First Regular Session Seventy-fourth General Assembly STATE OF COLORADO

BILL A

LLS NO. 23-0153.02 Jane Ritter x4342

HOUSE BILL

HOUSE SPONSORSHIP

Benavidez and Amabile,

SENATE SPONSORSHIP

Rodriguez, Simpson

House Committees

Senate Committees

A BILL FOR AN ACT

101 CONCERNING ISSUES RELATED TO JUVENILE COMPETENCY TO 102 PROCEED.

Bill Summary

(Note: This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not reflect any amendments that may be subsequently adopted. If this bill passes third reading in the house of introduction, a bill summary that applies to the reengrossed version of this bill will be available at http://leg.colorado.gov/.)

Legislative Oversight Committee Concerning the Treatment of Persons with Behavioral Health Disorders in the Criminal and Juvenile Justice Systems. The bill addresses issues related to a determination of juvenile competency to proceed (competency) and restoration of competency (restoration). The bill allows:

• The district attorney, defense attorney, guardian ad litem,

department of human services, a competency evaluator, a restoration treatment provider, and the court, without written consent of the juvenile or further order of the court, to access competency evaluations and restoration evaluations, including all second evaluations; information and documents related to competency evaluations; the competency evaluator, for the purpose of discussing the competency evaluation; and the providers of court-ordered restoration services for the purpose of discussing such services:

- Parties to exchange names, addresses, reports, and statements of physicians or psychologists who examined or treated the juvenile for competency;
- The court or any party to raise, at any time, the issue of a need for a restoration evaluation of the juvenile's competency; and
- A juvenile to be examined by a competency evaluator of the juvenile's own choice and to request a second evaluation in response to a court-ordered competency evaluation or a court-ordered restoration evaluation.

If the court determines that the juvenile is incompetent to proceed and unlikely to be restored to competency in the reasonably foreseeable future, a time frame is set forth for the dismissal of charges based on the severity and type of charge.

1 Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado:

2 **SECTION 1.** In Colorado Revised Statutes, 19-2.5-102, **repeal**

- 3 (8), (25), and (44) as follows:
- 4 **19-2.5-102. Definitions.** In addition to the terms defined in section 19-1-103, as used in this article 2.5, unless the context otherwise
- 6 requires:
- 7 (8) "Competent to proceed" means that a juvenile has sufficient
- 8 present ability to consult with the juvenile's attorney with a reasonable
- 9 degree of rational understanding in order to assist in the defense and that
- the juvenile has a rational as well as a factual understanding of the
- 11 proceedings.

-2- DRAFT

| (25) "Incompetent to proceed" means that, based on an intellectual |
|---|
| or developmental disability, mental health disorder, or lack of mental |
| capacity, a juvenile does not have sufficient present ability to consult with |
| the juvenile's attorney with a reasonable degree of rational understanding |
| in order to assist in the defense or that the juvenile does not have a |
| rational as well as a factual understanding of the proceedings taking |
| place. |
| (44) "Restoration to competency hearing" means a hearing to |
| determine whether a juvenile who has previously been determined to be |
| incompetent to proceed has achieved or is restored to competency. |
| SECTION 2. In Colorado Revised Statutes, add 19-2.5-701.5 as |
| follows: |
| 19-2.5-701.5. Definitions. As used in this part 7, unless the |
| CONTEXT OTHERWISE REQUIRES: |
| (1) "Competency evaluation" means an evaluation |
| CONDUCTED BY A COMPETENCY EVALUATOR THAT MEETS THE |
| REQUIREMENTS DESCRIBED IN SECTION 19-2.5-703 (4). "COMPETENCY |
| EVALUATION" INCLUDES BOTH COURT-ORDERED EVALUATIONS |
| PERFORMED BY THE DEPARTMENT AND SECOND EVALUATIONS. |
| (2) "Competency evaluator" means an individual with the |
| QUALIFICATIONS DESCRIBED IN SECTION 19-2.5-703 (4)(b). |
| (3) "Competency hearing" means an initial hearing to |
| DETERMINE WHETHER A JUVENILE IS COMPETENT TO PROCEED. |
| (4) "Competent to proceed" means that a juvenile has the |
| SUFFICIENT PRESENT ABILITY TO CONSULT WITH THE JUVENILE'S |
| ${\tt ATTORNEY, WITHAREASONABLEDEGREEOFRATIONALUNDERSTANDING,}$ |
| TO ASSIST THE ATTORNEY IN THE JUVENILE'S DEFENSE, AND THAT THE |

