COLORADO GENERAL ASSEMBLY JOINT BUDGET COMMITTEE



SUPPLEMENTAL REQUESTS FOR FY 2010-11

DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

JBC Working Document - Subject to Change Staff Recommendation Does Not Represent Committee Decision

> Prepared By: David Meng, JBC Staff January 21, 2011

For Further Information Contact:

Joint Budget Committee Staff 200 E. 14th Avenue, 3rd Floor Denver, Colorado 80203 Telephone: (303) 866-2061 TDD: (303) 866-3472

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Narrative Page	Numbers Page
Prioritized Supplementals in Department-Assigned Order		
Supplemental #1 - Legal Services Increase for Direct Marketing Association Case	1	17
Supplemental #2 - Driver's License Documents Line Increase	4	18
Supplemental #3 - Funding Driver and Vehicle Services	7	18
Supplemental #5 - Programming Costs for 2010 Session Legislation	9	18
Supplemental #6 - Lottery Variable Costs Adjustment	12	19
Non-prioritized Supplementals		
Previously Approved Interim Supplemental - HB 10-1045 Appropriation Technical Correction	14	19
Statewide One Percent Across the Board General Fund Personal Services Reduction	15	20
Statewide Common Policy Supplemental Requests	16	21
Totals for All Supplementals	N.A.	21

Prioritized Supplementals

Supplemental Request, Department Priority #1 Legal Services Increase for Direct Marketing Association Case

	Request	Recommendation
Total	\$253,060	\$253,060
FTE	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>
General Fund	253,060	253,060

Does JBC staff believe the request meets the Joint Budget Committee's supplemental criteria?	YES
[An emergency or act of God; a technical error in calculating the original appropriation; data that was	
not available when the original appropriation was made; or an unforseen contingency.]	

JBC staff and the Department agree that this request is the result of *data that was not available when the original appropriation was made*.

Department Request: The Department requests an increase in its appropriation for Legal Services of \$253,060 General Fund for FY 2010-11, which is divided among two line items. The request is for \$167,366 General Fund for Legal Services in the EDO, and \$85,694 General Fund for Personal Services in the Taxation Business Group, Taxation and Compliance Division.

Staff Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Committee approve the Department's request as detailed in the table below.

FY 2010-11 Supplemental Request - General Fund Legal Services Increase for Direct Marketing Association Case			
FY 2010-11 Appropriation	Supplemental Request	Staff Recommends	Revised Appropriation
\$754,627	\$167,366	\$167,366	\$921,993
15 55 6 105	05.604	05.604	15 041 050
	_ 		15,841,879 \$16,763,872
	s Increase for Direc FY 2010-11 Appropriation	S Increase for Direct Marketing Asso FY 2010-11 Appropriation Supplemental Request \$754,627 \$167,366 15,756,185 85,694	Fy 2010-11 Appropriation Supplemental Request Staff Recommends \$754,627 \$167,366 \$167,366 \$15,756,185 \$85,694 \$85,694

Staff Analysis: House Bill 10-1193, passed and enacted by the General Assembly during the 2010 session, includes several provisions that are designed to increase sales and use tax collections for

purchases subject to sales and use tax by Colorado consumers from out-of-state companies. The major provisions are:

- Requires a retailer that does not collect Colorado Sales tax to inform purchasers of their use tax obligation at the time of each transaction.
- Requires a retailer that does not collect Colorado Sales tax to provide purchasers with an annual purchase summary.
- Requires a retailer that does not collect Colorado Sales tax to file an annual report with the
 Department of Revenue that includes the names, addresses, and total purchase amounts of
 Colorado purchasers.
- Specifies that a retailer that does not collect Colorado Sales Taxes is part of a controlled group
 of corporations, and that controlled group of corporation has a component member that is a
 retailer with a physical presence in this state, the retailer that does not collect sales tax is
 presumed to be doing business in this state. Allows for the retailer to present a rebuttal to this
 presumption.

The Department of Revenue has been sued in Federal Court by the Direct Marketing Association (DMA) over the provisions of H.B. 10-1193. The DMA alleges that the law discriminates against, is an improper regulation of, and burdens interstate commerce in violation of the Commerce Clause. The DMA also contends that the reporting requirement violates its members' and its customers' First Amendment right to free speech, invades the customer's privacy, and violates its members' rights under the Fifth Amendment Takings Clause (by taking property in the form of customer lists without compensation) and the Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause (deprivation of property without due process).

The appropriations clause of H.B. 10-1193, as enacted, included a legal services appropriation of \$40,000 to the Department of Revenue, which was reappropriated to the Department of Law. The \$40,000 appropriation corresponds to 545.2 legal services hours.

