking system used to "target" or "advocate" for vaccination as put by testimony from CDPHE representative at this hearing today.

Please OPPOSE this bill!
Thank you for your time + service.

Ashlio Steele

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-163

Date: 2-19-2020

Name <u>Jennamae Dodd</u>		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>	Zip <i>(optional)</i>	
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing <u>self and family</u>		
Position on Bill	For	Against <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Neutral	

I oppose bill SB20-163 because I believe it will only serve to divide and promote fear among people.

• I believe you have exercised your right to run for your elected office because you deeply care about the people of Colorado.

• We live in a country founded on freedom, including freedom to personal lifestyle choices. This bill seeks to remove "religious" and "personal" belief exemptions and place them both under the heading of "non-medical" exemptions. This bill also requires all "non-medical" exemptions to go through a medical professional or a medical educational module. When in the history of our country has a personal lifestyle choice ever had a requirement of education or medical professional sign off?

• This bill will require schools to report on paper to every parent, guardian, and student the percentages of vaccination will only serve to increase fear and division among the school. Parents will fear sending their children to school, either because of the percentages or because of possible bullying. This will cause division among families who attend with those who have exemptions, because private medical information will no longer be private.

• Homeschool currently falls under "school" by law - this bill will require homeschoolers to comply, even though "school entry" does not happen for them. For a group of people not even a threat to society, ^(the bill) it causes fear in parents who have their children tracked for no reason.

*** PLEASE AMEND OR VOTE NO TO SB20-163. ***

manufactures we would be having a different conversation
around vaccine rates. This bill could easily streamline
to losing our ~~personal~~ religious & medical exemptions later.
This bill needs stopped. This does not represent
our state. We need to stop Californiaing the great states.
Please oppose this bill. It is clear that we already
have policies in place to alert those ~~of the~~
~~people that are unvaccinated~~ who could be at risk
~~persons~~ during an outbreak.

Database - 1144

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-163

Date: 2.19.20

I oppose SB20-163. Why is this Bill even necessary? My child endured 3 vaccine injuries and his Pediatrician stated every Hospital

Name <u>Meredith Martinez</u>		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>	Zip <i>(optional)</i>	
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing		
Position on Bill:	For	Against
	Neutral	

admission it was a coincidence being closely after his inoculations. Vaccine hesitency is a trust issue. I had many unanswered questions and his Pediatrician always stated he would be safe and it was to protect him. All of his reactions are on the insert. If these Pediatricians do not know what is in an insert, who can we trust? I did trust. I lost trust. I found the answers myself from the thousands of hours of research I've since done. My son received 6 vaccines 2 months and 3 weeks after a blood transfusion at 6 months. On the insert it states "Vaccination should be deferred for at least 5 months following blood or plasma transfusions, or administration of immune globulin(s)." This is a very reason why trust is being lost. The Doctors just don't know! My son later was diagnosed

With Neutropenia (WBC disorder) at 10½ months, on the Pediarix vaccine, Chronic Neutropenia, is listed as a side effect in a trial that was no larger than 8,800. Neutropenia is a 1/1,000,000 rare disease. What this to me shows it is much more common, 1/8,800 more common. My son's first reaction was at 5 months 3 weeks with blood in the bowels & extreme vomiting. The ER Doctors, Attending Pediatrician and my son's Pediatrician all claimed they had no idea what was the cause. This was 30 days after Rotarix vaccine (2nd booster) and is a direct side effect and if happens, child must be rushed to the Hospital. Again, the Doctors did not know and trust was lost.

I oppose SB 20-143

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB-163
 Date: 2/19/2020

Madame Chair + Committee:

My name is Mary Beth Cross. I am a Registered Nurse and mother of two boys, now 18 and 20. I chose to

Name <u>Mary Beth Cross</u>		
Address (optional)		
City (optional)	Zip (optional)	
Telephone: (optional)		
Email: (optional)		
Representing <u>Self + family</u>		
Position on Bill:	For <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Against <input type="checkbox"/>
	Neutral <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

immunize my boys according to the AAP + CDC schedule and they are both healthy and doing well.

I am testifying in favor of SB-163 because of an experience I had as an undergraduate nursing student working in the Emergency Department (ED) of a Wisconsin hospital. A female student age 20 came into the ED with unconsciousness, stiff neck and was diagnosed with meningococcal meningitis in 1980.

This predated school required immunizations for meningitis. She unknowingly ^{exposed + potentially} infected a large portion of the 45,000 student body, University Staff, University Health Services staff and patients, and the staff and patients at the hospital she was treated. ^{we were all required to take antibiotics.} She was hospitalized for a month in ICU, comatose.

I do not know the long term outcome of her health, but she and her parents suffered emotional, physical and financial strain of her illness. Medical expenses, cost of tuition, books and housing as well as the loss of her future career track were sustained by a preventable infection. School age immunizations are mandated to keep communities well and free →

life threatening
of a community cable diseases. I am in favor of this
bill and thank you for your time.

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-163

Date: 2/19/2020

Please see attached. This began in Calif with a similar bill AB2109. It was a new form to fill out for exemption. Incrementally since then (2012) all exemption have been effectively ended - even medical ones. Now my daughter is faced with putting her kids at risk (she watched her grandma die of complications from a vaccination) or giving up her career in TV Production to move out of California. This is where this road can lead.

Name <i>Robert Reilly</i>		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>	Zip <i>(optional)</i>	
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i> <i>bobcabbie@yahoo.com</i>		
Representing <i>Self, Daughter, Grandkids</i>		
Position on Bill:	For	Against
	Neutral	

SB20-163

Colorado Legislators and Parents,
this is what happens.
Take it from California.

2012 – AB2109 PASSED

AB2109: Parents must “simply” submit a form signed by a health care practitioner that the practitioner has educated them on vaccines.

But don't worry...

Assemblyman Pan (Author): “If someone decides still a vaccine’s not for them, that’s fine. That’s their decision. ... We want these kids to go to school, and we are not taking away the parental rights to be able to make the decision. We want to be sure people have an informed decision.”

2 YEARS LATER – 2014

SB277: Personal belief and religious exemptions taken away.

Applies to public school, private school, preschool, daycare, and in-home daycare.

But don't worry...

Senator Pan (Author): “The medical exemption is entirely within the medical judgment of a physician.”

Senator Ben Allen (Author): “One of the things we talked about is that there be a strong and robust medical exemption...they [parents] can go to a doctor, anywhere in the state, and can get an exemption from that doctor.”

5 YEARS LATER – 2019

After ZERO cases of fraud found with existing medical exemptions and a 0.5% increase in medical exemptions over 3 years...

SB276: Physicians’ medical judgment eliminated as acceptable medical exemption criteria.

- Family history also eliminated.
- Existing exemptions grandfathered in...until the next grade “checkpoint” or if child changes schools.
- Any physician who writes more than FOUR exemptions is automatically investigated by the California Medical Board.

- If a physician is under investigation, none of his/her exemptions for other patients are accepted until he/she is “cleared.” (Guilty until proven innocent.)
- Physicians must use a new state form and exemption criteria must fall within strict guidelines (i.e., approximately 5 acceptable CDC contraindications).
- Even anaphylactic shock to one vaccine no longer merits a medical exemption from other vaccines.

Medical exemptions,
while allowed within
the narrowest of guidelines,
are effectively eliminated.

Colorado, this is only the beginning.

It’s a slippery slope.
Stop it before it starts.

Vote NO on SB20-163

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB 20-163

Date: 02/19/2020

Name <i>Dksana Zalesov</i>		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>	Zip <i>(optional)</i>	
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing		
Position on Bill:	For	Against <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Neutral	

I strongly oppose SB 20-163, because it removes power from the legislators and gives it to CDPHE to create standardized forms for medical, religious, and philosophical exemptions, which may include compelled speech. It will require CDPHE to perform annual evaluation of the immunization program, using which the State Board of Health will be given authority to make or change immunization requirements or practices for school entry from then on. It will take authority from the legislative process & give it to CDPHE and the Board of Health. It would require creation of database for collecting and storing data. It would also not exclude homeschoolers. It would create unnecessary fear and bullying and categorize certain children. This is not okay. It is not constitutional. It doesn't leave choice. It absolutely takes away freedom of choice! This bill is discriminatory! We don't need a database for healthy children.

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill:

Date:

Feb 19, 2020

~~Feb 2020~~
~~My daughter is 11~~
~~years old. She has had one~~
~~shot. I would like~~
~~to know the other way she's~~
~~never had any shots.~~
~~She's a pink fink. I believe~~
~~parents should have~~
~~the choice of what goes into~~
~~our children's bodies.~~
~~This is not a one size fits~~
~~all. Our voices need to~~
~~be heard. Our daughter is~~
~~myself and every other parent~~
~~here today's health issues~~
~~should not be gate open.~~

Name <u>Aminda Brightwell</u>		
Address (optional) <u>6380 S boston st #213</u>		
City (optional) <u>Greenwood Village</u>		Zip (optional) <u>80111</u>
Telephone: (optional) <u>920-323-8049</u>		
Email: (optional)		
Representing <u>Myself, my daughter</u>		
Position on Bill	For	Against
	Neutral	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

I am Aminda Brightwell and I represent my family. I am here to oppose SB20-103.

As parents we should have the right to ^{choose} go what goes into our children's body's. We should not have that right ripped away from us. We need to do what best for our children. We are not a one size fits all. Some shots are not going to work for others. Please let us keep our right as Americans of the United States of America.

Thank you for listening.

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-163

Date: 2/19/2020

When my dog was a year old, I took him to get his booster shots. He developed vasculitis and nearly died. The vet told me it was vaccine induced and gave me a medical exemption. I have tried to obtain medical exemptions for my children because of known sensitivities and contraindications listed on the vaccine inserts, but I have not been successful. I need religious exemptions intact because that is what I use to opt out of vaccines that my children cannot receive. This bill only serves to discriminate against parents and children who make different medical choices. And the worded speech that will be on the form is not fair to parents who have vaccine injured children and understand the risks. If a vet can acknowledge a vaccine injury in a dog, it should be easier for parents who observe vaccine injuries in their kids. And yet it's not. Please oppose this bill so that our exemptions will remain fully intact.

Name: Jeanette Alford		
Address (optional)		
City (optional)	Zip (optional)	
Telephone: (optional)		
Email: (optional)		
Representing: myself		
Position on Bill:	For	Against <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Neutral	

Written Testimony

Madam Chair and Members of the committee,

I am Testifying in opposition because;

Karen Falbo
3243 Pecos st.
Denver 80211

720 272 6201

Karenfalbo@cs.com
self

Against

1. The repercussions of SB20-163:
 - a. This bill may cause professional scrutiny from the state Medical Board for Doctors who will be pressured to meet the 95% vaccine target.
 - b. This pressure will also lead Doctors to disregard patients who are contraindicated and at risk for adverse reactions.
 - c. I personally have multiple autoimmune conditions and because vaccines provoke the immune system, my doctors support my personal conviction to decline. Yet, finding a doctor to support a more holistic approach to wellness is not easy.
 - d. This bill is an attack on those of us who are risk aware and choose vaccine exemption.
 - e. The data tracking can be used as a coercion tool.
 - f. Stakeholder meetings were not held prior to the introduction of this bill.
 - g. The intention to create a 95% "vaccine-protected" standard for every school is a school-shaming tactic that will negatively affect school accreditation and funding when they don't meet this standard.
 - i. In 2016 Arkansas was battling an outbreak of mumps that began in August of that year. By December 2, 1,824 people had contracted the disease, despite 90 to 95 percent of school aged children and 30 to 40 percent of adults being "fully immunized," according to the state health department.
 - h. The section requiring providers to submit all immunization and exemption data eliminates all privacy for all people, including adults on their vaccine choices.

I know how difficult it is to find an medical doctor to support you when you choose exemption or even when you choose an alternative schedule to the current CDC schedule.

