



**Legislative
Council Staff**

Nonpartisan Services for Colorado's Legislature

SB 19-246

**FINAL
FISCAL NOTE**

Drafting Number:	LLS 19-1036	Date:	June 18, 2019
Prime Sponsors:	Sen. Todd; Lundeen Rep. McLachlan; Wilson	Bill Status:	Signed into Law
		Fiscal Analyst:	Anna Gerstle 303-866-4375 Anna.Gerstle@state.co.us

Bill Topic: PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE

Summary of Fiscal Impact:

<input type="checkbox"/> State Revenue	<input type="checkbox"/> TABOR Refund
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State Expenditure	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> School District
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State Transfer	<input type="checkbox"/> Statutory Public Entity

This bill contains the 2019 School Finance Act that sets funding levels for Colorado's 178 P-12 school districts, the physical education pilot program, and the ninth grade success grant program. The bill results in an increase in state expenditures on an ongoing basis.

Appropriation Summary: For FY 2019-20, the bill includes:

- an appropriation of \$1,100,000 from the Marijuana Tax Cash Fund to CDE;
- an appropriation of \$800,000 from the General Fund to CDE; and
- an appropriation of \$34.4 million from the General Fund to CDE. See State Expenditures section. The bill also includes conditional appropriations of \$2.5 million for full day kindergarten if HB19-1262 becomes law, and \$125,495 for hold-harmless kindergarten if HB19-1262 does not become law.

Fiscal Note Status: This fiscal note reflects the enacted bill.

**Table 1
State Fiscal Impacts Under SB 19-246**

		FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21
Revenue		-	-
Expenditures	General Fund	\$142,800,000	\$122,800,000
	Cash Funds	\$106,995	\$993,005
	Centrally Appropriated	\$17,183	\$21,873
	Total	\$142,924,178	\$123,814,878
	Total FTE	1.3 FTE	1.6 FTE
Transfers	General Fund	(\$40,326,896)	-
	State Education Fund	\$40,326,896	-
	Total	\$0	-

SCHOOL FINANCE ACT

Summary of Legislation

This bill changes the "Public School Finance Act of 1994" by modifying the funding for K-12 public schools in FY 2019-20.

Total Program Funding. The bill increases base per pupil funding for FY 2019-20 by \$182.76 to \$6,951.53, to reflect a 2.7 percent inflation rate. The bill also makes the following changes in the calculation of total program:

- for FY 2019-20, reduces the budget stabilization factor by \$100.0 million from the FY 2018-19 level to \$572.4 million; and
- specifies that for FY 2020-21, the budget stabilization factor cannot exceed the FY 2019-20 level.

Supplemental assistance for rural schools. For FY 2019-20, the bill requires the Colorado Department of Education (CDE) to distribute \$20.0 million to eligible large rural and small rural school districts on a per-pupil basis, outside the funding formula. Large rural districts are those with a funded pupil count greater than 1,000 but less than 6,500. Small rural districts are those with a funded pupil count less than or equal to 1,000. Eligible large rural districts share 55 percent of this funding, while eligible small rural districts share 45 percent.

The bill requires that rural school districts receiving a distribution must report to CDE the specific uses of the funding and how the one-time expenditures relate to improving student learning and the educational environment.

Special education funding. Current law specifies the distribution of categorical funding for special education students: after the Tier A (\$1,250 per student), ChildFind (identification of young children with disabilities), and high cost (reimbursement of high cost special education services) allocations have been made, the remaining funds are distributed on a per pupil basis to administrative units for students with specific, identified disabilities. These distributions are known as Tier B funding and may not exceed \$6,000 per student. Beginning in FY 2019-20, the bill increases Tier B funding by \$22.0 million per year.

English language learner funding. Under current law, the CDE distributes funding to districts for English language learning professional development and student support on a per pupil basis. Seventy five percent is distributed based on students that are either non-English proficient or with limited English proficiency. Twenty five percent is distributed based on students that are monitored after being designated as fluent English proficient. This bill changes the method for distributing the funding by allocating the funding proportionately, based on the number of students who have no or limited English proficiency and the number of students who have been designated as fluent, but require continued monitoring. This change aligns the allocation method with the allocation method for English language proficiency funds.

State Transfers

In FY 2019-20, the bill makes a one-time transfer of \$40.3 million from the General Fund to the State Education Fund.

State Expenditures

The bill is expected to increase state expenditures by \$142.0 million in FY 2019-20 and \$122.0 million in FY 2020-21. The increase in FY 2019-20 is the result of a one-time allocation of \$20.0 million for rural schools, \$22.0 million for special education, and a \$100.0 million increase in the state share of total program funding compared to current law. All funding is assumed to be from the General Fund.

While the bill increases state expenditures by \$142.0 million in FY 2019-20, it requires an appropriation of only \$34.4 million. The state share of total program under the bill is \$7.6 million less than the amount appropriated for the state share through the Long Bill, while an additional \$20.0 million will be allocated to rural schools and \$22.2 million will be allocated for special education. The change from the Long Bill appropriation results from updated assumptions regarding the residential assessment rate and the \$100.0 million increase in total program funding.

Total Program Funding. This bill sets total program funding for FY 2019-20 at \$7.4 billion. This represents a 7.2 percent (\$572.4 million) reduction from what funding levels would have been without the budget stabilization factor. District-by-district changes can be found in Appendix A.

Relative to current law, the \$7.4 billion in total program funding represents an estimated increase of \$100.0 million for FY 2019-20. Compared with actual funding levels for FY 2018-19, the \$7.4 billion in total program funding represents an estimated increase of \$325.7 million for FY 2019-20. State expenditures for school finance will decrease by \$34.3 million and the local share of funding will increase by \$359.9 million, compared with FY 2018-19. These estimates are based on an assumed 7.15 percent residential assessment rate for tax years 2019 and 2020, and will be updated if more information becomes available.

Since the budget stabilization factor is set at 7.2 percent, each district's total program funding will be reduced by 7.2 percent from the level set by the funding formula before application of the budget stabilization factor, provided the district receives at least an amount of state aid that is greater than this percentage reduction. Table 2 shows the estimate of school finance act funding under the bill, relative to FY 2018-19.

**Table 2
 School Finance Act Funding Under SB 19-246**

	Pupil Count	Per Pupil Funding	Total Program Funding	State Aid	Local Share
FY 2018-19 Act.	870,085	\$8,123	\$7,067,336,095	\$4,468,585,177	\$2,598,750,917
FY 2019-20 Est	872,202	\$8,476	\$7,393,027,118	\$4,434,334,569	\$2,958,692,549
Percent Change	0.24%	4.35%	4.61%	-0.77%	13.85%
Increase	2,117	\$353	\$325,691,023	(\$34,250,608)	\$359,941,632

Supplemental assistance to rural schools. The bill distributes \$20.0 million to qualifying rural school districts on a one-time basis in FY 2019-20. The money is distributed outside the funding formula, on a per pupil basis, using the district's FY 2018-19 funded pupil count. To qualify, districts must be classified as rural or small rural by CDE and have a funded pupil count less than 6,500. The bill specifies that 55 percent of the funding is to be allocated to large rural districts (funded pupil count between 1,000 and 6,500) and 45 percent is to be allocated to small rural districts (funded pupil count less than or equal to 1,000).

Currently, 147 districts with a total funded pupil count of 136,624 are classified as rural and have less than 6,500 students. Of these, 40 large rural districts have a funded pupil count of 100,134, implying a per pupil payment of about \$109.85, while 107 small rural districts have a funded pupil count of 36,490, implying a per pupil payment of about \$246.64. District funded pupil counts include totals for CSI schools located within the district. A list of the distributions to qualified school districts can be found in Appendix B. Large rural districts are denoted as rural in the appendix.

Special education. The bill provides \$22.0 million for Tier B special education funding. The money will be distributed on a per pupil basis to administrative units, based on the number of enrolled students with qualifying disabilities. It is expected that 23,128 students will be eligible for Tier B funding in FY 2019-20, resulting in an increase of \$951 per pupil. A list of the distributions to administrative units can be found in Appendix C. Under current law, \$42.5 million, or \$1,838 per pupil, will be distributed for Tier B in FY 2019-20. Combined, a total of \$64.5 million, or \$2,789 per pupil, will be distributed in FY 2019-20.

ELL funding. This bill changes the allocation of professional development and student support funding to align with the allocation of English language proficiency funds. In FY 2018-19, \$27.0 million was distributed in professional development and student support funding; the same amount is included in the Long Bill for FY 2019-20. Aligning the allocation of those funds with other ELL statutes does not require a change in appropriations and is not expected to change funding distributions.