The DMA lawsuit has become more expensive to litigate than originally thought, due to several factors which have forced more legal work to be undertaken this year:

- The DMA has pursued an aggressive litigation strategy and timetable in federal court. The Department of Law has compiled a team of experienced litigators to aggressively defend the Bill in court.
- The DMA has retained very expensive experts, significantly increasing litigation costs. The Department of Law deposed DMA's experts and retained three experts to assist in the development of the State's legal defense.

• The DMA filed a motion for a preliminary injunction to enjoin enforcement of the law pending the full trial. This motion is limited to the Commerce Clause challenge and essentially creates a mini-trial at an early stage.

For the reasons cited above, the Department of Law needs additional resources from the Department of Revenue to defend the State in the lawsuit. The request is broken down into two line items, Legal Services and Personal Services. The Legal Services appropriation is used to fund the Personal Services in the Department of Law for the work on the case. The Personal Services appropriation in the Department of Revenue is used to fund Litigation Expenses in the Department of Law. Litigation Expenses primarily are used to contract with expert witnesses who are retained on behalf of the State.

For FY 2010-11, the Department of Law projects that it will need \$207,366 in Personal Services and \$85,694 in Litigation Expenses for a total of \$293,060 to defend the State in this case. House Bill 10-1193 appropriated \$40,000, so the supplemental request is for \$253,060. In the Department of Revenue, the funds will come from the General Fund, and, in the Department of Law, the funds will be reappropriated funds.

The Department has been appropriated 11,165 legal services hours in the Long Bill since at least FY 2005-06. Since FY 2007-08 the Department of Revenue has experienced a cumulative shortfall of 1,731 hours in its legal services budget. In accordance with statutes, the Department has covered these shortfalls within its appropriated resources.

During the 2010 session, the General Assembly granted 5,400 hours of legal services hours in a budget amendment to address the Conservation Easement Backlog. Staff inquired as to the number of legal service hours expended in support of the additional hours granted to address the Conservation Easement backlog. As of December 31, the Department had expended 1835 legal services hours for that program, which represents 34 percent of the appropriation. The Department expects activity in support of this program to increase in the second half of the fiscal year as actions move forward, thus staff does not recommend funding the request for legal services hours out of the appropriation to address the Conservation Easement backlog.

Based on the information provided by the Department, including the Direct Marketing Association case, General Fund Legal Services expenditures by the Department are projected to be \$957,970 for FY 2010-11. The appropriation is \$714,627, leaving a deficit of \$243,343. Approval of the Department's request would reduce that projected deficit to \$75,977.

If this request is not funded, the Department's General Fund shortfall in legal services would be about 34 percent of its total General Fund appropriation. The Department would have to cover the shortfall from its other resources or delay the purchase of other legal services until the next fiscal year.

Supplemental Request, Department Priority #2 Driver's License Document Line Increase

	Request	Recommendation
Total	\$613,710	<u>\$613,710</u>
Licensing Services Cash Fund	470,707	415,551
Identification Security Fund	143,003	198,159

Does JBC staff believe the request meets the Joint Budget Committee's supplemental criteria?	YES
[An emergency or act of God; a technical error in calculating the original appropriation; data that was	
not available when the original appropriation was made; or an unforseen contingency.]	

JBC staff and the Department agree that this request meets supplemental criteria, but disagree on the reason. The Department states that this request is the result of *data that was not available when the original appropriation was made*. JBC staff believes this request is the result of a *technical error made in calculating the original appropriation*.

Department Request: The Department requests an appropriation of \$613,710 cash funds in FY 2010-11 for the Driver's License Documents line in Driver and Vehicle Services, in the Division of Motor Vehicles. Of the total amount, \$470,707 is requested from the Licensing Services Cash Fund and \$143,003 is requested from the Identification Security Fund.

Staff Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Committee an appropriation of \$613,710 cash funds in FY 2010-11 for the Driver's License Documents line in Driver and Vehicle Services, in the Division of Motor Vehicles. Staff's recommendation differs from the Department's request in the distribution of funding from the two cash funds. Staff's recommendation of the distribution of the appropriation is comprised of \$415,551 from the Licensing Services Cash Fund and \$198,159 from the Identification Security Fund.

Staff Analysis: In 2000, S.B. 00-011 increased the length of time a driver's license was valid from five to ten years. This had the effect of reducing driver's license document issuance so that the state could save money and also decreasing the inconvenience faced by citizens in renewing their

licenses. In 2005, S.B. 05-047 returned the length of time a license was valid back to five years. Senate Bill 05-047 became effective on May 27, 2005, meaning licenses issued after that date would only be valid for five years.