-3- DRAFT

| 1 | JUVENILE HAS A RATIONAL AS WELL AS FACTUAL UNDERSTANDING OF THE |
|----|---|
| 2 | PROCEEDINGS. |
| 3 | (5) "Incompetent to proceed" means that, based on an |
| 4 | INTELLECTUAL OR DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITY, MENTAL HEALTH |
| 5 | DISORDER, OR LACK OF MENTAL CAPACITY, A JUVENILE DOES NOT HAVE |
| 6 | SUFFICIENT PRESENT ABILITY TO CONSULT WITH THE JUVENILE'S |
| 7 | ATTORNEY WITH A REASONABLE DEGREE OF RATIONAL UNDERSTANDING |
| 8 | IN ORDER TO ASSIST THE ATTORNEY IN THE JUVENILE'S DEFENSE OR THAT |
| 9 | THE JUVENILE DOES NOT HAVE A RATIONAL AS WELL AS A FACTUAL |
| 10 | UNDERSTANDING OF THE PROCEEDINGS. |
| 11 | (6) "Restoration evaluation" means an evaluation |
| 12 | CONDUCTED BY A COMPETENCY EVALUATOR TO DETERMINE IF THE |
| 13 | JUVENILE HAS BECOME COMPETENT TO PROCEED OR WILL BE ABLE TO BE |
| 14 | RESTORED TO COMPETENCY IN THE REASONABLY FORESEEABLE FUTURE. |

RESTORED TO COMPETENCY IN THE REASONABLY FORESEEABLE FUTURE. "RESTORATION EVALUATION" INCLUDES BOTH COURT-ORDERED EVALUATIONS BY THE DEPARTMENT AND SECOND EVALUATIONS.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- "RESTORATION PROGRESS REVIEW HEARING" MEANS A HEARING IN WHICH THE JUVENILE'S PROGRESS IN RESTORATION TO COMPETENCY EDUCATION AND OTHER APPLICABLE SERVICES IS REVIEWED, BASED ON RESTORATION EDUCATION, TREATMENT RECORDS, AND ANY PRIOR COMPETENCY EVALUATION REPORTS.
- (8) "RESTORATION TO COMPETENCY HEARING" MEANS A HEARING TO DETERMINE WHETHER A JUVENILE WHO HAS PREVIOUSLY BEEN DETERMINED TO BE INCOMPETENT TO PROCEED IS NOW COMPETENT TO PROCEED.
- (9) "SECOND EVALUATION" MEANS AN EVALUATION IN RESPONSE 27 TO A COURT-ORDERED COMPETENCY EVALUATION OR COURT-ORDERED

DRAFT -4-

| 1 | RESTORATION EVALUATION REQUESTED BY THE JUVENILE THAT IS |
|----|---|
| 2 | PERFORMED BY A COMPETENCY EVALUATOR AND THAT IS NOT PERFORMED |
| 3 | BY, UNDER THE DIRECTION OF, OR PAID FOR BY THE DEPARTMENT. |
| 4 | SECTION 3. In Colorado Revised Statutes, 19-2.5-702, amend |
| 5 | (2) as follows: |
| 6 | 19-2.5-702. Incompetent to proceed - effect - how and when |
| 7 | raised. (2) A juvenile must not be tried or sentenced if the juvenile is |
| 8 | incompetent to proceed, as defined in section 19-2.5-102 |
| 9 | SECTION19-2.5-701.5, at that stage of the proceedings. Juveniles, like |
| 10 | adults, are presumed competent to proceed, as defined in section |
| 11 | 19-2.5-102 SECTION 19-2.5-701.5, until such time as they are found |
| 12 | incompetent to proceed through a decision by the court. A determination |
| 13 | of competency must include an evaluation of intellectual and |
| 14 | developmental disabilities, mental health disorders, and mental capacity. |
| 15 | Age alone is not determinative of incompetence without a finding that the |
| 16 | juvenile actually lacks the relevant capacities for competence. |
| 17 | SECTION 4. In Colorado Revised Statutes, 19-2.5-703, amend |
| 18 | (4)(c) as follows: |
| 19 | 19-2.5-703. Determination of incompetency to proceed. |
| 20 | (4) (c) The competency evaluation must, at a minimum, include an |
| 21 | opinion regarding whether the juvenile is incompetent to proceed as |
| 22 | defined in section 19-2.5-102 SECTION 19-2.5-701.5. If the evaluation |
| 23 | concludes the juvenile is incompetent to proceed, the evaluation must |
| 24 | include a recommendation as to whether there is a likelihood that the |
| 25 | juvenile may achieve or be restored to competency IN THE REASONABLY |
| 26 | FORESEEABLE FUTURE and identify appropriate services to restore the |
| 27 | juvenile to competency. |