Kindergarten. The bill also includes two conditional appropriations:

- Should House Bill 19-1262 become law, the bill adjusts the funding provided for full-day kindergarten in that bill to reflect the \$100 million decrease in the budget stabilization factor contained in this bill; and
- Should House Bill 19-1262 not become law, the bill adjusts the funding for hold-harmless full-day kindergarten to reflect the \$100 million decrease in the budget stabilization factor.

School District Impact

Table 2 compares estimated FY 2019-20 school finance funding levels with actual funding in FY 2018-19. In FY 2019-20, the bill increases funding by \$353 per pupil and funds 2,117 new pupils. Total program funding increases from \$7.067 billion to \$7.393 billion. Of this amount, the state's share decreases by \$34.3 million, from \$4.469 billion to \$4.434 billion, and the local share increases by \$359.9 million, from \$2.599 billion to \$2.959 billion. Appendix A contains a district-by-district overview of the impacts on funded pupil count, total program after application of the budget stabilization factor, and per pupil funding, relative to current law.

The bill also increases funding for rural school districts and districts with students who qualify for Tier B funding. Workload for districts that receive rural funding will increase to report to CDE on uses of the funding.

PHYSICAL EDUCATION INSTRUCTION PILOT PROGRAM

Summary of Legislation

The bill creates the Health and Wellness Through Comprehensive Quality Physical Education Instruction Pilot Program in the Colorado Department of Education (CDE). The program will award three-year grants to eligible schools or school districts to implement a program of comprehensive physical education instruction. Pilot program grants may cover the academic years 2020-21 through 2022-23. Grantees may use awards to address a resource need in a current physical education program that creates a barrier to implementing a comprehensive quality program. Grant awards must supplement and not supplant existing resources.

The CDE must evaluate all grant applications received by December 1, 2019, and make recommendations to the State Board of Education for awards. The program may support up to 15 grantees and total no more than \$3.0 million in state appropriations. At least 30 percent of grants must be awarded to schools or districts receiving federal Title I support. The bill sets additional evaluative criteria for the SBE to consider when making awards. Initial awards must be made no later than February 29, 2020, to allow for a planning period for grantees prior to the implementation of required pilot program components during the 2020-21 school year.

Schools or districts receiving a grant must ensure the physical education program includes various components, including a minimum amount of time spent for physical education at different grade levels, limited class sizes, appropriate indoor and outdoor spaces for instruction, adherence to state standards, and licensed instructors among other required components. Grantees must prepare a three-year comprehensive instruction plan, including class schedules, physical education curriculum, teacher qualifications, a professional development plan, and sample assessments and evaluative rubrics.

The CDE must provide each school with the relevant supports, including standards-based curriculum, approved instructional resources, samples of summative and formative assessments for use in physical education programs, and resources for professional development.

The CDE must also issue a request for proposals and select a program evaluator to complete an evaluation of the program no later than October 1, 2023. The cost of the evaluator may not exceed \$180,000 for the duration of the pilot program. The bill sets parameters for program evaluation, including a requirement that the evaluator conduct a student and instructor perception survey and interviews to help determine the value of the pilot program.

The pilot program is repealed July 1, 2024.

State Expenditures

The bill increases state expenditures by \$116,012 and 0.7 FTE in FY 2019-20, and by about \$1.0 million and 1.0 FTE in FY 2020-21 through FY 2022-23. Personal services and grant expenses continue through FY 2022-23. Expenditures are displayed in Table 3 and discussed below.

**Table 3
Expenditures for Physical Education Instruction Pilot Program**

	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21
Department of Education		
Personal Services	\$41,627	\$64,873
Operating and Capital Outlay	\$5,368	\$950
Program Evaluation	\$60,000	\$60,000
Physical Education Grants	-	\$867,182
Centrally Appropriated Costs*	\$9,017	\$13,373
FTE – Personal Services	0.6 FTE	1.0 FTE
Total Cost	\$116,012	\$1,006,378
Total FTE	0.6 FTE	1.0 FTE

* Centrally appropriated costs are not included in the bill's appropriation.

Personal services. Beginning in FY 2019-20, the CDE requires new staff to design, implement, and manage the pilot program, determine learning objectives and evaluative criteria, select and manage the program evaluator, and work with the SBE to oversee the application, review and grant support process. Staff will provide technical assistance to grant recipients, help develop standards-based curriculum and instructional resources, and deliver professional development on an ongoing basis. Personal service expenses are prorated in FY 2019-20 for the General Fund pay date shift.

Program evaluation. The CDE will contract with an outside vendor with experience evaluating education programs to design and coordinate evaluation services for the pilot program, conduct interviews, and implement a student and instructor perception survey. Total appropriations may not exceed \$180,000 over the duration of the pilot. This fiscal note estimates \$60,000 annually for three fiscal years.

Physical education grants. Grants to LEPs are the largest cost component of the bill. The bill includes a FY 2019-20 appropriation of \$1,100,000 that may also be used in future fiscal years. After administrative expenses in FY 2019-20 and FY 2020-21, approximately \$867,000 will be available for grants. Grants are made to LEPs for a three-year period, through FY 2022-23. Actual grant amounts will be determined by the CDE.

Centrally appropriated costs. Pursuant to a Joint Budget Committee policy, certain costs associated with this bill are addressed through the annual budget process and centrally appropriated in the Long Bill or supplemental appropriations bills, rather than in this bill. These costs, which include employee insurance and supplemental employee retirement payments, are estimated to be \$9,017 in FY 2019-20, and \$13,373 in FY 2020-21.

School District

School districts and schools receiving grants will have additional revenue to support implementing physical education programs meeting the pilot criteria, and increased workload to implement grant projects and participate in program evaluation.

NINTH GRADE SUCCESS GRANT PROGRAM

Summary of Legislation

The bill creates the ninth grade success grant program in the CDE to provide grants to local education providers (LEPs). Grants must be used to establish ninth grade success programs that includes:

- establishing a cross-disciplinary success team of all ninth grade teachers and support staff, including at least one school mental health professional to identify strategies to improve outcomes for students at risk of dropping out;
- ensuring that ninth grade classes are taught by a single group of teachers, to the extent practicable;
- implementing a data system that provides real-time access to student behavior, attendance, grades, as well as the ability to compare data across student groups;
- prioritizing services for ninth graders who are at risk of academic failure and providing instructional support;
- ensuring that school leadership, counselors, teachers, and the success team receive data on incoming ninth graders, and providing professional development to teachers on how to use student data to inform instruction;
- providing summer orientation for incoming ninth graders and their families; and
- evaluating the impact of the interventions provided through the program.

The bill specifies what must be included in a grant application. CDE must review applications and make a grant award recommendation to the State Board of Education, prioritizing applicants with a four-year graduation rate within the lowest 20 percent of high schools in the state.

Reporting. LEPs that receive a grant must submit to CDE the evaluation of the program, as well as any information required by the department. By March 15, 2022 and each year thereafter, CDE must submit a report to the General Assembly containing information specified in the bill.

Funding. The bill allows the General Assembly to appropriate money, including from the Marijuana Tax Cash Fund (MTCF), for the ninth grade success grant program, and allows CDE to accept and expend gifts, grants, and donations for the program. Local education providers that receive a grant must provide a grant match, including in-kind contributions. The local match amount is determined by the State Board of Education and cannot exceed 15 percent for a small rural school district or charter school and 25 percent for all other LEPs.

Student re-engagement grant program. The bill also repeals the student re-engagement grant program cash fund and instead specifies that the General Assembly may appropriate money, including from the MTCF, for the current student re-engagement grant program.

Background and Assumptions

The student re-engagement grant program provides grants to LEPs for student re-engagement and drop-out prevention programs. In FY 2018-19, \$2.0 million was appropriated for the program from the MTCF. Because the appropriation is directly from the MTCF, the fiscal note assumes that repealing the program's cash fund will have no impact on the appropriation for the current student re-engagement grant program.

State Expenditures

Beginning in FY 2019-20, the bill increases state expenditures from the General Fund to the Colorado Department of Education by \$800,000 per year. Specific costs are listed in Table 4 and discussed below.

**Table 4
Expenditures For Ninth Grade Success Program**

	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21
Department of Education		
Personal Services	\$40,470	\$44,149
Operating Expenses and Capital Outlay Costs	\$5,273	\$570
Data	\$7,000	\$7,000
Travel	\$2,115	\$2,115
Grants	\$745,142	\$746,166
Centrally Appropriated Costs*	\$8,166	\$8,500
Total Cost	\$808,166	\$808,500
Total FTE	0.6 FTE	0.6 FTE

* Centrally appropriated costs are not included in the bill's appropriation.

Personal services and travel. CDE requires 0.6 FTE beginning in FY 2019-20 to administer and implement the grant program. Duties include developing and implementing the application and award process, supporting grantees, monitoring grants, conducting site visits, working with recipients and a consultant on data collection, and compiling the required reports. Costs in the first year are prorated based on the General Fund paydate shift. In addition, the program manager will require about \$2,000 per year to conduct site visits and training at LEPs that receive a grant.

