



Legislative Council Staff

Nonpartisan Services for Colorado's Legislature

FINAL FISCAL NOTE

Drafting Number: LLS 18-0949
Prime Sponsors: Rep. Pettersen; Wilson Sen. Hill

Date: September 17, 2018
Bill Status: Signed into Law
Fiscal Analyst: Marc Carey | 303-866-4102 marc.carey@state.co.us

Bill Topic: PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE

- Summary of Fiscal Impact: State Revenue, State Expenditure, State Transfer, TABOR Refund, School District, Statutory Public Entity

This bill is the 2018 School Finance Act that sets initial funding levels for Colorado's 178 P-12 school districts. The bill will result in an annual increase in state expenditures related to school finance, and makes a one-time allocation of \$30 million to qualified rural schools.

Appropriation Summary: For FY 2018-19, the bill contains a General Fund appropriation of \$123,428,205, a cash fund appropriation of \$30,723,791 from the State Public School Fund, and a cash fund appropriation of \$30,191,043 from the State Education Fund.

Fiscal Note Status: This fiscal note reflects the bill as amended by the House Appropriations committee.

Table 1 State Fiscal Impacts Under HB 18-1379

Table with 3 columns: Category, FY 2018-19, FY 2019-20. Rows include Revenue, Expenditures (General Fund, State Education Fund, State Public School Fund, Total), and Transfers.

Summary of Legislation

This bill changes the "Public School Finance Act of 1994" by modifying the funding for K-12 public schools in FY 2018-19.

Total Program Funding. The bill increases base per pupil funding for FY 2018-19 by \$222.57 to \$6,768.77, to reflect a 3.4 percent inflation rate. The bill also makes the following changes in the calculation of total program:

- for FY 2018-19, reduces the budget stabilization factor by \$150 million from the FY 2017-18 level to \$672,396,894; and
- specifies that for FY 2019-20, the budget stabilization factor cannot exceed the FY 2018-19 level.

Supplemental Assistance for Rural Schools. The bill requires the Colorado Department of Education (CDE) to distribute \$30 million to eligible large rural and small rural school districts on a per-pupil basis for FY 2018-19, outside the funding formula. Large rural districts are those with a funded pupil count greater than 1000 but less than 6,500. Small rural districts are those with a funded pupil count less than or equal to 1,000. Eligible large rural districts share 55 percent of this funding while eligible small rural districts share 45 percent.

ECARE Slots. The bill increases by 1,000 slots the number of early childhood at-risk enhancement (ECARE) slots that may be used for preschool students or to extend kindergarten to full-day kindergarten.

English Language Learner Funding. Under current law, the CDE distributes categorical funding to English language learners on a per pupil basis. Seventy-five percent is distributed to students that are either non-English proficient or with limited English proficiency. Twenty five percent is distributed to students that are monitored after being designated as fluent English proficiency. This bill changes the fund allocation by allocating the funding proportionately, based on the number of students who have no or limited English proficiency and the number of students who have been designated as fluent, but require continued monitoring.

Reporting Requirements. The bill amends core course level participation and performance reporting requirements by limiting reporting on core courses to only the middle and secondary school levels, and delaying the date by which CDE must make the report available on it's website. The bill also amends the reporting requirement for the annual report on the effectiveness of educator preparation programs to require the inclusion of certain data in the report only if that data is available.

State Expenditures

The bill is expected to increase state expenditures by \$185,807,497 in FY 2018-19 and \$155,616,454 in FY 2019-20.

Total Program Funding. House Bill 18-1379 sets total program funding for FY 2018-19 at \$7.089 billion. This represents a 8.66 percent (\$672.4 million) reduction from what funding levels would have been without the budget stabilization factor. The appendix details the funding changes that occur at the school district level as a result of this bill.

The \$7.089 billion in total program funding represents an estimated increase of \$460.9 million in FY 2018-19 compared with actual funding levels for FY 2017-18, and increases formula funding by \$154,151,996 for FY 2018-19 relative to current law. State expenditures for school finance and local funding will increase by \$425.6 million and \$35.3 million, respectively, compared with FY 2017-18. Since the budget stabilization factor is set at 8.66 percent, each district's total program funding will be reduced by 8.66 percent from the level set by the funding formula before application of the budget stabilization factor, provided the district receives at least an amount of state aid that is greater than this percentage reduction. Table 2 shows the estimate of school finance act funding under House Bill 18-1379, relative to FY 2017-18.

Table 2
School Finance Act Funding Under House Bill 18-1379

	Pupil Count	Per Pupil Funding	Total Program Funding	State Aid	Local Share
FY 2017-18 Act.	865,017	\$7,662	\$6,627,917,199	\$4,120,568,878	\$2,507,348,321
FY 2018-19 Est	871,141	\$8,137	\$7,088,830,951	\$4,546,175,603	\$2,542,655,348
Percent Change	0.71%	6.20%	6.95%	10.33%	1.41%
Increase	6,124	\$475	\$460,913,752	\$425,606,725	\$35,307,027

Supplemental Assistance to Rural Schools. The bill distributes \$30 million to qualifying rural school districts on a one-time basis in FY 2018-19. The money is distributed outside the funding formula, on a per pupil basis, using the FY 2017-18 district's funded pupil count. To qualify, districts must be classified as rural or small rural by CDE and have a funded pupil count less than 6,500. The bill specifies that 55 percent of the funding is to be allocated to large rural districts (funded pupil count between 1,000 and 6,500) and 45 percent is to be allocated to small rural districts (funded pupil count less than or equal to 1,000).

Currently, 147 districts with a total funded pupil count of 134,856 are classified as rural and have less than 6,500 students. Of these, 39 large rural districts have a funded pupil count of 97,382, implying a per pupil payment of about \$169, while 108 small rural districts have a funded pupil count of 37,474, implying a per pupil payment of about \$360. Appendix B lists the funded pupil count and distribution of payments to qualified districts on a district-by-district basis. Large rural districts are denoted as rural in the appendix. District funded pupil counts include totals for CSI schools located within the district.

ECARE Slots. A portion of the increase in total program funding results from the 1,000 additional ECARE slots contained in the bill. Because each slot is for a half day program, these slots may be used either for preschool or to extend kindergarten to full-day kindergarten for 500 additional students. It is not possible to know in advance which districts will receive these slots. Using data on "unmet need" within districts provided by CDE, this fiscal note estimates that these additional slots will add \$4,151,996 to the state's share of total program.

English Language Learner (ELL) Funding. This bill changes the allocation of funding between non and limited English proficient students and newly designated fluent students. Changing the allocation is expected to increase funding distributions by \$1,464,458 in FY 2018-19.

