# First Regular Session Seventy-fourth General Assembly STATE OF COLORADO

# **INTRODUCED**

LLS NO. 23-0468.03 Brita Darling x2241

**SENATE BILL 23-298** 

### SENATE SPONSORSHIP

Gardner and Roberts,

### **HOUSE SPONSORSHIP**

McCormick and Bockenfeld,

# Senate Committees Health & Human Services

#### **House Committees**

### A BILL FOR AN ACT

101 CONCERNING ALLOWING CERTAIN PUBLIC HOSPITALS TO IMPROVE
102 ACCESS TO HEALTH CARE THROUGH COLLABORATION.

## **Bill Summary**

(Note: This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not reflect any amendments that may be subsequently adopted. If this bill passes third reading in the house of introduction, a bill summary that applies to the reengrossed version of this bill will be available at <a href="http://leg.colorado.gov">http://leg.colorado.gov</a>.)

The bill permits a hospital that has fewer than 50 beds and is a county public hospital, a hospital formed by a health service district, or a hospital affiliated with either such hospital (hospital) to enter into collaborative agreements to engage in activities that may be characterized as anticompetitive or result in displacement of competition, such as agreements to provide ancillary or specialty services, joint purchasing,

shared services, consulting, and collaboration efforts with payers.

The bill exempts collaborating hospitals from state antitrust laws and provides immunity from federal antitrust laws under the state action doctrine for approved collaborative activity.

Prior to entering into a collaborative agreement, the hospitals must submit the proposed collaborative agreement (proposal) to the department of health care policy and financing (department) and to the attorney general. If the department determines that the collaborative agreement will result in cost savings or other efficiencies that will improve or expand the delivery of health-care services in rural and frontier communities, the department must refer the proposal to the attorney general.

The attorney general must review each proposal that is referred by the department and determine, within a specified time, that the benefits are not outweighed by any anticompetitive harm that may result from the agreement. The department or the attorney general may request additional information concerning a proposal within 60 days after its original submission. If additional information is requested, the department and attorney general have an additional 45 days to review the proposal.

If the department and the attorney general make a favorable determination, the proposal is approved and the hospitals may enter into a collaborative agreement. If neither the department nor the attorney general respond within the time frames set forth in the bill, the collaborative proposal is deemed approved.

The department or the attorney general may review a collaborative agreement annually to ensure the outcomes related to the collaborative agreement are consistent with statute.

1 Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado:

2 **SECTION 1.** In Colorado Revised Statutes, **add** 25-3-304.5 as

3 follows:

4 25-3-304.5. Hospital collaborative agreements - reviews of

5 proposed collaborative agreements - immunity - legislative

6 **declaration - definitions - rules.** (1) THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY FINDS AND

7 DECLARES THAT:

8 (a) (I) FRONTIER AND RURAL HOSPITALS CONTINUE TO STRUGGLE

9 TO DELIVER HIGH-QUALITY, ACCESSIBLE, LOW-COST CARE DUE TO THE

10 RISING COSTS OF MEDICATIONS, SUPPLIES, MEDICAL EQUIPMENT, AND

-2- SB23-298

1	CONTRACT LABOR;
2	(II) FRONTIER AND RURAL HOSPITALS ARE LARGELY INDEPENDENT,
3	GOVERNMENTAL FACILITIES THAT ARE GOVERNED BY LOCAL COMMUNITY
4	BOARDS;
5	(III) FRONTIER AND RURAL HOSPITALS ARE GENERALLY
6	SEPARATED BY LARGE DISTANCES AND ARE CHALLENGED BY THE NEED TO
7	PROVIDE ESSENTIAL SERVICES TO LOCAL COMMUNITIES DUE TO THE
8	SPARSE POPULATION IN RURAL AREAS;
9	(IV) FRONTIER AND RURAL HOSPITALS ARE INCREASINGLY
10	CHALLENGED BY COMPLEX REQUIREMENTS IMPOSED BY GOVERNMENT AND
11	PRIVATE PAYERS THAT DISPROPORTIONATELY NEGATIVELY IMPACT THESE
12	PROVIDERS AND UNNECESSARILY DRIVE-UP ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS;
13	(V) DUE TO THE MARKET DYNAMICS OF HEALTH CARE AND THE
14	FACT THAT MOST FRONTIER AND RURAL HOSPITALS ARE UNABLE TO
15	PROVIDE TERTIARY AND QUATERNARY CARE TO THEIR COMMUNITIES,
16	THERE IS LITTLE OPPORTUNITY FOR A GROUP OF FRONTIER AND RURAL
17	HOSPITALS TO ESTABLISH MONOPOLY POWER IN THEIR RESPECTIVE
18	MARKETS; AND
19	(VI) IT IS THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY'S INTENT TO PROVIDE
20	PROTECTION TO FRONTIER AND RURAL HOSPITALS FROM UNNECESSARY
21	ANTITRUST SCRUTINY THAT IMPEDES FRONTIER AND RURAL HOSPITALS
22	FROM WORKING COLLABORATIVELY TO IMPROVE QUALITY, INCREASE
23	ACCESS, AND REDUCE COSTS OF CARE TO THE COMMUNITIES THEY SERVE;
24	(b) (I) FORTY-SEVEN OF COLORADO'S SIXTY-FOUR COUNTIES
25	INCLUDE RURAL AND FRONTIER COMMUNITIES YET CONTAIN ONLY TWELVE
26	PERCENT OF COLORADO'S POPULATION;
27	(II) THIRTY-TWO COUNTIES ARE SERVED BY CRITICAL ACCESS
28	HOSPITALS THAT HAVE TWENTY-FIVE OR FEWER BEDS AND ARE

