Second Regular Session Seventy-fourth General Assembly STATE OF COLORADO

REREVISED

This Version Includes All Amendments Adopted in the Second House SENATE BILL 24-079

LLS NO. 24-0466.01 Jery Payne x2157

SENATE SPONSORSHIP

Hinrichsen and Smallwood, Gonzales, Lundeen, Priola

HOUSE SPONSORSHIP

Mabrey and Weinberg, Ortiz

Senate Committees Transportation & Energy House Committees Transportation, Housing & Local Government

A BILL FOR AN ACT

101 CONCERNING AUTHORIZATION FOR A TWO-WHEELED MOTORCYCLE TO

102 OVERTAKE ANOTHER MOTOR VEHICLE IN THE SAME LANE.

Bill Summary

(Note: This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not reflect any amendments that may be subsequently adopted. If this bill passes third reading in the house of introduction, a bill summary that applies to the reengrossed version of this bill will be available at <u>http://leg.colorado.gov.</u>)

The bill authorizes a 2-wheeled motorcycle to overtake or pass another motor vehicle in the same lane if:

- The other motor vehicle is stopped or moving in the same direction of travel as the motorcycle;
- The road has lanes wide enough to pass safely;
- The motorcycle is moving at 20 miles per hour or less; and

HOUSE 3rd Reading Unamended March 18, 2024

HOUSE Amended 2nd Reading March 15, 2024





• Conditions permit prudent operation of the motorcycle while overtaking or passing.

A motorcycle rider overtaking or passing under the bill must not overtake or pass:

- On the right shoulder;
- To the right of a vehicle in the farthest right-hand lane if the highway is not limited access; or
- In a lane of traffic moving in the opposite direction.
- 1 Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado:

2 SECTION 1. Legislative declaration. (1) The general assembly
3 finds and declares that:

4

(a) A 2009 "Motorcycle Accidents In Depth Study" by the
European Association of Motorcycle Manufacturers that was conducted
in five European countries found that 0.45 percent of motorcycle crashes
involved lane splitting and that motorcyclists were seven times more
likely to be hit while stopped compared to crashing while lane splitting;

- 10 (b) The state of New South Wales, Australia, conducted a
 11 two-year trial of lane filtering from February 2015 through January 2017,
 12 which concluded that:
- (I) Lane splitting "was a relatively low risk riding activity for
 motorcyclists under the conditions of the trial"; and
- (II) Attitudes toward lane filtering became more positive during
 the trial, and most motor vehicle drivers supported lane filtering even
 though they may not have necessarily believed it improved safety;
- (c) The lane-splitting trial in Australia resulted in a change in the
 laws of New South Wales, from prohibiting lane filtering to allowing lane
 filtering at a speed less than 30 kmph (19 mph);
- 21 (d) A 2010 Oregon department of transportation literature review

on motorcycle lane sharing concluded that lane splitting crashes were rare
 even in areas where lane splitting was legal and widely practiced;

(e) In a June 2012 through August 2013 study from the California
Enhanced Motorcycle Collision Data Project, of 5,969 motorcyclist
crashes in California, the only state in the country to allow full lane
splitting, motorcyclist injuries were far less severe when the
collision-involved motorcyclist was lane splitting than when the
collision-involved motorcyclist was not lane splitting;

9 (f) Three studies conducted between 2011 and 2015 found that 10 lane-splitting motorcyclists in California were less likely to be involved 11 in a crash than motorcyclists who were not lane splitting. Two of these 12 studies reported that lane-splitting motorcyclists are 43 percent less likely 13 to be involved in a rear-end crash.

(g) A year after the California Highway Patrol issued lane splitting
safety tips, motorcyclist fatalities were reduced by 30 percent because
motorcyclists were less likely to be involved in a rear-end crash;

(h) Other benefits of lane filtering include a reduction in traffic
congestion, and, accordingly, fuel consumption and emissions from all
vehicles, and reduction in overheating in air-cooled motorcycles;

(i) In the last five years, Montana, Utah, and Arizona have all
legalized lane filtering, and, following a sunset review in <u>2022, Utah</u>
extended the legalization of lane filtering; and

(j) Lane filtering is prohibited in Colorado and can result in
 various citations, including violations of motorcycle lane restrictions and
 careless driving laws.

26 (2) Therefore, the general assembly determines that the act of lane
27 filtering by a driver of a motorcycle, when done at speeds at or below <u>15</u>

-3-

miles per hour and when conditions permit, is in the best interests of
 motorist safety.