Currently, CDE must distribute 75 percent of its ELL categorical funding to support students with non or limited English proficiency, and 25 percent to support students designated as having fluent English proficiency and require monitoring for two years after this designation. Because the number of students designated as fluent has significantly declined recently, the per pupil allocation

has increased above the statutory cap of 10 percent of the prior year's per pupil revenue. In FY 2017-18, CDE was unable to distribute \$378,944 in already appropriated funds. Allocating funding in proportion to the number of students in each category will enable the full distribution of available funds. While this change is expected to increase expenditures by \$1,464,458, no additional appropriation is required.

Reporting Requirements. This bill modifies reporting requirements related to core course level participation and performance reports and educator effectiveness preparation programs. These modifications will not substantially impact workload requirements for CDE.

School District Impact

Table 2 compares estimated FY 2018-19 school finance funding levels with actual funding in FY 2017-18. In FY 2018-19, the bill increases funding by \$475 per pupil and funds 6,124 new pupils. Total program funding increases from \$6.628 billion to \$7.089 billion. Of this amount, the state's share increases by \$425.6 million, from \$4.121 billion to \$4.546 billion, and the local share increases by \$35.3 million, from \$2.507 billion to \$2.542 billion. Appendix A to this fiscal note contains a district-by-district overview of the impacts on funded pupil count, total program after application of the budget stabilization factor, and per pupil funding, relative to current law.

Effective Date

The bill was signed into law by the Governor and took effect on May 24, 2018.

State Appropriations

For FY 2018-19, the bill contains the following appropriations to the Department of Education:

- a General Fund appropriation of \$123,428,205 for the state's share of total program;
- a cash funds appropriation of \$30,723,791 from the State Public School Fund for the state's share of total program;
- a cash funds appropriation of \$5,161,872 from the State Education Fund for the state's share of total program;
- a cash funds appropriation of \$191,043 from the State Education Fund for hold-harmless full-day kindergarten funding; and
- a cash funds appropriation of \$30,000,000 to the Department of Education for distribution to rural schools.

State and Local Government Contacts

Education School Districts

Appendix A
School Finance Funding Change
Current Law vs. Introduced HB 18-1379, FY 2018-19

			FY 2018-19 Under Current Law			FY 2018-19 with HB 18-1379			Change from Current Law		
County	District	Funded Pupil Count	Total Program	Per Pupil Funding	Funded Pupil Count	Total Program	Per Pupil Funding	Funded Pupil Count	Total Program	Per Pupil Funding	
1	Adams	Mapleton	8,648	\$68,504,229	\$7,922	8,650	\$70,005,618	\$8,093	2	\$1,501,390	\$172
2	Adams	Adams 12 Five Star	41,894	\$326,698,251	\$7,798	41,895	\$333,793,249	\$7,967	1	\$7,094,998	\$169
3	Adams	Commerce City	8,009	\$66,620,316	\$8,318	8,009	\$68,065,567	\$8,499	-	\$1,445,251	\$180
4	Adams	Brighton	18,316	\$140,820,845	\$7,689	18,318	\$143,890,960	\$7,855	2	\$3,070,115	\$167
5	Adams	Bennett	1,048	\$8,671,048	\$8,272	1,049	\$8,866,553	\$8,450	1	\$195,505	\$178
6	Adams	Strasburg	948	\$7,817,724	\$8,250	950	\$8,000,337	\$8,425	2	\$182,613	\$175
7	Adams	Westminster	10,343	\$86,604,532	\$8,373	10,346	\$88,506,059	\$8,555	3	\$1,901,527	\$181
8	Alamosa	Alamosa	2,388	\$18,065,505	\$7,566	2,388	\$18,456,761	\$7,730	-	\$391,256	\$164
9	Alamosa	Sangre De Cristo	295	\$3,108,642	\$10,531	295	\$3,176,081	\$10,759	-	\$67,438	\$228
10	Arapahoe	Englewood	2,614	\$21,647,494	\$8,282	2,617	\$22,140,653	\$8,461	3	\$493,159	\$179
11	Arapahoe	Sheridan	1,324	\$12,306,599	\$9,293	1,329	\$12,611,655	\$9,487	5	\$305,056	\$195
12	Arapahoe	Cherry Creek	52,765	\$418,636,085	\$7,934	52,795	\$427,954,345	\$8,106	30	\$9,318,260	\$172
13	Arapahoe	Littleton	14,635	\$112,182,810	\$7,665	14,654	\$114,762,663	\$7,831	19	\$2,579,853	\$166
14	Arapahoe	Deer Trail	179	\$2,575,112	\$14,386	180	\$2,639,569	\$14,664	1	\$64,457	\$278
15	Arapahoe	Aurora	39,790	\$330,119,217	\$8,297	39,794	\$337,311,837	\$8,477	4	\$7,192,619	\$180
16	Arapahoe	Byers	2,759	\$21,299,031	\$7,719	2,760	\$21,768,766	\$7,886	1	\$469,734	\$167
17	Archuleta	Archuleta	1,688	\$13,496,195	\$7,996	1,688	\$13,788,980	\$8,170	-	\$292,784	\$173
18	Baca	Walsh	141	\$1,962,657	\$13,939	142	\$2,015,065	\$14,211	1	\$52,408	\$271
19	Baca	Pritchett	50	\$813,171	\$16,263	50	\$830,812	\$16,616	-	\$17,641	\$353
20	Baca	Springfield	290	\$3,022,357	\$10,426	291	\$3,094,521	\$10,638	1	\$72,164	\$212
21	Baca	Vilas	50	\$827,901	\$16,558	50	\$845,861	\$16,917	-	\$17,960	\$359
22	Baca	Campo	50	\$792,919	\$15,858	50	\$810,120	\$16,202	-	\$17,201	\$344
23	Bent	Las Animas	1,687	\$14,078,160	\$8,345	1,687	\$14,383,570	\$8,526	-	\$305,409	\$181
24	Bent	McClave	243	\$2,692,399	\$11,080	243	\$2,750,808	\$11,320	-	\$58,408	\$240
25	Boulder	St Vrain	30,195	\$235,056,420	\$7,785	30,234	\$240,456,771	\$7,953	39	\$5,400,350	\$169
26	Boulder	Boulder	30,128	\$237,351,243	\$7,878	30,145	\$242,634,479	\$8,049	17	\$5,283,236	\$171
27	Chaffee	Buena Vista	966	\$7,843,769	\$8,120	968	\$8,027,196	\$8,293	2	\$183,427	\$173
28	Chaffee	Salida	1,302	\$10,220,039	\$7,853	1,302	\$10,441,751	\$8,023	-	\$221,712	\$170
29	Cheyenne	Kit Carson	111	\$1,606,750	\$14,514	111	\$1,641,606	\$14,829	-	\$34,857	\$315