I have a personal story about a **vaccine injury within my family,**

in 2014 my nephew developed ADEM (Acute Disseminated Encephalomyelitis) after receiving his 11 to 12-year-old vaccines. ADEM can be brought on by the adjuvants in vaccines. The adjuvants are designed to overstimulate the immune system which can cause an excessive inflammatory response in the brain and spinal cord. In the case of ADEM the excessive inflammation leads to a demyelination of the central nervous system (which is the immune system attacking the protective covering of the nerve fibers) and this can cause paralysis and is life threatening.

Because of my background is in holistic nutrition I am aware of the health risks vaccine ingredients pose. Most people trust their doctors and never read the vaccine inserts which should be required to provide informed consent.

When the situation with my nephew happened, it was an extremely traumatic event that unfolded starting immediately after he received the vaccines with vomiting and a headache and continued for an entire month until his third hospital visit when he was finally diagnosed correctly and a coma was induced in order to save his life. Thankfully my nephew has made a full recovery but what was even more alarming to me was watching the doctors back peddle to not put the blame on the vaccines. Yet at the same time they decided going forward he should not receive multiple vaccinations at the same time.

My children are in their 30's and they did receive a select number of vaccinations but definitely not all of them. I knew about these risks long before watching what happened to my nephew. On several occasions I have experienced Medical Doctors and Nurses scream at me in anger because they disagreed with my choice to exempt out on several vaccines.

The main reason I am selective with vaccines is not because of what happened to my nephew but because within my family, we have multiple autoimmune diseases.

There is a correlation with certain vaccines and an increased risk for developing autoimmunity. I personally have 3 autoimmune conditions. Provoking the immune system (especially in those with autoimmune) is risky. **With somewhere around 20 million Americans suffering with autoimmune disease there is recognition that we are redirecting disease trading off one type for another.**

It is my right to care for my body in the way I choose. I am encouraging you to support legislation that requires unvaccinated children to be removed from school in the case of a disease outbreak. But please do not support this bill as it impedes on our rights to make informed decisions about our health.

December 2016

- Arkansas was battling an outbreak of mumps that began in August that year. By December 2, 1,824 people had contracted the disease, despite 90 to 95 percent of school aged children and 30 to 40 percent of adults being "fully immunized," according to the state health department
- There have been mumps outbreaks reported for more than a decade in vaccinated persons and an Arkansas epidemiologist is worried the mumps strain included in MMR vaccine used in the U.S. may not match the disease now being seen in some people
- Research looking at lab-confirmed type A or B influenza shows flu shots have no impact on absenteeism among children. Whether they're vaccinated or not, children miss the same number of days of school due to influenza

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB163

Date: 2-19-20

I am opposed to you taking away my constitutional rights. My religious belief doesn't need to be approved or debated.

Name	Tara Eveland		
Address <small>(optional)</small>	6420 DeFrame Ct.		
City <small>(optional)</small>	Avada	Zip <small>(optional)</small>	80004
Telephone: <small>(optional)</small>	385-277-1115		
Email: <small>(optional)</small>	taraeveland@gmail.com		
Representing			
Position on Bill:	For	Against	
	Neutral		
	Opposed		

The constitution is the Supreme law of the land, and any Statute, to be valid, must be in agreement with it. We the people ordained and established the constitution, we created the government and when we did, we delegated no more than 21 powers to the government. Article 1 section 8 Clause 1-16 defines those powers. via the bill of rights, we are guaranteed that government cannot infringe, nor continue to infringe upon the rights of people and is designed to ensure that the powers of the government are kept in check.

By removing the distinctions of "religious" and "philosophical" and placing both into one category of "non-medical exemption", this bill effectively removes the people's religious rights, strips us of our freedom to bodily autonomy, and discriminates directly against a minority group. Is Colorado prepared to directly violate the US constitution and take away religious freedoms of its people?

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill:

Date: 2/19/2020

My name is Lillian White, ~~and I represent myself.~~
~~I am fully vaccinated and did not~~

I am fully vaccinated and did not experience any bad side effects,

but my brother Jack wasn't so lucky. I'm not here to talk about the risks of vaccines though, I'm here to speak directly on my rights to my own body. I know to get an abortion in Colorado all I need to do is get one parent to sign a form, I can get STD treatment and birth control however, without my parents even being notified. If I'm able to make the decision to do these things, why would I not be able to make a decision about what is injected into my body?

Thank you for your time.

Name	Lillian White		
Address <small>(optional)</small>	6420 DeFrame Ct.		
City <small>(optional)</small>	Arvada	Zip <small>(optional)</small>	80004
Telephone: <small>(optional)</small>	385-277-1115		
Email: <small>(optional)</small>	lwhite@arvada.gov		
Representing	Myself		
Position on Bill:	For	Against	
	Neutral		

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB 20-1163

Date:

Thank you, madam chair,
my name is Spirit Wilson

and I oppose SB 20-1163.

This bill places parents

in a tracking system for

refusing 1 vaccine. After

developing several auto immune diseases, ^{heart conditions} & cervical

cancer from the HPV vaccine Gardasil, I cannot allow

men already immune compromised non verbal child

with severe autism to receive this vaccine.

To place my already injured family into a

tracking system for refusal of a non-communicable

disease infringes upon my parental rights

and places our privacy and safety in jeopardy. ^{Throughout history tracking has favored well both in NY & Jersey}

I think it is unconstitutional to require a doctor

to sign off on my deeply held personal &

religious beliefs against vaccination. ^{deeply supported by our experience} I am

concerned on the lack of stakeholder process on

this bill

It has been stated that vaccine reactions are

rare. Less than 1% of adverse reactions are

reported. ^{according to the CDC} Reactions to the Gardasil HPV vaccine

are far from rare. Japan has still not re-added

to their recommended schedule and adverse

reactions continue to be reported world wide.

You have an increased risk in both autoimmune disease & cervical

Name Spirit J. Wilson		
Address (optional) 608 7th St		
City (optional) Fowler	Zip (optional) 81039	
Telephone: (optional) 719.248.0020		
Email: (optional) Chrmewilson222@gmail.com		
Representing myself & family		
Position on Bill: SB 20-1163	For	Against <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Neutral	

Try out not one size fits all

Room 355 to testing

Secure non verbal autism with the tip of a sweater
and will NOI receive any further vaccination.

Compromised children reacted with 18 hours delay

though I have chosen to testify against it my immune

system, my issue is not with (Herd) specifically

100% compliance vaccinated communities as they
we are still will continue to see outbreaks in
cancer after vaccination, per metrics our data

Carrie Bigford
720-484-1452
carrie@bigford.net

Madam Chair, members of the committee, I, Carrie Bigford, a Colorado resident, hereby voice my strong opposition to SB 163 and I seek clarification on the following sections of the bill:

Page 7

BEFORE JANUARY 31 each year thereafter. The standardized document shall MUST be updated annually and shall MUST include, but need not be limited to:

15 (a) (I) A list of the immunizations required for enrollment in a school and the age at which the immunization is required; and
17 (b) (II) A list of immunizations currently recommended for children by the center for disease control advisory committee on immunization practices OF THE CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION IN THE FEDERAL DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES and the recommended age at which each immunization should be given;

Why are there no requirements to provide accurate vaccine risk information such as what a potential AE could be, how to recognize it, what to do and how to properly report it? Why doesn't CO care to consider the personal safety of those that choose to vaccinate?

Page 7

III) A PLACE ON THE DOCUMENT WHERE A SCHOOL CAN INCLUDE THE SCHOOL'S SPECIFIC IMMUNIZATION AND EXEMPTION RATES FOR THE SCHOOL'S ENROLLED STUDENT POPULATION FOR THE PRIOR SCHOOL YEAR COMPARED TO THE VACCINE-PROTECTED CHILDREN STANDARD DESCRIBED

Page 8

7 (b) ON OR BEFORE FEBRUARY 15, 2021, AND ON OR BEFORE FEBRUARY 15 EACH YEAR THEREAFTER, A SCHOOL SHALL INCLUDE ON THE DOCUMENT THE SCHOOL'S SPECIFIC IMMUNIZATION AND EXEMPTION RATES FOR THE SCHOOL'S ENROLLED STUDENT POPULATION FOR THE PRIOR SCHOOL YEAR COMPARED TO THE VACCINE-PROTECTED CHILDREN STANDARD DESCRIBED IN SECTION 25-4-911. THE SCHOOL SHALL DIRECTLY DISTRIBUTE THE DOCUMENT TO THE PARENT OR LEGAL GUARDIAN OF EACH STUDENT ENROLLED IN ITS SCHOOL, EMANCIPATED STUDENTS, OR STUDENTS EIGHTEEN YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER, CONSISTENT WITH SECTION 25-4-903 (5).

This sounds like it breeds discrimination by placing a target on the backs of those who utilize exemptions. Why is there no acknowledgement that many that utilize exemptions are in fact vaccinated or otherwise protected? An exemption must be utilized whenever someone deviates from the CDC schedule in any way for any reason. For example, a child who has already had a natural case of chickenpox would need to utilize an exemption for the varicella vaccine and would thus be included in the schools exemption rate even though they are not unprotected. Publishing this data would introduce that child to shaming and bullying by their peers and communities.

Also, are schools prepared for the extra administrative work this bill would require of them? Perhaps if the sponsors of this bill had followed Colorado law and consulted all stakeholders they would have a better idea of the feasibility of this requirement.

Page 9

22 (B) A COMPLETE CERTIFICATE OF NONMEDICAL EXEMPTION MUST
23 INCLUDE THE SIGNATURE OF A PERSON WHO IS AUTHORIZED PURSUANT TO
24 TITLE 12 TO ADMINISTER IMMUNIZATIONS WITHIN HIS OR HER SCOPE OF
25 PRACTICE TO THE STUDENT FOR WHOM THE CERTIFICATE OF NONMEDICAL
26 EXEMPTION IS SOUGHT.

Why are doctors involved in RELIGIOUS and PERSONAL BELIEF exemptions? A medical doctor is not an appropriate person to determine the validity of someone else's religious or personal beliefs. Also, medical doctors are already drowning in paperwork and likely unwilling to add this step to their practice- a reality that would have been acknowledged had the sponsors of this bill lawfully followed colorado statute and included stakeholders prior to introducing this bill.

Page 10

24 (b)(l) ALICENSEDPHYSICIAN,PHYSICIANASSISTANTAUTHORIZED
25 PURSUANT TO SECTION 12-240-107 (6), OR ADVANCED PRACTICE NURSE
26 SHALL SUBMIT THE MEDICAL EXEMPTION DATA TO THE IMMUNIZATION
27 TRACKING SYSTEM CREATED IN SECTION 25-4-2403.

Why are law abiding citizens being tracked for personal lifestyle choices? Will we also begin tracking those that are HIV and Hep A or B positive? What about those who have contracted an STI due to their lifestyle choices? Will we begin tracking those with a history of drug use as well? All the above mentioned groups are an equal or greater threat to 'public health' as those that utilize personal belief or religious exemptions. Where will the tracking of law abiding citizens for lifestyle choices end?

Page 11

23 (l) INCLUDE A NOTICE THAT INFORMS THE STUDENT'S PARENT OR
24 LEGAL GUARDIAN, THE EMANCIPATED STUDENT, OR THE STUDENT
25 EIGHTEEN YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER OF THE OPTION TO EXCLUDE THE
26 STUDENT'S MEDICAL OR NONMEDICAL EXEMPTION INFORMATION FROM THE
27 IMMUNIZATION TRACKING SYSTEM ESTABLISHED IN SECTION 25-4-2403;

There is currently no true opt out option from CIIS. Even if one 'opts out' of the registry, they are still included in a separate internal database at CDPHE. The CIIS system is rife with security concerns and with such a large amount PII being requested for a religious or personal belief exemption, how will CO ensure that this highly sensitive information is kept private?

Page 11

15 (2.3) (a) ON OR BEFORE JANUARY 1, 2021, THE DEPARTMENT OF
16 PUBLIC HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT SHALL DEVELOP AND POST ON ITS
17 WEBSITE A STANDARDIZED CERTIFICATE OF MEDICAL EXEMPTION FORM
18 AND A STANDARDIZED CERTIFICATE OF NONMEDICAL EXEMPTION FORM.
19 THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT SHALL POST ANY
20 UPDATED FORM ON ITS WEBSITE.

