HB24-1314

JBC STAFF FISCAL ANALYSIS SENATE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE

CONCERNING EXPANDING THE INCOME TAX CREDIT FOR QUALIFIED COSTS INCURRED IN PRESERVATION OF HISTORIC STRUCTURES, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, MAKING AN APPROPRIATION.

Prime Sponsors:	Reps. Lukens and Martinez
	Sens. Gonzales and Will

JBC Analyst:Scott ThompsonPhone:303-866-4957Date Prepared:May 3, 2024

Appropriation Items of Note

Appropriation Already Added to Bill, No Amendment in Packet

General Fund/TABOR Impact

New Cash Fund with Continuous Appropriation

Significant Cost Increase in Fourth Year

Fiscal Impact of Bill as Amended to Date

The most recent Legislative Council Staff Revised Fiscal Note (attached) reflects the fiscal impact of the bill as of 04/02/24.

XXX	No Change: Attached LCS Fiscal Note accurately reflects the fiscal impact of the bill	
	Update: Fiscal impact has changed due to new information or technical issues	
	Update: Fiscal impact has changed due to amendment adopted after LCS Fiscal Note was prepared	
	Non-Concurrence: JBC Staff and Legislative Council Staff disagree about the fiscal impact of the bill	

The reengrossed bill includes amendments to the bill adopted by the House on second reading (04/25/24), however, Legislative Council Staff and JBC Staff agree that the committee amendments do not change the fiscal impact of the bill.

Amendments in This Packet for Consideration by Appropriations Committee

Amendment	Description
None.	

HB24-1314

JBC Staff Analysis

Current Appropriations Clause in Bill

The bill includes an appropriation clause that appropriates a total of \$128,663 General Fund for FY 2023-24, including \$74,244 for the Office of the Governor and \$54,419 for History Colorado in the Department of Higher Education. This provision also states that the appropriation is based on the assumption that each will require an additional 0.4 FTE.

Points to Consider

General Fund Impact

The Joint Budget Committee has proposed a budget package for FY 2024-25 based on the March 2024 Office of State Planning and Budgeting (OSPB) revenue forecast. The budget package includes \$63.1 million in set-asides for legislation outside of the package (see table below). The budget package accounts for the 15.0 percent reserve associated with the placeholders (a total of \$9.5 million).

General Fund Appropriation Placeholders for Other 2024 Legislation		
Description	FY 2024-25	
General Legislative Priorities	\$21,739,130	
Legislation for Shared Housing Priorities	12,043,478	
Legislation for Shared Workforce and Education Priorities	16,152,110	
Legislation for Other Shared Priorities	10,519,130	
Legislation for Distributions to Rural Hospitals	2,608,689	
TOTAL Placeholders for Other 2024 Legislation	\$63,062,537	

This bill requires a General Fund appropriation of \$128,663 for FY 2024-25, reducing the \$63.1 million set aside by the same amount.

TABOR/ Excess State Revenues Impact

The March 2024 Office of State Planning and Budgeting (OSPB) revenue forecast projects a TABOR surplus liability of \$1.3 billion for FY 2024-25 and \$1.8 billion for FY 2025-26 to be refunded to taxpayers out of the General Fund. These sums must be refunded to taxpayers out of the General Fund. This bill is estimated to decrease General Fund revenues by \$25,000 in FY 2024-25 and by \$60,000 in FY 2025-26, which will result in a decrease in the TABOR surplus liability of equal amounts. This bill will also reduce General Fund revenues by an estimated \$5.1 million in FY 2027-28, reducing the amount of General Fund available for other purposes.

Legislative Appropriation Authority

Continuous spending authority, also known as **continuous appropriation**, allows state agencies to spend money for statutorily specified purposes up to the total amount available in a specified fund

HB24-1314

source without seeking further legislative approval through the budget process.

The term *appropriation* is broadly understood as expressing or conveying legal spending authority. However, the term *appropriation* also inherently expresses fundamental legislative fiscal authority by communicating a *limit* on or maximum amount of spending from a specified fund source for a defined period such as a fiscal year. While continuous spending authority also expresses or conveys legal spending authority it does so by eliminating legislative fiscal authority and oversight.

An annual appropriation provides a limit on spending authority, while a continuous appropriation provides unlimited spending authority. This method of funding moves these expenditures offbudget, and thus expenditures are not reported or accounted for through the budget process.

Is it necessary for the Governor's Office to **not** seek annual authority from the General Assembly to spend money from Historic Preservation Tax Credit Program Cash Fund?