Appendix A
School Finance Funding Change
Current Law vs. Introduced HB 18-1379, FY 2018-19

		FY 2018-19 Under Current Law			FY 2018-19 with HB 18-1379			Change from Current Law			
County	District	Funded Pupil Count	Total Program	Per Pupil Funding	Funded Pupil Count	Total Program	Per Pupil Funding	Funded Pupil Count	Total Program	Per Pupil Funding	
30	Cheyenne	Cheyenne	166	\$2,262,611	\$13,622	166	\$2,311,696	\$13,917	-	\$49,085	\$296
31	Clear Creek	Clear Creek	774	\$6,650,612	\$8,597	776	\$6,809,797	\$8,780	2	\$159,185	\$183
32	Conejos	North Conejos	1,015	\$8,024,961	\$7,907	1,015	\$8,199,054	\$8,079	-	\$174,092	\$172
33	Conejos	Sanford	360	\$3,468,788	\$9,630	360	\$3,544,039	\$9,839	-	\$75,251	\$209
34	Conejos	South Conejos	200	\$2,578,048	\$12,916	200	\$2,633,976	\$13,196	-	\$55,928	\$280
35	Costilla	Centennial	216	\$2,703,814	\$12,500	216	\$2,762,470	\$12,771	-	\$58,656	\$271
36	Costilla	Sierra Grande	277	\$3,057,496	\$11,054	277	\$3,123,824	\$11,294	-	\$66,329	\$240
37	Crowley	Crowley	447	\$3,945,239	\$8,820	447	\$4,030,826	\$9,011	-	\$85,587	\$191
38	Custer	Westcliffe	353	\$3,546,794	\$10,048	353	\$3,623,738	\$10,266	-	\$76,944	\$218
39	Delta	Delta	4,674	\$36,125,480	\$7,729	4,679	\$36,946,440	\$7,896	5	\$820,960	\$167
40	Denver	Denver	87,899	\$724,944,332	\$8,248	87,981	\$741,308,910	\$8,426	82	\$16,364,579	\$178
41	Dolores	Dolores	278	\$2,952,724	\$10,629	279	\$3,024,586	\$10,849	1	\$71,861	\$220
42	Douglas	Douglas	65,169	\$500,838,629	\$7,685	65,216	\$512,069,013	\$7,852	47	\$11,230,384	\$167
43	Eagle	Eagle	6,896	\$56,925,815	\$8,255	6,901	\$58,201,562	\$8,434	5	\$1,275,747	\$179
44	Elbert	Elizabeth	2,401	\$18,847,527	\$7,849	2,406	\$19,295,822	\$8,019	5	\$448,295	\$170
45	Elbert	Kiowa	251	\$3,027,464	\$12,081	251	\$3,093,141	\$12,343	-	\$65,677	\$262
46	Elbert	Big Sandy	301	\$3,329,466	\$11,065	301	\$3,401,695	\$11,305	-	\$72,229	\$240
47	Elbert	Elbert	213	\$2,736,443	\$12,853	213	\$2,795,807	\$13,132	-	\$59,364	\$279
48	Elbert	Agate	50	\$845,666	\$16,913	50	\$864,012	\$17,280	-	\$18,346	\$367
49	El Paso	Calhan	486	\$4,431,431	\$9,118	486	\$4,527,566	\$9,316	-	\$96,135	\$198
50	El Paso	Harrison	11,393	\$92,298,580	\$8,101	11,393	\$94,300,891	\$8,277	-	\$2,002,311	\$176
51	El Paso	Widefield	9,145	\$69,193,092	\$7,566	9,152	\$70,745,763	\$7,730	7	\$1,552,670	\$164
52	El Paso	Fountain	7,806	\$59,063,214	\$7,566	7,806	\$60,342,383	\$7,730	-	\$1,279,169	\$164
53	El Paso	Colorado Springs	30,361	\$238,871,289	\$7,868	30,363	\$244,068,432	\$8,038	2	\$5,197,143	\$171
54	El Paso	Cheyenne Mountain	4,959	\$37,523,981	\$7,566	4,963	\$38,367,583	\$7,730	4	\$843,602	\$164
55	El Paso	Manitou Springs	1,400	\$11,306,105	\$8,076	1,402	\$11,566,558	\$8,250	2	\$260,453	\$174
56	El Paso	Academy	24,598	\$185,922,685	\$7,558	24,607	\$190,019,093	\$7,722	9	\$4,096,408	\$164
57	El Paso	Ellicott	978	\$8,278,496	\$8,468	978	\$8,458,088	\$8,652	-	\$179,592	\$184
58	El Paso	Peyton	625	\$5,422,655	\$8,672	625	\$5,540,293	\$8,860	-	\$117,638	\$188

Appendix A
School Finance Funding Change
Current Law vs. Introduced HB 18-1379, FY 2018-19