What exactly will the language on this form be? The current standard form for a religious or personal belief exemption is highly biased in favor of vaccination and does not in any way address the very real risks associated with the vaccination process. Forcing citizens to sign such a form is compelled speech and thus wholly unacceptable. Has Colorado considered the

legal liability implications of forcing citizens to participate in compelled speech in order to exercise their legal right to a religious or personal belief exemption?

Page 13, section 5

THE STATE BOARD OF HEALTH SHALL REVIEW THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT'S ANNUAL EVALUATION AND MAY UPDATE THE STATE'S IMMUNIZATION PRACTICES PURSUANT TO THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT'S ANNUAL EVALUATION

I need clarity on this section. It sounds as if the BOH will have the authority to add vaccines to the schedule without public consideration or comment. If CO continues to add vaccines annually, won't that just increase overall exemption rates?

Page 14

13 (2) IN ORDER TO ACHIEVE THE IMMUNIZATION RATE GOAL
14 DESCRIBED IN SUBSECTION (1) OF THIS SECTION, THE DEPARTMENT OF
15 PUBLIC HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT SHALL COLLABORATE WITH LOCAL
16 PUBLIC HEALTH AGENCIES AND SCHOOLS TO PROVIDE INFORMATION AND
17 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE REGARDING BEST PRACTICES TO EDUCATE AND
18 ENGAGE WITH STUDENTS AND FAMILIES ABOUT VACCINES, THE RISKS OF
19 VACCINE-PREVENTABLE DISEASES, AND WHERE VACCINES ARE
20 ADMINISTERED.

Why is there NO mention of providing accurate safety risk information or the rate of injury from the vaccine vs the vaccine preventable disease? For example your risk of encephalitis, febrile seizure and death is significantly greater from the MMR than from the measles. Will those that choose to vaccinate be made aware of information such as this?

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB 20-1163

Date: 2/19/2020

I am a 3rd generation Colorado native and I strongly urge you to vote NO on SB 20-1163. This bill intends to spread discrimination and promote bullying. Our children today already

struggle with their peers in school and social settings. This bill will provide yet another avenue for our precious and vulnerable children to feel "like they don't belong". And to open the door for another form of bullying. I also feel that we, as parents are being bullied by some of our elected officials. I am here to tell you that we will NOT be bullied, and we will NOT allow you to bully our precious children.

I urge you to think about the long-term effects of this bill and future legislation. Chronic illness has risen from 12% in the 1980's to 54% today. The vaccine schedule has increased dramatically in that time frame as well. To think that these two are not related is reckless. What happens when the nations Autism & Chronic illness rates continue to rise to 1 in 20, 1 in 10, 1 in 5?? How will we have a standing military? How will we have a new generation of doctors? How will we have a new generation of politicians? How will we have a functioning nation at all? We owe it to our future generations to do better now, to provide a better solution because we are condemning them with horrific legislation like this. Please vote NO on SB 20-1163. Thank you.

Name <u>Melissa Mitchell</u>		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>	Zip <i>(optional)</i>	
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing <u>myself and my family</u>		
Position on Bill:	For	Against <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Neutral	

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB-1163

Date: 2/19/20

My name is Brittney
Clements, and I am

against bill SB-1163

I opposed this bill on
the grounds of human

rights, parental rights, the privacy
act, and on behalf of autonomy from

data and tracking public health. I
am against bill SB-1163 because

there is a lack of scientific data on
the safety of not only the vaccines themselves
but the additives in vaccines. I am opposed

to the vaccine schedule and necessity
of certain vaccines as Hepatitis on
the first day of life when both parents

tested clean. To be able to track individuals
based on medical records is unconstitutional
and sets a stage for medical discrimination.

I am opposed to the fact that vaccine
manufacturers are exempt of any liability
especially when vaccines are often post
tracked and do not undergo the same
testing as other drugs on behalf

Name Brittney Clements		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>		Zip <i>(optional)</i>
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing		
Position on Bill	For	Against
	Neutral	

of being public health. I am opposed to the incestuous nature between the CDC who makes the schedule and their relationship with the manufacturers. The former director of the CDC now is Vice President for the largest vaccine producer in the world. Exemptions are needed from the very doctors who are paid and incentivised to give the vaccines.

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB163

Date: 2/19/2020

Name Sharon Richmond		
Address <small>(optional)</small>		
City <small>(optional)</small>	Zip <small>(optional)</small>	80210
Telephone: <small>(optional)</small>		
Email: <small>(optional)</small>		
Representing myself		
Position on Bill:	For	Against
	Neutral	

As a mother of two children, one of which is immune

compromised, I strongly oppose Bill SB163 for the

following reasons but not limited to: (1) There was 0 zero stakeholder process involved. CHCA and NMLC were not even

consulted! That should stop this bill immediately. (2) It is an infringement on my and my children's personal privacy. Being forced to submit PHI with other confidential medical information is a huge overreach ~~from~~ of governmental oversight and mandates. If this passes, what is next.

Are YOU ready to reveal all of that data as well to never be in control of again. There is no proof or

way to promise this will not be used against us in the future such as being able to attend school,

being able to qualify for health insurance. Also what happens when this information is Breached? This database

could lead to bullying, discrimination, segregation and unfair targeting. It compares ^{the like of} for an infectious disease

database, and shouldn't collect non-infectious disease info. (3) I moved to this state to exercise my personal

and religious lifestyle choices. A doctor should never have the power or right to dictate those, as would be the case if this bill passes. (4) ~~Some~~ FERPA rights will be lost even if

I opt out of even just one vaccine for my child because of how it could likely negatively impact her health.

⑤ Where there is lack of safety (vaccine injury) and lack of being held accountable to make a vax safe, there should always be choice.

Thank you!

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-163

Date: 2/19/2020

Name <i>Marissa Blaseczek</i>		
Address (optional)		
City (optional)	Zip (optional)	
Telephone: (optional)		
Email: (optional)		
Representing <i>My family + self</i>		
Position on Bill:	For <input type="checkbox"/>	Against <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Neutral <input type="checkbox"/>	

This bill is overreaching & discriminatory. It takes away our medical autonomy & puts those rights in the hands of the government. This bill makes it so you/your children would be put into a state database if you refuse any current or future vaccine. It is discriminatory & punitive. It requires a small minority to attend 're-education class' to change your views until they fit the status quo & blindly follows what the pharmaceutical industry spends billions on each year to convince the public to take their terrible face products. No one comes to the decision to not vaccinate lightly - we have spent countless hours reading science, peer reviewed studies, & speaking to doctors about the risks & benefits of vaccines. Everyone knows drugs have side effects, including death, & vaccines are no different. If vaccines work, there is no way a healthy vaccine free child poses any health threat. They don't carry the 16 diseases we have vaccines for. We're not terrified of the 100's of others of ~~infections~~ ^{infections} there are not vaccines for. Please do not pass this discriminatory, punitive bill. This bill also places an undue burden on families by →

requiring non-vax families to have a doctor sign off. Of the over 1,000 cases of measles last year there were zero deaths. It's a benign, childhood illness, & in no way a public health emergency. Again, if vaccines are so amazing & work so well then those who vax should be afraid of the non-vaccinated 2% of children. We're not terrified of adults who are not up to date.

The tracking & discriminatory aspects of this bill are completely unethical, unconstitutional, & fly in the face of the freedoms we have in The United States. Please vote no. Thank you

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-163

Date: 2/19/2020

My name is Tealan Lovett, I represent myself, my family and friends who are unable to attend today. The 95% threshold keeps getting brought up but we're shown this number is

Name <u>Tealan Lovett</u>		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>	Zip <i>(optional)</i>	
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing		
Position on Bill:	For	Against <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Neutral	

already skewed from those who receive MMWR booster after enrolling in school. Which means the whole premise of this bill has been shredded & not needed. How will the seclusion & bullying affect the already high suicide rates in CO? Why attempt to educate a parent of a child who cannot receive vaccines which causes further distrust between parent & government when they want their child protected from bullying. It's been explained over & over that doctors won't sign off on these exemptions. When a medical exemption is needed it's already near impossible to find a doctor who will sign off from pressure to remain pro-vaxx now we will be forced to bring a doctor into our religious beliefs & think they will sign off on? They will NOT! Why are we doing this? I strongly oppose SB163 and ask you to focus on how it will affect a minority group and cause them more strife. There is no imminent outbreak and vaccines injuries/reactions cause more destruction financially and on households than these MILD childhood diseases we are told to fear. Please vote NO! Medical info is highly sought after on black market, more than credit cards!

Madem chairman and members of the committee

Ladies and gentlemen of the committee.

My name is Jay Smith and I live in Pueblo Colorado. My husband and father of my 3 children is a hospital pharmacist. My degree is in Family and Consumer Science. Leroy Garcia is my senator and Bri Buentello is my representative.

I am here to vigorously oppose senate bill 163. I implore you to ignore the numerous paid lobbyists in support of this bill and instead listen to your constituents and the reasons and facts you hear today. Does Colorado law require you to consult stakeholders ^{from all sides} while drafting a bill? Yes. Did that happen on this bill, NO. That should be enough to send everyone home right now after a unanimous NO vote.

I drove to Denver from Pueblo on Valentine's day to discuss my concerns about this bill with each of you in person. I walked circles around the capitol wearing my youngest looking for your offices. When I did find your offices (some in another building!) you had all already left for the weekend before noon. Most of your office numbers are not listed on your website pages, they are not listed on the nice pink roster, they are not posted anywhere in the building. Yet if I want to skip one vaccine for my child I will be required to watch a government created reeducation module then enter on government website the date, my child's full name, birthday, gender, grade, street number, street name, street type, city, zip code, county, phone number, type of phone, and declined vaccine. Then I have to enter my full name, relationship to the child, the type of exemption claimed, my street number,


my street name, street type, city, zip code, county, my email address, my phone number, and the type of phone. Again, your office numbers as state senators ^{are} ~~is~~ not listed anywhere but you want most of mine and all of my child's personal identifiable information to say no to a medical procedure? Please let that hypocrisy sink in.

A parable: A group of blind men came across an elephant. One touched the leg and knew it was a tree. Another touched the trunk and knew it was a snake. Another touched the tusk and knew it was a spear. Another touched the body and knew it was a wall. The last blind man ~~and~~ touched the tail and knew it was a rope. Which one of the blind men were correct?

All and none. The moral of the parable is that we all have different views on vaccine mandates, data collection, healthy communities, and medical privacy, because it is ^{an} enormous topic with far reaching consequences for our most valuable resource, our children. This bill does not adequately discuss, fix, address or solve any of these concerns.

Vaccines are a pharmaceutical product and the administration of them is a medical procedure. There is no one size fits all for medicine, including vaccines. Maybe some on this committee and those in favor of forced vaccinations need to step back and really see the entire elephant in the room. ~~(picture)~~

Vote NO on SB-163



Thank you

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-163

Date: 2/19/2020

Name <u>Mary Rose</u>		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>	Zip <i>(optional)</i>	
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing <u>Self</u>		
Position on Bill	For	Against <u>X</u>
	Neutral	

This bill is a very deceptive and manipulative way of tracking and segregating the minority of children and families who choose exemption from even one vaccination. The result of this bill would be harassment, discrimination, and bullying and would be the equivalent of assigning a scarlet letter to children and families who already feel like they have a target on their backs.

I am a mother and registered democrat and have made a significant effort in educating myself around this issue. I take protecting the health of my children very seriously.

