



Amanda King <amanda.king@coleg.gov>

---

## Support HB25-1164

1 message

---

**Riley Boydston** <boydstun89@gmail.com>

Mon, Feb 24, 2025 at 4:32 PM

To: amanda.king@coleg.gov

I am writing this email to ask you to support HB25-1164. This is an important opportunity to uphold the God given rights of not only Colorado citizens but to set an example for free men and women across this country.

Thank you,

Riley Boydston

Gun Control Research-Wright and Rossi Department of Justice Study  
(Deterrent effect of armed citizens upon criminal behavior)

Professors James D. Wright and Peter Rossi of the Social and Demographic Research Institute at the University of Massachusetts conducted a study in 1982 and 1983 paid for by the U.S. Department of Justice. (Professor Rossi was a former President of the American Sociological Association.) The researchers interviewed 1,874 imprisoned felons in ten states.

Professors Wright and Rossi initially believed that strict gun control deterred crime. The results of their research led them to the conclusion that armed citizens have a beneficial effect in reducing criminal behavior and that harsh laws, such as handgun bans, could result in criminals using sawed off rifles and shotguns with more deadly results. 88% of the criminals surveyed by Wright and Rossi agreed with the statement that, "A criminal who wants a handgun is going to get one."

A 1986 review of the professors' work, *Armed and Considered Dangerous*, by Raymond G. Kessler of the Department of Criminal Justice of Memphis State University, concluded, "Although *Armed and Considered Dangerous* is not free of methodological problems, it is the best policy-oriented study of criminals and their guns available."

Wright and Rossi reported that:

81% of interviewees agreed that a "smart criminal" will try to determine if a potential victim is armed.

74% indicated that burglars avoided occupied dwellings, because of fear of being shot.

57% said that most criminals feared armed citizens more than the police.

40% of the felons said that they had been deterred from committing a particular crime, because they believed that the potential victim was armed.

57% of the felons who had used guns themselves said that they had encountered potential victims who were armed.

34% of the criminal respondents said that they had been scared off, shot at, wounded, or captured by an armed citizen.

Based on this government-funded research by Wright and Rossi, it would appear that armed citizens do have a deterrent effect on crime.

Wright, James D., Rossi, Peter H., Daly, Kathleen, *Under the Gun, Weapons, Crime, and Violence in America*, Aldine de Gruyter, New York, 1983.

Wright, James D., Rossi, Peter H., *The Armed Criminal in America*, U.S. Department of Justice, 1985.

Wright, James D., Rossi, Peter H., *Armed and Considered Dangerous, a Survey of Felons and their Firearms*, Aldine de Gruyter, New York, 1986.

Firearms Coalition of Colorado, PO Box 1454 Englewood, CO 80150-1454

Testimony in support of: HB25-1164, Constitutional Carry of Handgun

Thank you, Chair and Committee

My name is Robert Edmiston. I am with the Firearms Coalition of Colorado an NRA-affiliated, all-volunteer, grassroots organization dedicated to the protection of individual rights and public safety.

I am a former U.S. Army Officer and Vocational Rehabilitation Counselor. I have a Master's Degree in Psychology, Counseling and Guidance.

I am writing in support of the measure under consideration:

I have two quotations from different centuries that I believe can be applied to restrictions on concealed carry. The first comes from Cesare Beccaria, an Italian criminologist, who was an early opponent of the death penalty. His 1764 treatise, *On Crimes and Punishments*, advocated reform of the Italian criminal justice system. Here is a summary of his thoughts on laws that prohibit the carrying of arms.

“False is the idea of utility that sacrifices a thousand real advantages for one imaginary or trifling inconvenience; that would take away fire because it burns, and water because one may drown in it. The laws that forbid the carrying of arms are laws of such a nature. They disarm only those who are neither inclined nor determined to commit crimes. Can it be supposed that those who have the courage to violate the most sacred laws of humanity, will respect the less important and arbitrary ones, which can be violated with ease and impunity, and which, if strictly obeyed, would put an end to personal liberty -so dear to humanity, so dear to the enlightened legislator- and subject innocent persons to all the vexations that the guilty alone ought to suffer? Such laws make things worse for the assaulted and better for the assailants; they serve to encourage rather than to prevent homicides, for an unarmed person may be attacked with greater confidence than an armed person.”

The second quotation addresses gun-control laws in general.