	County	District	FY 2018-19 Under Current Law			FY 2018-19 with HB 18-1379			Change from Current Law		
			Funded Pupil Count	Total Program	Per Pupil Funding	Funded Pupil Count	Total Program	Per Pupil Funding	Funded Pupil Count	Total Program	Per Pupil Funding
59	El Paso	Hanover	254	\$3,048,630	\$11,984	254	\$3,114,766	\$12,244	-	\$66,137	\$260
60	El Paso	Lewis-Palmer	6,488	\$49,090,716	\$7,566	6,497	\$50,223,477	\$7,730	9	\$1,132,761	\$164
61	El Paso	Falcon	23,232	\$176,147,726	\$7,582	23,236	\$179,999,250	\$7,747	4	\$3,851,524	\$164
62	El Paso	Edison	206	\$2,661,516	\$12,907	206	\$2,719,255	\$13,187	-	\$57,739	\$280
63	El Paso	Miami-Yoder	281	\$3,114,660	\$11,076	281	\$3,182,229	\$11,317	-	\$67,569	\$240
64	Fremont	Canon City	3,663	\$27,714,161	\$7,566	3,663	\$28,314,384	\$7,730	-	\$600,223	\$164
65	Fremont	Florence	1,399	\$11,050,480	\$7,901	1,399	\$11,290,207	\$8,073	-	\$239,727	\$171
66	Fremont	Cotopaxi	196	\$2,541,260	\$12,939	196	\$2,596,390	\$13,220	-	\$55,130	\$281
67	Garfield	Roaring Fork	6,102	\$50,213,007	\$8,229	6,105	\$51,326,624	\$8,407	3	\$1,113,617	\$178
68	Garfield	Rifle	4,702	\$36,156,699	\$7,690	4,704	\$36,956,124	\$7,857	2	\$799,425	\$167
69	Garfield	Parachute	1,129	\$9,581,276	\$8,485	1,130	\$9,796,396	\$8,668	1	\$215,119	\$183
70	Gilpin	Gilpin	439	\$4,170,379	\$9,493	440	\$4,264,609	\$9,686	1	\$94,231	\$192
71	Grand	West Grand	420	\$4,118,890	\$9,800	420	\$4,208,245	\$10,012	-	\$89,355	\$213
72	Grand	East Grand	1,281	\$10,211,927	\$7,975	1,282	\$10,441,413	\$8,148	1	\$229,486	\$173
73	Gunnison	Gunnison	1,957	\$15,421,345	\$7,881	1,958	\$15,763,761	\$8,052	1	\$342,416	\$171
74	Hinsdale	Hinsdale	102	\$1,631,517	\$15,933	102	\$1,666,910	\$16,278	-	\$35,394	\$346
75	Huerfano	Huerfano	524	\$4,620,973	\$8,824	524	\$4,721,219	\$9,015	-	\$100,247	\$191
76	Huerfano	La Veta	213	\$2,562,822	\$12,026	214	\$2,624,436	\$12,258	1	\$61,614	\$232
77	Jackson	North Park	171	\$2,358,939	\$13,819	171	\$2,410,113	\$14,119	-	\$51,174	\$300
78	Jefferson	Jefferson	80,913	\$629,182,616	\$7,776	80,985	\$643,387,003	\$7,945	72	\$14,204,387	\$168
79	Kiowa	Eads	165	\$2,158,268	\$13,057	166	\$2,213,550	\$13,311	1	\$55,282	\$254
80	Kiowa	Plainview	58	\$911,515	\$15,635	58	\$931,289	\$15,974	-	\$19,774	\$339
81	Kit Carson	Arriba-flagler	165	\$2,173,455	\$13,149	165	\$2,220,606	\$13,434	-	\$47,151	\$285
82	Kit Carson	Hi Plains	103	\$1,474,179	\$14,312	103	\$1,506,160	\$14,623	-	\$31,981	\$310
83	Kit Carson	Stratton	202	\$2,466,661	\$12,205	203	\$2,526,873	\$12,442	1	\$60,212	\$236
84	Kit Carson	Bethune	107	\$1,597,075	\$14,940	107	\$1,631,722	\$15,264	-	\$34,647	\$324
85	Kit Carson	Burlington	724	\$5,935,059	\$8,202	725	\$6,070,621	\$8,378	1	\$135,562	\$176
86	Lake	Lake	966	\$8,044,752	\$8,330	967	\$8,226,070	\$8,509	1	\$181,318	\$179
87	La Plata	Durango	5,522	\$43,227,308	\$7,829	5,526	\$44,196,270	\$7,998	4	\$968,962	\$170

Appendix A
School Finance Funding Change
Current Law vs. Introduced HB 18-1379, FY 2018-19

			FY 2018-19 Under Current Law			FY 2018-19 with HB 18-1379			Change from Current Law		
County	District	Funded Pupil Count	Total Program	Per Pupil Funding	Funded Pupil Count	Total Program	Per Pupil Funding	Funded Pupil Count	Total Program	Per Pupil Funding	
88	La Plata	Bayfield	1,350	\$11,123,671	\$8,241	1,350	\$11,364,986	\$8,420	-	\$241,315	\$179
89	La Plata	Ignacio	820	\$7,410,806	\$9,040	820	\$7,571,575	\$9,236	-	\$160,769	\$196
90	Larimer	Poudre	30,388	\$229,857,759	\$7,564	30,408	\$234,990,606	\$7,728	20	\$5,132,847	\$164
91	Larimer	Thompson	15,348	\$116,120,802	\$7,566	15,357	\$118,705,281	\$7,730	9	\$2,584,479	\$164
92	Larimer	Estes Park	1,076	\$9,016,919	\$8,377	1,076	\$9,212,531	\$8,559	-	\$195,612	\$182
93	Las Animas	Trinidad	1,061	\$9,078,249	\$8,556	1,061	\$9,275,191	\$8,742	-	\$196,942	\$186
94	Las Animas	Primero	183	\$2,432,589	\$13,286	183	\$2,485,361	\$13,574	-	\$52,772	\$288
95	Las Animas	Hoehne	350	\$3,418,772	\$9,762	350	\$3,492,938	\$9,974	-	\$74,166	\$212
96	Las Animas	Aguilar	111	\$1,640,825	\$14,796	111	\$1,676,421	\$15,117	-	\$35,596	\$321
97	Las Animas	Branson	455	\$3,416,264	\$7,505	455	\$3,490,376	\$7,668	-	\$74,112	\$163
98	Las Animas	Kim	50	\$755,235	\$15,105	50	\$771,619	\$15,432	-	\$16,384	\$328
99	Lincoln	Genoa-Hugo	173	\$2,280,824	\$13,207	174	\$2,338,749	\$13,464	1	\$57,925	\$257
100	Lincoln	Limon	485	\$4,252,964	\$8,769	485	\$4,345,227	\$8,959	-	\$92,263	\$190
101	Lincoln	Karval	50	\$828,587	\$16,572	50	\$846,563	\$16,931	-	\$17,975	\$360
102	Logan	Valley	2,111	\$16,230,334	\$7,687	2,112	\$16,588,584	\$7,853	1	\$358,250	\$166
103	Logan	Frenchman	182	\$2,364,798	\$13,029	182	\$2,416,100	\$13,312	-	\$51,302	\$283
104	Logan	Buffalo	302	\$3,194,781	\$10,582	302	\$3,264,088	\$10,812	-	\$69,307	\$230
105	Logan	Plateau	154	\$2,125,029	\$13,781	154	\$2,171,129	\$14,080	-	\$46,100	\$299
106	Mesa	Debeque	163	\$2,207,730	\$13,586	163	\$2,255,624	\$13,881	-	\$47,894	\$295
107	Mesa	Plateau Valley	435	\$3,930,570	\$9,027	435	\$4,015,840	\$9,223	-	\$85,269	\$196
108	Mesa	Mesa Valley	22,057	\$166,888,986	\$7,566	22,060	\$170,526,602	\$7,730	3	\$3,637,616	\$164
109	Mineral	Creede	82	\$1,304,274	\$15,964	82	\$1,332,569	\$16,311	-	\$28,295	\$346
110	Moffat	Moffat	2,043	\$15,458,884	\$7,566	2,045	\$15,809,148	\$7,730	-	\$350,263	\$164
111	Montezuma	Montezuma	2,760	\$21,297,915	\$7,716	2,760	\$21,759,949	\$7,883	-	\$462,034	\$167
112	Montezuma	Dolores	690	\$5,840,350	\$8,468	692	\$5,981,795	\$8,648	2	\$141,445	\$180
113	Montezuma	Mancos	476	\$4,226,621	\$8,881	477	\$4,326,173	\$9,071	1	\$99,553	\$190
114	Montrose	Montrose	5,937	\$46,765,293	\$7,878	5,942	\$47,817,982	\$8,048	5	\$1,052,688	\$171
115	Montrose	West End	276	\$3,569,920	\$12,949	277	\$3,652,090	\$13,199	1	\$82,170	\$250
116	Morgan	Brush	1,469	\$12,038,041	\$8,194	1,469	\$12,299,193	\$8,372	-	\$261,151	\$178