Data. The bill requires that certain student, district, and school level data be tracked and reported to the department. As a result, CDE requires \$7,000 per year for a third party data methodology to be developed and implemented. This amount is based on other third party data costs and the assumptions that grantees will submit the data to the department.

Grants. After administrative expenses, it is expected that approximately \$745,000 will be available each year for grants. The fiscal note assumes that grants will be between \$100,000 and \$150,000, resulting in between five and eight grants. Actual grant amounts will be determined by SBE.

Centrally appropriated costs. Pursuant to a Joint Budget Committee policy, certain costs associated with this bill are addressed through the annual budget process and centrally appropriated in the Long Bill or supplemental appropriations bills, rather than in this bill. These costs, which include employee insurance and supplemental employee retirement payments, are estimated to be \$8,116 in FY 2019-20 and \$8,500 in FY 2020-21.

School District

For districts that apply for and receive a grant, revenue and expenditures will increase. In addition, districts must provide a local match for grants received. Matching amounts will be determined by the State Board of Education, but may not exceed the limits specified in the bill. For example, for a grant of \$100,000, the local match may not exceed \$15,000 (15 percent) for a small rural LEP and \$25,000 (25 percent) for all other LEPs.

Overall Impacts

Effective Date

The bill was signed into law by the Governor and took effect on May 10, 2019. Because HB 19-1276 and HB 19-1161 were not enacted into law, provisions related to ninth grade success program and physical education pilot program also took effect.

State Appropriations

For FY 2019-20, the bill includes the following General Fund appropriations to the Colorado Department of Education:

- an increase of \$800,000, and 0.6 FTE for the ninth grade success grant program;
- a decrease of \$7,633,721 for the state's share of total program;
- an increase of \$20,000,000 for distribution to rural schools;
- an increase of \$22,000,000 for special education.

The bill also contains an appropriation of \$1,100,000 from the Marijuana Tax Cash Fund, and 0.7 FTE, to CDE for the physical education instruction pilot program.

In addition, the bill includes the following conditional appropriations, depending on the enactment of House Bill 19-1262:

- if House Bill 19-1262 becomes law, a General Fund appropriation of \$2,509,623 for the state's share of total program; or
- if House Bill 19-1262 does not become law, an appropriation of \$125,495 from the State Education Fund for hold-harmless kindergarten.

State and Local Government Contacts

Education

Appendix A
School Finance Funding Change
Current Law vs. SB19-246, FY 2019-20

County	District	FY 2019-20 Under Current Law			FY 2019-20 with SB19-246			Change from Current Law		
		Funded Pupil Count	Total Program	Per Pupil Funding	Funded Pupil Count	Total Program	Per Pupil Funding	Funded Pupil Count	Total Program	Per Pupil Funding
1 ADAMS	MAPLETON	8,459	\$70,292,696	\$8,309	8,459	\$71,263,268	\$8,424	-	\$ 970,571	\$115
2 ADAMS	ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR	42,048	\$343,712,471	\$8,174	42,048	\$348,458,306	\$8,287	-	\$ 4,745,835	\$113
3 ADAMS	COMMERCE CITY	7,709	\$66,801,950	\$8,666	7,709	\$67,724,323	\$8,785	-	\$ 922,373	\$120
4 ADAMS	BRIGHTON	19,354	\$156,255,022	\$8,074	19,354	\$158,412,525	\$8,185	-	\$ 2,157,503	\$111
5 ADAMS	BENNETT	1,026	\$8,903,447	\$8,674	1,026	\$9,026,382	\$8,794	-	\$ 122,935	\$120
6 ADAMS	STRASBURG	1,015	\$8,718,428	\$8,594	1,015	\$8,838,808	\$8,712	-	\$ 120,380	\$119
7 ADAMS	WESTMINSTER	10,189	\$87,437,733	\$8,581	10,189	\$88,645,036	\$8,700	-	\$ 1,207,303	\$118
8 ALAMOSA	ALAMOSA	2,388	\$19,457,924	\$8,149	2,388	\$19,726,591	\$8,262	-	\$ 268,667	\$113
9 ALAMOSA	SANGRE DE CRISTO	289	\$3,228,907	\$11,165	289	\$3,273,491	\$11,319	-	\$ 44,583	\$154
10 ARAPAHOE	ENGLEWOOD	2,534	\$21,936,908	\$8,657	2,534	\$22,239,803	\$8,776	-	\$ 302,895	\$120
11 ARAPAHOE	SHERIDAN	1,317	\$12,979,581	\$9,856	1,317	\$13,158,797	\$9,992	-	\$ 179,217	\$136
12 ARAPAHOE	CHERRY CREEK	53,223	\$443,349,413	\$8,330	53,223	\$449,470,992	\$8,445	-	\$ 6,121,579	\$115
13 ARAPAHOE	LITTLETON	14,574	\$117,335,565	\$8,051	14,574	\$118,955,684	\$8,162	-	\$ 1,620,119	\$111
14 ARAPAHOE	DEER TRAIL	179	\$2,651,830	\$14,856	179	\$2,688,445	\$15,061	-	\$ 36,615	\$205
15 ARAPAHOE	AURORA	39,104	\$340,874,753	\$8,717	39,104	\$345,581,406	\$8,838	-	\$ 4,706,653	\$120
16 ARAPAHOE	BYERS	2,785	\$22,261,532	\$7,993	2,785	\$22,568,909	\$8,104	-	\$ 307,378	\$110
17 ARCHULETA	ARCHULETA	1,700	\$14,337,707	\$8,433	1,700	\$14,535,676	\$8,550	-	\$ 197,969	\$116
18 BACA	WALSH	147	\$2,130,486	\$14,503	147	\$2,159,902	\$14,703	-	\$ 29,417	\$200
19 BACA	PRITCHETT	51	\$873,859	\$17,304	51	\$885,924	\$17,543	-	\$ 12,066	\$239
20 BACA	SPRINGFIELD	289	\$3,150,849	\$10,903	289	\$3,194,354	\$11,053	-	\$ 43,506	\$151
21 BACA	VILAS	50	\$859,132	\$17,183	50	\$870,995	\$17,420	-	\$ 11,863	\$237
22 BACA	CAMPO	50	\$846,551	\$16,931	50	\$858,240	\$17,165	-	\$ 11,689	\$234
23 BENT	LAS ANIMAS	2,486	\$21,109,673	\$8,493	2,486	\$21,401,147	\$8,610	-	\$ 291,473	\$117
24 BENT	MCCLAVE	249	\$2,849,153	\$11,447	249	\$2,888,493	\$11,605	-	\$ 39,340	\$158
25 BOULDER	ST VRAIN	30,287	\$246,825,499	\$8,150	30,287	\$250,233,560	\$8,262	-	\$ 3,408,061	\$113
26 BOULDER	BOULDER	29,750	\$246,851,866	\$8,298	29,750	\$250,260,291	\$8,412	-	\$ 3,408,425	\$115
27 CHAFFEE	BUENA VISTA	993	\$8,418,854	\$8,482	993	\$8,535,098	\$8,600	-	\$ 116,244	\$117
28 CHAFFEE	SALIDA	1,292	\$10,638,470	\$8,233	1,292	\$10,785,361	\$8,347	-	\$ 146,891	\$114
29 CHEYENNE	KIT CARSON	105	\$1,611,733	\$15,306	105	\$1,633,987	\$15,517	-	\$ 22,254	\$211
30 CHEYENNE	CHEYENNE	169	\$2,397,319	\$14,219	169	\$2,430,420	\$14,415	-	\$ 33,101	\$196
31 CLEAR CREEK	CLEAR CREEK	732	\$6,619,311	\$9,045	732	\$6,710,708	\$9,170	-	\$ 91,397	\$125
32 CONEJOS	NORTH CONEJOS	1,057	\$8,767,772	\$8,298	1,057	\$8,888,833	\$8,413	-	\$ 121,062	\$115
33 CONEJOS	SANFORD	353	\$3,623,988	\$10,263	353	\$3,674,026	\$10,405	-	\$ 50,038	\$142