-3- SB23-298

1	GENERALLY LOCATED MORE THAN THIRTY-FIVE MILES FROM THE NEXT
2	CLOSEST HOSPITAL; ELEVEN COUNTIES LACK ANY HOSPITAL;
3	(III) THE SCARCITY OF NEARBY HOSPITALS CAUSES MANY
4	RESIDENTS TO STRUGGLE TO FIND QUALITY, AFFORDABLE HEALTH CARE
5	NEAR THEIR HOMES;
6	(IV) FURTHER, MANY RESIDENTS IN COLORADO'S RURAL AND
7	FRONTIER COMMUNITIES FOREGO PREVENTIVE AND BEHAVIORAL HEALTH
8	CARE AND LACK COMPREHENSIVE OR SPECIALIZED CARE OR CHOICE IN
9	HEALTH-CARE SERVICES, AND TWENTY-FOUR COUNTIES IN COLORADO ARE
10	CONSIDERED MATERNAL CARE "DESERTS";
11	(V) WHERE HOSPITALS DO EXIST IN RURAL AND FRONTIER AREAS,
12	THOSE HOSPITALS RECEIVE LOW REIMBURSEMENT RATES DUE TO A
13	PREPONDERANCE OF GOVERNMENT PAYERS AND DECLINING LOCAL TAX
14	DOLLARS, WHICH RESULTS IN A REDUCED AMOUNT OF MONEY AVAILABLE
15	TO INVEST IN EXPANDING OR UPGRADING FACILITIES OR TO PURCHASE
16	NECESSARY, NEW, OR INNOVATIVE MEDICAL SUPPLIES, EQUIPMENT, OR
17	TECHNOLOGY;
18	(VI) AS A RESULT, MANY HOSPITALS IN RURAL AND FRONTIER
19	COMMUNITIES HAVE DIFFICULTY RECRUITING AND RETAINING QUALIFIED
20	HEALTH-CARE PROFESSIONALS AND MAKING AVAILABLE NEEDED
21	SERVICES; AND
22	(VII) COUNTY PUBLIC HOSPITALS, HEALTH SERVICE DISTRICTS,
23	AND HOSPITAL AFFILIATES PERFORM ESSENTIAL PUBLIC FUNCTIONS ON
24	BEHALF OF THE STATE;
25	(c) AS PART OF THE GOVERNMENT'S INTEREST IN PROVIDING
26	NEEDED HEALTH-CARE SERVICES IN COLORADO'S RURAL AND FRONTIER
27	COMMUNITIES, IT IS IMPORTANT FOR THE GOVERNMENT TO SUPPORT RURAL
28	HEALTH-CARE LEADERS' EFFORTS TO FIND COLLABORATIVE, INNOVATIVE

-4- SB23-298

SOLUTIONS TO THE MANY PROBLEMS THEY CONFRONT, INCLUDING
COLLABORATIVE OR COORDINATED ACTIVITIES THAT OFFER THE
OPPORTUNITY TO EXPAND HEALTH-CARE OPTIONS THROUGH JOINT
PURCHASING AND STAFFING, SHARED SERVICES, AND JOINT ACQUISITION
OF NEW AND EXPENSIVE DIAGNOSTIC AND TREATMENT SOLUTIONS;