Appendix A
School Finance Funding Change
Current Law vs. Introduced HB 18-1379, FY 2018-19

			FY 2018-19 Under Current Law			FY 2018-19 with HB 18-1379			Change from Current Law		
County	District	Funded Pupil Count	Total Program	Per Pupil Funding	Funded Pupil Count	Total Program	Per Pupil Funding	Funded Pupil Count	Total Program	Per Pupil Funding	
117	Morgan	Ft. Morgan	3,253	\$26,071,888	\$8,014	3,262	\$26,702,542	\$8,186	9	\$630,655	\$171
118	Morgan	Weldon	212	\$2,689,536	\$12,716	213	\$2,754,826	\$12,964	1	\$65,290	\$247
119	Morgan	Wiggins	576	\$4,991,444	\$8,664	578	\$5,115,186	\$8,848	2	\$123,742	\$184
120	Otero	East Otero	1,447	\$12,038,768	\$8,318	1,447	\$12,299,936	\$8,498	-	\$261,167	\$180
121	Otero	Rocky Ford	811	\$7,107,075	\$8,763	811	\$7,261,255	\$8,953	-	\$154,180	\$190
122	Otero	Manzanola	133	\$1,983,223	\$14,956	133	\$2,026,247	\$15,281	-	\$43,024	\$324
123	Otero	Fowler	388	\$3,711,668	\$9,564	388	\$3,792,188	\$9,771	-	\$80,520	\$207
124	Otero	Cheraw	195	\$2,505,348	\$12,841	195	\$2,559,699	\$13,120	-	\$54,351	\$279
125	Otero	Swink	360	\$3,522,567	\$9,788	360	\$3,598,985	\$10,000	-	\$76,418	\$212
126	Ourray	Ourray	168	\$2,559,935	\$15,211	168	\$2,615,470	\$15,541	-	\$55,535	\$330
127	Ourray	Ridgway	321	\$3,617,492	\$11,262	322	\$3,702,969	\$11,493	1	\$ 85,476	\$230
128	Park	Platte Canyon	901	\$7,691,631	\$8,537	904	\$7,879,352	\$8,716	3	\$187,721	\$179
129	Park	Park	631	\$5,617,717	\$8,907	633	\$5,755,180	\$9,096	2	\$137,463	\$189
130	Phillips	Holyoke	583	\$4,899,262	\$8,404	583	\$5,005,546	\$8,586	-	\$106,284	\$182
131	Phillips	Haxtun	300	\$2,975,441	\$9,905	301	\$3,046,631	\$10,108	1	\$71,191	\$203
132	Pitkin	Aspen	1,655	\$17,055,557	\$10,304	1,655	\$17,425,558	\$10,527	-	\$370,001	\$224
133	Prowers	Granada	201	\$2,481,814	\$12,372	201	\$2,535,654	\$12,640	-	\$53,840	\$268
134	Prowers	Lamar	1,487	\$11,873,758	\$7,987	1,488	\$12,138,892	\$8,160	1	\$265,135	\$173
135	Prowers	Holly	287	\$2,920,679	\$10,184	287	\$2,984,040	\$10,405	-	\$63,361	\$221
136	Prowers	Wiley	233	\$2,653,027	\$11,372	234	\$2,715,863	\$11,591	1	\$ 62,836	\$220
137	Pueblo	Pueblo City	16,546	\$135,374,140	\$8,182	16,546	\$138,310,926	\$8,359	-	\$2,936,786	\$177
138	Pueblo	Pueblo Rural	9,592	\$72,568,168	\$7,566	9,593	\$74,147,558	\$7,730	1	\$1,579,391	\$164
139	Rio Blanco	Meeker	695	\$5,736,152	\$8,253	696	\$5,867,733	\$8,431	1	\$131,581	\$177
140	Rio Blanco	Rangely	493	\$4,134,360	\$8,393	493	\$4,224,050	\$8,575	-	\$89,690	\$182
141	Rio Grande	Del Norte	434	\$3,925,567	\$9,047	434	\$4,010,728	\$9,243	-	\$85,161	\$196
142	Rio Grande	Monte Vista	1,115	\$8,974,437	\$8,048	1,116	\$9,176,008	\$8,221	1	\$201,570	\$173
143	Rio Grande	Sargent	394	\$3,635,003	\$9,226	394	\$3,713,860	\$9,426	-	\$78,857	\$200
144	Routt	Hayden	417	\$4,094,520	\$9,826	418	\$4,187,736	\$10,026	1	\$93,216	\$200
145	Routt	Steamboat Springs	2,749	\$21,822,586	\$7,938	2,750	\$22,301,858	\$8,109	1	\$479,272	\$171

Appendix A
School Finance Funding Change
Current Law vs. Introduced HB 18-1379, FY 2018-19