Starting on May 27, 2010, the ten-year licenses were due for renewal at the same time as the first five-year licenses were due for renewal. This results in an increased requirement for license issuance. This increase is illustrated in the following table, which shows both the historical numbers of documents issued as well as projections for future fiscal years. In calculating the request, neither the Department nor JBC staff included the projected increases in the budget for FY 2010-11.

Division of Motor Vehicles Driver and Vehicle Services Driver's Licenses and other Documents Issued		
Fiscal Year	Documents Issued	
FY 2001-02 Actual	1,421,633	
FY 2002-03 Actual	1,344,645	
FY 2003-04 Actual	1,078,943	
FY 2004-05 Actual	1,173,424	
FY 2005-06 Actual	1,056,710	
FY 2006-07 Actual	898,784	
FY 2007-08 Actual	908,393	
FY 2008-09 Actual	866,332	
FY 2009-10 Actual	905,836	
FY 2010-11 Projection	1,126,673	
FY 2011-12 Projection	1,407,161	
FY 2012-13 Projection	1,417,141	

The Driver's License Documents line item provides funding for a third party vendor to produce and mail driver's licenses and identification documents to the recipients. The cost for production and mailing of the cards is \$2.077 per document.

The line item also includes security features that provide facial recognition security, Social Security Online Verification (SSOLV) and Systematic Alien Verification for Entitlements (SAVE). The security features are paid from a separate charge on drivers licenses and identification documents of \$0.60, which is credited to the Identification Security Fund. Those security features currently cost

the state \$0.631 per document, which means that \$0.031 for those security features must be appropriated from fund balance or from other funds.

Based on the estimated number of documents needed, the expenditures for FY 2010-11 are detailed in the following table.

Driver's License Documents Line Item FY 2010-11 Supplemental Request Detail			
	Identification Security Fund	Licensing Services Cash Fund	Total Funding
Projected Document Issuance			1,126,673
Cost per document issued	\$0.631	\$2.077	\$2.708
Funding Required	\$710,931	\$2,340,100	\$3,051,030
Long Bill/H.B. 10-1387 Appropriations /a	644,550	1,792,770	2,437,320
Additional Funding Required	66,381	547,330	613,710
Departments Supplemental Request	143,003	470,707	613,710
Staff Recommendation	\$198,159	\$415,551	\$613,710

[/]a H.B. 10-1376 (The Long Bill) originally appropriated \$1,792,770 General Fund. H.B. 10-1387 refinanced the General Fund with funding from the Licensing Services Cash Fund.

The Department has requested a split that appropriates an additional \$143,003 from the Identification Security Fund (IDSF), and \$470,707 from the Licensing Services Cash Fund (LSCF). This increases the drain on the LSCF, which is projected to have a balance of \$0 at the end of FY 2010-11.

Staff's recommendation increases the appropriation of moneys from the IDSF. Staff's rationale for the different split of the cash funds is that under the Department's request, the IDSF will have a fund balance of \$233,377, which is in excess of the 16.5 percent statutory reserve requirement and staff's recommendation takes the fund balance down to the statutory reserve level. As will be discussed in the next supplemental, the LSCF is projected to have a \$0 fund balance at the end of FY 2010-11. The cash flow of the IDSF is detailed in the following table.

Identification Security Fund FY 2010-11 Projections/Appropriations			
	No Action	DOR Request	JBC Staff Recommend.
Beginning LSCF Fund Balance	\$345,026	\$345,026	\$345,026
Projected Revenues	676,004	676,004	676,004
Projected Expenditures	<u>(644,650)</u>	(644,650)	(644,650)
Ending Fund Balance Before Supplementals	376,380	376,380	376,380
Supplemental # 2	<u>0</u>	(143,003)	(198,159)
Ending Balance	\$376,380	\$233,377	\$178,221

Staff's recommendation reduces expenditures from the LSCF. If staff's recommendations for both this supplemental and Supplemental # 3 are accepted, the LSCF will have a projected balance of \$55,156 at the end of FY 2010-11. While this reserve is far short of the statutory fund reserve requirement, it is at least a positive fund balance.

It is important for the JBC to understand that approval of staff's recommendation solves a short term funding problem in the LSCF but at the expense a long-term funding problem in the IDSF. The IDSF problem is that if the direct costs of providing the security features on a driver's license results in a loss to the State of \$.031 for every license that is issued. At the projected rate of document issuance, the IDSF will be insolvent in about four years, at which point the fee will either have to be increased or the shortage filled with other funds.

Supplemental Request, Department Priority #3 Funding Driver and Vehicle Services

	Request	Recommendation
Total	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Licensing Services Cash Fund	(1,149,174)	(1,149,174)
Highway Users Tax Fund "Off-the-Top"	1,149,174	1,149,174

YES

Does JBC staff believe the request meets the Joint Budget Committee's supplemental criteria?
[An emergency or act of God; a technical error in calculating the original appropriation; data that was
not available when the original appropriation was made; or an unforseen contingency.]