Professor Donald Kates was co-author of “Would Banning Firearms Reduce Murder and Suicide? A review of International and Some Domestic Evidence” published in the spring of 2007 in the *Harvard Journal of Law and Public Policy*, the most widely distributed law review in the US. Professor Kates served at Stanford Law School. His co-author, Professor Gary Mauser, served at a Canadian university. The Kates-Mauser study looked at 18 European nations with varying levels of gun ownership. They concluded: “The people you need to control are not going to obey the gun control laws...and the people you don't need to control, are the ones who obey. So, what you get is...either nothing, or you get worse results with gun control...”

More from Kates and Mauser: “Whether gun availability is viewed as a cause or as a mere coincidence, the long-term macrocosmic evidence is that gun ownership spread widely throughout societies consistently correlates with stable or declining murder rates.

Whether causative or not, the consistent international pattern is that more guns equal less murder and other violent crime.”

We may hear testimony about The Violence Policy Center’s allegations of “Concealed Carry Killers.” The Violence Policy Center is notorious for miscounting data points, and expanding crime definitions to meaningless degrees, e.g., a BB gun shot at a school bus with no injuries becomes a “school shooting.” In a study, the VPC counted one case where a concealed carry **application** was found in a perpetrator’s dwelling.

Professor, Attorney, and Author, David Kopel has described VPC Research as “Junk Science,” before a committee of this Legislature. Violence Policy Center research seems to fit the following description: “I followed the science, but could not find it. Then I followed the money. It was there that I found the science.”

Law abiding citizens with concealed carry permits are not law-abiding just because they have concealed carry permits. They go to the trouble to get the permits, because they are law-abiding. They will be no less law-abiding, if there is no legal requirement to pay a fee to exercise an enumerated Constitutional right.

What about studies that show higher rates of homicide in areas with less strict gun laws? We would have to look at where the people who did the study got their money. I would rather rely on the Wright and Rossi Study, which found that armed citizens deter criminals, which was paid for by the US Government. Professors Wright and Rossi changed their opinions on gun control based on their research, rather than tailor the research to fit their pre-conceived ideas that gun control was effective. (See information on Wright and Rossi Study.)

According to the US Concealed Carry Association, 29 states have some form of Constitutional Carry. [What Is Constitutional Carry? | State Gun Laws | USCCA Blog](#). Let us add Colorado to this list.

We urge an “aye” vote on this bill. Thank you, Chair and Committee.

Robert Edmiston  
Volunteer Lobbyist  
The Firearms Coalition of Colorado  
PO Box 1454, Englewood, CO 80150-1454

**House State, Civic, Military, & Veterans Affairs**

**02/24/2025 01:30 PM**

**HB25-1164 Constitutional Carry of Handgun**

**Typed Text of Testimony Submitted**

<b>Name, Position, Representing</b>	<b>Typed Text of Testimony</b>
Joseph Pero  For  themselves	<p>I strongly urge you to SUPPORT HB25-1164. This groundbreaking bill (at least for Colorado) ensures that individuals who carry a concealed handgun without a permit will enjoy the same rights, limitations, and authority as those who are privileged to hold a permit. This is not just legislation; it is a monumental step toward equality and empowerment for every responsible gun owner!</p> <p>Moreover, it also lowers the minimum age for recognizing out-of-state permits to 18 years of age. This change respects the rights of young adults who are ready for military service, as well as taking on the responsibilities of self-defense.</p> <p>Currently, permits are valid for a mere five years. This bill transforms that notion, granting the invaluable gift of a lifetime permit to those who choose to exercise their rights. Existing permits—every one that isn't a temporary emergency permit—will be upgraded to lifetime status, freeing individuals from the shackles and high cost of renewal and allows them to focus on what truly matters: their safety and the safety of their loved ones.</p> <p>Even more revolutionary is the repeal of local government authority to dictate how we exercise our rights to carry; the power of decision now rests firmly in the hands of the people, not bureaucrats!</p> <p>Please SUPPORT the pivotal HB25-1164 and champion the rights of all responsible citizens!</p>
Paula Gruentzel  Against  themselves	<p>I OPPOSE this bill as it repeals local government authority to regulate open or concealed carry of a handgun, including repealing the authority of special districts and the governing boards of institutions of higher education, as applicable.</p> <p>The leading cause of death of children in America now gun violence.</p> <p>The voters voted to make hand gun control the law in Colorado. You are attempting to overturn the will of the people with this bill. The voters are paying attention to what you are doing. You're supposed to be helping the people, not submitting bills that will kill their children. Shame.</p>