~~On my research~~ In my research, I have learned that unvaccinated children pose zero risk to anyone. A Harvard immunologist wrote a letter to legislators last year that laid out these facts:

1. non-vaccinated individuals pose no greater →

risk of transmission of polio, diphtheria, pertussis and numerous non-type B H. influenzae strains than vaccinated individuals do; non-vaccinated individuals pose virtually no danger of transmission of hepatitis B in a school setting, and tetanus is not transmissible at all

2. there is a significantly elevated risk of emergency room visits after childhood vaccination appointments attesting that vaccination is not risk-free

3. outbreaks of measles cannot be entirely prevented even if we had nearly perfect vaccination compliance.

4. An effective method of preventing measles and other viral diseases in vaccine-eligible infants and the immunocompromised, immunoglobulin, is available for those who may be exposed to these diseases.

Taken together, these four facts make it clear that discrimination in a public school setting against children who are not vaccinated for reasons of conscience is completely unwarranted as the vaccine status of conscientious objectors poses no undue risk to the public.

It emailed all of you this letter by Tetiana

Obukhanych
Howard PhD
Epidemiologists

Please oppose SB00-103.

Thank you.

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-163

Date: 2/19/20

Name <u>Kristen O'Brien</u>		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>	Zip <i>(optional)</i>	
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing		
Position on Bill:	For	Against
	Neutral	

and I oppose this Bill
 I am a mother, protecting my kids
 Despite Colorado's relatively
 low vaccination rates,
 Colorado has had Four measles
 cases in the past Five years.

The measles is fever, fatigue, rash & sore throat. It lasts less than a week. There are many blind-spots here.

This Bill can result in shaming, ^{witchhunts} and bullying, for a child who may be genetically more susceptible to vaccine injuries who have to "register" & be "identified" as "other" & "different". This is unfair.

This forces parents who exempt to forfeit FERPA privacy to a state registry. I have concerns about privacy breaches, data breaches, submitting personal, highly confidential medical information or personal identifying information to the department of public health & how this could impact my family.

Could this lead to discrimination for accessing insurance? Jobs? college? I believe it is a dangerous, slippery slope to require my doctor to validate a religious & personal belief.

There are No studies that show the current vaccine schedule is safe & effective & Billions of dollars have been paid out to vaccine injured children, though statistics show that less than 1% of all vaccine injuries are actually reported. I oppose this heavy governmental meddling in our freedom →

Hep B - transmitted by sex, IV drug use. Given at birth, even though they're not at risk.
2 month shots:

DTaP - diphtheria - treatable by antibiotics, zero cases since 2003
Tetanus - puncture wound with soil contaminated by feces of cows or horses who are infected
most 2 month olds are not at risk of this.

Pertussis - chance of getting is .33% in US

Treatable by antibiotics

Large rate of vaccine failure → vaccinated people get it in high #'s

Hib :- higher chance of getting struck by lightning

.3% chance of getting meningitis from Hib

pneumococcal :- 90 strains of pneumococcal exist but vaccines only protect against 13 strains, leaving 77 strains that could still cause pneumonia

Polio :- eradicated in Western Hemisphere since '94

99% of polio is subclinical, meaning no symptoms

less than 1% of polio causes paralysis

To contract polio, you need to get the feces of an infected person in your mouth

Rotavirus :- also oral/fecal transmission - few days of diarrhea & vomiting
not a huge deal & not ONLY cause of diarrhea & vomiting

The Vaccine industry is a 60 billion dollar industry that BOUGHT legislation that makes them Free of all Liability.

There are PARAGRAPHS of Risks listed on the vaccine inserts including death.

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB-163

Date: 2/19/2020

Name	Misty Weifenbach	
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>		Zip <i>(optional)</i>
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing	myself & my family	
Position on Bill	For	Against X
	Neutral	

Madame Chair & members
of the committee I am
against this bill as every

family has the right to have a conversation with
their doctor & make a personal choice as to
what is or is not put in their bodies.

This bill violates privacy rights & creates an
environment of discrimination that can lead
to bullying and the likes.

The language in this bill is very lopsided
and inappropriate to pass.

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB163

Date: 2/19/20

Madame Chair & members of the
Committee: I urge a NO vote
on SB163 I am sure that
those of you that belong to an
organized religion do so because

Name <u>Amy Baggett</u>		
Address <small>(optional)</small>		
City <u>Louisville</u> <small>(optional)</small>	Zip <small>(optional)</small>	
Telephone: <small>(optional)</small>		
Email: <small>(optional)</small>		
Representing <u>Myself & my family</u>		
Position on Bill:	For	Against <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Neutral	

you believe in the teachings. If you were asked to go against those
teachings I ^{am sure} ~~assure~~ you would not be ok with that. It was
mentioned that personal beliefs in vaccinations may not align
with religious beliefs. Therefore removing the religious
exemption would then allow that person to vaccinate regardless
of what their religion says. As also mentioned personal
beliefs & religious beliefs are usually aligned so taking
away the religious exemption takes away the protection
that the exemption currently allows.

The intent of this bill is to provide access to
safe school environments? My children have been
in school 10 years & not once has there been a vaccine
preventable disease outbreak despite the low vaccination
rates. Yet there are schools that have 100% vaccination
rates that have to shut down due to uncontrollable
pertussis outbreaks.

There was discussion of the 24 man hours that were
required to handle the cases of the 3 measles infected
children. ~~How about~~ the countless hours that are required.
Let's talk about

Amphibian

Thank you for your time.

by the supreme court.

I do hope you vote no but in the end ^{know} we are protected

Medical Freedom is protected by the constitution.

ridiculous with an error suggested.

my attempt in a napkin & I am not sure where that

easy about the decision or the process. I did not scribble

confront & foremost as 100 years. There was nothing

convince - that it was easy. The safety of my children

I am first of hearing that I chose to exempt section

your broad ~~one~~ sided motive.

year & speak w/ our family or at each appt. I do not deal

news re research on born states. I ~~do not~~ ~~with~~ ~~have~~ continue to

I did not make this decision blindly. I have done many

the flu shot.

who doesn't have the flu? me; my kids who did not get

your usually preceded by "But I got the flu shot!" Guess

like? the flu. There are countless cases of the flu this

complications such as pneumonia. Do you know what that sounds

feel miserable, have a high fever, get an ear infection & have

measles is a brain disease & someone infected could

to care for vaccine injured vaccine failed kids.

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB-163.

Date: 20
2/19/20

Madame Chair + members

of the committee -

I urge you to vote no
on this bill.

I believe this bill is an infringement on my personal privacy. I do not want my personal beliefs and medical choices tracked in a database. I do not plan to sign any state medical forms with coerced speech that may be used against me at a later date. Every parent deserves to make decisions they feel best for their family. This bill will authorize CDPIE to create exemption forms that contain statements of coercive speech. Forcing people to sign a statement they don't agree with is wrong and illegal. This bill places parents in ~~the~~^{an} awful position, it places doctors in a bad position. As I have listened to testimony today I have heard so much misinformation surrounding vaccines - that I actually believe it is the proponent side that needs the re-education modules.

Thank you -

Julie Black, RN, BSN, MEd

Name Julie Black		
Address (optional)		
City (optional)		Zip (optional)
Telephone: (optional)		
Email: (optional) holistichomegirl@yahoo.com		
Representing myself + my family.		
Position on Bill:	For	Against
	Neutral	

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB 20-1103

Date: 2/19/2020

Madame Chair & members
of the committee,
I urge you to vote no
on this bill.

First of all, none of the stakeholders
were consulted prior to the introduction
of this bill. This does not instill trust
in the bill nor its proponents.

I am highly concerned about
submitting personal identifying information (PII)
along with highly confidential medical
information to the CDHRE. Why should we
discriminate against certain individuals by
releasing their info into a database?

This is unethical. We are also worried
about data breaches and privacy issues

This bill sets the stage for segregation,
hate speech, bullying & shaming.

This bill also circumvents FERPA rights
for those opting out of even one vaccine.

Thank you for your time. Please, vote
no on this bill.

Name	Callie DelGrosso	
Address (optional)		
City (optional)	Zip (optional)	
Telephone: (optional)	719-216-4338	
Email: (optional)		
Representing	myself & my family	
Position on Bill:	For	Against
	Neutral	

Callie DelGrosso

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-163

Date: February 19th 2020

I Megan Stuart,
Strongly oppose to bill
SB20-163 for many
reasons. One being concerned

for non-vaccinated children in school or public
settings where their status will make them a target
where bullying and segregation is already taking place.
Another reason being I do not believe I was
ever fairly educated when pregnant with my first
child, I was given the handout at my appointments
but never told about adverse reactions and
when my son did have a serious adverse reaction
no one helped me to understand and most
importantly no one told me how to report.

I question the education program and how
one sided it will be. Why was there no stakeholder
meeting? How are children with HIV & AIDS
allowed to stay protected under HIPAA & FERPA?
when healthy unvaccinated children are
being put through such scrutiny. What about
outbreaks in all vaccinated communities?

No to SB20-163 - Children can age out
of these vaccines too! 95% will never be achieved!

Name <u>Megan Stuart</u>		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>	Zip <i>(optional)</i>	
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i> <u>Megan.Stuart23@gmail.com</u>		
Representing		
Position on Bill:	For	Against <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Neutral	

Madame Chair, Members of the Committee, My name is Dawn Fetterley, and I oppose bill 163.

As parents and legislators, we all have a common goal: to keep our children and our nation as healthy as possible. Instead of attempting to diminish a group's voice and choice by creating obstacles, we must respect the different beliefs about how to accomplish that goal, as it will be our differences that make us stronger as a community.

This bill insults those of us who chose to question authority, resist the norm, and spend months and years on vaccine research. This bill attempts to imply our ignorance, by suggesting we haven't researched enough or researched correctly, and we, in our ignorance, require the supervision and approving signature from our physician. (In section 25-4-903, Exemptions from immunization – rules, the bill requires an acceptable medical practitioner to complete certificates for Medical and "Nonmedical" exemptions, or the completion of the online education module, in order to be allowed to attend school with an altered vaccine schedule.)

Dr. Robert Sears, a prominent, highly educated pediatrician with extensive experience in the field, was quoted as saying "it's most often parents with a higher level of education choosing not to vaccinate", adding that this is not because these parents are smarter, but because they are more likely to question and research everything having to do with their children. And countless reports validate that more parents with higher education degrees are opting for vaccine exemptions – so how dare you suggest that we came to this decision aimlessly or without effort, and then require us to spend additional time, money, and resources to seek the sign off from a medical practitioner. In addition, the CDPHE has already proven it's alliance to the pro-vaccine movement – how would it possibly be an unbiased approach to the research in the online education module? Logistically speaking, who would pay for these exemption appointments with medical practitioners? Who would ensure that every citizen has access to unbiased medical practitioners able to complete an exemption form? Who would train these practitioners and what would be required within the exemption appointment? This bill in no way addresses the details of how exemptions would be actually performed, and without these details presents dangerous implications of limiting our access to medical choice.

My peers have addressed many of the other disturbing aspects presented in this bill – including the hypocrisy of requiring 1 group to complete the online education module and not the other, the inherent issues with requiring parents to obtain signed certificates of exemption from already overburdened medical practitioners, the inevitable pressure our practitioners will experience to follow the vaccine schedule guidelines and support the 95% vaccination rate goal in our schools, which would result in coercive behavior or avoidance toward parents seeking exemption appointments. Not to even mention the risks of grouping religious exemptions and philosophical exemptions into 1 group of 'nonmedical exemptions', the vaccine registry and tracking violation of medical privacy, and the bolstering of power and lack of liability in the CDPHE, to name just a few.

This bill puts our freedom of vaccine choices and medical autonomy at risk, and is a thinly veiled attempt to supervise and manage our access to vaccine exemptions. I urge you to vote no on SB20-163.

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB163

Date: 2-19-20

Good morning madame chair
and members of the committee.
My name is Christina
Abbott and I represent
myself and my family.

I oppose bill SB163. This bill opposes a
persons privacy rights. Herd immunity
is false. I would not risk my child's health
by immunizing her to save anyone else,
just as I would not light her on fire to
save another child from being burned. Her
protection is my only job. Thank you
for your time.

