

**First Regular Session  
Seventy-fourth General Assembly  
STATE OF COLORADO**

**REVISED**

*This Version Includes All Amendments Adopted  
on Second Reading in the Second House*

LLS NO. R23-0150.01 Conrad Imel x2313

**HCR23-1001**

---

**HOUSE SPONSORSHIP**

**Weissman and Lynch**, Bacon, Amabile, Bird, Boesenecker, Brown, Catlin, deGruy Kennedy, Epps, Frizell, Froelich, Gonzales-Gutierrez, Hamrick, Jodeh, Joseph, Kipp, Lieder, Lindsay, Marshall, McCluskie, Ricks, Sirota, Snyder, Soper, Story, Titone, Young

**SENATE SPONSORSHIP**

**Gardner and Gonzales**, Moreno, Van Winkle

---

**House Committees**

Judiciary  
Appropriations

**Senate Committees**

Judiciary  
Appropriations

---

**HOUSE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION 23-1001**

101     **SUBMITTING TO THE REGISTERED ELECTORS OF THE STATE OF**  
102     **COLORADO AN AMENDMENT TO THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION**  
103     **CONCERNING JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE, AND, IN CONNECTION**  
104     **THEREWITH, ESTABLISHING AN INDEPENDENT JUDICIAL**  
105     **DISCIPLINE ADJUDICATIVE BOARD, SETTING STANDARDS FOR**  
106     **JUDICIAL REVIEW OF A DISCIPLINE CASE, AND CLARIFYING WHEN**  
107     **DISCIPLINE PROCEEDINGS BECOME PUBLIC.**

---

**Resolution Summary**

*(Note: This summary applies to this resolution as introduced and does not reflect any amendments that may be subsequently adopted. If this resolution passes third reading in the house of introduction, a resolution summary that applies to the reengrossed version of this resolution will be available at <http://leg.colorado.gov/>.)*

Shading denotes HOUSE amendment. Double underlining denotes SENATE amendment.  
*Capital letters or bold & italic numbers indicate new material to be added to existing law.*  
*Dashes through the words or numbers indicate deletions from existing law.*

SENATE  
Amended 2nd Reading  
April 28, 2023

HOUSE  
3rd Reading Unamended  
April 6, 2023

HOUSE  
Amended 2nd Reading  
April 5, 2023

**Legislative Interim Committee on Judicial Discipline.** The concurrent resolution amends section 23 of article VI of the Colorado constitution as it relates to judicial discipline. Under existing law, the commission on judicial discipline (commission) investigates complaints of judicial misconduct; conducts formal judicial disciplinary proceedings; and may dismiss complaints, impose informal sanctions, or recommend that the Colorado supreme court impose formal sanctions. The commission may also request that the supreme court appoint special masters to hear and take evidence on a matter and report to the commission.

The resolution clarifies the commission's authority to dismiss complaints. The resolution repeals the authority of the commission to conduct formal judicial disciplinary proceedings and request appointment of special masters, and creates an independent adjudicative board (board) to conduct formal proceedings and hear appeals of the commission's orders imposing informal sanctions. The board is comprised of 4 district court judges, 4 attorneys, and 4 citizens. The resolution prohibits a member of the commission from being appointed to the board and prohibits a member of the board from being appointed to the commission. A randomly selected panel of the board, comprised of one judge, one attorney, and one citizen, conducts formal proceedings in a case. The resolution permits the panel to dismiss a complaint, impose informal sanctions, or impose formal sanctions.

The resolution sets the standards of review to be used by the supreme court when it reviews a panel's decision. The resolution requires a tribunal of 7 randomly selected court of appeals judges to review the panel's decision in the same manner and using the same standards of review when: The proceedings involve a complaint against a Colorado supreme court justice; a Colorado supreme court justice, a staff member to a justice, or a family member of a justice is a complainant or a material witness in the proceeding; or more than 2 justices have recused themselves from the proceeding. The tribunal reviews the panel's decision in the same manner and using the same standards of review as the supreme court does when it reviews panel decisions.