JBC staff and the Department agree that this request is the result of *data that was not available when the original appropriation was made*.

Department Request: The Department requests an increase of \$1,149,174 cash funds from the Highway Users Tax Fund (HUTF) "off-the-top" moneys and a corresponding decrease in cash funds from the Licensing Services Cash Fund (LSCF) for FY 2010-11. The request comes because revenues of the Licensing Services Cash Fund are falling below projections.

Staff Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Committee approve the Department's request.

Staff Analysis: During the last two sessions, the General Assembly has refinanced General Fund in the operations of driver's license offices with of funding from the LSCF and the HUTF. Senate Bill 09-274 partially refinanced the General Fund for the operation of driver's license offices in FY 2009-10. The bill diverted all driver's license fees to the LSCF from the HUTF. This action increased cash funding from the LSCF and HUTF by \$17.0 million, and decreased General Fund by \$16.2 million (the difference is the result of the pay date shift for General Fund employees). Including centrally appropriated expenses, this left approximately \$3.0 million in General Fund to fund operations of driver's license offices.

During the 2010 session, the General Assembly passed H.B. 10-1387, which authorized the use of HUTF off-the-top moneys in driver's license offices for FY 2010-11 and again diverted driver's license fees to the LSCF from the HUTF for FY 2010-11 and FY 2011-12. For FY 2010-11, with an additional \$2.7 million from the HUTF off-the-top moneys, allowed the LSCF to fund all driver's license office appropriations.

Revenue to the LSCF for FY 2009-10 came in significantly under projections. The Department had projected that total revenue to the LSCF would be \$23.1 million (including the diversion of driver's license fees), while actual revenues were \$18.4 million. When preparing the budget for FY 2009-10, the Department provided a projection of 1,074,250 documents to be issued in FY 2009-10, while actual documents issued were 905,836, a difference of 168,414 or 15.7 percent lower.

The Department instituted measures to restrict spending to match revenues, and ended FY 2009-10 with a fund balance of \$2.1 million. However, so far in FY 2010-11, document issuance is running even further behind projections, now by 257,352 or 18.6 percent lower. Current revenue projections provided by the Department show that the LSCF will earn \$21.7 million, over \$3 million less than the original projections. When including the Department of Revenue's requested appropriation in

Supplemental # 2 for the Driver's License Documents line item, the projection show the LSCF to have a negative fund balance of \$1,149,174. The Department proposes to close that gap with an appropriation of \$1,149,174 from the HUTF off-the-top moneys. The recommended appropriation is detailed in the following table.

Licensing Services Cash Fund FY 2010-11 Projections/Appropriations			
	No Action	DOR Request	JBC Staff Recommend.
Beginning LSCF Fund Balance	\$2,064,747	\$2,064,747	\$2,064,747
Projected Revenues	21,075,493	21,075,493	21,075,493
Projected Expenditures	(23,818,707)	(23,818,707)	(23,818,707)
Ending Fund Balance Before Supplementals	(678,467)	(678,467)	(678,467)
Supplemental # 3 -Refinance LSCF with HUTF off-the-top	0	1,149,174	1,149,174
Supplemental # 2 - Driver's License Documents line increase	<u>(470,707)</u>	(470,707)	(415,551)
Ending Fund Balance	(\$1,149,174)	\$0	\$55,156

If the JBC adopted staff's recommendation in Supplemental #2, JBC staff's recommendation for this supplemental will provide the LSCF with a reserve of \$55,156 as opposed to a reserve of \$0 in the department's request. While this reserve is far less than the statutory reserve requirement, it is at least a positive balance.

Supplemental Request, Department Priority #5 Programming Costs for 2010 Session Legislation

	Request Recommenda	
Total	\$32,558	\$32,558
FTE	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>
General Fund	6,839	6,839
Cash Funds	25,719	25,719

Does JBC staff believe the request meets the Joint Budget Committee's supplemental criteria?	YES
[An emergency or act of God; a technical error in calculating the original appropriation; data that was	
not available when the original appropriation was made; or an unforseen contingency.]	
The staff and the December of the staff is a second in the staff of the staff is a second of the	1

JBC staff and the Department agree that this request is the result of data that was not available when the original appropriation was made.

Department Request: The Department requests an appropriation of \$32,558 total funds, comprised of \$6,839 General Fund and \$25,719 cash funds

Staff Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Committee approve the Department's request.

Staff Analysis: Each year since the 2001 session, the Department or Revenue has received an appropriation in the Long Bill for programming required to implement legislation passed during the General Assembly session. After the Department has completed the required programming, the Department requests a supplemental either increasing or decreasing the appropriation to align spending authority with expenditures.