			FY 2018-19 Under Current Law			FY 2018-19 with HB 18-1379			Change from Current Law		
County	District	Funded Pupil Count	Total Program	Per Pupil Funding	Funded Pupil Count	Total Program	Per Pupil Funding	Funded Pupil Count	Total Program	Per Pupil Funding	
146	Routt	South Routt	333	\$3,682,397	\$11,058	334	\$3,768,711	\$11,284	1	\$86,313	\$225
147	Saguache	Mountain Valley	122	\$1,807,691	\$14,793	122	\$1,846,907	\$15,114	-	\$39,216	\$321
148	Saguache	Moffat	255	\$3,297,751	\$12,943	255	\$3,369,292	\$13,223	-	\$71,541	\$281
149	Saguache	Center	643	\$5,901,321	\$9,179	643	\$6,029,343	\$9,378	-	\$128,022	\$199
150	San Juan	Silverton	67	\$1,122,051	\$16,822	67	\$1,146,393	\$17,187	-	\$24,342	\$365
151	San Miguel	Telluride	900	\$9,549,492	\$10,609	901	\$9,765,585	\$10,837	1	\$216,093	\$228
152	San Miguel	Norwood	238	\$2,996,446	\$12,580	238	\$3,061,451	\$12,852	-	\$65,004	\$273
153	Sedgwick	Julesburg	502	\$4,048,295	\$8,066	502	\$4,136,118	\$8,241	-	\$87,823	\$175
154	Sedgwick	Platte Valley	125	\$1,805,323	\$14,431	125	\$1,844,488	\$14,744	-	\$39,164	\$313
155	Summit	Summit	3,391	\$27,947,688	\$8,243	3,393	\$28,571,089	\$8,422	2	\$623,401	\$179
156	Teller	Cripple Creek	347	\$3,693,544	\$10,653	347	\$3,693,544	\$10,653	-	\$0	\$0
157	Teller	Woodland Park	2,343	\$17,905,998	\$7,642	2,346	\$18,317,224	\$7,807	3	\$411,225	\$166
158	Washington	Akron	351	\$3,560,434	\$10,135	351	\$3,637,674	\$10,355	-	\$77,240	\$220
159	Washington	Arickaree	97	\$1,502,989	\$15,479	97	\$1,535,595	\$15,815	-	\$32,606	\$336
160	Washington	Otis	229	\$2,771,337	\$12,118	229	\$2,831,458	\$12,381	-	\$60,121	\$263
161	Washington	Lone Star	114	\$1,703,366	\$14,955	114	\$1,740,319	\$15,279	-	\$36,953	\$324
162	Washington	Woodlin	93	\$1,452,607	\$15,636	93	\$1,484,120	\$15,975	-	\$31,513	\$339
163	Weld	Gilcrest	1,865	\$14,663,491	\$7,863	1,867	\$14,995,520	\$8,032	2	\$332,030	\$169
164	Weld	Eaton	1,962	\$14,996,831	\$7,644	1,962	\$15,322,170	\$7,809	-	\$325,339	\$166
165	Weld	Keenesburg	2,284	\$17,481,364	\$7,654	2,287	\$17,879,873	\$7,818	3	\$398,509	\$164
166	Weld	Windsor	6,245	\$47,253,598	\$7,566	6,248	\$48,300,189	\$7,730	3	\$1,046,592	\$164
167	Weld	Johnstown	3,810	\$28,824,150	\$7,566	3,810	\$29,448,413	\$7,730	-	\$ 624,263	\$164
168	Weld	Greeley	22,012	\$172,756,650	\$7,848	22,013	\$176,511,824	\$8,019	1	\$3,755,174	\$170
169	Weld	Platte Valley	1,131	\$9,072,055	\$8,018	1,133	\$9,283,871	\$8,191	2	\$211,816	\$173
170	Weld	Ft. Lupton	2,279	\$18,558,380	\$8,144	2,280	\$18,966,853	\$8,320	1	\$408,473	\$176
171	Weld	Ault-highland	908	\$7,454,567	\$8,214	908	\$7,616,285	\$8,393	-	\$161,718	\$178
172	Weld	Briggsdale	167	\$2,306,294	\$13,777	167	\$2,356,326	\$14,076	-	\$50,032	\$299
173	Weld	Prairie	199	\$2,513,574	\$12,644	199	\$2,568,103	\$12,918	-	\$54,529	\$274
174	Weld	Pawnee	84	\$1,349,780	\$15,993	84	\$1,379,062	\$16,340	-	\$29,282	\$347

Appendix A
School Finance Funding Change
Current Law vs. Introduced HB 18-1379, FY 2018-19

			FY 2018-19 Under Current Law			FY 2018-19 with HB 18-1379			Change from Current Law		
County	District	Funded Pupil Count	Total Program	Per Pupil Funding	Funded Pupil Count	Total Program	Per Pupil Funding	Funded Pupil Count	Total Program	Per Pupil Funding	
175	Yuma	Yuma 1	788	\$6,969,684	\$8,841	789	\$7,128,195	\$9,031	1	\$158,511	\$190
176	Yuma	Wray Rd-2	655	\$5,594,156	\$8,543	656	\$5,722,860	\$8,727	1	\$128,704	\$183
177	Yuma	Idalia RJ-3	203	\$2,615,766	\$12,892	203	\$2,672,512	\$13,172	-	\$56,746	\$280
178	Yuma	Liberty J-4	63	\$1,056,661	\$16,746	63	\$1,079,584	\$17,109	-	\$22,923	\$363
State	Total	870,641	\$6,934,678,954	\$7,965	871,141	\$7,088,830,951	\$8,137	500	\$154,151,996	\$172	

Appendix B
Supplemental Distribution of \$30 Million to Rural and Small Rural School Districts
55 Percent to Rural and 45 Percent to Small Rural Districts, FY 2017-18 Funded Pupil Count
(Districts Designated as Rural are Large Rural Districts)