Under existing law, commission proceedings are confidential until the commission files recommendations with the supreme court. The resolution makes proceedings public at the commencement of formal proceedings and clarifies that appeals to the board of informal remedial sanctions are confidential. The resolution clarifies that a person is absolutely immune from any action for defamation based on papers filed with or testimony before the commission, adjudicative board, supreme court, or tribunal. The resolution clarifies the circumstances in which the commission may release otherwise confidential information.

The resolution creates a rule-making committee to propose rules

for the commission. The supreme court approves or rejects each rule proposed by the rule-making committee. The Colorado rules of evidence and Colorado rules of civil procedure, as amended, apply to proceedings before a panel of the adjudicative board until and unless the supreme court promulgates rules specifically governing panel proceedings.

---

1           *Be It Resolved by the House of Representatives of the*  
2           *Seventy-fourth General Assembly of the State of Colorado, the Senate*  
3           *concurring herein:*

4           **SECTION 1.** At the election held on November 5, 2024, the  
5           secretary of state shall submit to the registered electors of the state the  
6           ballot title set forth in section 2 for the following amendment to the state  
7           constitution:

8           In the constitution of the state of Colorado, section 23 of article  
9           VI, **amend** (3)(a), (3)(e), (3)(f), (3)(g), and (3)(h); and **add** (3)(c.5) and  
10          (3)(k) as follows:

11          **Section 23. Retirement and removal of justices and judges.**  
12          (3) (a) There shall be a commission on judicial discipline. It shall consist  
13          of: Two judges of district courts and two judges of county courts, each  
14          selected by ~~the supreme court;~~ THE ACTIVE DISTRICT JUDGES AND COUNTY  
15          COURT JUDGES OF THE STATE, AS PROVIDED IN LAW; two citizens admitted  
16          to practice law in the courts of this state, neither of whom shall be a  
17          justice or judge, who shall have practiced in this state for at least ten years  
18          and who shall be appointed by the governor, with the consent of the  
19          senate; and four citizens, none of whom shall be a justice or judge, active  
20          or retired, nor admitted to practice law in the courts of this state, who  
21          shall be appointed by the governor, with the consent of the senate. AN  
22          APPOINTING AUTHORITY SHALL NOT APPOINT A MEMBER OF THE  
23          INDEPENDENT JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE ADJUDICATIVE BOARD ESTABLISHED IN