<p>Aaron Mercer For themselves</p>	<p>Good day. I am asking that this constitutional carry bill be voted Yes. Many states have already passed constitutional carry laws. With all the anti-gun bills this state has passed, the law abiding people of the State of Colorado should be able to carry concealed without having to apply for permits. The law abiding people have a right to be able to defend themselves against the criminals who already don't abide by the laws of our Country and state. I am asking that everyone vote YES and support this bill. Thank you for your time.</p>
<p>Sarah Puhr Against themselves</p>	<p>Good afternoon, esteemed members of the committee.</p> <p>My name is Sarah Puhr, and I am a long-time resident of Lafayette, Colorado. I am here today to voice my opposition to HB25-1164. As a community member, I am deeply concerned about the potential impact of this bill on our public safety.</p> <p>Permitless carry legislation deprives state and local law enforcement of critical tools to enforce our state's concealed carry laws. It would allow out-of-state individuals, who might not even be allowed to have firearms in the first place, to carry concealed guns in our state without any oversight. This is a dangerous precedent that undermines our efforts to keep our communities safe.</p> <p>One size does not fit all. Preemption fails the public by robbing local elected officials of a powerful public safety tool. Local governments are best empowered to pass ordinances that are appropriate and timely for their specific communities. By supporting HB25-1164, we would be stripping away this essential local control.</p> <p>I strongly urge you to vote against HB25-1164 to ensure that our communities remain safe and secure. Thank you for your time.</p>
<p>Dawn Pare Against themselves</p>	<p>Good afternoon, esteemed members of the committee.</p> <p>My name is Dawn Pare, and I am a resident of Lafayette, Colorado. I am here today to voice my opposition to HB25-1164. As a community member, I am deeply concerned about the potential impact of this bill on our public safety.</p> <p>Permitless carry legislation deprives state and local law enforcement of critical tools to enforce our state's concealed carry laws. It would allow out-of-state individuals, who might not even be allowed to have firearms in the first place, to carry concealed guns in our state without any oversight. This is a dangerous precedent that undermines our efforts to keep our communities safe.</p> <p>One size does not fit all. Preemption fails the public by robbing local elected officials of a powerful public safety tool. Local governments are best empowered to pass ordinances that are appropriate and timely for their specific communities. By supporting HB25-1164, we would be stripping away this essential local control.</p>

	<p>I strongly urge you to vote against HB25-1164 to ensure that our communities remain safe and secure. Thank you for your time.</p>
<p>Beth Atkins Against themselves</p>	<p>I urge you to vote NO on HB25-1164 Permitless Conceal Carry bill.</p> <p>Having two close cousins die by gun violence in Colorado drives me to be involved in gun violence legislation. Please vote no on this bill.</p> <p>The bill allows any person to carry a concealed weapon without a permit. This puts the public, law enforcement, and students in more danger since any person could carry a handgun into a public space. The bill also repeals parts of other laws enacted, like the Sensitive Spaces, Conceal Carry Law and Pre-exemption which allows municipalities to create stronger gun laws in CO. Additionally, the bill allows a change for a person purchasing a gun from 21 to 18 years old. So, an 18 year old can't buy alcohol, but should be able to buy a gun?</p> <p>These are extreme moves to reverse our hard fought leading Colorado gun legislation. The bill would result in more risk to our communities, students and law officers and result in more gun deaths.</p> <p>Please vote NO on HB25-1164.</p> <p>Sincerely, Beth Atkins 10653 W Parkhill Dr. Littleton CO 80127</p>
<p>Robert Glenn For Weld County GOP</p>	<p>Honorable Members of the State, Civic, Military &amp; Veterans Affairs Committee,</p> <p>My name is Robert Glenn, and I am a 28 year citizen from Windsor, CO. I stand before you today to voice my strong support for the constitutional carry bill under consideration. This legislation represents a critical step toward affirming the fundamental rights enshrined in both our state and federal constitutions, while empowering law-abiding citizens to protect themselves, their families, and their communities.</p> <p>The Second Amendment of the United States Constitution is clear: "the right of the people to keep and bear Arms, shall not be infringed." Constitutional carry aligns with this principle by removing unnecessary bureaucratic hurdles, ensuring that responsible citizens can exercise their God-given right to self-defense without</p>