3 SECTION 2. In Colorado Revised Statutes, 42-4-1503, amend
4 (2) and (3) as follows:

42-4-1503. Operating motorcycles and autocycles on roadways
laned for <u>traffic - report - repeal. (2)</u> The operator DRIVER of a
motorcycle or autocycle shall not overtake or pass in the same lane
occupied by the vehicle being overtaken.

9 (3) (a) <u>A</u> person shall not operate DRIVE a motorcycle or autocycle
10 between lanes of traffic or between adjacent lines or rows of vehicles.

(b) (I) <u>NOTWITHSTANDING SUBSECTIONS (2) AND (3)(a) OF THIS</u>
<u>SECTION, THE</u> DRIVER OF A TWO-WHEELED MOTORCYCLE MAY OVERTAKE
OR PASS ANOTHER MOTOR VEHICLE IN THE SAME LANE AS THE
MOTORCYCLE IF:

15 (A) THE OVERTAKEN OR PASSED MOTOR VEHICLE IS <u>STOPPED;</u>

16 (B) THE MOTOR VEHICLES IN THE ADJACENT LANES, IF THE LANES

17 ARE FOR THE SAME DIRECTION OF TRAVEL AS THE LANE OCCUPIED BY THE
18 TWO-WHEELED MOTORCYCLE, ARE STOPPED;

19 (C) THE DRIVER OF THE TWO-WHEELED MOTORCYCLE IS ON A
20 ROAD WITH LANES WIDE ENOUGH TO PASS SAFELY;

21 (D) THE PASSING MOTORCYCLE IS DRIVING AT <u>FIFTEEN</u> MILES PER
22 HOUR OR LESS; AND

23 (E) CONDITIONS PERMIT PRUDENT OPERATION OF THE
24 MOTORCYCLE WHILE OVERTAKING OR PASSING.

(II) WHEN THE MOTOR VEHICLES THAT ARE BEING OVERTAKEN OR
PASSED BY THE TWO-WHEELED MOTORCYCLE BEGIN MOVING, THE DRIVER
OF THE MOTORCYCLE SHALL CEASE OVERTAKING OR PASSING A MOTOR

1	VEHICLE PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION $(3)(b)(I)$ of this section.
2	(III) A PERSON OVERTAKING OR PASSING PURSUANT TO THIS
3	SUBSECTION (3)(b) SHALL NOT OVERTAKE OR PASS:
4	(A) ON THE RIGHT SHOULDER;
5	(B) To the right of a vehicle in the farthest right-hand
6	LANE IF THE HIGHWAY IS NOT LIMITED ACCESS; OR
7	(C) IN A LANE OF TRAFFIC MOVING IN THE OPPOSITE DIRECTION.
8	(IV) This subsection (3)(b) is repealed, effective September
9	<u>1,2027.</u>
10	(c) (I) THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION SHALL ANALYZE
11	DATA ON THE SAFETY EFFECTS OF SUBSECTION $(3)(b)$ OF THIS SECTION AND
12	ISSUE A REPORT TO THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY BY JANUARY 1, 2027. THE
13	DATA AND REPORT MUST INCLUDE:
14	(A) MOTORCYCLE REAR-END COLLISIONS BEFORE AND AFTER
15	THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS SUBSECTION (3)(c);
16	(B) THE SEVERITY OF REAR-END COLLISIONS IN HEAVY TRAFFIC
17	CONDITIONS BEFORE AND AFTER THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS SUBSECTION
18	<u>(3)(c); AND</u>
19	(C) MOTORCYCLE SIDE-SWIPE COLLISIONS WHILE OVERTAKING OR
20	PASSING AT A RATE OF LESS THAN FIFTEEN MILES PER HOUR BEFORE AND
21	AFTER THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS SUBSECTION (3)(c).
22	(II) This subsection (3)(c) is repealed, effective July 1, 2028.
23	SECTION 3. Act subject to petition - effective date -
24	applicability. (1) This act takes effect at 12:01 a.m. on the day following
25	the expiration of the ninety-day period after final adjournment of the
26	general assembly; except that, if a referendum petition is filed pursuant
27	to section 1 (3) of article V of the state constitution against this act or an

item, section, or part of this act within such period, then the act, item,
 section, or part will not take effect unless approved by the people at the
 general election to be held in November 2024 and, in such case, will take
 effect on the date of the official declaration of the vote thereon by the
 governor.

6 (2) This act applies to acts committed on or after the applicable
7 effective date of this act.