-5- DRAFT

| 1 | SECTION 5. In Colorado Revised Statutes, add 19-2.5-703.5 as |
|----|--|
| 2 | follows: |
| 3 | 19-2.5-703.5. Waiver of privilege - exchange of information - |
| 4 | admissibility of statements. (1) When the court determines that a |
| 5 | JUVENILE IS INCOMPETENT TO PROCEED, ANY CLAIM OF CONFIDENTIALITY |
| 6 | OR PRIVILEGE BY THE JUVENILE OR THE JUVENILE'S PARENT OR LEGAL |
| 7 | GUARDIAN IS DEEMED WAIVED WITHIN THE CASE TO ALLOW THE COURT |
| 8 | AND PARTIES TO DETERMINE ISSUES RELATED TO THE JUVENILE'S |
| 9 | COMPETENCY, RESTORATION, AND ANY MANAGEMENT PLAN DEVELOPED |
| 10 | BY THE COURT PURSUANT TO SECTION 19-2.5-704 (3). THE DISTRICT |
| 11 | ATTORNEY, DEFENSE ATTORNEY, GUARDIAN AD LITEM, THE DEPARTMENT, |
| 12 | ANY COMPETENCY EVALUATORS, ANY RESTORATION TREATMENT |
| 13 | PROVIDERS, AND THE COURT ARE GRANTED ACCESS, WITHOUT WRITTEN |
| 14 | CONSENT OF THE JUVENILE OR FURTHER ORDER OF THE COURT, TO: |
| 15 | (a) Competency evaluations and restoration evaluations, |
| 16 | INCLUDING ALL SECOND EVALUATIONS; |
| 17 | (b) Information and documents related to competency |
| 18 | EVALUATIONS THAT ARE CREATED, OBTAINED, REVIEWED, OR RELIED ON |
| 19 | BY A COMPETENCY EVALUATOR PERFORMING A COURT-ORDERED |
| 20 | COMPETENCY EVALUATION; |
| 21 | (c) Information and documents relating to competency |
| 22 | RESTORATION THAT ARE CREATED, OBTAINED, REVIEWED, OR RELIED ON |
| 23 | BY A COMPETENCY PROVIDER PERFORMING COURT-ORDERED RESTORATION |
| 24 | SERVICES; |
| 25 | (d) THE COMPETENCY EVALUATOR, FOR THE PURPOSE OF |
| 26 | DISCUSSING THE COMPETENCY EVALUATION; AND |
| 27 | (e) The providers of court-ordered restoration services |

-6- DRAFT

| 1 | FOR THE | PURPOSE | OF DISC | USSING S | SUCH S | SERVICES. |
|---|---------|---------|---------|----------|--------|-----------|
|---|---------|---------|---------|----------|--------|-----------|

- 2 (2) Upon a request by either party or the court for 3 INFORMATION DESCRIBED IN SUBSECTION (1) OF THIS SECTION, THE 4 COMPETENCY EVALUATOR OR RESTORATION SERVICES PROVIDER SHALL 5 PROVIDE THE INFORMATION TO THE PARTY OR COURT FOR USE IN 6 PREPARING FOR A COMPETENCY HEARING, RESTORATION PROGRESS 7 REVIEW HEARING, RESTORATION TO COMPETENCY HEARING, OR HEARING 8 REGARDING A MANAGEMENT PLAN PURSUANT TO SECTION 19-2.5-704 (3) 9 AND FOR USE IN ANY SUCH HEARING.
 - (3) A COMPETENCY EVALUATOR OR RESTORATION SERVICES PROVIDER ASSIGNED PURSUANT TO A COURT ORDER ISSUED PURSUANT TO THIS ARTICLE 2.5 SHALL PROVIDE PROCEDURAL INFORMATION TO THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY, DEFENSE ATTORNEY, GUARDIAN AD LITEM, THE DEPARTMENT, ANY COMPETENCY EVALUATORS, ANY RESTORATION TREATMENT PROVIDERS, AND THE COURT CONCERNING:
- 16 (a) THE JUVENILE'S LOCATION;