	<p>seeking permission from the government. For too long, law-abiding individuals have been burdened with permitting processes that treat a constitutional right as a privilege to be granted rather than a freedom to be upheld.</p> <p>As someone who values personal responsibility, I believe that law-abiding citizens—those who pose no threat to society—should not be penalized with fees, delays, or red tape when seeking to defend themselves. In my own life, [insert a brief personal anecdote if applicable, e.g., "I've seen firsthand how quickly danger can arise, whether it's a late-night walk home or a rural area far from police response"], I've come to understand that safety is not guaranteed by others—it's something we must be prepared to secure ourselves. Constitutional carry ensures that we can do so without undue restriction.</p> <p>Furthermore, the data supports this approach. States that have adopted constitutional carry—now over half the nation—have not seen the chaos or violence that opponents often predict. Instead, these states demonstrate that law-abiding citizens remain just that: law-abiding. Criminals, by definition, do not follow laws—whether they involve permits or prohibitions. Punishing the responsible majority for the actions of a lawless few is neither just nor effective.</p> <p>To the veterans and military members represented on this committee, I appeal to your understanding of duty and preparedness. Many of us, whether we've served or not, share that same commitment to protecting what matters most. Constitutional carry honors that spirit by trusting citizens to act responsibly, just as we trust our service members to uphold their oaths.</p> <p>I urge this committee to advance this bill, not only as a matter of policy but as a reaffirmation of liberty. Let our state lead by example, showing that we trust our people, respect their rights, and prioritize their safety. Thank you for your time and consideration. I'm happy to answer any questions you may have.</p>
<p>Evie Hudak For Colorado PTA</p>	<p>Colorado PTA opposes House Bill 1174. Colorado PTA has a long history of strong advocacy on gun safety. Our association is committed to legislative efforts to protect children and youth from gun violence. For most of our current members, their children have only ever lived in the shadow of mass shootings and widespread gun violence. There has never been a time when these parents could take it for granted that their children would be safe from gun violence. We believe the Legislature must enact legislation that will help prevent future tragedies from occurring.</p> <p>PTA supports very strict restrictions on the issuance of permits to carry concealed handguns and on access to guns by persons who may endanger public safety. We believe that permits should be issued only in cases of extreme urgency and need. In addition, the issuance should require extensive background checks and a firearms safety course. Permit-less carry would allow more guns to be in the public sphere carried by people who have not received safety training.</p> <p>Research demonstrates that the loosening of concealed carry laws results in more gun-related violence, including homicides. Furthermore, polling in Colorado has</p>

	<p>consistently shown that the majority of Coloradans are opposed to making it easier to carry a concealed weapon.</p> <p>We must all work together to end gun violence. Practical gun safety and violence prevention legislation will protect not just our children, but also all Americans. We urge you to defeat this bill, for the protection of our children and families.</p>
<p>mark kaiser For themselves</p>	<p>House Bill 25-1164 restores Coloradans' Second Amendment rights by establishing constitutional carry, ending local gun restrictions, and making concealed carry permits valid for life. No more bureaucratic red tape.</p> <p>Please vote yes on 1164.</p> <p>Thanks</p> <p>Mark Kaiser</p>
<p>Matthew Koziolk Against themselves</p>	<p>Good afternoon,</p> <p>I am submitting testimony against this bill as it is another step toward completely destroying our right to carry in this state. Unfortunately I am working and unable to testify in person. As you've seen in countless other states such as New York, California, etc., regulating where one can or cannot carry concealed or open carry further disenfranchises us from our right to keep and bear arms, a right that you swore an oath to protect. The right to carry is an integral part of Colorado's culture and history, and by continuing to police where we can or cannot exercise this right you are turning this into a non carry state. The people of Colorado are noticing this year more than ever the politicians who are are turning this state into an over regulated mess, and at the behest of a select few out of state funded nonprofit groups. Violent crime is at a 50 year low and being irrationally afraid of guns is not a good reason to continue restricting lawful gun ownership, lawful open carry, and gun culture. This state is being colonized by special interests from others states. please stop it.</p> <p>Matthew Koziolk</p>
<p>Leif Sigstedt For themselves</p>	<p>I hope you will all join me in supporting this bill. This bill will serve to further codify part of our already existent right to keep and bear arms which seems to be overlooked by those who are in charge. More than half of the country already has a law like this in place and there hasn't been any measurable negative side to allowing the law abiding citizens to bear arms while outside of the home. The criminals already carry guns wherever they want regardless of what the law says, so this doesn't affect them or what they do at all except for to give them pause when realizing that there would be less helpless victims for them to prey on if more of them were carrying guns. Please join me and all of my family and friends in supporting this common sense gun legislation. Thank you for your time and</p>