Name <u>Christina Abbott</u>		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>	Zip <i>(optional)</i>	
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing <u>Self and family</u>		
Position on Bill:	For	<u>Against</u>
	Neutral	

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill:

Date: 2.19.20

Name <i>Jessica Sparzak</i>		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>	Zip <i>(optional)</i>	
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing		
Position on Bill:	For	Against
	Neutral	

One of the major issues I oppose with this bill is that of tracking data on my children. This is absolutely an infringement on the privacy of my children and my family.

Thank you,

Jessica Sparzak

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB 2163

Date: 2.19.20

I AM 8 YEARS OLD
ONLY ME AND MY
PARENTS HAVE THE RIGHT
TO DECIDE WHAT GOES
INTO MY BODY.

Name YADEN CAMUSO		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>	Zip <i>(optional)</i>	
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing		
Position on Bill:	For	Against <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Neutral	

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB 2163

Date: 2.19.20

Name Paxtin Caruso		
Address (optional) 8932 Seton St.		
City (optional) Westminster		Zip (optional) 80031
Telephone: (optional)		
Email: (optional)		
Representing		
Position on Bill:	For	Against <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Neutral	

I am against this bill because I want the choice to not put things I don't want in my body injected into me. Is that wrong? I want the freedom I was told I could have, now it's getting taken away from me. Being forced to do any physical thing good or bad to your own body is wrong. It strips us of our own choices and actions. Giving up your body for someone else is giving all your self-respect and dignity to someone you might not even trust. No more taking away the medical freedom we need and deserve.

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB -163

Date: February 19, 2020

See attached

Document.

Thank you!

Name Erin Beth Wolberg	
Address (optional)	
City (optional)	Zip 80109 (optional)
Telephone: (optional)	
Email: (optional)	
Representing Self / Family	
Position on Bill:	For
	Against
	Neutral

February 19, 2020

Dear Senators:

First off, SB163 should not even be in hearing as it has NO stakeholder involvement which is against state laws. This alone is cause for dismissal of this bill.

This bill will mandate the creation of new medical forms which has multiple facets of issues:

1. This will overwhelm doctors and prevent them from doing what they are actually supposed to do which is care for people who need them. Under current law, medical professionals can submit a letter of exemptions to the parent who then submits the letter to their child's school or a parent can write the letter themselves directly to their child's school which allows the medical teams to focus 100% on treating their patients. Under SB 163, medical professionals will be required to sign a standardized form created by CDPHE and submit the form (along with their own information) to CDPHE. This means medical professionals signing these exemptions will also be tracked in the immunization tracking system. Will this limit the number of medical professionals willing to sign an exemption? Absolutely! Under this bill any individual choosing to exercise their religious or personal freedom will be required to obtain the signature of a medical professional: This means that they must now have the validity of their religious or personal beliefs determined by another person just because they have a medical degree. This is NOT true freedom of choice! The medical professional may or may not understand their religious or personal stance and is, therefore, not required to sign their non-medical exemption form when asked. This pretty much takes away the actual freedom by mandating new rules as to how to actually use your personal freedom!!

This bill removes the distinctions of "Religious" and "Philosophical" exemption status and placing both into one category of "non-medical Exemption," this bill effectively removes one of our three current exemptions stripping Coloradans of both religious freedom and liberty of conscience. Is Colorado prepared to violate religious freedoms and liberty of conscience?

This seems like a power grab from the CDPHE and unnecessary pressure for health care professionals. In addition, all the certificates and non-medical exemptions that are signed will

circumvent FERPA laws by requiring info to be directly reported to the state's tracking system. This is an invasion of PRIVACY!

2. What about the cost to develop, translate and update website and forms into all required languages? Who is fronting this cost? The state? Insurance companies? Every tax-payer? Or maybe even the parents as an out of pocket cost at an appointment? This is not financially helpful to the state, insurance companies and possibly the parents (out of pocket cost??) And that is not the only cost associated. There are costs to develop educational materials for schools & parents, cost to annually review all procedures, forms, modules & practice immunization as well as cost to Medicaid for children requiring a visit with a medical professional to sign an exemption form.

On a different note :

~~speaking to the measles's outbreak in NY~~ speaking to the measles's outbreak in NY - even though it was the worst in 20 years, it's still only .003% of the population. Why are we living in fear over such a small percentage? New York is a huge city with millions and millions of people living in close proximity. Colorado is not. They only had around 1,200 people contract measles and that was when a religious group was purposely spreading it so that people could have exposure to it and gain lifelong immunity. Colorado does not have the density nor the population that New York does and we are not at risk for the same type of outbreak.

For these and a multitude of other reasons I urge you to stand against this bill: HB163 and allow Colorado families and health care professionals to keep their freedom!

Please vote NO on HB163.

Thank you for your consideration!

Erin Beth Wolberg

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB 163

Date: 2/19/20

Name <u>Marissa Rubin</u>		
Address (optional) <u>1111 E Boulder St.</u>		
City (optional) <u>Col Spgs</u>	Zip (optional) <u>80903</u>	
Telephone: (optional)		
Email: (optional) <u>marissarubin@gmail.com</u>		
Representing <u>self & family</u>		
Position on Bill:	For	Against
	Neutral	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Thank you for the opportunity to testify in this way. While I stray against the use of vaccines for my family,

I believe this bill is more about government overreach and less about vaccines. I do not want my children's records with the state. If one were to do an honest assessment of the pros and cons of immunizations, one would see that there are rarely benefits. Shedding of the live virus, many ineffective batches, aborted fetal tissue, dangerous adjuvants, are just a few of the issues I have with vaccines. Herd immunity is not possible and even when the percentage of those vaccinated is "sufficient," out breaks still happen because vaccines are ineffective. Take for example, sailors aboard a ship (U.S. sailors) last year who obtained the mumps. They were mostly, if not all, up to date and "mission-ready." I do not consent to injecting my children or to them being tracked by our government. The overreach is becoming scary. My body, my choice. My kids' bodies, my choice.

Thank you and God bless!!
 My choice, my bodies.

Madam Chair and Committee Members,

My name is Brittany and I am representing myself and my family.

I would like to speak to the forms created by the CDPHE for the non-medical exemption created in this bill on page 7 lines 5 - page 8, line 6. The current form created by the CDPHE contains statements which are already disconcerting to parents taking exemption. It states

"...Declining to follow the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) immunization schedule for number, space and timing of doses, may endanger an unvaccinated child's health and others who come into contact with him/her."

These statements are incriminating enough that many parents, including myself, already feel uneasy about the CDPHE form and thus opt for writing our own in order to be in compliance with current statutes. I will never sign anything stating that the benefits outweigh the risk of injecting my son with compounds he is severely allergic to and that which my husband has medical exemption for following adverse events in his family.

According to Page 7, lines 13 and 14 the CDPHE is specifically given freedoms that the form **NEED NOT BE LIMITED** to the vaccine schedule, a place for each school's compliance rates and information that the "Standard" of 95% is established by Colorado Statute, not the individual school.

At the point parents arrive at the completion of a non-medical exemption they will have already discussed, at length, the risks and benefits of declining immunization for medical or non-medical reasons, or they have completed a lengthy re-education model akin to that of coercive videos prior to an abortion procedure. They have done all due diligence to fulfill that which is

The following table shows the number of students who have completed the course.

The number of students who have completed the course is shown in the following table. The number of students who have completed the course is shown in the following table.

The number of students who have completed the course is shown in the following table. The number of students who have completed the course is shown in the following table.

The number of students who have completed the course is shown in the following table. The number of students who have completed the course is shown in the following table.

The number of students who have completed the course is shown in the following table. The number of students who have completed the course is shown in the following table.

The number of students who have completed the course is shown in the following table. The number of students who have completed the course is shown in the following table.

The number of students who have completed the course is shown in the following table. The number of students who have completed the course is shown in the following table.

required of them in Colorado statute. There is no need for any further statements to be made.

The fact that a non-legislative body is given absolute freedom to include anything they desire without legislative or stakeholder input on a required form is absolutely appalling and irresponsible.

I ask for your no vote.

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB 163

Date:

02/19/20

SB 20-163 gives unlimited and unchecked authority to CDPHE and BOH to add to the schedule, change the form, and change the status of exemption without the need for legislative approval. It completely violates the Colorado Constitution's procedure for how laws are made. It also violates the stake holders process for adding vaccines to the required schedule for school entry. It gives unchecked authority to CDPHE to add whatever covered speech to the state form and module, to make changes to the required schedule for school attendance and to remove exemptions in the future with zero accountability or checks and balances. (Page B lines 16-21)

I am also a licensed teacher and a mother of two boys, so this affects me on many levels.

Name <u>Katie Kublitskiy</u>		
Address (optional)		
City (optional)	Zip (optional)	<u>80033</u>
Telephone: (optional)		
Email: (optional)		
Representing <u>Self</u>		
Position on Bill:	For	Against <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Neutral	

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB-163

Date: 02-19-2020

Name: Anna Clonts		
Address (optional): 1213 E High Point Ln		
City (optional): Colorado Spgs	Zip (optional): 80904	
Telephone: (optional)		
Email: (optional) shooks lane@gmail.com		
Representing		
Position on Bill:	For	Against
	Neutral	

Vaccinations are a topic that currently stir up high levels of animosity

among parents and professionals. Maintaining a list at each school and for government use, may seem innocent now, but may have significant, unintended consequences later. I have seen and heard many comments toward the unvaccinated that are violent, even wishing death upon them only because of their vaccination status. Given the right conditions, a list getting into the hands of those violent people could be very dangerous.

On the issue of equal effort, I have approached the health of my children with the belief that optimal nutrition is a very important component. I have spent hours studying nutrition and alternative medicine, read books, taken courses, and spent considerable time and effort sourcing and preparing high-quality food. The effort and the responsibility are there, and this is true of most families I know that prefer not to vaccinate. My children have been a zero-cost burden to the medical system. They're very healthy.

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB 163

Date: 2/19/20

Name	Mike Quinlan	
Address <small>(optional)</small>	3605 Parkridge	
City <small>(optional)</small>	Sedalia	Zip <small>(optional)</small> 80135
Telephone: <small>(optional)</small>	303-775-7776	
Email: <small>(optional)</small>	mqinlan@ngkf.com	
Representing	Self	
Position on Bill:	For	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Against
	Neutral	

- The bill seeks to combine religious & personal exemptions. Religious freedom is a protected class; personal is not. I fear the legislature's goal is to remove religious freedom in the future.

- Doctors will not want to sign their name on my personal exemption form.

- We haven't even seen the exemption form - I fear it will contain self-incriminating language which violates the 1st amendment rights.

- It violates my parental rights. I trust myself & my doctors to make the best educated medical decisions for my minor children without governmental approval.

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB 163
Date: 2/19/2020

Name	ERIK UNDERWOOD	
Address (optional)	9400 E. ILIFF AVE #248	
City (optional)	DENVER	Zip (optional) 80231
Telephone:	678-900-2252	
Email: (optional)	ERIK@MY24HOW.COM	
Representing	MUSCLE NEWS.COM	
Position on Bill:	For	Against
	Neutral	

My name is
ERIK M. UNDERWOOD.

I oppose SB 163 because there are unintended consequences in this bill. For example, there will be data collection on undocumented immigrants and forcing a parent to watch a video makes me uneasy, and I think that is not right.

— Erik M. Underwood

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill:

Date:

I am against bill
SB20-1163.

This bill violates
my own and the
medical privacy

rights of my children! How are
you going to keep the kids who
are not immunized from being
ostracized. you this bill won't work
& you would know this if you had
done any stakeholder meetings at
all. We are a nation that should
have the freedom to choose what we
put in our body and be given the ability
to honor our personal and religious
beliefs.