10

11

12

13

14

15

22

25

26

- (b) THE JUVENILE'S HOSPITAL OR FACILITY ADMISSION STATUS; 17
- 18 (c) THE STATUS OF EVALUATION PROCEDURES;
- 19 (d) THE STATUS OF RESTORATION SERVICES PROCEDURES; AND
- 20 (e) ANY OTHER PROCEDURAL INFORMATION RELEVANT TO THE 21 JUVENILE'S COMPETENCY, RESTORATION, OR MANAGEMENT PLAN.
- (4) Nothing in this section limits the court's ability to 23 ORDER, IN ADDITION TO THE INFORMATION SET FORTH IN SUBSECTIONS (1) 24 AND (3) OF THIS SECTION, ADDITIONAL INFORMATION BE PROVIDED TO THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY, DEFENSE ATTORNEY, THE GUARDIAN AD LITEM, THE DEPARTMENT, ANY COMPETENCY EVALUATOR, ANY RESTORATION 27 TREATMENT PROVIDER, AND THE COURT, UNLESS IT IS OTHERWISE

-7-**DRAFT**

| 1 | PROTECTED FROM DISCLOSURE BY OTHER LAW. NOTHING IN THIS SECTION |
|----|--|
| 2 | LIMITS THE INFORMATION THAT IS AVAILABLE WITH THE WRITTEN |
| 3 | CONSENT OF THE JUVENILE. |
| 4 | (5) The court shall order the parties to exchange the |
| 5 | NAMES, ADDRESSES, REPORTS, AND STATEMENTS OF EACH PHYSICIAN OR |
| 6 | PSYCHOLOGIST WHO EXAMINED OR TREATED THE JUVENILE FOR |
| 7 | COMPETENCY. |
| 8 | (6) EVIDENCE OBTAINED DURING A COMPETENCY EVALUATION OR |
| 9 | DURING COMPETENCY RESTORATION SERVICES THAT IS RELATED TO THE |
| 10 | JUVENILE'S COMPETENCY OR INCOMPETENCY IS ONLY ADMISSIBLE TO |
| 11 | DETERMINE THE JUVENILE'S COMPETENCY, INCOMPETENCY, OR TO |
| 12 | DETERMINE ORDERS RELATED TO RESTORATION, RESTORATION SERVICES, |
| 13 | OR A MANAGEMENT PLAN AND IS NOT ADMISSIBLE ON THE ISSUES RAISED |
| 14 | BY A PLEA OF NOT GUILTY. |
| 15 | SECTION 6. In Colorado Revised Statutes, 19-2.5-704, amend |
| 16 | (2)(a) and (3)(a); and add (2)(c) and (2.5) as follows: |
| 17 | 19-2.5-704. Procedure after determination of competency or |
| 18 | incompetency. (2) (a) If the court finally determines pursuant to section |
| 19 | 19-2.5-703 that the juvenile is incompetent to proceed but may be |
| 20 | restored to competency IN THE REASONABLY FORESEEABLE FUTURE, the |
| 21 | court shall stay the proceedings and order that the juvenile receive |
| 22 | services designed to restore the juvenile to competency, based upon |
| 23 | recommendations in the competency evaluation, unless the court makes |
| 24 | specific findings that the recommended services in the competency |
| 25 | evaluation are not justified. The court shall order that the restoration |
| 26 | services ordered are provided in the least-restrictive environment, taking |
| 27 | into account the public safety and the best interests of the juvenile, and |

-8- DRAFT

that the provision of the services and the juvenile's participation in those services occur in a timely manner. The court shall review the provision of and the juvenile's participation in the services and the juvenile's progress toward competency HOLD A RESTORATION PROGRESS REVIEW HEARING at least every ninety-one days until competency is restored, unless the juvenile is in custody, in which event the court shall review the case HOLD A RESTORATION PROGRESS REVIEW HEARING every thirty-five days to ensure the prompt provision of services in the least-restrictive environment. The court shall not maintain jurisdiction longer than the maximum possible sentence for the original MOST SERIOUS offense, unless the court makes specific findings of good cause to retain jurisdiction. However, the juvenile court's jurisdiction shall not extend beyond the juvenile's twenty-first birthday.