	<p>consideration. I wish it wasn't an 8 hour drive to the capitol or I'd be there telling this to you all in person.</p>
<p>David Fraker For himself</p>	<p>Honorable Members of the Colorado State House of Representatives,</p> <p>My name is David Fraker, and I am a resident of Parker, Colorado. I am writing to express my strong support for House Bill 25-1164, the Constitutional Carry bill. This important piece of legislation seeks to uphold and protect the Second Amendment rights of law-abiding citizens in Colorado, allowing them to carry a concealed firearm without the need for a government-issued permit.</p> <p>The right to keep and bear arms is enshrined in the Constitution of the United States and is a fundamental aspect of our liberty and self-defense. HB25-1164 recognizes this inherent right by allowing responsible gun owners to exercise their Second Amendment freedoms without undue governmental interference. By passing this bill, Colorado will join the growing number of states that have already enacted similar constitutional carry laws, reinforcing our commitment to individual liberties and public safety.</p> <p>Supporters of HB25-1164 believe that this bill will have several positive impacts on our community:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>**Empowering Law-Abiding Citizens**</b>: Constitutional carry empowers citizens by recognizing their right to self-defense without the need for a burdensome and often costly permit process. Law-abiding individuals should not have to navigate bureaucratic hurdles to exercise their constitutional rights.</li> <li>2. <b>**Deterrent to Crime**</b>: Studies have shown that when law-abiding citizens are allowed to carry firearms, it can act as a deterrent to criminal activity. Criminals are less likely to target individuals who may potentially be armed and capable of defending themselves and others.</li> <li>3. <b>**Equality and Fairness**</b>: The current permit system can disproportionately affect individuals with limited financial means or those living in rural areas where access to permit-issuing authorities may be challenging. Constitutional carry ensures that all citizens, regardless of their economic or geographic circumstances, have equal access to their Second Amendment rights.</li> <li>4. <b>**Streamlining Processes**</b>: By eliminating the need for a concealed carry permit, HB25-1164 will reduce administrative burdens on law enforcement agencies and free up resources that can be better utilized in addressing more pressing public safety concerns.</li> </ol>

	<p>Critics of constitutional carry often raise concerns about potential increases in gun violence and public safety risks. However, it is important to note that HB25-1164 does not change the laws regarding who is legally allowed to possess a firearm. Individuals who are prohibited from owning firearms under federal or state law will still be prohibited from carrying concealed firearms under this bill. Moreover, the experience of other states with constitutional carry laws has not shown a significant increase in gun-related incidents.</p> <p>In conclusion, HB25-1164 is a measured and responsible approach to upholding the constitutional rights of Colorado residents. It respects the principles of individual liberty, self-defense, and fairness. I urge the honorable members of the Colorado State House of Representatives to vote in favor of HB25-1164 and to stand with the citizens of Colorado in protecting our Second Amendment freedoms.</p> <p>Thank you for your time and consideration.</p> <p>Respectfully, David Fraker</p>
--	--

Dear Distinguished Members of the House State, Civic, Military, & Veterans Affairs Committee,

**RE: HB25-1164 Permitless Conceal Carry**

I implore you to vote NO on this ill-advised bill that will endanger Coloradans with the potential for serious injury or death.

What HB25-1164 would do:

With regard to permitless concealed carry weapon (CCW), HB25-1164 resembles the 13 previous permitless concealed carry bills that have been defeated. However, this bill goes much further -- repealing all or parts of three other laws recently enacted: Sensitive Spaces (SB24-131), the CCW Training Law (HB24-1174), and Repeal of Preemption (SB21-256).