Name	Kristina Pershack	
Address <small>(optional)</small>	423 W Harper St	
City <small>(optional)</small>	Louisville	Zip <small>(optional)</small> 80027
Telephone: <small>(optional)</small>		
Email: <small>(optional)</small>		
Representing	myself	
Position on Bill:	For	Against <input checked="" type="radio"/>
	Neutral	

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-163

Date: 2-19-20

My name is Mindy Faulkner,
I oppose this Bill because
it is an infringement on
my and my children's

privacy. if my children have another negative
reaction, who takes responsibility for that?
Why would I have to get a doctors
approval to exempt my child? as their
parent, I know what is better for my
child! it is no ones business what
medical decisions I make for my children.
what happened to my body my choice?
where there is risk, you have to have
a choice!

Name Mindy Faulkner		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>	Zip <i>(optional)</i>	
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing Myself		
Position on Bill	For	Against **
	Neutral	

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill:

Date:

There are many benefits to vaccines
and many choose to use them. There
is however evidence that reactions
are possible. There are also many who
oppose them for religious and personal
reasons. Where there is a risk there must be a choice and we
must respect beliefs.

Name <u>Delmara Christen</u>		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>	Zip <i>(optional)</i>	<u>80538</u>
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing <u>Self</u>		
Position on Bill: <u>163</u>	For	Against
	Neutral	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

I'm very concerned about the tracking system that could be in
place with this bill. It seems to be an overreach of private
personal information. Who will have access to this? Will
teachers and parents have this? Children could be
segregated or bullied because of information that should not
be public.

"Non-medical exemption" changes the protection of
religious and personal belief. This term delegitimizes
faith and values. The term should not be changed.

The bill states 30,000 children have an exemption.
I'm concerned that if this bill were to pass, the
families who do not support it will be forced to choose
between health, faith/beliefs and education. ~~between~~

...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...

Some families do not have the skill, passion or resources to homeschool. Every child has a right to quality education, it is absurd to suggest that a child cannot attend school if their family chooses not to comply with the way legislation says. They need to handle their health choices.

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB 163

Date: 2/19/20

Name	Christie Conard	
Address <small>(optional)</small>	13450 Elizabeth way	
City <small>(optional)</small>	Thornton CO	Zip <small>(optional)</small> 80241
Telephone: <small>(optional)</small>	303 819-2075	
Email: <small>(optional)</small>	ccinsignia@gmail.com	
Representing	against	
Position on Bill:	<input type="checkbox"/> For	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Against
	<input type="checkbox"/> Neutral	

I am against removing the right to personal belief. Removing this belief doesn't protect the public's civil right. Colorado has one of the lowest vaccination rates and also one of the healthiest on record. If this bill passes I'm sure removing religious and medical will follow. I'm concerned for my rights. SB 163 makes several drastic, unnecessary and discriminatory changes, as well as additions to the way vaccines exemptions and policies are handled in the state of Colorado.

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB 20-163

Date: February 19, 2020

Please oppose SB 20-163.

This bill would authorize CDPHE to create exemption forms. Undoubtedly those

forms will contain statements such as "I am knowingly endangering the health of my child.

This is compelled speech. Forcing people to sign a statement they don't agree with is wrong and illegal.

Thank you.

Name <u>Diana Hreston</u>		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>		Zip <i>(optional)</i>
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing		
Position on Bill	For	Against
	Neutral	X X X

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-163

Date:

Wednesday, Feb 19, 2020

Name <u>V. Spitzladen</u>		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>	Zip <i>(optional)</i>	
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing <u>self</u>		
Position on Bill:	<input type="checkbox"/> For	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Against
	<input type="checkbox"/> Neutral	<input type="checkbox"/>

6 copies of written testimony attached.

Thank you for your time and attention
to this matter.

JS

Madame Chair, Members of the Committee,

I strongly oppose SB20 - 163 for the following reasons:

Where there is risk, which is inherent with medical procedures and certainly in injected options, **there must be choice**. This choice needs to be **informed by information that is unbiased, honest and transparent**. Information on safety and efficacy of vaccines should not be developed and administered by those in a position to gain from it. This "re-education" needs to be developed by a third party agency and **not include coerced language**.

The people of Colorado are democratically awarded the right to have a voice in policy making. This bill proposes removing that right and opportunity by allowing CDPHE and Board of Health to make future changes without public input. Policymaking is your job, not theirs.

Requiring, collecting, saving and **tracking individuals** who make educated and informed decisions that do not support this agenda is a **violation of FERPA protections, privacy and has no place in a Democratic Society**. At no point is a tracking system of free people appropriate in this country and while the use of *a tracking system has been acknowledged, the future purposes of it and potential for* misuse are great and thus is inappropriate. **Statistical data is enough** to gauge immunization rates by school, county and state and these procedures and **practices are already in place. Leave our identifying information alone and protected**.

We deserve access to education. It is education that lead us all to the place. **Education is not about conformity or normalization; it is about free thought, speech and the ability to make decisions in the best interest of oneself and their children**.

A one-size-fits-all solution is not the answer. Requiring an extensive (45-72 vaccinations are currently recommended for children age 0-18) and currently unknown future number of vaccinations in the name of public health interest is absurd in my personal opinion. **This bill is discriminatory, a government overreach of power and continues to reduce checks and balances on an industry that has no incentive to protect the consumer due to removed liability**.

I want to assume that at least some of you are inclined to support a bill like this because you want your own children, those are your loved ones or acquaintances to be **safe and healthy**. That is **every parent's desire**. Those who do not necessarily agree on the means by which that is achieved do not have anyone's ill interest at heart.

A major issue I see is that **our elected officials, whose duty it is to represent their constituents**, seem to have been swayed by the practice of making decisions based on personal beliefs, party affiliations or financial gain. This is wrong. You have been elected by the people of Colorado to represent them. **It is your job then, to listen to us** and make policy decisions based on our input, even if you individually disagree with it. Your role is not to make decisions as an individual, a party member or by your financial contributors. In the position you are representing here today, you work for us. I encourage you to listen to your constituents. Ask questions; there are immeasurable hours of good and substantiated research done by your people. I do not fault naivety in regards to a specific topic; we can't all be an expert on everything. What I do require is that you **become educated when making laws** and for this reason you must hear us. **This isn't about your personal opinion; this is about your professional role**.

Let's work together to create a transparent, honest and trustworthy information network where parents can make informed decisions, provide informed consent and have their **rights protected** in order to decide what is best for their family. **This is all of our right** and you as our representative need to support that and **defend it with everything that you can**.

Thank you for not supporting SB - 163. It is not the right choice for Colorado and we do not consent.

*Y. SpitzGaden
2/19/20*

The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records and the role of the auditor in ensuring the integrity of the financial statements. It highlights the need for transparency and accountability in the reporting process.

The second part of the document focuses on the specific procedures and controls that should be implemented to prevent and detect errors or fraud. It emphasizes the importance of a strong internal control system and the role of the audit committee in overseeing these controls.

The third part of the document discusses the challenges faced by auditors in the current business environment, particularly the increasing complexity of transactions and the need for specialized expertise. It also addresses the importance of communication and collaboration between the auditor and the client.

The fourth part of the document provides a summary of the key findings and conclusions of the audit. It highlights the areas where the financial statements are in compliance with the applicable accounting standards and the areas where further action is required.

The fifth part of the document discusses the implications of the audit findings for the company and its stakeholders. It emphasizes the need for the company to take prompt action to address any identified weaknesses and to improve its internal control system.

The sixth part of the document provides a detailed description of the audit procedures and the evidence obtained during the audit. It includes a list of the specific areas that were audited and the results of the audit tests.

The seventh part of the document discusses the role of the auditor in providing assurance to the users of the financial statements. It highlights the importance of the auditor's independence and objectivity in performing the audit and the need for the auditor to communicate the results of the audit in a clear and concise manner.

The eighth part of the document provides a final summary and conclusion of the audit. It reiterates the key findings and conclusions and emphasizes the need for the company to continue to work on improving its internal control system and the role of the auditor in this process.

Madame Chair, Members of the Committee,

I strongly oppose SB20 - 163 for the following reasons:

Where there is risk, which is inherent with medical procedures and certainly in injected options, **there must be choice**. This choice needs to be **informed by information that is unbiased, honest and transparent**. Information on safety and efficacy of vaccines should not be developed and administered by those in a position to gain from it. This "re-education" needs to be developed by a third party agency and **not include coerced language**.

The **people of Colorado are democratically awarded the right to have a voice in policy making**. This bill proposes removing that right and opportunity by allowing CDPHE and Board of Health to make future changes without public input. Policymaking is your job, not theirs.

Requiring, collecting, saving and **tracking individuals** who make educated and informed decisions that do not support this agenda is a **violation of FERPA protections, privacy and has no place in a Democratic Society**. At no point is a tracking system of free people appropriate in this country and while the use of a tracking system has been acknowledged, the future purposes of it and potential for misuse are great and thus is inappropriate. **Statistical data is enough** to gauge immunization rates by school, county and state and these procedures and **practices are already in place. Leave our identifying information alone and protected**.

We deserve access to education. It is education that lead us all to the place. **Education is not about conformity or normalization; it is about free thought, speech and the ability to make decisions in the best interest of oneself and their children**.

A one-size-fits-all solution is not the answer. Requiring an extensive (45-72 vaccinations are currently recommended for children age 0-18) and currently unknown future number of vaccinations in the name of public health interest is absurd in my personal opinion. **This bill is discriminatory, a government overreach of power and continues to reduce checks and balances on an industry that has no incentive to protect the consumer due to removed liability**.

I want to assume that at least some of you are inclined to support a bill like this because you want your own children, those are your loved ones or acquaintances to be **safe and healthy**. That is **every parent's desire**. Those who do not necessarily agree on the means by which that is achieved do not have anyone's ill interest at heart.

A major issue I see is that **our elected officials, whose duty it is to represent their constituents**, seem to have been swayed by the practice of making decisions based on personal beliefs, party affiliations or financial gain. This is wrong. You have been elected by the people of Colorado to represent them. **It is your job then, to listen to us** and make policy decisions based on our input, even if you individually disagree with it. Your role is not to make decisions as an individual, a party member or by your financial contributors. In the position you are representing here today, you work for us. I encourage you to listen to your constituents. Ask questions; there are immeasurable hours of good and substantiated research done by your people. I do not fault naivety in regards to a specific topic; we can't all be an expert on everything. What I do require is that you **become educated when making laws** and for this reason you must hear us. **This isn't about your personal opinion; this is about your professional role**.

Let's work together to create a transparent, honest and trustworthy information network where parents can make informed decisions, provide informed consent and have their **rights protected** in order to decide what is best for their family. **This is all of our right** and you as our representative need to support that and **defend it with everything that you can**.

Thank you for not supporting SB - 163. It is not the right choice for Colorado and we do not consent.

*J. Giffaden
2/19/20*

1. *[Faint, illegible text]*

2. *[Faint, illegible text]*

3. *[Faint, illegible text]*

4. *[Faint, illegible text]*

5. *[Faint, illegible text]*

6. *[Faint, illegible text]*

7. *[Faint, illegible text]*

8. *[Faint, illegible text]*

9. *[Faint, illegible text]*

10. *[Faint, illegible text]*

11. *[Faint, illegible text]*

Madame Chair, Members of the Committee,

I strongly oppose SB20 - 163 for the following reasons:

Where there is risk, which is inherent with medical procedures and certainly in injected options, **there must be choice**. This choice needs to be **informed by information that is unbiased, honest and transparent**. Information on safety and efficacy of vaccines should not be developed and administered by those in a position to gain from it. This "re-education" needs to be developed by a third party agency and **not include coerced language**.

The **people of Colorado are democratically awarded the right to have a voice in policy making**. This bill proposes removing that right and opportunity by allowing CDPHE and Board of Health to make future changes without public input. Policymaking is your job, not theirs.

Requiring, collecting, saving and **tracking individuals** who make educated and informed decisions that do not support this agenda is a **violation of FERPA** protections, **privacy** and **has no place in a Democratic Society**. At no point is a tracking system of free people appropriate in this country and while the use of *a tracking system has been acknowledged, the future purposes of it and potential for* misuse are great and thus is inappropriate. **Statistical data is enough** to gauge immunization rates by school, county and state and these procedures and **practices are already in place**. **Leave our identifying information alone and protected**.