1 SUBSECTION (3)(c.5) OF THIS SECTION TO THE COMMISSION.

2 (c.5) (I) THERE IS CREATED THE INDEPENDENT JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE  
3 ADJUDICATIVE BOARD AS AN INDEPENDENT AGENCY WITHIN THE JUDICIAL  
4 DEPARTMENT. THE ADJUDICATIVE BOARD SHALL CONDUCT FORMAL  
5 JUDICIAL DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS. THE ADJUDICATIVE BOARD ALSO  
6 SHALL HEAR APPEALS OF THE COMMISSION'S ORDERS OF INFORMAL  
7 REMEDIAL ACTION. APPEALS TO THE ADJUDICATIVE BOARD ARE  
8 CONFIDENTIAL. THE ADJUDICATIVE BOARD CONSISTS OF FOUR DISTRICT  
9 COURT JUDGES WITHOUT ANY JUDICIAL OR ATTORNEY DISCIPLINARY  
10 HISTORY, APPOINTED BY THE SUPREME COURT; FOUR ATTORNEYS WITHOUT  
11 ANY JUDICIAL OR ATTORNEY DISCIPLINARY HISTORY WHO ARE LICENSED  
12 TO PRACTICE LAW IN COLORADO AND WHO RESIDE IN COLORADO,  
13 APPOINTED BY THE GOVERNOR AND CONFIRMED BY THE SENATE; AND  
14 FOUR CITIZENS WHO ARE NOT JUDGES OR ATTORNEYS LICENSED TO  
15 PRACTICE LAW IN COLORADO, APPOINTED BY THE GOVERNOR AND  
16 CONFIRMED BY THE SENATE. AN APPOINTING AUTHORITY SHALL NOT  
17 APPOINT A MEMBER OF THE COMMISSION TO THE ADJUDICATIVE BOARD.  
18 FOR THE PURPOSE OF STAGGERING TERMS, WHEN MAKING THE INITIAL  
19 APPOINTMENTS TO THE ADJUDICATIVE BOARD, THE APPOINTING  
20 AUTHORITY SHALL DESIGNATE TWO MEMBERS FROM EACH CATEGORY TO  
21 A FIVE-YEAR TERM AND TWO MEMBERS FROM EACH CATEGORY TO A  
22 THREE-YEAR TERM. ALL SUBSEQUENT APPOINTMENTS ARE FOR A TERM OF  
23 FIVE YEARS; EXCEPT THAT IN THE EVENT OF A VACANCY ON THE  
24 ADJUDICATIVE BOARD, THE ORIGINAL APPOINTING AUTHORITY SHALL  
25 APPOINT, IN THE SAME MANNER AS AN ORIGINAL APPOINTMENT, A  
26 REPLACEMENT TO SERVE THE REMAINDER OF THE TERM.

27 (II) UPON ORDER OF A FORMAL HEARING PURSUANT TO

1 SUBSECTION (3)(e) OF THIS SECTION, A PANEL OF THE ADJUDICATIVE  
2 BOARD SHALL CONVENE TO CONDUCT THE HEARING. A PANEL CONSISTS OF  
3 ONE JUDGE, ONE ATTORNEY LICENSED TO PRACTICE LAW IN COLORADO,  
4 AND ONE CITIZEN. THE STATE COURT ADMINISTRATOR, OR THE  
5 ADMINISTRATOR'S DESIGNEE, SHALL RANDOMLY SELECT THE PANEL FROM  
6 AMONG THE ADJUDICATIVE BOARD'S MEMBERSHIP. THE RANDOM  
7 SELECTION OF A PANEL IS A PURELY ADMINISTRATIVE FUNCTION.

8 (e) (I) The commission may, after such investigation as it deems  
9 necessary, DISMISS A COMPLAINT, order informal remedial action, OR  
10 order a formal hearing to be held before ~~it~~ A PANEL OF THE ADJUDICATIVE  
11 BOARD concerning the removal, retirement, suspension, censure,  
12 reprimand, or other discipline of a justice or a judge. ~~or request the~~  
13 ~~supreme court to appoint three special masters, who shall be justices or~~  
14 ~~judges of courts of record, to hear and take evidence in any such matter~~  
15 ~~and to report thereon to the commission.~~ THE RESPONDENT JUSTICE OR  
16 JUDGE MAY APPEAL THE COMMISSION'S ORDER FOR INFORMAL REMEDIAL  
17 ACTION TO A PANEL OF THE ADJUDICATIVE BOARD. THE ADJUDICATIVE  
18 PANEL SHALL REVIEW THE COMMISSION'S INFORMAL REMEDIAL ACTION  
19 ORDER FOR ABUSE OF DISCRETION. AN APPEAL OF AN INFORMAL REMEDIAL  
20 ACTION ORDER IS CONFIDENTIAL CONSISTENT WITH SUBSECTION (3)(g) OF  
21 THIS SECTION.