Appendix A
School Finance Funding Change
Current Law vs. SB19-246, FY 2019-20

County	District	FY 2019-20 Under Current Law			FY 2019-20 with SB19-246			Change from Current Law			
		Funded Pupil Count	Total Program	Per Pupil Funding	Funded Pupil Count	Total Program	Per Pupil Funding	Funded Pupil Count	Total Program	Per Pupil Funding	
34	CONEJOS	SOUTH CONEJOS	177	\$2,471,673	\$13,964	177	\$2,505,801	\$14,157	-	\$ 34,128	\$193
35	COSTILLA	CENTENNIAL	222	\$2,872,020	\$12,925	222	\$2,911,676	\$13,104	-	\$ 39,656	\$178
36	COSTILLA	SIERRA GRANDE	286	\$3,269,434	\$11,452	286	\$3,314,577	\$11,610	-	\$ 45,143	\$158
37	CROWLEY	CROWLEY	456	\$4,197,376	\$9,215	456	\$4,255,332	\$9,342	-	\$ 57,956	\$127
38	CUSTER	WESTCLIFFE	356	\$3,738,402	\$10,501	356	\$3,790,020	\$10,646	-	\$ 51,618	\$145
39	DELTA	DELTA	4,658	\$37,682,434	\$8,090	4,658	\$38,202,737	\$8,202	-	\$ 520,303	\$112
40	DENVER	DENVER	87,264	\$755,409,077	\$8,657	87,264	\$765,839,442	\$8,776	-	\$ 10,430,365	\$120
41	DOLORES	DOLORES	231	\$2,993,122	\$12,946	231	\$3,034,450	\$13,125	-	\$ 41,328	\$179
42	DOUGLAS	DOUGLAS	64,733	\$522,957,003	\$8,079	64,733	\$530,177,770	\$8,190	-	\$ 7,220,767	\$112
43	EAGLE	EAGLE	7,021	\$60,972,213	\$8,685	7,021	\$61,814,091	\$8,805	-	\$ 841,878	\$120
44	ELBERT	ELIZABETH	2,246	\$18,644,255	\$8,302	2,246	\$18,901,687	\$8,416	-	\$ 257,432	\$115
45	ELBERT	KIOWA	245	\$3,134,669	\$12,805	245	\$3,177,951	\$12,982	-	\$ 43,282	\$177
46	ELBERT	BIG SANDY	301	\$3,484,591	\$11,581	301	\$3,532,705	\$11,740	-	\$ 48,114	\$160
47	ELBERT	ELBERT	223	\$2,958,007	\$13,259	223	\$2,998,850	\$13,442	-	\$ 40,843	\$183
48	ELBERT	AGATE	50	\$920,840	\$18,417	50	\$933,555	\$18,671	-	\$ 12,715	\$254
49	EL PASO	CALHAN	436	\$4,330,989	\$9,931	436	\$4,390,790	\$10,068	-	\$ 59,800	\$137
50	EL PASO	HARRISON	11,424	\$97,306,698	\$8,518	11,424	\$98,650,267	\$8,636	-	\$ 1,343,569	\$118
51	EL PASO	WIDFIELD	9,013	\$71,572,669	\$7,941	9,013	\$72,560,914	\$8,051	-	\$ 988,245	\$110
52	EL PASO	FOUNTAIN	7,778	\$61,764,691	\$7,941	7,778	\$62,617,512	\$8,051	-	\$ 852,820	\$110
53	EL PASO	COLORADO SPRINGS	29,714	\$245,019,738	\$8,246	29,714	\$248,402,866	\$8,360	-	\$ 3,383,128	\$114
54	EL PASO	CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN	5,061	\$40,190,069	\$7,941	5,061	\$40,744,996	\$8,051	-	\$ 554,927	\$110
55	EL PASO	MANITOU SPRINGS	1,440	\$12,248,823	\$8,507	1,440	\$12,417,950	\$8,624	-	\$ 169,127	\$117
56	EL PASO	ACADEMY	25,206	\$199,943,726	\$7,932	25,206	\$202,704,463	\$8,042	-	\$ 2,760,737	\$110
57	EL PASO	ELLICOTT	1,050	\$9,188,119	\$8,753	1,050	\$9,314,984	\$8,874	-	\$ 126,866	\$121
58	EL PASO	PEYTON	607	\$5,523,467	\$9,107	607	\$5,599,733	\$9,233	-	\$ 76,266	\$126
59	EL PASO	HANOVER	241	\$3,094,699	\$12,841	241	\$3,137,430	\$13,018	-	\$ 42,730	\$177
60	EL PASO	LEWIS-PALMER	6,591	\$52,381,093	\$7,947	6,591	\$53,104,348	\$8,057	-	\$ 723,256	\$110
61	EL PASO	FALCON	24,532	\$195,640,490	\$7,975	24,532	\$198,341,810	\$8,085	-	\$ 2,701,320	\$110
62	EL PASO	EDISON	195	\$2,706,536	\$13,858	195	\$2,743,906	\$14,050	-	\$ 37,371	\$191
63	EL PASO	MIAMI-YODER	283	\$3,282,897	\$11,621	283	\$3,328,225	\$11,781	-	\$ 45,329	\$160
64	FREMONT	CANON CITY	3,618	\$28,733,171	\$7,941	3,618	\$29,129,907	\$8,051	-	\$ 396,735	\$110
65	FREMONT	FLORENCE	1,341	\$11,142,486	\$8,307	1,341	\$11,296,336	\$8,422	-	\$ 153,851	\$115
66	FREMONT	COTOPAXI	203	\$2,724,081	\$13,419	203	\$2,761,694	\$13,604	-	\$ 37,613	\$185

Appendix A
School Finance Funding Change
Current Law vs. SB19-246, FY 2019-20

County	District	FY 2019-20 Under Current Law			FY 2019-20 with SB19-246			Change from Current Law		
		Funded Pupil Count	Total Program	Per Pupil Funding	Funded Pupil Count	Total Program	Per Pupil Funding	Funded Pupil Count	Total Program	Per Pupil Funding
67 GARFIELD	ROARING FORK	6,168	\$53,226,417	\$8,630	6,168	\$53,961,345	\$8,749	-	\$ 734,928	\$119
68 GARFIELD	RIFLE	4,712	\$38,001,007	\$8,064	4,712	\$38,525,709	\$8,176	-	\$ 524,702	\$111
69 GARFIELD	PARACHUTE	1,171	\$10,299,844	\$8,798	1,171	\$10,442,059	\$8,920	-	\$ 142,216	\$121
70 GILPIN	GILPIN	443	\$4,379,150	\$9,896	443	\$4,439,616	\$10,033	-	\$ 60,465	\$137
71 GRAND	WEST GRAND	420	\$4,290,244	\$10,212	420	\$4,349,482	\$10,353	-	\$ 59,238	\$141
72 GRAND	EAST GRAND	1,321	\$11,122,727	\$8,421	1,321	\$11,276,305	\$8,537	-	\$ 153,578	\$116
73 GUNNISON	GUNNISON	2,027	\$16,741,143	\$8,259	2,027	\$16,972,298	\$8,374	-	\$ 231,155	\$114
74 HINSDALE	HINSDALE	86	\$1,476,614	\$17,150	86	\$1,497,003	\$17,387	-	\$ 20,388	\$237
75 HUERFANO	HUERFANO	511	\$4,712,089	\$9,214	511	\$4,777,152	\$9,341	-	\$ 65,063	\$127
76 HUERFANO	LA VETA	210	\$2,668,574	\$12,683	210	\$2,705,420	\$12,858	-	\$ 36,847	\$175
77 JACKSON	NORTH PARK	168	\$2,442,171	\$14,554	168	\$2,475,892	\$14,755	-	\$ 33,720	\$201
78 JEFFERSON	JEFFERSON	80,796	\$659,741,777	\$8,166	80,796	\$668,851,209	\$8,278	-	\$ 9,109,432	\$113
79 KIOWA	EADS	175	\$2,326,739	\$13,296	175	\$2,358,866	\$13,479	-	\$ 32,127	\$184
80 KIOWA	PLAINVIEW	54	\$897,879	\$16,597	54	\$910,276	\$16,826	-	\$ 12,398	\$229
81 KIT CARSON	ARRIBA-FLAGLER	156	\$2,182,706	\$13,974	156	\$2,212,844	\$14,167	-	\$ 30,138	\$193
82 KIT CARSON	HI PLAINS	115	\$1,682,944	\$14,685	115	\$1,706,182	\$14,888	-	\$ 23,237	\$203
83 KIT CARSON	STRATTON	218	\$2,699,151	\$12,376	218	\$2,736,420	\$12,547	-	\$ 37,269	\$171
84 KIT CARSON	BETHUNE	104	\$1,641,492	\$15,753	104	\$1,664,157	\$15,971	-	\$ 22,665	\$218
85 KIT CARSON	BURLINGTON	719	\$6,240,957	\$8,676	719	\$6,327,130	\$8,796	-	\$ 86,172	\$120
86 LAKE	LAKE	982	\$8,587,120	\$8,745	982	\$8,705,687	\$8,866	-	\$ 118,567	\$121
87 LA PLATA	DURANGO	5,672	\$46,549,755	\$8,207	5,672	\$47,192,494	\$8,321	-	\$ 642,739	\$113
88 LA PLATA	BAYFIELD	1,377	\$11,907,860	\$8,648	1,377	\$12,072,279	\$8,767	-	\$ 164,419	\$119
89 LA PLATA	IGNACIO	827	\$7,830,766	\$9,470	827	\$7,938,890	\$9,601	-	\$ 108,124	\$131
90 LARIMER	POUDRE	30,858	\$244,980,968	\$7,939	30,858	\$248,363,560	\$8,048	-	\$ 3,382,592	\$110
91 LARIMER	THOMPSON	15,160	\$120,378,906	\$7,941	15,160	\$122,041,046	\$8,050	-	\$ 1,662,140	\$110
92 LARIMER	ESTES PARK	1,063	\$9,644,139	\$9,076	1,063	\$9,644,139	\$9,076	-	\$ -	\$0
93 LAS ANIMAS	TRINIDAD	1,003	\$8,927,166	\$8,897	1,003	\$9,050,429	\$9,020	-	\$ 123,262	\$123
94 LAS ANIMAS	PRIMERO	180	\$2,505,837	\$13,906	180	\$2,540,436	\$14,098	-	\$ 34,600	\$192
95 LAS ANIMAS	HOEHNE	358	\$3,644,280	\$10,171	358	\$3,694,598	\$10,311	-	\$ 50,319	\$140
96 LAS ANIMAS	AGUILAR	110	\$1,705,461	\$15,547	110	\$1,729,010	\$15,761	-	\$ 23,548	\$215
97 LAS ANIMAS	BRANSON	462	\$3,635,336	\$7,872	462	\$3,685,531	\$7,981	-	\$ 50,195	\$109
98 LAS ANIMAS	KIM	50	\$807,825	\$16,157	50	\$818,979	\$16,380	-	\$ 11,154	\$223
99 LINCOLN	GENOA-HUGO	185	\$2,525,111	\$13,671	185	\$2,559,977	\$13,860	-	\$ 34,866	\$189