- (d) It is the general assembly's intent to exempt from state antitrust laws, and to provide state action immunity from federal antitrust laws for certain activities that might be characterized as anticompetitive or that might result in the displacement of competition in the provision of hospital, physician, or other health-care-related services or administrative or general business services;
- (e) IN ORDER TO PROMOTE IMPROVED QUALITY OF, INCREASE ACCESS TO, AND REDUCE COSTS OF HEALTH-CARE SERVICES IN RURAL AND FRONTIER COMMUNITIES THROUGH COLLABORATIVE AGREEMENTS AUTHORIZED BY THIS SECTION, THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY FURTHER INTENDS TO PROVIDE A SYSTEM OF REVIEW OF RELEVANT COLLABORATIVE AGREEMENTS BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CARE POLICY AND FINANCING AND THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO ENSURE THAT ANY POTENTIAL BENEFITS OF SUCH COLLABORATIVE AGREEMENTS ARE NOT OUTWEIGHED BY THE HARM TO COMPETITION IN RURAL AND FRONTIER COMMUNITIES; AND
- (f) As an expression of the public policy of the state with respect to the displacement of competition in the field of health care, each hospital, when exercising its powers pursuant to this section, is acting as a political subdivision of the state, including when participating in a review of a proposed collaborative agreement, and, as such, is not subject to active

-5- SB23-298

1	SUPERVISION BY THE STATE IN ORDER TO ENJOY IMMUNITY FROM THE
2	APPLICATION OF STATE AND FEDERAL ANTITRUST LAWS.
3	(2) AS USED IN THIS SECTION, UNLESS THE CONTEXT OTHERWISE
4	REQUIRES:
5	(a) "COLLABORATIVE AGREEMENT" MEANS AN AGREEMENT OR
6	SIMILAR ARRANGEMENT BETWEEN TWO OR MORE HOSPITALS OR HOSPITAL
7	AFFILIATES THAT COMPLIES WITH THE REQUIREMENTS SET FORTH IN THIS
8	SECTION.
9	(b) "COUNTY PUBLIC HOSPITAL" MEANS A PUBLIC HOSPITAL
10	ESTABLISHED PURSUANT TO SECTION 25-3-301.
11	(c) "HEALTH SERVICE DISTRICT" HAS THE SAME MEANING AS SET
12	FORTH IN SECTION 32-1-103 (9).
13	(d) "HOSPITAL" MEANS A FACILITY WITH FEWER THAN FIFTY BEDS
14	THAT IS:
15	(I) A COUNTY PUBLIC HOSPITAL;
16	(II) A HOSPITAL ESTABLISHED, MAINTAINED, OR OPERATED
17	DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY BY A HEALTH SERVICE DISTRICT; OR
18	(III) A HOSPITAL AFFILIATE.
19	(e) "HOSPITAL AFFILIATE" MEANS AN AFFILIATE OF A COUNTY
20	PUBLIC HOSPITAL OR HEALTH SERVICE DISTRICT THAT IS UNDER THE SOLE
21	${\tt CONTROLOFTHECOUNTYPUBLICHOSPITALORHEALTHSERVICEDISTRICT.}$
22	(3) EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN SUBSECTION (4) OF THIS SECTION, AND
23	SUBJECT TO THE REQUIREMENTS IN SUBSECTIONS (5) AND (6) OF THIS
24	SECTION, A HOSPITAL IS AUTHORIZED TO ENTER INTO COLLABORATIVE
25	AGREEMENTS WITH ONE OR MORE HOSPITALS OR HOSPITAL AFFILIATES TO
26	ENGAGE IN THE FOLLOWING ACTIVITIES:
27	(a) ANCILLARY CLINICAL SERVICES, ACQUISITION OF EQUIPMENT,
28	CUINIC MANAGEMENT, OR HEALTH-CARE PROVIDER RECRUITMENT:

-6- SB23-298

1	(b) JOINT PURCHASING OR LEASING ARRANGEMENTS, INCLUDING
2	THE JOINT PURCHASING OR LEASING OF:
3	(I) MEDICAL AND GENERAL SUPPLIES;
4	(II) MEDICAL AND GENERAL EQUIPMENT;
5	(III) PHARMACEUTICALS; OR
6	(IV) TEMPORARY STAFFING THROUGH A STAFFING AGENCY;
7	(c) CONSULTING SERVICES WITH A FOCUS ON PUBLIC HEALTH IN
8	RURAL OR FRONTIER COMMUNITIES AND NON-HOSPITAL-SPECIFIC
9	INNOVATIONS IN HEALTH-CARE DELIVERY IN THOSE COMMUNITIES;
10	(d) Purchasing joint professional, general liability, or
11	PROPERTY INSURANCE;
12	(e) SHARING BACK-OFFICE SERVICES, SUCH AS SHARING A BUSINESS
13	OFFICE, ACCOUNTING AND FINANCE SERVICES, HUMAN RESOURCES, AND
14	RISK MANAGEMENT AND COMPLIANCE SERVICES, BUT NOT INCLUDING
15	SHARING SERVICE CHARGING EXPENSES OR RATES AMONG HOSPITALS;
16	(f) SHARING DATA SERVICES, INCLUDING SHARED SERVICES FOR
17	ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORDS AND DATA EXTRACTION AND ANALYSIS
18	SERVICES, CHARGE MANAGEMENT, AND POPULATION HEALTH ANALYSIS;
19	AND
20	(g) Negotiating with health insurance or government
21	PAYERS, WHICH NEGOTIATIONS ARE LIMITED TO:
22	(I) SHARED CARE PROTOCOLS INTENDED TO IMPROVE PATIENT
23	MANAGEMENT AND OUTCOMES, INCLUDING IMPLEMENTATION OF
24	EVIDENCE-BASED PROTOCOLS, CLINICAL PATHWAYS, AND RECOGNIZED
25	BEST PRACTICES IN THE CARE AND TREATMENT OF PATIENTS, INCLUDING
26	CLINICAL THERAPIES, NUTRITION, EXERCISE, DIAGNOSTIC TESTING, AND
27	MEDICATION MANAGEMENT;
28	(II) COLLABORATIVE EFFORTS WITH PAYERS TO PROMOTE

-7- SB23-298

1	APPROPRIATE AND ESSENTIAL SERVICES TO BE PROVIDED IN THE LOCAL
2	COMMUNITY;
3	(III) MANAGEMENT OF PRIOR AUTHORIZATION REQUESTS; AND
4	(IV) Analysis of aggregate data to compare costs of
5	PROCEDURES AND TO ANALYZE PATIENT OUTCOMES.
6	(4) NOTWITHSTANDING ANY COLLABORATIVE AGREEMENTS
7	$\hbox{\it authorized under subsection (3) of this section, the immunity and}$
8	PROTECTIONS GRANTED TO HOSPITALS AND HOSPITAL AFFILIATES
9	ENTERING INTO SUCH COLLABORATIVE AGREEMENTS PURSUANT TO THIS
10	SECTION DOES NOT EXTEND TO COLLABORATIVE AGREEMENTS WITH
11	ANOTHER HOSPITAL OR HOSPITAL AFFILIATE THAT HAVE THE EFFECT OF:
12	(a) SETTING REIMBURSEMENT RATES OR OTHER COMPENSATION
13	FROM ANY COMMERCIAL SELF-INSURED OR COMMERCIAL HEALTH
14	INSURANCE OR GOVERNMENT PAYER;
15	(b) DIVIDING OR ALLOCATING AMONG HOSPITALS OR HOSPITAL
16	AFFILIATES SPECIFIC MARKETS FOR THE DELIVERY OF ANY GENERAL ACUTE
17	CARE OR SPECIALTY LINES OF HEALTH-CARE SERVICES; OR
18	(c) Negotiating or agreeing to compensation under
19	HEALTH-CARE STAFFING ARRANGEMENTS FOR HOSPITAL EMPLOYEES THAT
20	RESULTS IN A REDUCTION OF WAGES OF HOSPITAL-EMPLOYED STAFF.
21	(5) PRIOR TO ENGAGING IN ANY JOINT ACTIVITY DESCRIBED BY A
22	PROPOSED COLLABORATIVE AGREEMENT EXECUTED PURSUANT TO
23	$\hbox{\tt SUBSECTION}(3) \hbox{\tt OF THIS SECTION}, \hbox{\tt THE HOSPITALS OR HOSPITAL AFFILIATES}$
24	SHALL JOINTLY SUBMIT THE PROPOSED COLLABORATIVE AGREEMENT TO
25	THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CARE POLICY AND FINANCING, PURSUANT TO
26	RULES WHICH MAY BE PROMULGATED FOR THE SUBMISSION OF PROPOSALS.
27	THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CARE POLICY AND FINANCING MAY REQUEST
28	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION NECESSARY FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

-8- SB23-298

CARE POLICY	AND	FINANCING TO	REVIEW THE	PROPOSAL
CARLIGLICI	$\Delta$	THIMMICH OF		I KUI USAL.