(c) The court or a party may raise, at any time, the need for a restoration evaluation of a juvenile's competency. If raised, the court shall order a restoration evaluation only when there is credible information that the juvenile's circumstances have changed, the court cannot fairly determine whether the juvenile has been restored to competency or will be able to be restored to competency in the reasonably foreseeable future, and the cause for a restoration evaluation outweighs the negative impact of a restoration evaluation upon the juvenile and any delay that will be caused by a restoration evaluation. The court may hold a hearing to determine if a restoration evaluation must be ordered. If the court orders a restoration evaluation, such evaluation must meet the requirements of section 19-2.5-703 (4).

-9- DRAFT

| 1 | (2.5) (a) If the court finds a juvenile is incompetent to |
|----|--|
| 2 | PROCEED AND THE JUVENILE HAS BEEN INCOMPETENT TO PROCEED FOR A |
| 3 | PERIOD OF TIME THAT EXCEEDS THE TIME LIMITS SET FORTH IN THIS |
| 4 | SUBSECTION (2.5), THE COURT SHALL ENTER A FINDING THAT THE |
| 5 | JUVENILE IS UNRESTORABLE TO COMPETENCY AND SHALL DETERMINE |
| 6 | WHETHER A MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR THE JUVENILE IS NECESSARY |
| 7 | PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION (3)(a) OF THIS SECTION. THE TIME LIMITS ARE |
| 8 | AS FOLLOWS: |
| 9 | (I) IF THE HIGHEST CHARGE WOULD BE A MISDEMEANOR, A |
| 10 | MISDEMEANOR DRUG OFFENSE, A PETTY OFFENSE, OR A TRAFFIC OFFENSE, |
| 11 | AND THE JUVENILE IS NOT RESTORED TO COMPETENCY AFTER A PERIOD OF |
| 12 | SIX MONTHS, THE COURT SHALL FIND THE JUVENILE UNRESTORABLE TO |
| 13 | COMPETENCY; |
| 14 | (II) If the highest charge would be a class 4, 5, or 6 felony, |
| 15 | OR A LEVEL 3 OR 4 DRUG FELONY, AND THE JUVENILE IS NOT RESTORED TO |
| 16 | COMPETENCY AFTER A PERIOD OF ONE YEAR, THE COURT SHALL FIND THE |
| 17 | JUVENILE UNRESTORABLE TO COMPETENCY; |
| 18 | (III) If the highest charge would be a class $2\text{or}3$ felony or |
| 19 | A LEVEL 1 OR 2 DRUG FELONY, EXCEPT A CLASS 1, 2, OR 3 FELONY CRIME |
| 20 | OF VIOLENCE, AND THE JUVENILE IS NOT RESTORED TO COMPETENCY |
| 21 | AFTER A PERIOD OF TWO YEARS, THE COURT SHALL FIND THE JUVENILE |
| 22 | UNRESTORABLE TO COMPETENCY; OR |
| 23 | (IV) IF THE HIGHEST CHARGE WOULD BE A CLASS 1 FELONY OR A |
| 24 | CLASS 1, 2, OR 3 FELONY CRIME OF VIOLENCE, OR NOTWITHSTANDING |
| 25 | OTHER PROVISIONS OF THIS SUBSECTION (2.5), IF THE JUVENILE IS |
| 26 | CHARGED AS AN AGGRAVATED JUVENILE OFFENDER PURSUANT TO SECTION |
| 27 | 19-2.5-1125 (4) OR 19-2.5-1127, AND THE JUVENILE IS NOT RESTORED TO |

-10- DRAFT

COMPETENCY AFTER A PERIOD OF FIVE YEARS, THE COURT SHALL PRESUME THAT THE JUVENILE IS UNRESTORABLE TO COMPETENCY; EXCEPT THAT THE PROSECUTION MAY REBUT THIS PRESUMPTION WITH A SHOWING BY A PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE THAT THE JUVENILE IS LIKELY TO BE RESTORED TO COMPETENCY PRIOR TO THE JUVENILE'S TWENTY-FIRST BIRTHDAY.