Appendix A
School Finance Funding Change
Current Law vs. SB19-246, FY 2019-20

County	District	FY 2019-20 Under Current Law			FY 2019-20 with SB19-246			Change from Current Law		
		Funded Pupil Count	Total Program	Per Pupil Funding	Funded Pupil Count	Total Program	Per Pupil Funding	Funded Pupil Count	Total Program	Per Pupil Funding
100 LINCOLN	LIMON	481	\$4,440,169	\$9,241	481	\$4,501,477	\$9,368	-	\$ 61,308	\$128
101 LINCOLN	KARVAL	50	\$871,080	\$17,422	50	\$883,108	\$17,662	-	\$ 12,028	\$241
102 LOGAN	VALLEY	2,145	\$17,375,289	\$8,100	2,145	\$17,615,200	\$8,212	-	\$ 239,911	\$112
103 LOGAN	FRENCHMAN	183	\$2,506,920	\$13,699	183	\$2,541,535	\$13,888	-	\$ 34,614	\$189
104 LOGAN	BUFFALO	306	\$3,365,180	\$11,008	306	\$3,411,645	\$11,160	-	\$ 46,465	\$152
105 LOGAN	PLATEAU	152	\$2,223,158	\$14,626	152	\$2,253,854	\$14,828	-	\$ 30,696	\$202
106 MESA	DEBEQUE	166	\$2,349,272	\$14,144	166	\$2,381,710	\$14,339	-	\$ 32,438	\$195
107 MESA	PLATEAU VALLEY	432	\$4,094,261	\$9,486	432	\$4,150,793	\$9,617	-	\$ 56,532	\$131
108 MESA	MESA VALLEY	21,918	\$174,054,133	\$7,941	21,918	\$176,457,398	\$8,051	-	\$ 2,403,265	\$110
109 MINERAL	CREEDE	92	\$1,516,749	\$16,558	92	\$1,537,692	\$16,787	-	\$ 20,943	\$229
110 MOFFAT	MOFFAT	2,113	\$16,777,561	\$7,941	2,113	\$17,009,218	\$8,051	-	\$ 231,657	\$110
111 MONTEZUMA	MONTEZUMA	2,697	\$21,847,946	\$8,100	2,697	\$22,149,613	\$8,211	-	\$ 301,667	\$112
112 MONTEZUMA	DOLORES	672	\$6,063,439	\$9,020	672	\$6,147,161	\$9,145	-	\$ 83,721	\$125
113 MONTEZUMA	MANCOS	457	\$4,263,298	\$9,325	457	\$4,322,163	\$9,454	-	\$ 58,866	\$129
114 MONTROSE	MONTROSE	5,852	\$48,809,255	\$8,340	5,852	\$49,483,192	\$8,455	-	\$ 673,937	\$115
115 MONTROSE	WEST END	271	\$3,559,425	\$13,125	271	\$3,608,572	\$13,306	-	\$ 49,147	\$181
116 MORGAN	BRUSH	1,445	\$12,498,250	\$8,648	1,445	\$12,670,820	\$8,768	-	\$ 172,570	\$119
117 MORGAN	FT. MORGAN	3,256	\$27,405,064	\$8,416	3,256	\$27,783,462	\$8,532	-	\$ 378,397	\$116
118 MORGAN	WELDON	205	\$2,776,169	\$13,536	205	\$2,814,501	\$13,723	-	\$ 38,332	\$187
119 MORGAN	WIGGINS	647	\$5,826,460	\$9,005	647	\$5,906,909	\$9,130	-	\$ 80,449	\$124
120 OTERO	EAST OTERO	1,414	\$12,379,434	\$8,757	1,414	\$12,550,364	\$8,878	-	\$ 170,930	\$121
121 OTERO	ROCKY FORD	788	\$7,311,312	\$9,275	788	\$7,412,263	\$9,403	-	\$ 100,951	\$128
122 OTERO	MANZANOLA	138	\$2,153,915	\$15,608	138	\$2,183,655	\$15,824	-	\$ 29,740	\$216
123 OTERO	FOWLER	388	\$3,896,633	\$10,038	388	\$3,950,436	\$10,176	-	\$ 53,803	\$139
124 OTERO	CHERAW	207	\$2,710,641	\$13,076	207	\$2,748,068	\$13,256	-	\$ 37,427	\$181
125 OTERO	SWINK	352	\$3,657,259	\$10,393	352	\$3,707,757	\$10,536	-	\$ 50,498	\$144
126 OURAY	OURAY	164	\$2,635,787	\$16,062	164	\$2,672,181	\$16,284	-	\$ 36,394	\$222
127 OURAY	RIDGWAY	345	\$3,941,322	\$11,441	345	\$3,995,742	\$11,599	-	\$ 54,420	\$158
128 PARK	PLATTE CANYON	873	\$7,858,194	\$8,999	873	\$7,966,697	\$9,124	-	\$ 108,503	\$124
129 PARK	PARK	643	\$5,983,382	\$9,304	643	\$6,065,998	\$9,432	-	\$ 82,616	\$128
130 PHILLIPS	HOLYOKE	585	\$5,189,661	\$8,873	585	\$5,261,318	\$8,995	-	\$ 71,657	\$123
131 PHILLIPS	HAXTUN	328	\$3,326,485	\$10,142	328	\$3,372,416	\$10,282	-	\$ 45,931	\$140
132 PITKIN	ASPEN	1,646	\$17,822,174	\$10,827	1,646	\$18,068,255	\$10,976	-	\$ 246,081	\$149