22 (II) After a formal hearing, ~~or after considering the record and~~  
23 ~~report of the masters, if the commission finds good cause therefor, it~~ THE  
24 ADJUDICATIVE PANEL may DISMISS THE CHARGES BEFORE IT; take informal  
25 remedial action; or ~~it may recommend to the supreme court~~ ORDER the  
26 removal, retirement, suspension, censure, reprimand, or OTHER discipline,  
27 as the case may be, of the justice or judge. The ~~commission~~

1 ADJUDICATIVE PANEL may also ~~recommend~~ ORDER that the costs of its  
2 THE investigation and hearing be assessed against such justice or judge.  
3 THE JUSTICE OR JUDGE MAY APPEAL AN ADJUDICATIVE PANEL'S  
4 DISCIPLINARY ORDER, AND THE COMMISSION MAY APPEAL AN  
5 ADJUDICATIVE PANEL'S DISMISSAL OR DISCIPLINARY ORDER, TO THE  
6 SUPREME COURT OR, WHEN THE CIRCUMSTANCES DESCRIBED IN  
7 SUBSECTION (3)(f)(II) OF THIS SECTION ARE PRESENT, TO THE TRIBUNAL  
8 DESCRIBED IN SUBSECTION (3)(f)(II) OF THIS SECTION.

9 (f) (I) ~~Following receipt of a recommendation from the~~  
10 ~~commission, the supreme court shall review the record of the proceedings~~  
11 ~~on the law and facts and in its discretion may permit the introduction of~~  
12 ~~additional evidence and shall order~~ ON APPEAL OF AN ADJUDICATIVE  
13 PANEL'S ORDER FOR removal, retirement, suspension, censure, reprimand,  
14 or OTHER discipline, ~~as it finds just and proper, or wholly reject the~~  
15 ~~recommendation~~ OR A PANEL'S DISMISSAL OF CHARGES, THE SUPREME  
16 COURT, OR THE TRIBUNAL DESCRIBED IN SUBSECTION (3)(f)(II) OF THIS  
17 SECTION IF THE TRIBUNAL IS HEARING THE APPEAL, SHALL REVIEW THE  
18 RECORD OF THE PROCEEDINGS ON THE LAW AND FACTS. WHEN REVIEWING  
19 THE ADJUDICATIVE PANEL'S DECISION, THE SUPREME COURT SHALL REVIEW  
20 MATTERS OF LAW DE NOVO, REVIEW FACTUAL MATTERS TO DETERMINE  
21 WHETHER THE ADJUDICATIVE PANEL'S DETERMINATION IS CLEARLY  
22 ERRONEOUS, AND REVIEW ANY SANCTIONS IMPOSED BY THE ADJUDICATIVE  
23 PANEL FOR ABUSE OF DISCRETION. ~~Upon an order for retirement, the~~  
24 ~~justice or judge shall thereby be retired with the same rights and~~  
25 ~~privileges as if he retired pursuant to statute. Upon an order for removal,~~  
26 ~~the justice or judge shall thereby be removed from office, and his salary~~  
27 ~~shall cease from the date of such order. On the entry of an order for~~