Specifically, this bill:

- Establishes that anyone 18 years or older who is not prohibited from possessing a firearm can carry a hidden handgun just as if they had a CCW permit.
- Changes the current minimum age for a CCW permit from 21 to 18.
- Changes minimum age from 21 to 18 for permits recognized from other states.
- Makes the CCW permit valid for life, rather than the current 5-year term, thereby negating the revised CCW training classes for anyone who has an existing permit (that is 317,000 people).
- Allows existing permit holders to obtain a permit with no expiration date for \$15.
- Removes all language on for obtaining temporary permits and renewing permits.
- Repeals the authority of local government, governing bodies of higher education and special districts to regulate the carrying of concealed handguns (i.e., preempts local authority).
- Limits a local government's restrictions on open carry to guns that are not handguns in buildings or areas under the government's jurisdiction.
- Removes the restrictions on carrying concealed in the capitol and related offices of the general assembly as well as in chambers and offices of local governments and courts.

What are some of the obvious problems with this legislation?

- "One size does not fit all." Preemption fails the public by robbing local elected officials of a powerful public safety tool. Local governments are best empowered to pass ordinances that are appropriate and timely.
- The original 2003 CCW law stated that persons had to "demonstrate competence with a handgun," but many training classes totally overlooked that requirement. The 2024 CCW Training law addressed that problem, but this bill grandfathers all previous CCW permit holders with lifetime permits.
- Lowering the permit age to 18 is counter to efforts to reduce the rising incidence of youth gun violence. In 2024, there were at least 25 fatal shootings involving children and juveniles, and another 23 of those aged 18-20.

With permitless concealed carry, there will be no required training, no required education as to gun laws, and no sheriff's discretion. That is a recipe for tragedy.

- With permitless concealed carry, each individual decides whether they are eligible to carry a hidden handgun. If all the felons, domestic abusers and others prohibited from owning firearms regulated themselves, there would have been no need for the Colorado sheriffs to deny so many of their applications (2006 to 2023). To wit:

2750 were denied for arrests

1629 were denied by sheriff discretion

737 were denied by restraining order

513 were denied for mental illness or addiction

These 5629 denied individuals had gone to all the expense and trouble of obtaining a CCW permit, only to be denied. Another 5218 individuals had their permits revoked for the above reasons. With permitless concealed carry, each of these Individuals will likely choose to carry, endangering those in their vicinity.

- Polling indicates that people do not support eliminating permits. Eighty-eight percent of the public favors them. Over 70% of gun owners, regardless of political affiliation, wish to continue to require permits for concealed carry.
- Legislators with guns at the capitol have not demonstrated responsible gun ownership:

-One legislator left a loaded handgun in a gym bag in a conference room

-One legislator's handgun fell out of his pants outside the House chamber

-One legislator left a loaded handgun in a public restroom

-One legislator improperly left firearms in his vehicle, which were stolen

That is downright scary. Let's not take a step backwards. Please vote NO on **HB25-1164**. Thank you.

Sincerely,  
Thomas Wilson  
Aurora

2/23/25

To the State Affairs Committee

Dear Committee Members,

I would like to share a few reasons why you should oppose HB25-1164, Permitless Conceal Carry.

As a constituent living in Denver, I keep up with local news and have noticed an increase in gun violence among young people in their teens and twenties. Many reasons contribute to this uptick, such as economic, cultural, and behavioral, but consider that another reason is because a young person's brain isn't fully developed until their mid-twenties. Young people don't yet have the reasoning power, emotional control, or perspective that a more mature brain allows. We, as the adults in their lives should do what we can to curtail their ability to carry concealed weapons not enable it. The people who ultimately benefit are firearms dealers and manufacturers.

Additionally, allowing people to open carry non-handguns would certainly alarm many constituents and their children going about their day. Carrying weapons around seems to be more about provocation and bravado than personal protection. Those who disagree with such an environment shouldn't have to risk being in the cross fire. Knowing that people can nonchalantly carry hidden weapons into state offices, schools, malls, playgrounds, sporting events, theaters, etc., doesn't give me any sense of security, especially considering our fallible human nature and the mood of our country right now.

This bill just seems like a giant step and interpretation that's way beyond what the framers intended with the Second Amendment.

Please vote no.

Best regards,  
Lucy Pfeffer

Senators and Representatives

I strongly urge you to vote for HB25-1164. A majority of state have passed similar laws. The rights granted to all US citizens by the 2nd Amendment of the US Constitution should not be restricted by government.

Gary Travis

Evergreen, Colorado