Appendix A
School Finance Funding Change
Current Law vs. SB19-246, FY 2019-20

County	District	FY 2019-20 Under Current Law			FY 2019-20 with SB19-246			Change from Current Law		
		Funded Pupil Count	Total Program	Per Pupil Funding	Funded Pupil Count	Total Program	Per Pupil Funding	Funded Pupil Count	Total Program	Per Pupil Funding
133	PROWERS GRANADA	194	\$2,575,810	\$13,257	194	\$2,611,376	\$13,440	-	\$ 35,566	\$183
134	PROWERS LAMAR	1,484	\$12,471,627	\$8,402	1,484	\$12,643,830	\$8,518	-	\$ 172,203	\$116
135	PROWERS HOLLY	284	\$3,039,006	\$10,704	284	\$3,080,967	\$10,852	-	\$ 41,961	\$148
136	PROWERS WILEY	230	\$2,738,875	\$11,903	230	\$2,776,693	\$12,067	-	\$ 37,817	\$164
137	PUEBLO PUEBLO CITY	16,095	\$138,823,667	\$8,625	16,095	\$140,740,485	\$8,744	-	\$ 1,916,818	\$119
138	PUEBLO PUEBLO RURAL	9,580	\$76,020,035	\$7,936	9,580	\$77,069,687	\$8,045	-	\$ 1,049,652	\$110
139	RIO BLANCO MEEKER	708	\$6,125,481	\$8,648	708	\$6,210,059	\$8,768	-	\$ 84,578	\$119
140	RIO BLANCO RANGELY	481	\$4,220,927	\$8,775	481	\$4,279,208	\$8,896	-	\$ 58,281	\$121
141	RIO GRANDE DEL NORTE	434	\$4,108,889	\$9,463	434	\$4,165,623	\$9,594	-	\$ 56,734	\$131
142	RIO GRANDE MONTE VISTA	1,100	\$9,330,002	\$8,483	1,100	\$9,458,826	\$8,600	-	\$ 128,825	\$117
143	RIO GRANDE SARGENT	373	\$3,708,905	\$9,951	373	\$3,760,116	\$10,089	-	\$ 51,211	\$137
144	ROUTT HAYDEN	391	\$4,159,397	\$10,646	391	\$4,216,829	\$10,793	-	\$ 57,431	\$147
145	ROUTT STEAMBOAT SPRINGS	2,752	\$22,964,361	\$8,343	2,752	\$23,281,443	\$8,459	-	\$ 317,082	\$115
146	ROUTT SOUTH ROUTT	319	\$3,745,470	\$11,727	319	\$3,797,185	\$11,888	-	\$ 51,716	\$162
147	SAGUACHE MOUNTAIN VALLEY	130	\$1,977,155	\$15,162	130	\$2,004,455	\$15,372	-	\$ 27,300	\$209
148	SAGUACHE MOFFAT	220	\$3,204,823	\$14,587	220	\$3,249,073	\$14,789	-	\$ 44,251	\$201
149	SAGUACHE CENTER	652	\$6,110,420	\$9,372	652	\$6,194,790	\$9,501	-	\$ 84,370	\$129
150	SAN JUAN SILVERTON	67	\$1,198,211	\$17,910	67	\$1,214,756	\$18,158	-	\$ 16,544	\$247
151	SAN MIGUEL TELLURIDE	918	\$10,193,769	\$11,109	918	\$10,334,520	\$11,263	-	\$ 140,751	\$153
152	SAN MIGUEL NORWOOD	216	\$2,954,990	\$13,674	216	\$2,995,791	\$13,863	-	\$ 40,801	\$189
153	SEDGWICK JULESBURG	520	\$4,366,197	\$8,392	520	\$4,426,484	\$8,508	-	\$ 60,287	\$116
154	SEDGWICK PLATTE VALLEY	136	\$2,031,669	\$14,895	136	\$2,059,722	\$15,101	-	\$ 28,052	\$206
155	SUMMIT SUMMIT	3,441	\$29,848,047	\$8,674	3,441	\$30,260,176	\$8,794	-	\$ 412,129	\$120
156	TELLER CRIPPLE CREEK	361	\$4,178,620	\$11,569	361	\$4,178,620	\$11,569	-	\$ -	\$0
157	TELLER WOODLAND PARK	2,280	\$18,332,865	\$8,042	2,280	\$18,585,997	\$8,153	-	\$ 253,132	\$111
158	WASHINGTON AKRON	365	\$3,811,603	\$10,457	365	\$3,864,232	\$10,601	-	\$ 52,629	\$144
159	WASHINGTON ARICKAREE	104	\$1,670,457	\$16,124	104	\$1,693,522	\$16,347	-	\$ 23,065	\$223
160	WASHINGTON OTIS	223	\$2,869,066	\$12,843	223	\$2,908,681	\$13,020	-	\$ 39,615	\$177
161	WASHINGTON LONE STAR	119	\$1,874,512	\$15,713	119	\$1,900,394	\$15,930	-	\$ 25,882	\$217
162	WASHINGTON WOODLIN	93	\$1,527,660	\$16,356	93	\$1,548,753	\$16,582	-	\$ 21,093	\$226
163	WELD GILCREST	1,852	\$15,263,905	\$8,242	1,852	\$15,474,663	\$8,356	-	\$ 210,757	\$114
164	WELD EATON	1,932	\$15,499,230	\$8,022	1,932	\$15,713,237	\$8,133	-	\$ 214,007	\$111
165	WELD KEENESBURG	2,404	\$19,246,847	\$8,006	2,404	\$19,512,599	\$8,116	-	\$ 265,752	\$111

Appendix A
School Finance Funding Change
Current Law vs. SB19-246, FY 2019-20

County	District	FY 2019-20 Under Current Law			FY 2019-20 with SB19-246			Change from Current Law		
		Funded Pupil Count	Total Program	Per Pupil Funding	Funded Pupil Count	Total Program	Per Pupil Funding	Funded Pupil Count	Total Program	Per Pupil Funding
166 WELD	WINDSOR	6,876	\$54,601,125	\$7,941	6,876	\$55,355,034	\$8,051	-	\$ 753,909	\$110
167 WELD	JOHNSTOWN	3,939	\$31,282,325	\$7,941	3,939	\$31,714,258	\$8,051	-	\$ 431,933	\$110
168 WELD	GREELEY	21,875	\$179,659,057	\$8,213	21,875	\$182,139,713	\$8,327	-	\$ 2,480,655	\$113
169 WELD	PLATTE VALLEY	1,110	\$10,352,794	\$9,327	1,110	\$10,352,794	\$9,327	-	\$ -	\$0
170 WELD	FT. LUPTON	2,369	\$22,091,536	\$9,326	2,369	\$22,091,536	\$9,326	-	\$ -	\$0
171 WELD	AULT-HIGHLAND	951	\$8,170,173	\$8,588	951	\$8,282,983	\$8,707	-	\$ 112,810	\$119
172 WELD	BRIGGS DALE	173	\$2,444,376	\$14,162	173	\$2,478,127	\$14,358	-	\$ 33,751	\$196
173 WELD	PRAIRIE	201	\$2,907,238	\$14,500	201	\$2,907,238	\$14,500	-	\$ -	\$0
174 WELD	PAWNEE	77	\$1,449,995	\$18,807	77	\$1,449,995	\$18,807	-	\$ -	\$0
175 YUMA	YUMA 1	797	\$7,397,701	\$9,288	797	\$7,499,846	\$9,416	-	\$ 102,144	\$128
176 YUMA	WRAY RD-2	696	\$6,197,257	\$8,899	696	\$6,282,826	\$9,022	-	\$ 85,569	\$123
177 YUMA	IDALIA RJ-3	198	\$2,703,259	\$13,660	198	\$2,740,585	\$13,848	-	\$ 37,325	\$189
178 YUMA	LIBERTY J-4	61	\$1,074,407	\$17,700	61	\$1,089,242	\$17,945	-	\$ 14,835	\$244
STATE	TOTAL	872,202	\$7,293,027,118	\$8,362	872,202	\$7,393,027,118	\$8,476	-	\$ 100,000,000	\$115

Appendix B
Supplemental Distribution of \$20 Million to Rural and Small Rural School Districts
55 Percent to Rural and 45 Percent to Small Rural Districts, FY 2018-19 Funded Pupil Count

	County	District	Rural Designation	Funded Pupil Count	Supplemental Distribution
1	ADAMS	MAPLETON		8,443.4	\$ -
2	ADAMS	ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR		41,888.3	\$ -
3	ADAMS	COMMERCE CITY		7,866.5	\$ -
4	ADAMS	BRIGHTON		18,591.4	\$ -
5	ADAMS	BENNETT	Rural	1,031.8	\$ 113,346
6	ADAMS	STRASBURG	Small Rural	976.9	\$ 240,945
7	ADAMS	WESTMINSTER		10,394.3	\$ -
8	ALAMOSA	ALAMOSA	Rural	2,367.7	\$ 260,098
9	ALAMOSA	SANGRE DE CRISTO	Small Rural	296.4	\$ 73,105
10	ARAPAHOE	ENGLEWOOD		2,590.4	\$ -
11	ARAPAHOE	SHERIDAN		1,347.5	\$ -
12	ARAPAHOE	CHERRY CREEK		52,869.7	\$ -
13	ARAPAHOE	LITTLETON		14,642.7	\$ -
14	ARAPAHOE	DEER TRAIL	Small Rural	180.0	\$ 44,396
15	ARAPAHOE	AURORA		39,653.5	\$ -
16	ARAPAHOE	BYERS	Rural	2,765.5	\$ 303,798
17	ARCHULETA	ARCHULETA	Rural	1,652.5	\$ 181,532
18	BACA	WALSH	Small Rural	150.6	\$ 37,144
19	BACA	PRITCHETT	Small Rural	51.5	\$ 12,702
20	BACA	SPRINGFIELD	Small Rural	291.7	\$ 71,946
21	BACA	VILAS	Small Rural	50.0	\$ 12,332
22	BACA	CAMPO	Small Rural	50.0	\$ 12,332
23	BENT	LAS ANIMAS	Rural	2,292.5	\$ 251,838
24	BENT	MCCLAVE	Small Rural	244.5	\$ 60,304
25	BOULDER	ST VRAIN		30,188.5	\$ -
26	BOULDER	BOULDER		29,794.2	\$ -
27	CHAFFEE	BUENA VISTA	Small Rural	1,002.5	\$ 247,259
28	CHAFFEE	SALIDA	Rural	1,289.0	\$ 141,600
29	CHEYENNE	KIT CARSON	Small Rural	105.0	\$ 25,897
30	CHEYENNE	CHEYENNE	Small Rural	168.4	\$ 41,535
31	CLEAR CREEK	CLEAR CREEK	Small Rural	765.7	\$ 188,854
32	CONEJOS	NORTH CONEJOS	Rural	1,037.8	\$ 114,005
33	CONEJOS	SANFORD	Small Rural	359.8	\$ 88,742
34	CONEJOS	SOUTH CONEJOS	Small Rural	193.8	\$ 47,799
35	COSTILLA	CENTENNIAL	Small Rural	216.9	\$ 53,497
36	COSTILLA	SIERRA GRANDE	Small Rural	278.0	\$ 68,567
37	CROWLEY	CROWLEY	Small Rural	445.6	\$ 109,904
38	CUSTER	WESTCLIFFE	Small Rural	360.1	\$ 88,816
39	DELTA	DELTA	Rural	4,680.7	\$ 514,188
40	DENVER	DENVER		87,643.7	\$ -
41	DOLORES	DOLORES	Small Rural	237.6	\$ 58,602
42	DOUGLAS	DOUGLAS		64,513.8	\$ -
43	EAGLE	EAGLE		6,902.8	\$ -
44	ELBERT	ELIZABETH	Rural	2,284.4	\$ 250,948
45	ELBERT	KIOWA	Small Rural	248.8	\$ 61,365

