

House Energy & Environment

03/06/2025 01:30 PM

HB25-1267 Support for Statewide Energy Strategies

Typed Text of Testimony Submitted

Name, Position, Representing	Typed Text of Testimony
Mark Kaiser Against himself	<p>Critique of HB 25-1267</p> <p>An Inefficient Use of Taxpayer Funds</p> <p>HB 25-1267 does not appear to provide a beneficial outcome and may not be an efficient use of taxpayer funds. Given the current budget shortfall due to mismanagement, this bill seems to be an attempt to bring the budget back into line, albeit in a way that may not yield the desired results.</p> <p>One of the primary concerns with HB 25-1267 is its focus on electric-powered vehicles. Despite the growing interest in and adoption of electric vehicles (EVs), they still constitute a very small percentage of the total number of vehicles on the road. This limited presence means that the impact of electric vehicles on the overall demand for electrical power supplies is minimal. Consequently, allocating significant funds to this initiative may not be justified given the small scale of its influence.</p> <p>Furthermore, the bill's financial implications raise questions about the prioritization of taxpayer funds. With the budget already strained, it is crucial to direct resources toward initiatives that promise substantial returns or address pressing needs. The investment in electric-powered vehicle infrastructure, while forward-thinking, may not align with the immediate fiscal realities and needs of the community.</p> <p>In conclusion, while the intentions behind HB 25-1267 may be noble, its practical benefits are questionable. It is essential to consider whether this bill is the best use of limited taxpayer resources, especially in the context of a budget shortfall. Redirecting funds to more impactful and urgent areas may be a more prudent approach to addressing current financial challenges.</p>
Jeany Rush Against himself	<p>TO: HOUSE ENERGY & ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE</p> <p>RE: HB25-1267 Support for Statewide Energy Strategies</p> <p>Sponsors: Paschal, McCormick, F.Winter, Amabile</p> <p>FROM: Jeany Rush, Colorado Springs Constituent</p> <p>VOTE: NO 3-6-25</p> <p>This is absolutely not the role of the state government over the entire state.</p> <p>Seeking to not only establish pricing for a failed EV charging program, but to also then control technical requirements far exceeds the authority of the state legislature. You are not supposed to control Commerce. The free markets will determine the end results. The fact that the EV charging is a total disaster, as are lithium batteries,</p>

	<p>catching fire in thousands and more, in itself is a warning. Someone still has to provide the electricity for the charging, and the pseudo renewables will not always be reliable, and there we sit with another bad deal.</p> <p>You are constantly attempting to control our free economy with failed policies, which fund more and more regulatory agencies within our already Bloated State infrastructure. This is just so so Wrong!</p>
--	--