During the 2010 session, the General Assembly passed a total of 26 bills that required programming by the Department of Revenue. In addition, there were five bills passed during the 2009 session for which programming was required in FY 2010-11. Of these bills, 18 bills were funded by the General Fund, 15 bills were funded by cash funds, and one bill was funded by reappropriated funds (three bills were funded by multiple fund types). The programming costs for these bills are detailed in the following table.

	Programming Costs for Session Legislation FY 2010-11 Bills Requiring Programming Costs							
Bill Number	Title	General Fund	Cash Funds	Reapprop. Funds	Total			
SB 09-098	Tax Exempt Blended Diesel Products	\$0	\$6,650	\$37,630	\$44,280			
SB 09-173	Use of Sales Tax to Support Tourism Activities	0	4,500	0	4,500			
HB 09-1067	Instream Flow Tax Incentives	23,796	0	0	23,796			
HB 09-1105	Creation of the Colorado Innovation Investment Tax Credit to be Applied Against State Income Taxes	29,977	0	0	29,977			

	Programming Costs for Session Legislation FY 2010-11 Bills Requiring Programming Costs						
Bill Number	Title	General Fund	Cash Funds	Reapprop. Funds	Total		
HB 09-1298	Economic Development for the Trucking Industry	19,315	0	0	19,315		
SB 10-006	Reduction in Barriers to Obtaining Identity Related Documents	0	3,923	0	3,923		
SB 10-075	Military Vehicles License Plates	0	4,708	0	4,708		
SB 10-103	Creation of Colorado State Parks Special License Plate	0	3,531	0	3,531		
SB 10-139	Check off to Benefit Unwanted Horses Foundation	30,443	0	0	30,443		
SB 10-144	Registration of Equipment Mounted on a Motor Vehicle	34,601	0	0	34,601		
SB 10-186	Voiding of Tax Refund Warrants not Cashed with Six Months	7,846	0	0	7,846		
SB 10-198	Reduce Late Fees for Certain Vehicles without Motive Power	3,216	942	0	4,158		
HB 10-1019	Parking Privileges for People with Disabilities	0	47,861	0	47,861		
HB 10-1055	DOR Fees Paid to 3 rd Party Debt Collectors	3,923	0	0	3,923		
HB 10-1060	Creates a Penalty for Failure to Comply with Severance Tax Withholding Requirements	1,569	0	0	1,569		
HB 10-1073	Colorado 2-1-1 First Call for Help Check Off	30,443	0	0	30,443		
HB 10-1139	Iraq Afghanistan Veteran License Plate	0	3,924	0	3,924		
HB 10-1161	Creation of a Livery License Plate	0	51,784	0	51,784		
HB 10-1172	Changes to Specific Ownership Tax for Class F Personal Property	0	7,846	0	7,846		
HB 10-1189	Tax Sales of Direct Mail Ad Materials	1,570	0	0	1,570		
HB 10-1190	Suspend Sales/Use Tax Exemption for Fuels Used for Industrial Purposes	1,570	0	0	1,570		

	Programming Costs for Session Legislation FY 2010-11 Bills Requiring Programming Costs						
Bill Number	Title	General Fund	Cash Funds	Reapprop. Funds	Total		
HB 10-1191	Suspend Candy & Soda Sales Tax Exemption	1,570	0	0	1,570		
HB 10-1192	Sales/Use Tax for Standardized Software	1,570	0	0	1,570		
HB 10-1194	Expand Sales Tax to Bags and Containers	1,570	0	0	1,570		
HB 10-1195	Suspension of exemption for Sales/Use Tax for certain items used in Agriculture	1,570	0	0	1,570		
HB 10-1211	Reduce Late Vehicle Registration Penalty	0	942	0	942		
HB 10-1212	Requires DOR to Establish Rules for the Exemption of SB 09-108 Late Fees	0	942	0	942		
HB 10-1214	Special License Plate to Benefit Pet Over Population	0	38,053	0	38,053		
HB 10-1238	Creates Wildlife Crossing Zones with Lower Speed Limits	8,238	0	0	8,238		
HB 10-1243	Special District Transportation Related Services	25,892	36,092	0	61,984		
HB 10-1387	Finance Driver's License Offices DOR	0	1,962	0	1,962		
Economies of Programming	Scale for General Fund /a	(148,752)	0	0	(148,752)		
Economies of	Scale for CSTARS Programming /b	0	(16,849)	0	(16,849)		
Economies of	Scale for Other Programming /a	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	(37,630)	(37,630)		
Total for Legis	slative Programming	\$79,927	\$196,811	\$0	\$276,738		
FY 2010-11 L	ong Bill Appropriation	73,088	171,092	<u>0</u>	244,180		
Supplemental	Request for FY 2010-11	\$6,839	\$25,719	\$0	\$32,558		

^{/a} Includes a reduction associated with the multiple appropriations for the sales tax exemption bills passed during the 2010 session: H.B. 10-1189; H.B. 10-1190; H.B. 10-1191; H.B. 10-1192; H.B. 10-1194; and H.B. 10-1195. Also includes a reduction associated with appropriations provided through the 2010 Long Bill for H.B. 09-1067; H.B. 09-1105; and H.B. 01-1298.