1 ~~retirement or for removal of a judge, his office shall be deemed vacant.~~

2 (II) IN PROCEEDINGS IN WHICH THE CIRCUMSTANCES DESCRIBED IN  
3 THIS SUBSECTION (3)(f)(II) ARE PRESENT, A TRIBUNAL COMPRISED OF  
4 SEVEN JUDGES OF THE COURT OF APPEALS AND DISTRICT COURT SHALL  
5 REVIEW THE DECISION OF THE ADJUDICATIVE PANEL OR HEAR ANY OTHER  
6 APPEAL IN THE SAME MANNER AND USE THE SAME STANDARDS OF REVIEW  
7 AS THE SUPREME COURT WHEN IT REVIEWS DECISIONS AND HEARS APPEALS  
8 AS DESCRIBED IN SUBSECTION (3)(f)(I) OF THIS SECTION. THE STATE  
9 COURT ADMINISTRATOR, OR THE ADMINISTRATOR'S DESIGNEE, SHALL  
10 RANDOMLY SELECT MEMBERS OF THE TRIBUNAL FROM AMONG ALL  
11 DISTRICT JUDGES AND COURT OF APPEALS JUDGES WHO DO NOT HAVE A  
12 CURRENT DISCIPLINARY INVESTIGATION OR PROCEEDING PENDING BEFORE  
13 THE COMMISSION OR ADJUDICATIVE BOARD; HAVE NOT RECEIVED A  
14 DISCIPLINARY SANCTION FROM THE COMMISSION, ADJUDICATIVE BOARD,  
15 OR SUPREME COURT; AND ARE NOT OTHERWISE REQUIRED BY LAW, COURT  
16 RULE, OR JUDICIAL CANON TO RECUSE THEMSELVES FROM THE TRIBUNAL.  
17 A TRIBUNAL MUST NOT INCLUDE MORE THAN ONE MEMBER WHO IS A  
18 COURT OF APPEALS JUDGE AND NOT MORE THAN ONE DISTRICT JUDGE  
19 FROM ANY ONE JUDICIAL DISTRICT. THE RANDOM SELECTION OF TRIBUNAL  
20 MEMBERS IS A PURELY ADMINISTRATIVE FUNCTION. THE TRIBUNAL SHALL  
21 REVIEW DECISIONS AND HEAR ANY OTHER APPEALS IN THE FOLLOWING  
22 CIRCUMSTANCES:

23 (A) WHEN THE PROCEEDINGS INVOLVE A COMPLAINT AGAINST A  
24 COLORADO SUPREME COURT JUSTICE;

25 (B) WHEN A COLORADO SUPREME COURT JUSTICE IS A  
26 COMPLAINANT OR A MATERIAL WITNESS IN THE PROCEEDING;

27 (C) WHEN A STAFF MEMBER TO A COLORADO SUPREME COURT

1 JUSTICE IS A COMPLAINANT OR MATERIAL WITNESS IN THE PROCEEDING;

2 (D) WHEN A FAMILY MEMBER OF A COLORADO SUPREME COURT  
3 JUSTICE IS A COMPLAINANT OR MATERIAL WITNESS IN THE PROCEEDING; OR

4 (E) WHEN ANY OTHER CIRCUMSTANCES EXIST DUE TO WHICH  
5 MORE THAN TWO COLORADO SUPREME COURT JUSTICES HAVE RECUSED  
6 THEMSELVES FROM THE PROCEEDING.

7 (III) UPON A DETERMINATION THAT A SANCTION IMPOSED BY THE  
8 ADJUDICATIVE PANEL IS AN ABUSE OF DISCRETION, THE SUPREME COURT  
9 OR, IF APPLICABLE, THE TRIBUNAL, SHALL REMAND THE PROCEEDINGS TO  
10 THE PANEL THAT IMPOSED THE SANCTION WITH DIRECTIONS THE COURT OR  
11 TRIBUNAL DEEMS NECESSARY.

12 (IV) UPON AN ORDER FOR RETIREMENT, THE JUSTICE OR JUDGE IS  
13 RETIRED WITH THE SAME RIGHTS AND PRIVILEGES AS IF THE JUSTICE OR  
14 JUDGE RETIRED PURSUANT TO STATUTE. UPON AN ORDER FOR REMOVAL,  
15 THE JUSTICE OR JUDGE IS REMOVED FROM OFFICE AND THE JUSTICE'S OR  
16 JUDGE'S SALARY CEASES FROM THE DATE OF THE ORDER. ON THE ENTRY  
17 OF AN ORDER FOR RETIREMENT OR FOR REMOVAL OF A JUSTICE OR JUDGE,  
18 THE JUSTICE'S OR JUDGE'S OFFICE IS DEEMED VACANT.

