HB24B-1001

JBC STAFF FISCAL ANALYSIS HOUSE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE

CONCERNING PROPERTY TAX.

Prime Sponsors: Reps. McCluskie and Pugliese Sens. Hansen and Kirkmeyer JBC Analyst:Andrea UhlPhone:303-866-4956Date Prepared:August 26, 2024

Appropriation Items of Note

Appropriation Not Required, No Amendment in Packet

General Fund/TABOR Impact

Significant Cost Increase in Second Year

Fiscal Impact of Bill as Amended to Date

The most recent Legislative Council Staff Fiscal Note (attached) reflects the fiscal impact of the bill as of 08/26/24.

XXX	No Change: Attached LCS Fiscal Note accurately reflects the fiscal impact of the bill	
	Update: Fiscal impact has changed due to new information or technical issues	
	Update: Fiscal impact has changed due to amendment adopted after LCS Fiscal Note was prepared	
	Non-Concurrence: JBC Staff and Legislative Council Staff disagree about the fiscal impact of the bill	

Amendments in This Packet for Consideration by Appropriations Committee

Amendment	Description
None	

Current Appropriations Clause in Bill

The bill neither requires nor contains an appropriation clause for FY 2024-25.

Points to Consider

Future Fiscal Impact and Budget Process

The State is required to backfill decreases in local school finance revenues for total program funding that result from the bill, estimated at \$81.0 million in FY 2025-26, \$92.9 million in FY 2026-27, and

JBC Staff Fiscal Analysis 1

HB24B-1001

JBC Staff Analysis

greater amounts in later years. This amount can be paid from the General Fund, the State Education Fund, the State Public School Fund, or any combination of the three. The bill also increases administrative expenditures for the Department of Local Affairs in FY 2025-26 by \$39,932 General Fund, based on the assumption that the Department will require an additional 0.5 FTE. These expenditure requirements will be addressed during the annual budgeting process.

TABOR Impact

The bill does not change the amount required to be refunded under TABOR, but will shift the amount refunded through various refund mechanisms. The bill is estimated to require \$17.0 million that would otherwise be refunded via property tax reductions, and paid via reimbursements to local governments for their losses, to instead be refunded via the six-tier sales tax refund mechanism in FY 2025-26.

Local Fiscal Impact

The bill affects local property tax revenue through reduced assessed values and modification of a property tax revenue limit. The bill also increases expenditures for county treasurers and assessors to implement the property tax changes in the bill. A detailed discussion of the bill's impact on local governments can be found in the Legislative Council Staff Fiscal Note dated 08/26/24.