- (6) IF THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CARE POLICY AND FINANCING CONCLUDES THAT A PROPOSED COLLABORATIVE ACTIVITY MAY RESULT IN COST SAVINGS OR OTHER EFFICIENCIES THAT MAY IMPROVE OR EXPAND THE DELIVERY OF HEALTH-CARE SERVICES IN RURAL AND FRONTIER COMMUNITIES IN COLORADO, THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CARE POLICY AND FINANCING SHALL REFER THE PROPOSAL TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO DETERMINE, PURSUANT TO RULES WHICH MAY BE PROMULGATED FOR SUCH PURPOSE, THAT THE BENEFITS OF THE COLLABORATIVE ACTIVITY ARE NOT OUTWEIGHED BY ANY ANTICOMPETITIVE HARM THAT MAY ARISE FROM THE COLLABORATIVE ACTIVITY.
  - (7) WITHIN SIXTY DAYS AFTER RECEIVING A PROPOSED COLLABORATIVE AGREEMENT, THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CARE POLICY AND FINANCING AND THE ATTORNEY GENERAL SHALL REVIEW THE PROPOSED COLLABORATIVE AGREEMENT AND EITHER APPROVE OR DENY THE PROPOSED COLLABORATIVE AGREEMENT OR REQUEST ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RELATED TO THE PROPOSAL. IF A REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION IS MADE, THE ATTORNEY GENERAL HAS AN ADDITIONAL FORTY-FIVE DAYS TO COMPLETE THE REVIEW.
  - (8) (a) IF THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CARE POLICY AND FINANCING AND THE ATTORNEY GENERAL CONCLUDE THAT A PROPOSED COLLABORATIVE AGREEMENT MAY RESULT IN IMPROVED QUALITY, INCREASED ACCESS OR COST SAVINGS, OR OTHER EFFICIENCIES THAT MAY IMPROVE OR EXPAND THE DELIVERY OF HEALTH-CARE SERVICES IN RURAL AND FRONTIER COMMUNITIES IN COLORADO, THE PROPOSAL IS APPROVED. IF THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CARE POLICY AND FINANCING OR THE ATTORNEY GENERAL DOES NOT RESPOND WITHIN THE TIME FRAMES SPECIFIED IN THIS SECTION, THE COLLABORATIVE ACTIVITY IS DEEMED

-9- SB23-298

I	APPROVED.
2	(b) THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CARE POLICY AND FINANCING OR
3	THE ATTORNEY GENERAL MAY REVIEW A COLLABORATIVE AGREEMENT
4	ANNUALLY TO ENSURE THE OUTCOMES RELATED TO THE COLLABORATIVE
5	AGREEMENT ARE CONSISTENT WITH THIS SECTION. THE SCOPE OF THE
6	REVIEW SHALL BE DETERMINED BY RULES PROMULGATED BY THE
7	DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CARE POLICY AND FINANCING AND THE
8	ATTORNEY GENERAL.
9	SECTION 2. In Colorado Revised Statutes, 32-1-1003, add
10	(1)(c.5) as follows:
11	<b>32-1-1003.</b> Health service districts - additional powers. (1) In
12	addition to the powers specified in section 32-1-1001, the board of any
13	health service district has any or all of the following powers for and on
14	behalf of such district:
15	(c.5) TO ENTER INTO A COLLABORATIVE AGREEMENT WITH
16	ANOTHER HEALTH SERVICE DISTRICT, COUNTY PUBLIC HOSPITAL, OR
17	HOSPITAL AFFILIATE IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 25-3-304.5.
18	SECTION 3. Act subject to petition - effective date. This act
19	takes effect at 12:01 a.m. on the day following the expiration of the
20	ninety-day period after final adjournment of the general assembly; except
21	that, if a referendum petition is filed pursuant to section 1 (3) of article V
22	of the state constitution against this act or an item, section, or part of this
23	act within such period, then the act, item, section, or part will not take
24	effect unless approved by the people at the general election to be held in
25	November 2024 and, in such case, will take effect on the date of the
26	official declaration of the vote thereon by the governor.

-10- SB23-298