	County	District	Rural Designation	Funded Pupil Count	Supplemental Distribution
1	Adams	Mapleton		8,463.3	\$ -
2	Adams	Adams 12 Five Star		41,916.0	\$ -
3	Adams	Commerce City		8,047.2	\$ -
4	Adams	Brighton		17,805.9	\$ -
5	Adams	Bennett	Rural	1,047.4	\$ 177,467
6	Adams	Strasburg	Small Rural	956.7	\$ 344,653
7	Adams	Westminster		10,396.1	\$ -
8	Alamosa	Alamosa	Rural	2,343.9	\$ 397,141
9	Alamosa	Sangre De Cristo	Small Rural	297.4	\$ 107,139
10	Arapahoe	Englewood		2,639.7	\$ -
11	Arapahoe	Sheridan		1,358.2	\$ -
12	Arapahoe	Cherry Creek		52,724.1	\$ -
13	Arapahoe	Littleton		14,703.7	\$ -
14	Arapahoe	Deer Trail	Small Rural	180.7	\$ 65,097
15	Arapahoe	Aurora		39,916.5	\$ -
16	Arapahoe	Byers	Rural	2,717.6	\$ 460,459
17	Archuleta	Archuleta	Rural	1,619.6	\$ 274,419
18	Baca	Walsh	Small Rural	142.8	\$ 51,444
19	Baca	Pritchett	Small Rural	50.0	\$ 18,013
20	Baca	Springfield	Small Rural	300.6	\$ 108,292
21	Baca	Vilas	Small Rural	50.0	\$ 18,013
22	Baca	Campo	Small Rural	50.0	\$ 18,013
23	Bent	Las Animas	Rural	1,686.3	\$ 285,720
24	Bent	McClave	Small Rural	244.6	\$ 88,118
25	Boulder	St Vrain		30,032.3	\$ -
26	Boulder	Boulder		29,822.0	\$ -
27	Chaffee	Buena Vista	Small Rural	964.5	\$ 347,463
28	Chaffee	Salida	Rural	1,280.2	\$ 216,912
29	Cheyenne	Kit Carson	Small Rural	111.2	\$ 40,060
30	Cheyenne	Cheyenne	Small Rural	169.1	\$ 60,919
31	Clear Creek	Clear Creek	Small Rural	799.8	\$ 288,129
32	Conejos	North Conejos	Rural	1,034.6	\$ 175,298
33	Conejos	Sanford	Small Rural	367.6	\$ 132,429
34	Conejos	South Conejos	Small Rural	203.3	\$ 73,239
35	Costilla	Centennial	Small Rural	217.2	\$ 78,247
36	Costilla	Sierra Grande	Small Rural	280.0	\$ 100,870
37	Crowley	Crowley	Small Rural	449.5	\$ 161,933
38	Custer	Westcliffe	Small Rural	361.2	\$ 130,123
39	Delta	Delta	Rural	4,705.2	\$ 797,230
40	Denver	Denver		87,117.9	\$ -
41	Dolores	Dolores	Small Rural	284.0	\$ 102,311
42	Douglas	Douglas		64,504.3	\$ -

Appendix B
Supplemental Distribution of \$30 Million to Rural and Small Rural School Districts
55 Percent to Rural and 45 Percent to Small Rural Districts, FY 2017-18 Funded Pupil Count
(Districts Designated as Rural are Large Rural Districts)

	County	District	Rural Designation	Funded Pupil Count	Supplemental Distribution
43	Eagle	Eagle		6,894.5	\$ -
44	Elbert	Elizabeth	Rural	2,337.2	\$ 396,006
45	Elbert	Kiowa	Small Rural	263.5	\$ 94,926
46	Elbert	Big Sandy	Small Rural	302.1	\$ 108,832
47	Elbert	Elbert	Small Rural	211.9	\$ 76,337
48	Elbert	Agate	Small Rural	50.0	\$ 18,013
49	El Paso	Calhan	Small Rural	474.3	\$ 170,867
50	El Paso	Harrison		11,452.0	\$ -
51	El Paso	Widefield		9,048.2	\$ -
52	El Paso	Fountain		7,826.5	\$ -
53	El Paso	Colorado Springs		30,132.0	\$ -
54	El Paso	Cheyenne Mountain		4,945.9	\$ -
55	El Paso	Manitou Springs		1,405.9	\$ -
56	El Paso	Academy		24,330.6	\$ -
57	El Paso	Ellicott	Small Rural	976.5	\$ 351,786
58	El Paso	Peyton	Small Rural	636.7	\$ 229,372
59	El Paso	Hanover	Small Rural	257.2	\$ 92,657
60	El Paso	Lewis-Palmer		6,301.1	\$ -
61	El Paso	Falcon		22,501.5	\$ -
62	El Paso	Edison	Small Rural	194.6	\$ 70,105
63	El Paso	Miami-Yoder	Small Rural	282.4	\$ 101,735
64	Fremont	Canon City	Rural	3,670.2	\$ 621,864
65	Fremont	Florence	Rural	1,355.6	\$ 229,687
66	Fremont	Cotopaxi	Small Rural	199.9	\$ 72,014
67	Garfield	Roaring Fork	Rural	6,056.1	\$ 1,026,121
68	Garfield	Rifle	Rural	4,715.1	\$ 798,908
69	Garfield	Parachute	Rural	1,103.4	\$ 186,956
70	Gilpin	Gilpin	Small Rural	440.0	\$ 158,511
71	Grand	West Grand	Small Rural	428.1	\$ 154,224
72	Grand	East Grand	Rural	1,257.4	\$ 213,049
73	Gunnison	Gunnison	Rural	1,959.2	\$ 331,959
74	Hinsdale	Hinsdale	Small Rural	92.6	\$ 33,359
75	Huerfano	Huerfano	Small Rural	526.2	\$ 189,564
76	Huerfano	La Veta	Small Rural	214.2	\$ 77,166
77	Jackson	North Park	Small Rural	175.0	\$ 63,044
78	Jefferson	Jefferson		80,996.3	\$ -
79	Kiowa	Eads	Small Rural	168.9	\$ 60,847
80	Kiowa	Plainview	Small Rural	59.5	\$ 21,435
81	Kit Carson	Arriba-flagler	Small Rural	167.0	\$ 60,162
82	Kit Carson	Hi Plains	Small Rural	100.4	\$ 36,169
83	Kit Carson	Stratton	Small Rural	202.5	\$ 72,951
84	Kit Carson	Bethune	Small Rural	111.0	\$ 39,988

Appendix B
Supplemental Distribution of \$30 Million to Rural and Small Rural School Districts
55 Percent to Rural and 45 Percent to Small Rural Districts, FY 2017-18 Funded Pupil Count
(Districts Designated as Rural are Large Rural Districts)