^b Includes a reduction associated with appropriations provided through the 2010 Long Bill for 2009 session bill, including S.B. 09-098 and S.B. 09-173.

Supplemental Request, Department Priority #6 Lottery Variable Costs Adjustment

	Request	Recommendation
Total	\$22,842,556	\$22,842,556
FTE	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>
Cash Funds	22,842,556	22,842,556

Does JBC staff believe the request meets the Joint Budget Committee's supplemental criteria?	YES
[An emergency or act of God; a technical error in calculating the original appropriation; data that was	
not available when the original appropriation was made; or an unforseen contingency.]	

JBC staff and the Department agree that this request is the result of data that was not available when the original appropriation was made.

Department Request: The Department requests an increase of \$22,842,556 cash funds from the State Lottery Fund for FY 2010-11.

Staff Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Committee approve the Department's request as detailed in the following table.

Colorado State Lottery FY 2010-11 Supplemental Request Cash Funds (State Lottery Fund)								
FY 2010-11 Supplemental Revised Appropriation Request Appropriation								
Vendor Fees	\$12,376,154	\$195,350	\$12,571,504					
Prizes	400,264,560	23,839,456	424,104,016					
Powerball Prize Variance	12,960,000	(4,437,000)	8,523,000					
Retailer Compensation	49,290,600	2,950,750	52,241,350					
Ticket Costs	6,284,000	<u>294,000</u>	<u>6,578,000</u>					
Total	\$481,175,314	\$22,842,556	\$504,017,870					

Staff Analysis: These requested adjustments are necessary because the most recent sales forecast show sales have increased more than projected so far for this fiscal year. The Lottery uses an "upper limit" on sales based on forecast sales times 120 percent, which gives the Lottery enough spending

authority in each line to accommodate sales that are higher than forecast. The Department uses the mid-year supplementals to allow for the latest projections for lottery sales, adjusting the line items that vary directly with sales. While the Prizes and Powerball Prize Variance lines are continuously appropriated, they are included in this supplemental request to more accurately reflect the total appropriation.

The consequences of not having enough spending authority in these line items could mean the cancellation of lottery sales near the end of the fiscal year. Since these lines vary directly with sales, each sale of a lottery ticket increases the expenditures in these line items.

The one exception in these five lines is for the Powerball Prize Variance. This line item funds a reserve to pay the Multi-State Lottery Association (MUSL) for low-tier prize payouts (all prizes except the grand prize) and will increase or decrease based on the percentage of those prizes paid out in the State.

Non-Prioritized Supplementals

Previously Approved Interim Supplemental HB 10-1045 Appropriation Clause Technical Correction

	Previously Approved
Total	\$63,538
Cash Funds	63,538

Description of Supplemental: The appropriation corrects a technical defect in H.B. 10-1045 (requiring a link between the Secretary of State and the Department of Revenue to facilitate address changes), which had an appropriation clause for FY 2010-11, but the bill's effective date is July 1, 2011. The State Controller would not release funds for these costs which the Department needs to comply with the requirement in the legislation that the link be established by July 1, 2011. The appropriation herein allows the Department of Revenue to establish the link as required.

The rules governing interim supplementals in Section 24-75-111 (5), C.R.S., require the Committee to introduce all interim supplementals that it approves. Staff will include this supplemental in the Department's supplemental bill.

Statewide One Percent Across the Board General Fund Personal Services Reduction

	Request
Total	<u>(\$291,194)</u>
General Fund	(291,194)

Department Request: The Department requests a one percent reduction to the General Fund portion of its personal services appropriations for FY 2010-11. The following table details the request:

One Percent Across the Board General Fund Personal Services Reduction						
Division, Line Item	Total	General Fund	Cash Funds	Reapprop. Funds	Federal Funds	FTE
(1) Executive Director's Office						
Personal Services	(67,608)	(67,608)	0	0	0	0.0
(2) Central Department Operations						
Personal Services	(45,635)	(45,635)	0	0	0	0.0
(4) Taxation Business Group (B) Taxation	and Compli	ance Division				
Personal Services	(135,951)	(135,951)	0	0	0	0.0
(4) Taxation Business Group (C) Taxpayer Service Division						
Personal Services	(42,000)	(42,000)	0	0	0	0.0
Total	(\$291,194)	(\$291,194)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Staff Recommendation: The staff recommendation for this request is pending Committee approval of common policy supplementals. **Staff asks permission to include the corresponding appropriations in the Department's supplemental bill when the Committee approves common policy supplementals.** If staff believes there is reason to deviate from the common policy, staff will appear before the Committee later to present the relevant analysis.