Appendix B
Supplemental Distribution of \$20 Million to Rural and Small Rural School Districts
55 Percent to Rural and 45 Percent to Small Rural Districts, FY 2018-19 Funded Pupil Count

	County	District	Rural Designation	Funded Pupil Count	Supplemental Distribution
46	ELBERT	BIG SANDY	Small Rural	299.6	\$ 73,894
47	ELBERT	ELBERT	Small Rural	223.4	\$ 55,100
48	ELBERT	AGATE	Small Rural	50.0	\$ 12,332
49	EL PASO	CALHAN	Small Rural	458.7	\$ 113,135
50	EL PASO	HARRISON		11,449.3	\$ -
51	EL PASO	WIDEFIELD		9,018.5	\$ -
52	EL PASO	FOUNTAIN		7,807.0	\$ -
53	EL PASO	COLORADO SPRINGS		30,009.4	\$ -
54	EL PASO	CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN		4,995.3	\$ -
55	EL PASO	MANITOU SPRINGS		1,431.5	\$ -
56	EL PASO	ACADEMY		24,667.2	\$ -
57	EL PASO	ELLCOTT	Rural	1,032.4	\$ 113,412
58	EL PASO	PEYTON	Small Rural	624.8	\$ 154,102
59	EL PASO	HANOVER	Small Rural	243.7	\$ 60,107
60	EL PASO	LEWIS-PALMER		6,464.0	\$ -
61	EL PASO	FALCON		23,664.1	\$ -
62	EL PASO	EDISON	Small Rural	195.2	\$ 48,145
63	EL PASO	MIAMI-YODER	Small Rural	283.0	\$ 69,800
64	FREMONT	CANON CITY	Rural	3,645.3	\$ 400,446
65	FREMONT	FLORENCE	Rural	1,336.6	\$ 146,829
66	FREMONT	COTOPAXI	Small Rural	205.3	\$ 50,636
67	GARFIELD	ROARING FORK	Rural	6,133.3	\$ 673,760
68	GARFIELD	RIFLE	Rural	4,740.8	\$ 520,790
69	GARFIELD	PARACHUTE	Rural	1,163.4	\$ 127,803
70	GILPIN	GILPIN	Small Rural	441.6	\$ 108,917
71	GRAND	WEST GRAND	Small Rural	420.8	\$ 103,787
72	GRAND	EAST GRAND	Rural	1,302.5	\$ 143,083
73	GUNNISON	GUNNISON	Rural	1,987.7	\$ 218,354
74	HINSDALE	HINSDALE	Small Rural	87.3	\$ 21,532
75	HUERFANO	HUERFANO	Small Rural	517.0	\$ 127,514
76	HUERFANO	LA VETA	Small Rural	212.9	\$ 52,510
77	JACKSON	NORTH PARK	Small Rural	169.8	\$ 41,880
78	JEFFERSON	JEFFERSON		81,294.7	\$ -
79	KIOWA	EADS	Small Rural	175.5	\$ 43,286
80	KIOWA	PLAINVIEW	Small Rural	56.3	\$ 13,886
81	KIT CARSON	ARRIBA-FLAGLER	Small Rural	161.1	\$ 39,734
82	KIT CARSON	HI PLAINS	Small Rural	115.6	\$ 28,512
83	KIT CARSON	STRATTON	Small Rural	215.9	\$ 53,250
84	KIT CARSON	BETHUNE	Small Rural	105.4	\$ 25,996
85	KIT CARSON	BURLINGTON	Small Rural	720.2	\$ 177,632
86	LAKE	LAKE	Small Rural	978.0	\$ 241,216
87	LA PLATA	DURANGO	Rural	5,671.3	\$ 623,008
88	LA PLATA	BAYFIELD	Rural	1,358.6	\$ 149,246
89	LA PLATA	IGNACIO	Small Rural	835.8	\$ 206,144
90	LARIMER	POUDRE		30,469.5	\$ -

Appendix B
Supplemental Distribution of \$20 Million to Rural and Small Rural School Districts
55 Percent to Rural and 45 Percent to Small Rural Districts, FY 2018-19 Funded Pupil Count

	County	District	Rural Designation	Funded Pupil Count	Supplemental Distribution
91	LARIMER	THOMPSON		15,194.5	\$ -
92	LARIMER	ESTES PARK	Rural	1,064.3	\$ 116,916
93	LAS ANIMAS	TRINIDAD	Small Rural	1,025.3	\$ 252,882
94	LAS ANIMAS	PRIMERO	Small Rural	180.8	\$ 44,593
95	LAS ANIMAS	HOEHNE	Small Rural	367.0	\$ 90,518
96	LAS ANIMAS	AGUILAR	Small Rural	110.7	\$ 27,303
97	LAS ANIMAS	BRANSON	Small Rural	458.9	\$ 113,184
98	LAS ANIMAS	KIM	Small Rural	50.0	\$ 12,332
99	LINCOLN	GENOA-HUGO	Small Rural	184.9	\$ 45,604
100	LINCOLN	LIMON	Small Rural	482.1	\$ 118,906
101	LINCOLN	KARVAL	Small Rural	50.0	\$ 12,332
102	LOGAN	VALLEY	Rural	2,120.6	\$ 232,954
103	LOGAN	FRENCHMAN	Small Rural	182.3	\$ 44,963
104	LOGAN	BUFFALO	Small Rural	303.1	\$ 74,757
105	LOGAN	PLATEAU	Small Rural	154.8	\$ 38,180
106	MESA	DEBEQUE	Small Rural	162.5	\$ 40,079
107	MESA	PLATEAU VALLEY	Small Rural	437.7	\$ 107,955
108	MESA	MESA VALLEY		21,919.6	\$ -
109	MINERAL	CREEDE	Small Rural	93.0	\$ 22,938
110	MOFFAT	MOFFAT	Rural	2,106.1	\$ 231,361
111	MONTEZUMA	MONTEZUMA	Rural	2,701.5	\$ 296,767
112	MONTEZUMA	DOLORES	Small Rural	686.3	\$ 169,271
113	MONTEZUMA	MANCOS	Small Rural	457.5	\$ 112,839
114	MONTROSE	MONTROSE	Rural	5,868.1	\$ 644,627
115	MONTROSE	WEST END	Small Rural	267.9	\$ 66,075
116	MORGAN	BRUSH	Rural	1,453.5	\$ 159,671
117	MORGAN	FT. MORGAN	Rural	3,180.0	\$ 349,332
118	MORGAN	WELDON	Small Rural	209.3	\$ 51,622
119	MORGAN	WIGGINS	Small Rural	637.7	\$ 157,284
120	OTERO	EAST OTERO	Rural	1,418.7	\$ 155,848
121	OTERO	ROCKY FORD	Small Rural	790.7	\$ 195,020
122	OTERO	MANZANOLA	Small Rural	137.3	\$ 33,864
123	OTERO	FOWLER	Small Rural	389.0	\$ 95,944
124	OTERO	CHERAW	Small Rural	209.5	\$ 51,672
125	OTERO	SWINK	Small Rural	353.8	\$ 87,262
126	OURAY	OURAY	Small Rural	167.7	\$ 41,362
127	OURAY	RIDGWAY	Small Rural	331.8	\$ 81,836
128	PARK	PLATTE CANYON	Small Rural	902.7	\$ 222,644
129	PARK	PARK	Small Rural	647.7	\$ 159,750
130	PHILLIPS	HOLYOKE	Small Rural	585.4	\$ 144,384
131	PHILLIPS	HAXTUN	Small Rural	322.1	\$ 79,443
132	PITKIN	ASPEN	Rural	1,652.4	\$ 181,521
133	PROWERS	GRANADA	Small Rural	195.3	\$ 48,169
134	PROWERS	LAMAR	Rural	1,487.4	\$ 163,395