19 (g) (I) ~~Prior to the filing of a recommendation to the supreme~~  
20 ~~court by the commission~~ COMMENCEMENT OF FORMAL DISCIPLINARY  
21 PROCEEDINGS against any justice or judge, all papers filed with and  
22 proceedings before the commission on judicial discipline ~~or masters~~  
23 ~~appointed by the supreme court, pursuant to this subsection (3), shall be~~  
24 ARE confidential, ~~and the filing of papers with and the giving of testimony~~  
25 ~~before the commission or the masters shall be privileged; but no other~~  
26 ~~publication of such papers or proceedings shall be privileged in any~~  
27 ~~action for defamation; except that the record filed by the commission in~~



1 ~~the supreme court continues privileged~~ IS CONFIDENTIAL. A PERSON IS  
2 ABSOLUTELY IMMUNE FROM ANY ACTION FOR DEFAMATION BASED ON  
3 PAPERS FILED WITH OR TESTIMONY BEFORE THE COMMISSION, THE  
4 ADJUDICATIVE BOARD, THE SUPREME COURT, OR THE TRIBUNAL, BUT NO  
5 OTHER PUBLICATION OF THE PAPERS OR PROCEEDINGS HAS ABSOLUTE  
6 IMMUNITY IN ANY ACTION FOR DEFAMATION and a writing ~~which~~ THAT  
7 was privileged prior to its filing with the commission ~~or the masters~~ does  
8 not lose such privilege by such filing.

9 (II) NOTWITHSTANDING THE CONFIDENTIALITY REQUIREMENT  
10 DESCRIBED IN THIS SUBSECTION (3)(g), THE COMMISSION MAY:

11 (A) RELEASE INFORMATION ABOUT THE STATUS OF AN  
12 EVALUATION, INVESTIGATION, OR PROCEEDING TO THE VICTIM OF  
13 MISCONDUCT OR THE COMPLAINANT;

14 (B) RELEASE INFORMATION ABOUT A COMPLAINT THAT RESULTED  
15 IN INFORMAL REMEDIAL ACTION OR PUBLIC DISCIPLINE OF A JUDGE OR  
16 JUSTICE TO THE STATE COURT ADMINISTRATOR AS NECESSARY FOR THE  
17 SELECTION OF A TRIBUNAL PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION (3)(f)(II) OF THIS  
18 SECTION; ANY RELEVANT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE OR  
19 JUDICIAL NOMINATING COMMISSION, THE OFFICE OF ATTORNEY  
20 REGULATION COUNSEL, AND THE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDING DISCIPLINARY  
21 JUDGE, OR SUCCESSORS TO EACH COMMISSION OR OFFICE; THE OFFICE OF  
22 THE GOVERNOR, FOR THE PURPOSE OF JUDICIAL APPOINTMENTS; THE  
23 JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT, FOR THE PURPOSE OF REVIEWING APPLICANTS FOR  
24 THE SENIOR JUDGE PROGRAM AND APPOINTMENTS TO THE ADJUDICATIVE  
25 BOARD PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION (3)(c.5)(I) OF THIS SECTION; AND OTHER  
26 LIMITED RECIPIENTS CONSISTENT WITH THE PURPOSES OF THIS SECTION  
27 ALLOWED BY RULE; AND

1 (C) MAKE PUBLICLY AVAILABLE AGGREGATE INFORMATION ABOUT  
2 TRENDS OR PATTERNS IN COMPLAINTS MADE TO THE COMMISSION, BUT THE  
3 COMMISSION SHALL NOT MAKE PUBLIC ANY INFORMATION THAT IDENTIFIES  
4 ANY SPECIFIC PERSON OR COMPLAINT.

5 (III) A RECIPIENT OF CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION PURSUANT TO  
6 SUBSECTION (3)(g)(II)(B) OF THIS SECTION SHALL PRESERVE THE  
7 CONFIDENTIALITY OF THE INFORMATION SUBJECT TO ANY SANCTIONS FOR  
8 VIOLATION OF CONFIDENTIALITY AS MAY BE PROVIDED BY LAW.