Statewide Common Policy Supplemental Requests

These requests are not prioritized and are not analyzed in this packet. The JBC will act on these items later when it makes decisions regarding common policies.

Department's Portion of Statewide Supplemental Request	Total	General Fund	Cash Funds /a	Reapprop. Funds	Federal Funds	FTE
Annual Fleet Vehicle Replacement	\$7,266	(\$1,058)	\$8,324	\$0	\$0	0.0
Printing of Statewide Warrants and Mainframe Documents	5,286	5,286	0	0	0	0.0
Department's Total Statewide Supplemental Requests	12,552	4,228	8,324	0	0	0.0

[/]a Cash funds in the Department of Revenue includes Highway User Tax Fund (HUTF) off-the-top appropriations. The cash funds request for the Annual Fleet Vehicle Replacement includes \$6,515 from the HUTF off-the-top.

Staff Recommendation: The staff recommendation for these requests is pending Committee approval of common policy supplementals. **Staff asks permission to include the corresponding appropriations in the Department's supplemental bill when the Committee approves this common policy supplemental. If staff believes there is reason to deviate from the common policy, staff will appear before the Committee later to present the relevant analysis.**

	FY 2009-10	FY 2010-11	Fiscal Y	scal Year 2010-11 Supplemental		
	Actual	Appropriation	Requested Change	Recommended Change	New Total with Recommendation	
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE						
Executive Director - Roxy Huber						
Supplemental #1 - Legal Services Increase	for Direct Mark	eting Association	Case			
(1) Executive Director's Office						
Legal Services	805,671	1,526,742	<u>167,366</u>	<u>167,366</u>	<u>1,694,108</u>	
General Fund	449,981	754,627	167,366	167,366	921,993	
Cash Funds	343,915	760,340	0	0	760,340	
HUTF	11,775	11,775	0	0	11,775	
(4) Taxation Business Group (B) Taxation of	and Compliance I	Division				
Personal Services	14,916,213	15,917,916	85,694	85,694	16,003,610	
FTE	191.5	241.4	0.0	0.0	<u>241.4</u>	
General Fund	14,787,771	15,756,185	85,694	85,694	15,841,879	
Cash Funds	1,237	59,548	0		59,548	
Reappropriated Funds	127,205	102,183	0		102,183	
Total for Supplemental #1	15,721,884	17,444,658	253,060	253,060	17,697,718	
FTE	<u>191.5</u>	<u>241.4</u>	0.0	0.0	<u>241.4</u>	
General Fund	15,237,752	16,510,812	253,060	253,060	16,763,872	
Cash Funds	345,152	819,888	0	0	819,888	
HUTF	11,775	11,775	0	0	11,775	
Reappropriated Funds	127,205	102,183	0	0	102,183	

	FY 2009-10	FY 2010-11	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Supplemental		
	Actual	Annuantiation	Requested	Recommended	New Total with
	Actual	Appropriation	Change	Change	Recommendation
Supplemental #2 - Drivers License Doc					
(5) Division of Motor Vehicles, (B) Driv					
Drivers License Documents	<u>2,437,320</u>	<u>2,437,320</u>	<u>313,710</u>	<u>613,710</u>	<u>3,051,030</u>
General Fund	0	0	0	0	0
Licensing Services Cash Fund	1,792,770	1,792,770	170,707	415,551	2,208,321
Identification Security Fund	644,550	644,550	143,003	198,159	842,709
Supplemental #3 - Funding Driver and (5) Division of Motor Vehicles, (B) Driv Personal Services FTE General Fund Cash Funds HUTF		16,714,739 379.5 0 16,714,739 0	0 <u>0.0</u> 0 (1,149,174) 1,149,174	0 <u>0.0</u> 0 (1,149,174) 1,149,174	16,714,739 <u>379.5</u> 0 15,565,565 1,149,174
Supplemental #5 - Programming Costs (3) Information Technology Division, (A Programming Costs for 2010 Session Legislation FTE	1) Systems Support 246,086 <u>0.0</u>	337,548 <u>3.0</u>	32,558 0.0	32,558 <u>0.0</u>	370,106 3.0
General Fund	132,316	73,088	6,839	6,839	79,927
Cash Funds	113,770	264,460	25,719	25,719	290,179