(b) Nothing in this subsection (2.5) precludes a court from determining a juvenile is unlikely to be restored to competency in the reasonably foreseeable future and entering an order that the juvenile is unrestorable to competency pursuant to subsection (3)(a) of this section through a competency hearing or restoration to competency hearing conducted at any time prior to the expiration of the time limits set forth in subsection (2.5)(a) of this section, based upon the available evidence.

(3) (a) If the court finally determines pursuant to section 19-2.5-703 or 19-2.5-703.5 that the juvenile is incompetent to proceed and cannot be restored to competency IN THE REASONABLY FORESEEABLE FUTURE, the court shall ENTER AN ORDER FINDING THE JUVENILE UNRESTORABLE TO COMPETENCY AND SHALL determine whether a management plan for the juvenile is necessary, taking into account the public safety and the best interests of the juvenile. If the court determines a management plan is necessary, the court shall develop the management plan after ordering that the juvenile be placed in the least-restrictive environment, taking into account the public safety and best interests of the juvenile. If the court determines a management plan is unnecessary, the court may continue any treatment or plan already in place for the juvenile. The management plan must, at a minimum, address treatment

-11- DRAFT

| 1 | for the juvenile, identify the party or parties responsible for the juvenile, |
|----|---|
| 2 | and specify appropriate behavior management tools, if they are not |
| 3 | otherwise part of the juvenile's treatment. |
| 4 | SECTION 7. In Colorado Revised Statutes, 19-2.5-705, amend |
| 5 | (1) as follows: |
| 6 | 19-2.5-705. Restoration to competency hearing. (1) The court |
| 7 | may order a restoration to competency hearing, as defined in section |
| 8 | 19-2.5-102 SECTION 19-2.5-701.5, at any time on its own motion, on |
| 9 | motion of the prosecuting attorney, or on motion of the juvenile. The |
| 10 | court shall order a restoration of competency hearing if a competency |
| 11 | evaluator with the qualifications described in section 19-2.5-703 (4)(b) |
| 12 | files a report certifying that the juvenile is competent to proceed. |
| 13 | SECTION 8. In Colorado Revised Statutes, add 19-2.5-707 as |
| 14 | follows: |
| 15 | 19-2.5-707. Evaluation at the request of the juvenile. If A |
| 16 | JUVENILE WISHES TO BE EXAMINED BY A COMPETENCY EVALUATOR OF THE |
| 17 | JUVENILE'S OWN CHOICE IN CONNECTION WITH A PROCEEDING PURSUANT |
| 18 | TO THIS ARTICLE 2.5, THE COURT, UPON TIMELY MOTION, SHALL ORDER |
| 19 | THAT THE COMPETENCY EVALUATION OCCUR. A JUVENILE HAS THE RIGHT |
| 20 | TO REQUEST A SECOND EVALUATION IN RESPONSE TO A COURT-ORDERED |
| 21 | COMPETENCY EVALUATION OR A COURT-ORDERED RESTORATION |
| 22 | EVALUATION WITHIN SEVEN DAYS AFTER THE RECEIPT OF AN EVALUATION. |
| 23 | When requested, the court shall allow time for the second |
| 24 | EVALUATION TO BE COMPLETED PRIOR TO ANY COMPETENCY HEARING OR |
| 25 | RESTORATION HEARING. |
| 26 | SECTION 9. Act subject to petition - effective date. This act |
| 27 | takes effect at 12:01 a.m. on the day following the expiration of the |

-12- DRAFT

- ninety-day period after final adjournment of the general assembly; except
- 2 that, if a referendum petition is filed pursuant to section 1 (3) of article V
- 3 of the state constitution against this act or an item, section, or part of this
- 4 act within such period, then the act, item, section, or part will not take
- 5 effect unless approved by the people at the general election to be held in
- 6 November 2024 and, in such case, will take effect on the date of the
- 7 official declaration of the vote thereon by the governor.

-13- DRAFT