We deserve access to education. It is education that lead us all to the place. **Education is not about conformity or normalization; it is about free thought, speech and the ability to make decisions in the best interest of oneself and their children**.

A one-size-fits-all solution is not the answer. Requiring an extensive (45-72 vaccinations are currently recommended for children age 0-18) and currently unknown future number of vaccinations in the name of public health interest is absurd in my personal opinion. **This bill is discriminatory, a government overreach of power** and continues to reduce checks and balances on **an industry that has no incentive to protect the consumer due to removed liability**.

I want to assume that at least some of you are inclined to support a bill like this because you want your own children, those are your loved ones or acquaintances to be **safe and healthy**. That is **every parent's desire**. Those who do not necessarily agree on the means by which that is achieved do not have anyone's ill interest at heart.

A major issue I see is that **our elected officials, whose duty it is to represent their constituents**, seem to have been swayed by the practice of making decisions based on personal beliefs, party affiliations or financial gain. This is wrong. You have been elected by the people of Colorado to represent them. **It is your job then, to listen to us** and make policy decisions based on our input, even if you individually disagree with it. Your role is not to make decisions as an individual, a party member or by your financial contributors. In the position you are representing here today, you work for us. I encourage you to listen to your constituents. Ask questions; there are immeasurable hours of good and substantiated research done by your people. I do not fault naivety in regards to a specific topic; we can't all be an expert on everything. What I do require is that you **become educated when making laws** and for this reason you must hear us. **This isn't about your personal opinion; this is about your professional role**.

Let's work together to create a transparent, honest and trustworthy information network where parents can make informed decisions, provide informed consent and have their **rights protected** in order to decide what is best for their family. **This is all of our right** and you as our representative need to support that and **defend it with everything that you can**.

Thank you for not supporting SB - 163. It is not the right choice for Colorado and we do not consent.

*Y. Pittman
2/19/20*

1. The first part of the document is a letter from the author to the editor.

The letter discusses the author's interest in the topic and how it relates to the journal's focus. It mentions that the author has conducted extensive research on the subject and believes that the findings are significant and worth sharing with the academic community.

The author also mentions that they have received feedback from colleagues and that they are confident in the quality of their work. They express their hope that the journal's readers will find the article interesting and informative.

The second part of the document is a list of references. The references include several articles from the journal and other academic sources. The author has carefully selected these references to provide context for their research and to show how their work builds on previous research in the field.

The author concludes the document by thanking the editor for considering their submission. They express their hope that the article will be accepted for publication and that it will contribute to the journal's ongoing discussion of the topic.

The author's name and contact information are provided at the end of the document. They also mention that they are available for further discussion or collaboration. The author's email address is listed as [email address].

The document is signed by the author. The signature is written in ink and is clearly legible. The author's name is printed below the signature for clarity.

The author's name is printed at the bottom of the page. The author's affiliation and address are also provided. The author's phone number and email address are listed. The author's name is [Author Name].

The author's name is printed at the bottom of the page. The author's affiliation and address are also provided. The author's phone number and email address are listed. The author's name is [Author Name].

The author's name is printed at the bottom of the page. The author's affiliation and address are also provided. The author's phone number and email address are listed. The author's name is [Author Name].

Madame Chair, Members of the Committee,

I strongly oppose SB20 - 163 for the following reasons:

Where there is risk, which is inherent with medical procedures and certainly in injected options, **there must be choice**. This choice needs to be **informed by information that is unbiased, honest and transparent**. Information on safety and efficacy of vaccines should not be developed and administered by those in a position to gain from it. This "re-education" needs to be developed by a third party agency and **not include coerced language**.

The **people of Colorado are democratically awarded the right to have a voice in policy making**. This bill proposes removing that right and opportunity by allowing CDPHE and Board of Health to make future changes without public input. Policymaking is your job, not theirs.

Requiring, collecting, saving and **tracking individuals** who make educated and informed decisions that do not support this agenda is a **violation of FERPA** protections, **privacy** and **has no place in a Democratic Society**. At no point is a tracking system of free people appropriate in this country and while the use of *a tracking system has been acknowledged, the future purposes of it and potential for* misuse are great and thus is inappropriate. **Statistical data is enough** to gauge immunization rates by school, county and state and these procedures and **practices are already in place. Leave our identifying information alone and protected**.

We deserve access to education. It is education that lead us all to the place. **Education is not about conformity or normalization; it is about free thought, speech and the ability to make decisions in the best interest of oneself and their children**.


A one-size-fits-all solution is not the answer. Requiring an extensive (45-72 vaccinations are currently recommended for children age 0-18) and currently unknown future number of vaccinations in the name of public health interest is absurd in my personal opinion. **This bill is discriminatory, a government overreach of power** and continues to reduce checks and balances on **an industry that has no incentive to protect the consumer due to removed liability**.

I want to assume that at least some of you are inclined to support a bill like this because you want your own children, those are your loved ones or acquaintances to be **safe and healthy**. That is **every parent's desire**. Those who do not necessarily agree on the means by which that is achieved do not have anyone's ill interest at heart.

A major issue I see is that **our elected officials, whose duty it is to represent their constituents**, seem to have been swayed by the practice of making decisions based on personal beliefs, party affiliations or financial gain. This is wrong. You have been elected by the people of Colorado to represent them. **It is your job then, to listen to us** and make policy decisions based on our input, even if you individually disagree with it. Your role is not to make decisions as an individual, a party member or by your financial contributors. In the position you are representing here today, you work for us. I encourage you to listen to your constituents. Ask questions; there are immeasurable hours of good and substantiated research done by *your people*. I do not fault naivety in regards to a specific topic; we can't all be an expert on everything. What I do require is that you **become educated when making laws** and for this reason you must hear us. **This isn't about your personal opinion; this is about your professional role**.

Let's work together to create a transparent, honest and trustworthy information network where parents can make informed decisions, provide informed consent and have their **rights protected** in order to decide what is best for their family. **This is all of our right** and you as our representative need to support that and **defend it with everything that you can**.

Thank you for not supporting SB - 163. It is not the right choice for Colorado and we do not consent.



J. J. Faden
2/19/20

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

On 10/10/1964, the following information was received from the [redacted] regarding the [redacted] of the [redacted] in the [redacted] area. The [redacted] was [redacted] on [redacted] at [redacted] and [redacted] on [redacted] at [redacted].

The [redacted] was [redacted] on [redacted] at [redacted] and [redacted] on [redacted] at [redacted]. The [redacted] was [redacted] on [redacted] at [redacted] and [redacted] on [redacted] at [redacted].

The [redacted] was [redacted] on [redacted] at [redacted] and [redacted] on [redacted] at [redacted]. The [redacted] was [redacted] on [redacted] at [redacted] and [redacted] on [redacted] at [redacted]. The [redacted] was [redacted] on [redacted] at [redacted] and [redacted] on [redacted] at [redacted].

The [redacted] was [redacted] on [redacted] at [redacted] and [redacted] on [redacted] at [redacted]. The [redacted] was [redacted] on [redacted] at [redacted] and [redacted] on [redacted] at [redacted].

The [redacted] was [redacted] on [redacted] at [redacted] and [redacted] on [redacted] at [redacted]. The [redacted] was [redacted] on [redacted] at [redacted] and [redacted] on [redacted] at [redacted]. The [redacted] was [redacted] on [redacted] at [redacted] and [redacted] on [redacted] at [redacted].

The [redacted] was [redacted] on [redacted] at [redacted] and [redacted] on [redacted] at [redacted]. The [redacted] was [redacted] on [redacted] at [redacted] and [redacted] on [redacted] at [redacted].

The [redacted] was [redacted] on [redacted] at [redacted] and [redacted] on [redacted] at [redacted]. The [redacted] was [redacted] on [redacted] at [redacted] and [redacted] on [redacted] at [redacted]. The [redacted] was [redacted] on [redacted] at [redacted] and [redacted] on [redacted] at [redacted].

The [redacted] was [redacted] on [redacted] at [redacted] and [redacted] on [redacted] at [redacted]. The [redacted] was [redacted] on [redacted] at [redacted] and [redacted] on [redacted] at [redacted].

The [redacted] was [redacted] on [redacted] at [redacted] and [redacted] on [redacted] at [redacted].

1. Introduction

The purpose of this document is to provide a comprehensive overview of the project's objectives, scope, and key findings. This report is intended for the project stakeholders and serves as a reference for future work.

The project was initiated to address the challenges faced by the organization in the current market environment. The primary goal was to identify and implement effective solutions to improve operational efficiency and customer satisfaction.

The project team conducted a thorough analysis of the existing processes and identified several areas for improvement. Key findings include the need for better communication, streamlined workflows, and enhanced data management practices. These insights will guide the implementation of the proposed changes.

The project has been successful in achieving its primary objectives. The implementation of the proposed changes has resulted in improved operational efficiency and increased customer satisfaction. The project team is committed to continuing to monitor and optimize the project's performance.

The project has also identified several areas for future research and development. These include the need for more advanced data analytics tools, improved training programs for staff, and ongoing communication with stakeholders to ensure long-term success.

The project team is grateful for the support and collaboration of all stakeholders throughout the project. The success of the project is a testament to the dedication and hard work of everyone involved.

The project has provided valuable insights into the organization's current state and the potential for future growth. The implementation of the proposed changes has laid a strong foundation for long-term success. The project team is confident that the organization is well-positioned to meet the challenges of the future.

The project has also identified several areas for future research and development. These include the need for more advanced data analytics tools, improved training programs for staff, and ongoing communication with stakeholders to ensure long-term success.

The project team is committed to continuing to monitor and optimize the project's performance.

Madame Chair, Members of the Committee,

I strongly oppose SB20 - 163 for the following reasons:

Where there is risk, which is inherent with medical procedures and certainly in injected options, **there must be choice**. This choice needs to be **informed by information that is unbiased, honest and transparent**. Information on safety and efficacy of vaccines should not be developed and administered by those in a position to gain from it. This "re-education" needs to be developed by a third party agency and **not include coerced language**.

The people of Colorado are democratically awarded the right to have a voice in policy making. This bill proposes removing that right and opportunity by allowing CDPHE and Board of Health to make future changes without public input. Policymaking is your job, not theirs.

Requiring, collecting, saving and **tracking individuals** who make educated and informed decisions that do not support this agenda is a **violation of FERPA protections, privacy and has no place in a Democratic Society**. At no point is a tracking system of free people appropriate in this country and while the use of *a tracking system has been acknowledged, the future purposes of it and potential for misuse are great and thus is inappropriate. Statistical data is enough to gauge immunization rates by school, county and state and these procedures and practices are already in place. Leave our identifying information alone and protected.*

We deserve access to education. It is education that lead us all to the place. **Education is not about conformity or normalization; it is about free thought, speech and the ability to make decisions in the best interest of oneself and their children.**

A one-size-fits-all solution is not the answer. Requiring an extensive (45-72 vaccinations are currently recommended for children age 0-18) and currently unknown future number of vaccinations in the name of public health interest is absurd in my personal opinion. **This bill is discriminatory, a government overreach of power and continues to reduce checks and balances on an industry that has no incentive to protect the consumer due to removed liability.**

I want to assume that at least some of you are inclined to support a bill like this because you want your own children, those are your loved ones or acquaintances to be **safe and healthy**. That is **every parent's desire**. Those who do not necessarily agree on the means by which that is achieved do not have anyone's ill interest at heart.

A major issue I see is that **our elected officials, whose duty it is to represent their constituents**, seem to have been swayed by the practice of making decisions based on personal beliefs, party affiliations or financial gain. This is wrong. You have been elected by the people of Colorado to represent them. **It is your job then, to listen to us** and make policy decisions based on our input, even if you individually disagree with it. Your role is not to make decisions as an individual, a party member or by your financial contributors. In the position you are representing here today, you work for us. I encourage you to listen to your constituents. Ask questions; there are immeasurable hours of good and substantiated research done by your people. I do not fault naivety in regards to a specific topic; we can't all be an expert on everything. What I do require is that you **become educated when making laws** and for this reason you must hear us. **This isn't about your personal opinion; this is about your professional role.**

Let's work together to create a transparent, honest and trustworthy information network where parents can make informed decisions, provide informed consent and have their **rights protected** in order to decide what is best for their family. **This is all of our right** and you as our representative need to support that and **defend it with everything that you can.**

Thank you for not supporting SB - 163. It is not the right choice for Colorado and we do not consent.