	FY 2009-10	FY 2010-11	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Supplemental		
	Actual	Appropriation	Requested	Recommended	New Total with
	Actual	Appropriation	Change	Change	Recommendation
Supplemental #6 - Lottery Variable Costs Ac (8) State Lottery Division					
Vendor Fees - Cash Funds	9,623,664	12,376,154	195,350	195,350	12,571,504
Prizes - Cash Funds	313,919,655	400,264,560	23,839,456	23,839,456	424,104,016
Powerball Prize Variance - Cash Funds	7,575,512	12,960,000	(4,437,000)	(4,437,000)	8,523,000
Retailer Compensation - Cash Funds	38,146,774	49,290,600	2,950,750	2,950,750	52,241,350
Ticket Costs - Cash Funds	3,262,844	6,284,000	294,000	294,000	6,578,000
Total for Supplemental #6 - Cash Funds	372,528,449	481,175,314	22,842,556	22,842,556	504,017,870
Interim Supplemental #1 - H.B. 10-1045 Appropriation Clause Technical Correction (3) Information Technology Division, (A) Systems Support					
Programming Costs for Session Legislation	246,086	337,548	23,538	23,538	361,086
FTE	0.0	<u>3.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>3.0</u>
General Fund	132,316		0	0	73,088
Cash Funds	113,770	264,460	23,538	23,538	287,998

	FY 2009-10	FY 2010-11	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Supplemental		
	Actual	Appropriation	Requested	Recommended	New Total with
	1100001	rippi oprimizar	Change	Change	Recommendation
(5) D: :: (14 , 14 ; 1 , (D) D ;	1111110				
(5) Division of Motor Vehicles, (B) Driver of			40.000	40.000	1 < 51 / 520
Personal Services	18,616,596	16,674,739	40,000	40,000	16,714,739
FTE	<u>346.7</u>	<u>379.5</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>379.5</u>
General Fund	2,503,750	0	0	0	0
Cash Funds	12,202,625	16,674,739	40,000	40,000	16,714,739
HUTF	3,910,221	0	0	0	0
Total for Interim Supplemental #1	18,862,682	17,012,287	63,538	63,538	17,075,825
FTE	<u>346.7</u>	<u>382.5</u>	<u>0.0</u>	0.0	<u>382.5</u>
General Fund	2,636,066	73,088	0	0	73,088
Cash Funds	12,316,395	16,939,199	63,538	63,538	17,002,737
HUTF	3,910,221	0	0	0	0
Totals Excluding Pending Items					
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE					
Totals for ALL Departmental line items	641,378,367	703,504,663	Error!	Error!	#VALUE!
FTE	<u>1,357.1</u>	<u>1,521.5</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>1,521.5</u>
General Fund	71,918,780	70,714,586	259,899	259,899	70,974,485
Cash Funds	552,818,081	618,115,053	21,925,642	21,980,798	640,095,851
HUTF	13,876,087	12,321,924	1,149,174	1,149,174	13,471,098
Reappropriated Funds	1,372,812	1,537,481	0	0	1,537,481
Federal Funds	1,392,607	815,619	0	0	815,619

	FY 2009-10	FY 2010-11	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Supplemental		
	Actual	Appropriation	Requested Change	Recommended Change	New Total with Recommendation
Statewide One Percent Across the Board Ge					
(see narrative for more detail)					
Total - Various Line Items	N.A.	30,037,314	(291,194)	Pending	Pending
FTE		<u>473.2</u>	<u>0.0</u>		
General Fund		26,245,424	(291,194)		
Cash Funds		1,292,962	0		
HUTF		1,726,293	0		
Reappropriated Funds		772,635	0		
Statewide Common Policy Supplementals (see narrative for more detail)	NI A	N A	12.552	Danding	N A
General Fund	<u>N.A.</u>	<u>N.A.</u>	12,552	Pending	<u>N.A.</u>
Cash Funds			4,228 1,809		
HUTF			6,515		
потг			0,313		
Totals Including Pending Items					
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE					
Totals for ALL Departmental line items	641,378,367	703,504,663	Error!	Error!	#VALUE!
FTE	<u>1,357.1</u>	<u>1,521.5</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>1,521.5</u>
General Fund	71,918,780	70,714,586	(27,067)	259,899	70,974,485
Cash Funds	552,818,081	618,115,053	21,927,451	21,980,798	640,095,851
HUTF	13,876,087	12,321,924	1,155,689	1,149,174	13,471,098
Reappropriated Funds	1,372,812	1,537,481	0	0	1,537,481
Federal Funds	1,392,607	815,619	0	0	815,619

Key: N.A. = Not Applicable or Not Available