First Regular Session Seventy-fifth General Assembly STATE OF COLORADO

INTRODUCED

LLS NO. 25-0746.01 Renee Leone x2695

SENATE BILL 25-128

SENATE SPONSORSHIP

Pelton B. and Roberts,

HOUSE SPONSORSHIP

McCormick and Winter T.,

Senate Committees Agriculture & Natural Resources **House Committees**

A BILL FOR AN ACT

101	CONCERNING REPEALING CERTAIN PROVISIONS THAT PROHIBIT AN
102	EMPLOYER FROM INTERFERING WITH AN AGRICULTURAL
103	EMPLOYEE'S ACCESS TO SERVICE PROVIDERS, AND, IN
104	CONNECTION THEREWITH, REPEALING PROVISIONS THAT
105	PROHIBIT AN EMPLOYER FROM INTERFERING WITH AN
106	AGRICULTURAL EMPLOYEE'S ACCESS TO SERVICE PROVIDERS ON
107	PRIVATE LAND.

Bill Summary

(Note: This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not reflect any amendments that may be subsequently adopted. If this bill passes third reading in the house of introduction, a bill summary that applies to the reengrossed version of this bill will be available at <u>http://leg.colorado.gov.</u>) The bill repeals current state law provisions that, in part, govern agricultural workers' key service providers' access to private property.

1 *Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado:* 2 **SECTION 1. Legislative declaration.** (1) The general assembly 3 finds and declares that: 4 (a) On June 25, 2021, the governor signed Senate Bill 21-087 into 5 law, including the agricultural worker key service provider access 6 provisions; 7 (b) On June 23, 2021, after the general assembly passed Senate 8 Bill 21-087, the United States supreme court announced its decision in 9 Cedar Point Nursery v. Hassid, 594 U.S. 139 (2021), which involved a 10 California regulation that granted certain third parties a right of access to 11 agricultural employers' property to meet with employees; 12 (c) In Cedar Point Nursery, the court held that the access 13 provision "appropriates a right to invade the [employers'] property and 14 therefore constitutes a *per se* physical taking" because it "appropriates for 15 the enjoyment of third parties ... the [employers'] right to exclude."; 16 (d) The court found that such an access provision cannot be regarded as a mere regulatory restriction on the use of property, as "the 17 18 right to exclude is 'universally held to be a fundamental element of the 19 property right"; 20 (e) For these reasons, the court ruled that the access provision was 21 a per se physical taking requiring just compensation under the fifth and 22 fourteenth amendments to the United States constitution; 23 (f) Both the United States and Colorado constitutions contain 24 takings clauses that prohibit the government from taking private property

-2-

1 without just compensation;

2 (g) The fifth amendment to the United States constitution,
3 applicable to the states through the fourteenth amendment, provides: "nor
4 shall private property be taken for public use, without just
5 compensation.";

6 (h) The Colorado constitution provides that "until [just 7 compensation] shall be paid to the owner, or into court for the owner, the 8 property shall not be needlessly disturbed, or the proprietary rights of the 9 owner therein divested";

(i) The Colorado constitution's prohibition on takings is similar to
the United States constitution's takings clause with one critical exception,
which is that the Colorado constitution provides that compensation shall
be paid prior to a taking; and

(j) The court's ruling in *Cedar Point Nursery* establishes that
certain agricultural worker key service provider access provisions in
Senate Bill 21-087, like the California regulation, constitute a taking by
appropriating an employer's fundamental property right, the right to
exclude.

(2) Therefore, based on *Cedar Point Nursery*, the general
assembly now determines that certain agricultural worker key service
provider access provisions, including those set forth in section 8-13.5-202
(1)(b), Colorado Revised Statutes, are unconstitutional and unenforceable
as applied to any location, as referenced in that section, that is privately
owned.

25 SECTION 2. In Colorado Revised Statutes, 8-13.5-202, repeal
26 (1)(b) and (1)(c) as follows:

27

8-13.5-202. Agricultural workers - right of access to key

-3-

service providers. (1) (b) An employer shall not interfere with an
 agricultural worker's reasonable access to key service providers at any
 location during any time in which the agricultural worker is not
 performing compensable work or during paid or unpaid rest and meal
 breaks, and with respect to health-care providers during any time, whether
 or not the agricultural worker is working.

7 (c) To ensure that agricultural workers have meaningful access to 8 services, the director of the division shall promulgate rules regarding 9 additional times during which an employer may not interfere with an 10 agricultural worker's reasonable access to key service providers, including 11 periods during which the agricultural worker is performing compensable 12 work, especially during periods when the agricultural worker is required 13 to work in excess of forty hours per week and may have difficulty 14 accessing such services outside of work hours. The rules must be 15 proposed on or before October 31, 2021, and adopted on or before 16 January 31, 2022.

SECTION 3. Applicability. This act applies to conduct occurring
on or after the effective date of this act.

19 SECTION 4. Safety clause. The general assembly finds, 20 determines, and declares that this act is necessary for the immediate 21 preservation of the public peace, health, or safety or for appropriations for 22 the support and maintenance of the departments of the state and state 23 institutions.

-4-