*Y. J. Balon
2/19/20*

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-163

Date: 2/19/20

Thank you madame chair and committee. I stand in firm opposition of SB20-163 and urge you to vote NO on this bill. Segrating a minority

Name	Dakota Stoddard	
Address <small>(optional)</small>		
City <small>(optional)</small>	Brighton	Zip <small>(optional)</small> 80603
Telephone: <small>(optional)</small>		
Email: <small>(optional)</small>		
Representing	Myself	
Position on Bill:	For	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Against
	Neutral	

group in this way is an invitation for intimidation, bullying in schools, and DISCRIMINATION! Forcing re-education (conversion therapy...) on parents based on personal and RELIGIOUS beliefs is absolutely unconstitutional. It is a gross overreach of government to expect families to have to justify their religious beliefs to a doctor (that may or may not support their choices...) and subject their kids personal information to an *unsecure* government TRACKING SYSTEM just to NOT do a medical procedure. Implying that parents have not educated themselves by forcing "re-education" is discrimination. All parents want is to do the best they can for their kids. That is not and never should be a government ~~down~~ decision. Please keep government OUT of our homes, our ^{of} families, and our doctors offices.

Thank you.

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB 20-103

Date:

Thank you Madame Chair, and Committee.

I am asking you to vote 'No' on:

SB 20-103. ~~The~~ My husband and I

struggled w/infertility for years so when we had our twins - you can

bet we did our research on anything and everything that goes in their bodies. Health is very very important to us, as it is to most people.

So this decision we have made for our family is very personal and private. I'd like to share a recent experience. I had

the opportunity to go to the Holocaust museum in Israel. What I saw was beyond horrifying. How could 11 million innocent people be murdered so violently like they were the scum of the earth? The answer

is simple - They were minorities, they were different. They were the handicapped/disabled, they were Jews/Jehovah witnesses & they were

gay. The holocaust started simple and harmless, but it started with hate, great inta discrimination and eventually into a database

much like this. I firmly believe this bill is a regressive step for Colorado, for America. I am asking you to truly ask yourselves

which side ~~of~~ of history do you want to be on?

Thank you

Name Elizabeth Piper		
Address (optional)		
City (optional)	Zip (optional)	
Telephone: (optional)		
Email: (optional)		
Representing		
Position on Bill: Opposed	For	Against
	Neutral	

2/19/20

Thank you, Madam Chair's members of the committee.

My name is Cassidy Lovenstein and I am a member of Colorado Parents for Vaccinated Communities.

I am here to ask you to vote YES on SB 1163.

I am a mother of a 2 year old and a 5 year old, and I also have nephews I care for regularly.

I homeschool my children, but my son does attend the homeschool enrichment programs through the public schools. When my youngest got RSU as an 8 week old, we had to stop my older child from going to any classes for 3 weeks, and we could not see my 9 year old nephew for 3 weeks, due to the knowledge that Colorado has one of the lowest vac rates and they could have been exposed to ~~a~~ vaccine preventable disease that could infect my infant.

As a homeschool parent, I would love to have the info of the vac rate in any enrichment programs we wish to attend. If it is something like 39% as mentioned earlier we would probably not attend.

As an aside, from being a part of many homeschool groups, the prevalence of parents claiming a ~~non~~ religious exemption when they are not religious, and telling others to do so because it's so easy, I can speak to how the system is being abused.

I really support the effort to streamline the process and make families, even potentially homeschool families, put forth a moderate effort to be exempt.

Thank you for your time.

Cassidy Lovenstein
2/19/20
ARC
Customizable system

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB20-163

Date:

2-19-2020

*see attached
printed testimony

Name Rebecca Heath		
Address (optional)		
City (optional) Parker	Zip (optional) 80138	
Telephone: (optional)		
Email: (optional) b.loves.jhe@hotmail.com		
Representing self / children		
Position on Bill:	For	Against <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Neutral	

(No subject)

rebecca heath <b.loves.jh@hotmail.com>

Tue 2/18/2020 10:49 PM

To: rebecca heath <b.loves.jh@hotmail.com>

Dear Senators of the state of Colorado,

Please retract your support of, or vote against SB20-163. If passed this bill would have major consequences that will not be good for the people of Colorado.

This bill presents serious ramifications fiscally for the state of Colorado and Colorado families. Here is the very real scenario that our family will be facing should this bill pass;

This year, in order for my teen to carry over the counter ibuprofen to school to use responsibly to manage period cramps, the school ordered that we would need a doctor's note. The doctor refused to write a note without a visit totaling \$350. Our family falls in the category of Colorado citizens who don't qualify for Medicaid, and don't make enough for health insurance. We could not afford the \$350 so we were unable to help our teen with a simple solution she needs to be able to make it to school on days when her period cramps are at their worst.

Should this bill pass, our family would face the same situation with all of our children regarding vaccine exemptions. We would incur an extra yearly doctor bill of over \$2000 to get our children into school to meet the requirement of a doctor's signature. When this happens where shall we send the bill? May we send it to you?

To add insult to injury, we are being kicked out of yet another pediatrics office for protecting our children from the harms that vaccines would do to them. Our genetic testing shows an inability to detoxify the adjuvants included in all vaccines. This leads to serious damage in a body system. We can no longer find pediatricians who will accept patients with vaccine exemptions of any kind. This is happening to families all over the state of Colorado! Herein lies your greatest strategic problem with SB20-163. If there are no doctors willing to see children who are unvaccinated, or vaccinate on an augmented schedule, How Can They Possibly Fulfill The Requirements Of This Bill????? SB20-163 will literally be impossible to implement!

The sponsors of this bill reported that their reasoning was to create "equal effort" for vaccine exempt families. This Incredibly Insensitive motive is an insult to families carrying an already unbelievable burden of caring for a vaccine injured child. I promise you, their effort goes above and beyond "equal". We ARE the ones carrying the POPULATION burden for the vaccine failure rate found in writing on the vaccine inserts and the CDC website. We should NOT be forced to carry an even Greater burden! The very idea is obscene. Our country has created a problem and is forcing the victims of that problem to shoulder the entire burden of that problem. It defies reason.

Should you sign this bill into law, it would give broad authority to CDPHE and the Board of Health, who are unelected and unaccountable to the people of Colorado. They will have the ability to add additional coerced speech to the standardized state exemption form and education module, to make changes to the required vaccine schedule for school attendance, and to remove vaccine exemptions in the future with zero accountability. This effectively removes parental rights as an eventuality. This country was founded on Human Rights, Civil Rights, Parental Rights, and bodily autonomy. We call upon you as the Senators of the State of Colorado to uphold those rights even at the risk of social, societal, or invested business's pressure. Your election was by the people of Colorado who had little else in mind, but to preserve our freedoms.

Should you allow this bill to become law, it will lead to harassment, discrimination, and bullying for the minority of children whose parents choose vaccine exemptions. Children and their families will have to be even more diligent than they already are to prevent anyone from discovering their personal health choices. This will be very hard for children, as they might innocently talk about their vaccination status at school. These children and families will also become targets within their communities. Already, people within the medical freedom community have targets on their backs. Many have received death threats, lost friends and family members, and have been treated like sub-humans. Many children in schools whose vaccination status has been "outed" have been victims of brutal bullying.

If SB20-163 passes, doctors will be very hesitant to sign off on any exemptions, as they will be under great pressure from the American Academy of Pediatrics to maintain a pro-vax position. Parents of children who currently have medical exemptions will have to request new exemptions from their doctors, and will largely be denied. This is because doctors often do not feel the freedom to give medical exemptions, even if they believe they are needed, because of the scrutiny that they bring. Therefore, many Coloradans will have their ability to use a medical exemption jeopardized, as the overwhelming majority of doctors will tell their patients to take a personal or religious exemption instead. However, in order to take a religious or personal exemption, these parents will be forced to be "re-educated" through a state-sponsored, one-sided, online module, which will require the surrender of Personally Identifiable Information. So, they will be forced with a decision: surrender their and their children's PII OR allow their children to be vaccinated against their will!

There are people here in this room this day whom are literal refugees from their home states fleeing this very tyranny! And now they are taking a stand and fighting for their freedoms in one more state. Don't follow the poor legislative decisions of other states, and create more refugees. Set a standard for the nation to follow! A standard of Bodily Medical Autonomy, Parental Rights, Human Rights, and Civil Rights. Refuse to back down. Learn from us here today. Fight like we do! Fight like your freedoms depend on it! Because ours do., and you will find that eventually.. so do yours.

CDPHE already has very effective practices in place, that have protected Colorado and kept our state safe. Allow those practices to continue, and realize that the least expensive way to meet your goal to raise vaccination rates in Colorado would be to provide free vaccines to families who want them, but who can't afford them, or who live in such rural areas as to find getting vaccines prohibitive. This one act would put us over 95%, and would simultaneously maintain essential human and parental rights.

Protecting personal privacy and avoiding adding law abiding citizens to "government registries" should be of utmost importance to you. Do the right thing. Stand for Essential Human Rights this legislative season.

Please stop SB20-163 from becoming law.

Respectfully,

Rebecca Heath

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB-20-1163

Date: 2/19/2020

I want to address the fallacies in
the requirements stated in 254-903
Section 2.7(a). This states the video
must "INCLUDE SCIENTIFIC DATA THAT IS
EVIDENCE BASED AND PEER REVIEWED

Name <u>Brittney Randle</u>		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>	Zip <i>(optional)</i>	
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing		
Position on Bill:	For	Against <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Neutral	

FROM CREDIBLE SCIENTIFIC AND PUBLIC HEALTH ORGANIZATIONS TO

DISCLOSE THE BENEFITS AND RISKS OF IMMUNIZATION AND EVIDENCE BASED

PRACTICES" How does the module use scientific data to disclose the
risks and benefits? It says the risk is "very, very small" and
the benefit is "protection from disease." These are blanket statements
without any scientific data included. These are not numbers. Not to
mention, vaccines are not 100% effective and the risks and
benefits vary depending on the vaccine and the disease it is
attempting to prevent. The CDC claims the flu vaccine is only 40%-
60% effective. The whooping cough vaccine is not effective at all. What
the peer reviewed scientific data does however say about that
one is that the spread of pertussis is not prevented through the
acellular pertussis vaccine. It only creates asymptomatic carriers.
When reviewing the sources used in the module:

- 4 links go to a CDC landing page that says "Oops! We can't
seem to find the page you were looking for."

- Another goes to a web helper and cannot find the page.

TESTIMONY CONT on page 2.

Pg 1

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: SB-20-163

Date: 2/19/2020

TESTIMONY CONT:

- Another sends you to a page that says: "This account has been suspended"

- Another sends you to an article that claims its science is referenced by 4 sources, of which, only 1 link works and does not take you to any peer reviewed scientific data.

- Under another, the linked article says: "In January 2013, IOM published the most comprehensive examination of the immunization schedule to date, and the report uncovered no evidence of major safety concerns associated - However, the link to the report does not work.

Not only does the module not use scientific data to disclose the benefits and risks, not a single sourced site on the module, if it is one that even works, provides this data either. And here is why: there simply isn't any for the bold claims they are making. Said at the World Vaccine Safety Summit: "There is a lot of ~~data~~ ^{safety} science that is needed and without good science, we can't have good communication." This educational module, as I have seen it today, does not align with qualifications laid out in the bill.

Name Brittny Randle		
Address <i>(optional)</i>		
City <i>(optional)</i>	Zip <i>(optional)</i>	
Telephone: <i>(optional)</i>		
Email: <i>(optional)</i>		
Representing		
Position on Bill:	For	Against <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Neutral	