	County	District	Rural Designation	Funded Pupil Count	Supplemental Distribution
85	Kit Carson	Burlington	Small Rural	719.0	\$ 259,021
86	Lake	Lake	Small Rural	973.2	\$ 350,597
87	La Plata	Durango	Rural	5,502.6	\$ 932,339
88	La Plata	Bayfield	Rural	1,323.7	\$ 224,282
89	La Plata	Ignacio	Small Rural	823.5	\$ 296,667
90	Larimer	Poudre		29,884.0	\$ -
91	Larimer	Thompson		15,229.7	\$ -
92	Larimer	Estes Park	Rural	1,071.9	\$ 181,618
93	Las Animas	Trinidad	Small Rural	1,044.6	\$ 376,319
94	Las Animas	Primero	Small Rural	181.5	\$ 65,386
95	Las Animas	Hoehne	Small Rural	353.3	\$ 127,277
96	Las Animas	Aguilar	Small Rural	112.2	\$ 40,420
97	Las Animas	Branson	Small Rural	448.4	\$ 161,537
98	Las Animas	Kim	Small Rural	51.7	\$ 18,625
99	Lincoln	Genoa-hugo	Small Rural	166.0	\$ 59,802
100	Lincoln	Limon	Small Rural	485.0	\$ 174,722
101	Lincoln	Karval	Small Rural	50.0	\$ 18,013
102	Logan	Valley	Rural	2,126.1	\$ 360,238
103	Logan	Frenchman	Small Rural	183.6	\$ 66,142
104	Logan	Buffalo	Small Rural	306.2	\$ 110,309
105	Logan	Plateau	Small Rural	160.9	\$ 57,964
106	Mesa	Debeque	Small Rural	162.0	\$ 58,361
107	Mesa	Plateau Valley	Small Rural	443.2	\$ 159,664
108	Mesa	Mesa Valley		21,927.9	\$ -
109	Mineral	Creede	Small Rural	80.6	\$ 29,036
110	Moffat	Moffat	Rural	2,069.5	\$ 350,648
111	Montezuma	Montezuma	Rural	2,705.5	\$ 458,409
112	Montezuma	Dolores	Small Rural	696.1	\$ 250,771
113	Montezuma	Mancos	Small Rural	462.4	\$ 166,580
114	Montrose	Montrose	Rural	5,870.3	\$ 994,640
115	Montrose	West End	Small Rural	280.1	\$ 100,907
116	Morgan	Brush	Rural	1,471.5	\$ 249,325
117	Morgan	Ft. Morgan	Rural	3,112.1	\$ 527,302
118	Morgan	Weldon	Small Rural	214.0	\$ 77,094
119	Morgan	Wiggins	Small Rural	574.2	\$ 206,857
120	Otero	East Otero	Rural	1,429.3	\$ 242,175
121	Otero	Rocky Ford	Small Rural	799.6	\$ 288,057
122	Otero	Manzanola	Small Rural	133.2	\$ 47,986
123	Otero	Fowler	Small Rural	394.0	\$ 141,939
124	Otero	Cheraw	Small Rural	198.8	\$ 71,618
125	Otero	Swink	Small Rural	361.5	\$ 130,231
126	Ouray	Ouray	Small Rural	171.0	\$ 61,603

Appendix B
Supplemental Distribution of \$30 Million to Rural and Small Rural School Districts
55 Percent to Rural and 45 Percent to Small Rural Districts, FY 2017-18 Funded Pupil Count
(Districts Designated as Rural are Large Rural Districts)

	County	District	Rural Designation	Funded Pupil Count	Supplemental Distribution
127	Ouray	Ridgway	Small Rural	325.0	\$ 117,082
128	Park	Platte Canyon	Small Rural	923.7	\$ 332,764
129	Park	Park	Small Rural	664.2	\$ 239,279
130	Phillips	Holyoke	Small Rural	587.4	\$ 211,612
131	Phillips	Haxtun	Small Rural	311.0	\$ 112,038
132	Pitkin	Aspen	Rural	1,658.4	\$ 280,993
133	Prowers	Granada	Small Rural	193.4	\$ 69,673
134	Prowers	Lamar	Rural	1,483.4	\$ 251,341
135	Prowers	Holly	Small Rural	287.8	\$ 103,680
136	Prowers	Wiley	Small Rural	237.6	\$ 85,596
137	Pueblo	Pueblo City		16,746.0	\$ -
138	Pueblo	Pueblo Rural		9,430.8	\$ -
139	Rio Blanco	Meeker	Small Rural	691.2	\$ 249,006
140	Rio Blanco	Rangely	Small Rural	487.9	\$ 175,767
141	Rio Grande	Del Norte	Small Rural	438.9	\$ 158,114
142	Rio Grande	Monte Vista	Rural	1,114.0	\$ 188,752
143	Rio Grande	Sargent	Small Rural	406.8	\$ 146,550
144	Routt	Hayden	Small Rural	401.8	\$ 144,749
145	Routt	Steamboat Springs	Rural	2,712.7	\$ 459,629
146	Routt	South Routt	Small Rural	341.9	\$ 123,170
147	Saguache	Mountain Valley	Small Rural	123.4	\$ 44,455
148	Saguache	Moffat	Small Rural	219.9	\$ 79,219
149	Saguache	Center	Small Rural	648.6	\$ 233,659
150	San Juan	Silverton	Small Rural	67.4	\$ 24,281
151	San Miguel	Telluride	Small Rural	900.2	\$ 324,299
152	San Miguel	Norwood	Small Rural	244.6	\$ 88,118
153	Sedgwick	Julesburg	Small Rural	498.4	\$ 179,549
154	Sedgwick	Platte Valley	Small Rural	127.7	\$ 46,004
155	Summit	Summit	Rural	3,394.8	\$ 575,201
156	Teller	Cripple Creek	Small Rural	346.6	\$ 124,863
157	Teller	Woodland Park	Rural	2,347.8	\$ 397,802
158	Washington	Akron	Small Rural	354.9	\$ 127,853
159	Washington	Arickaree	Small Rural	100.7	\$ 36,277
160	Washington	Otis	Small Rural	231.2	\$ 83,290
161	Washington	Lone Star	Small Rural	117.1	\$ 42,185
162	Washington	Woodlin	Small Rural	94.3	\$ 33,972
163	Weld	Gilcrest	Rural	1,863.7	\$ 315,778
164	Weld	Eaton	Rural	1,902.2	\$ 322,301
165	Weld	Keenesburg	Rural	2,283.2	\$ 386,856
166	Weld	Windsor	Rural	5,965.1	\$ 1,010,703
167	Weld	Johnstown	Rural	3,703.4	\$ 627,489
168	Weld	Greeley		21,661.1	\$ -

Appendix B
Supplemental Distribution of \$30 Million to Rural and Small Rural School Districts
55 Percent to Rural and 45 Percent to Small Rural Districts, FY 2017-18 Funded Pupil Count
(Districts Designated as Rural are Large Rural Districts)

	County	District	Rural Designation	Funded Pupil Count	Supplemental Distribution
169	Weld	Platte Valley	Rural	1,121.8	\$ 190,073
170	Weld	Ft. Lupton	Rural	2,259.9	\$ 382,908
171	Weld	Ault-Highland	Small Rural	902.8	\$ 325,235
172	Weld	Briggsdale	Small Rural	166.0	\$ 59,802
173	Weld	Prairie	Small Rural	197.6	\$ 71,186
174	Weld	Pawnee	Small Rural	80.6	\$ 29,036
175	Yuma	Yuma 1	Small Rural	770.5	\$ 277,574
176	Yuma	Wray Rd-2	Small Rural	669.7	\$ 241,261
177	Yuma	Idalia RJ-3	Small Rural	203.8	\$ 73,419
178	Yuma	Liberty J-4	Small Rural	64.7	\$ 23,308
	State	Total		865,016.9	\$ 30,000,000