Appendix B
Supplemental Distribution of \$20 Million to Rural and Small Rural School Districts
55 Percent to Rural and 45 Percent to Small Rural Districts, FY 2018-19 Funded Pupil Count

	County	District	Rural Designation	Funded Pupil Count	Supplemental Distribution
135	PROWERS	HOLLY	Small Rural	286.3	\$ 70,614
136	PROWERS	WILEY	Small Rural	233.2	\$ 57,517
137	PUEBLO	PUEBLO CITY		16,415.5	\$ -
138	PUEBLO	PUEBLO RURAL		9,578.6	\$ -
139	RIO BLANCO	MEEKER	Small Rural	700.7	\$ 172,822
140	RIO BLANCO	RANGELY	Small Rural	483.7	\$ 119,301
141	RIO GRANDE	DEL NORTE	Small Rural	432.8	\$ 106,747
142	RIO GRANDE	MONTE VISTA	Rural	1,103.5	\$ 121,223
143	RIO GRANDE	SARGENT	Small Rural	387.5	\$ 95,574
144	ROUTT	HAYDEN	Small Rural	398.2	\$ 98,213
145	ROUTT	STEAMBOAT SPRINGS	Rural	2,725.8	\$ 299,437
146	ROUTT	SOUTH ROUTT	Small Rural	330.5	\$ 81,515
147	SAGUACHE	MOUNTAIN VALLEY	Small Rural	131.2	\$ 32,359
148	SAGUACHE	MOFFAT	Small Rural	220.0	\$ 54,261
149	SAGUACHE	CENTER	Small Rural	652.0	\$ 160,811
150	SAN JUAN	SILVERTON	Small Rural	66.1	\$ 16,303
151	SAN MIGUEL	TELLURIDE	Small Rural	910.4	\$ 224,543
152	SAN MIGUEL	NORWOOD	Small Rural	230.8	\$ 56,925
153	SEDGWICK	JULESBURG	Small Rural	516.3	\$ 127,341
154	SEDGWICK	PLATTE VALLEY	Small Rural	139.4	\$ 34,382
155	SUMMIT	SUMMIT	Rural	3,397.5	\$ 373,225
156	TELLER	CRIPPLE CREEK	Small Rural	357.9	\$ 88,273
157	TELLER	WOODLAND PARK	Rural	2,301.0	\$ 252,771
158	WASHINGTON	AKRON	Small Rural	362.9	\$ 89,506
159	WASHINGTON	ARICKAREE	Small Rural	105.6	\$ 26,045
160	WASHINGTON	OTIS	Small Rural	226.3	\$ 55,815
161	WASHINGTON	LONE STAR	Small Rural	117.6	\$ 29,005
162	WASHINGTON	WOODLIN	Small Rural	93.5	\$ 23,061
163	WELD	GILCREST	Rural	1,857.7	\$ 204,074
164	WELD	EATON	Rural	1,911.4	\$ 209,973
165	WELD	KEENESBURG	Rural	2,347.0	\$ 257,825
166	WELD	WINDSOR	Rural	6,430.0	\$ 706,353
167	WELD	JOHNSTOWN	Rural	3,789.9	\$ 416,331
168	WELD	GREELEY		21,751.4	\$ -
169	WELD	PLATTE VALLEY	Rural	1,118.3	\$ 122,848
170	WELD	FT. LUPTON	Rural	2,325.5	\$ 255,463
171	WELD	AULT-HIGHLAND	Small Rural	924.4	\$ 227,996
172	WELD	BRIGGS DALE	Small Rural	167.5	\$ 41,313
173	WELD	PRAIRIE	Small Rural	194.2	\$ 47,898
174	WELD	PAWNEE	Small Rural	78.7	\$ 19,411
175	YUMA	YUMA 1	Small Rural	797.2	\$ 196,623
176	YUMA	WRAY RD-2	Small Rural	677.6	\$ 167,125
177	YUMA	IDALIA RJ-3	Small Rural	198.8	\$ 49,032
178	YUMA	LIBERTY J-4	Small Rural	63.3	\$ 15,612
STATE	TOTAL			870,084.9	\$ 20,000,000

Appendix C
New Tier B Special Education Funding by District

Administrative Unit	FY 2018-19 Tier B Funded Pupils*	New Tier B Funding
Adams 1, Mapleton	193	\$183,587
Adams 12, Northglenn-Thornton	1,088	\$1,034,936
Adams 14, Commerce City	176	\$167,416
Adams 27J, Brighton	415	\$394,760
Adams 50, Westminster	274	\$260,636
Arapahoe 1, Englewood	89	\$84,659
Arapahoe 2, Sheridan	31	\$29,488
Arapahoe 5, Cherry Creek	1,733	\$1,648,478
Arapahoe 6, Littleton	431	\$409,979
Adams-Arapahoe 28J, Aurora	1,065	\$1,013,058
Boulder RE1J, St. Vrain Valley	1,006	\$956,935
Boulder RE2, Boulder Valley	877	\$834,227
Delta 50(J), Delta	122	\$116,050
Denver 1, Denver	2,079	\$1,977,603
Douglas Re 1, Castle Rock	1,681	\$1,599,014
Eagle Re 50, Eagle	123	\$117,001
Elizabeth, Elbert C-1	63	\$59,927
El Paso 2, Harrison	408	\$388,101
El Paso 3, Weldfield	208	\$197,855
El Paso 8, Fountain	377	\$358,613
El Paso 11, Colorado Springs	597	\$567,883
El Paso 12, Cheyenne Mountain	113	\$107,489
El Paso 20, Academy	589	\$560,273
El Paso 38, Lewis-Palmer	119	\$113,196
El Paso 49, Falcon	575	\$546,956
Fort Lupton/Keenesburg	120	\$114,147
Fremont RE-1, Canon City	118	\$112,245
Gunnison	35	\$33,293
Jefferson R-1, Lakewood	2,203	\$2,095,555
Durango	116	\$110,342
Larimer R-1, Poudre	678	\$644,933
Larimer R-2J, Thompson	393	\$373,833
Larimer R-3, Park	20	\$19,025
Logan RE-1, Valley	84	\$79,903
Mesa	653	\$621,152
Moffat Re 1, Craig	53	\$50,415
Montrose RE-1J, Montrose	143	\$136,026
Morgan RE-3, Fort Morgan	61	\$58,025
Aspen 1	31	\$29,488
Pueblo 60, Urban	637	\$605,932
Pueblo 70, Rural	290	\$275,856
Summit Re-1	52	\$49,464
Weld RE-4, Windsor	119	\$113,196
Weld Re-5J, Johnstown	87	\$82,757

Appendix C
New Tier B Special Education Funding by District

Administrative Unit	FY 2018-19 Tier B Funded Pupils*	New Tier B Funding
Weld 6, Greeley	485	\$461,346
East Central BOCES	182	\$173,123
Mount Evans BOCES	65	\$61,830
Mountain BOCES	103	\$97,976
Northeast Colorado BOCES	126	\$119,855
Northwest Colorado BOCS	115	\$109,391
Pikes Peak BOCES	104	\$98,928
San Juan BOCES	205	\$195,002
San Luis Valley BOCS	145	\$137,928
Santa Fe Trail BOCES	111	\$105,586
South Central BOCES	90	\$85,611
Southeastern BOCES	53	\$50,415
Uncompahgre BOCES	53	\$50,415
Centennial BOCES	209	\$198,807
Ute Pass BOCES	105	\$99,879
Rio Blanco BOCES	22	\$20,927
Colorado River Boces	234	\$222,587
Colorado School for the Deaf and Blin	206	\$195,953
CMHI-Pueblo	7	\$6,659
Department of Corrections	3	\$2,854
Division of Youth Corrections	49	\$46,610
Charter School Institute	131	\$124,611
TOTAL	23,128	\$22,000,000

Prepared by Legislative Council Staff

** The FY 2018-19 funded pupil count is used for FY 2019-20 allocation.*