9 (IV) THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY MAY PROVIDE BY LAW FOR  
10 CONFIDENTIAL REPORTING AND COMPLAINANT RIGHTS CONSISTENT WITH  
11 SUBSECTION (3)(g)(II) OF THIS SECTION.

12 (h) ~~The supreme court shall by rule provide for procedures before~~  
13 ~~the commission on judicial discipline, the masters, and the supreme court.~~  
14 ~~The rules shall also provide the standards and degree of proof to be~~  
15 ~~applied by the commission in its proceedings.~~ A justice or judge who is  
16 a member of the ~~commission~~ COMMISSION, ADJUDICATIVE BOARD,  
17 TRIBUNAL, or supreme court shall not participate in any proceedings  
18 involving ~~his~~ THE JUSTICE'S OR JUDGE'S own removal or retirement.

19 (k) (I) THERE IS CREATED A RULE-MAKING COMMITTEE TO ADOPT  
20 RULES FOR THE JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE PROCESS. THE RULE-MAKING  
21 COMMITTEE CONSISTS OF THREE MEMBERS APPOINTED BY THE SUPREME  
22 COURT, THREE MEMBERS APPOINTED BY THE ADJUDICATIVE BOARD,  
23 THREE MEMBERS APPOINTED BY THE COMMISSION, THREE ATTORNEYS WHO  
24 HAVE EXPERIENCE AS RESPONDENT COUNSEL IN JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE  
25 PROCEEDINGS APPOINTED BY THE GOVERNOR, AND ONE REPRESENTATIVE  
26 OF A VICTIMS' RIGHTS ORGANIZATION APPOINTED BY THE GOVERNOR.  
27 MEMBERS SERVE AT THE PLEASURE OF THEIR APPOINTING AUTHORITY.

1 THE RULE-MAKING COMMITTEE SHALL ELECT A CHAIR WHO IS A MEMBER  
2 OF THE COMMITTEE. THE RULES MUST INCLUDE THE STANDARDS AND  
3 DEGREE OF PROOF TO BE APPLIED IN JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE PROCEEDINGS;  
4 CONFIDENTIAL REPORTING PROCEDURES; AND COMPLAINANT RIGHTS  
5 DURING THE EVALUATION, INVESTIGATION, AND HEARING PROCESS. THE  
6 GENERAL ASSEMBLY MAY PROVIDE BY LAW FOR CONFIDENTIAL REPORTING  
7 AND COMPLAINANT RIGHTS.

8 (II) THE RULE-MAKING COMMITTEE MAY PROMULGATE SPECIFIC  
9 RULES GOVERNING PROCEEDINGS BEFORE A PANEL OF THE ADJUDICATIVE  
10 BOARD. THE COLORADO RULES OF EVIDENCE AND COLORADO RULES OF  
11 CIVIL PROCEDURE, AS AMENDED, APPLY TO PROCEEDINGS BEFORE A PANEL  
12 OF THE ADJUDICATIVE BOARD UNTIL AND UNLESS THE RULE-MAKING  
13 COMMITTEE PROMULGATES RULES GOVERNING PANEL PROCEEDINGS.  
14 RULES PROMULGATED PURSUANT TO THIS SUBSECTION (3)(k)(II) APPLY TO  
15 FORMAL PROCEEDINGS INITIATED ON OR AFTER APRIL 1, 2025.

16 **SECTION 2.** Each elector voting at the election may cast a vote  
17 either "Yes/For" or "No/Against" on the following ballot title: "Shall  
18 there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning judicial  
19 discipline, and, in connection therewith, establishing an independent  
20 judicial discipline adjudicative board, setting standards for judicial review  
21 of a discipline case, and clarifying when discipline proceedings become  
22 public?"

23 **SECTION 3.** Except as otherwise provided in section 1-40-123,  
24 Colorado Revised Statutes, if at least fifty-five percent of the electors  
25 voting on the ballot title vote "Yes/For", then the amendment will become  
26 part of the state constitution.