Second Regular Session Seventy-second General Assembly STATE OF COLORADO

PREAMENDED

This Unofficial Version Includes Committee Amendments Not Yet Adopted on Second Reading

LLS NO. 20-0650.01 Jerry Barry x4341

SENATE BILL 20-088

SENATE SPONSORSHIP

Fields, Cooke, Gardner, Ginal, Marble, Priola, Tate

HOUSE SPONSORSHIP

Roberts and Soper,

Senate Committees

Judiciary

House Committees

Judiciary

A BILL FOR AN ACT

101 CONCERNING EVIDENTIARY RULES WHEN A DEFENDANT INTERFERES

102 WITH A WITNESS.

Bill Summary

(Note: This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not reflect any amendments that may be subsequently adopted. If this bill passes third reading in the house of introduction, a bill summary that applies to the reengrossed version of this bill will be available at http://leg.colorado.gov.)

Under an opinion of the Colorado supreme court, if a party to a criminal case wrongfully procures the unavailability of a witness, evidence that the witness may have been able to offer is still inadmissible if it constitutes hearsay evidence. The bill provides that such a party forfeits the right to object to the admissibility of evidence of the witness based on hearsay and that such evidence is admissible.

SENATE 3rd Reading Unamended February 13, 2020

SENATE 2nd Reading Unamended February 12, 2020

1 Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado: 2 **SECTION 1.** In Colorado Revised Statutes, add 13-25-139 as 3 follows: 4 13-25-139. Criminal action - interference with witness -5 forfeiture by wrongdoing. When a party to a criminal case 6 WRONGFULLY PROCURES THE UNAVAILABILITY OF A WITNESS, A 7 STATEMENT OTHERWISE NOT ADMISSIBLE PURSUANT TO THE COLORADO 8 RULES OF EVIDENCE THAT IS OFFERED AGAINST THE PARTY THAT WAS 9 INVOLVED IN OR RESPONSIBLE FOR THE WRONGDOING THAT WAS INTENDED 10 TO, AND DID, DEPRIVE THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM OF EVIDENCE IS 11 ADMISSIBLE AS AN EXCEPTION TO THE HEARSAY RULE; EXCEPT THAT SUCH 12 A STATEMENT IS NOT ADMISSIBLE UNLESS THE PROPONENT HAS GIVEN TO 13 THE ADVERSE PARTY ADVANCE WRITTEN NOTICE OF AN INTENTION TO 14 INTRODUCE THE STATEMENT SUFFICIENT TO PROVIDE THE ADVERSE PARTY 15 A FAIR OPPORTUNITY TO CONTEST THE ADMISSIBILITY OF THE STATEMENT. 16 IN DETERMINING THE ADMISSIBILITY OF THE EVIDENCE, THE COURT SHALL 17 DETERMINE, PRIOR TO THE TRIAL, WHETHER THE FORFEITURE BY 18 WRONGDOING OCCURRED BY A PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE. 19 SECTION 2. Act subject to petition - effective date -20 **applicability.** (1) This act takes effect September 1, 2020; except that, 21 if a referendum petition is filed pursuant to section 1 (3) of article V of 22 the state constitution against this act or an item, section, or part of this act 23 within the ninety-day period after final adjournment of the general 24 assembly, then the act, item, section, or part will not take effect unless 25 approved by the people at the general election to be held in November 26 2020 and, in such case, will take effect on the date of the official

-2-

- declaration of the vote thereon by the governor.
- 2 (2) This act applies to evidence or statements sought to be
- admitted on or after the applicable effective date of this act.

-3-