

JOINT BUDGET COMMITTEE



SUPPLEMENTAL BUDGET REQUESTS FY 2016-17

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES (Office of Operations and Services for People with Disabilities)

JBC WORKING DOCUMENT - SUBJECT TO CHANGE
STAFF RECOMMENDATION DOES NOT REPRESENT COMMITTEE DECISION

PREPARED BY:
MEGAN DAVISSON, JBC STAFF
JANUARY 23, 2017

JOINT BUDGET COMMITTEE STAFF
200 E. 14TH AVENUE, 3RD FLOOR • DENVER • COLORADO • 80203
TELEPHONE: (303) 866-2061 • TDD: (303) 866-3472
<https://leg.colorado.gov/agencies/joint-budget-committee>

CONTENTS

Department Overview	1
Summary: FY 2016-17 Appropriation and Recommendation	2
Prioritized Supplementals in Department-assigned Order	
S3 Department Indirect Costs	4
S7 DOC Interagency True-up	8
Non-prioritized Supplementals	
Annual Fleet Adjustment	9
Grand Junction RC Interim Supplemental.....	9
Numbers Pages.....	12

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

DEPARTMENT OVERVIEW

The Department of Human Services is responsible for the administration and supervision of all non-medical public assistance and welfare activities of the State including: assistance payments, the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program, child welfare services, alcohol and drug treatment programs, and programs for the aging. The Department is responsible for inspecting and licensing child care facilities. The Department operates two mental health institutes, three regional centers for persons with intellectual and developmental disabilities, and ten institutions for juvenile delinquents. The Department provides funding for the care of indigent mentally ill individuals and contracts for the supervision and treatment of delinquent juveniles.

This document covers the Developmental Disabilities Council, the Colorado Commission for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing, and the Office of Operations. Additionally this document covers the all programs within the Services for People with Disabilities Division including: the Division of Regional Centers Operations; the Work Therapy Program; and the Veterans Community Living Centers.

SUMMARY: FY 2016-17 APPROPRIATION AND RECOMMENDATION

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES: RECOMMENDED CHANGES FOR FY 2016-17						
	TOTAL FUNDS	GENERAL FUND	CASH FUNDS	REAPPROPRIATED FUNDS	FEDERAL FUNDS	FTE
FY 2016-17 APPROPRIATION						
HB 16-1405 (Long Bill)	\$228,152,538	\$67,936,459	\$42,428,157	\$64,509,603	\$53,278,319	1,875.9
Other legislation	411,912	143,350	0	0	268,562	0.0
CURRENT FY 2016-17 APPROPRIATION	\$228,564,450	\$68,079,809	\$42,428,157	\$64,509,603	\$53,546,881	1,875.9
RECOMMENDED CHANGES						
Current FY 2016-17 Appropriation	\$228,564,450	68,079,809	\$42,428,157	\$64,509,603	\$53,546,881	1,875.9
S3 Department indirect costs	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
S7 DOC interagency true-up	285,179	0	0	285,179	0	0.0
Grand Junction RC interim supplemental	200,000	0	200,000	0	0	0.0
SNP Annual fleet adjustment	(130,366)	(67,120)	(8,843)	(34,137)	(20,266)	0.0
NP7 CBMS training staff technical adjustments	1,242,885	1,282,774	(39,889)	0	0	0.0
RECOMMENDED FY 2016-17 APPROPRIATION	\$230,162,148	\$69,295,463	\$42,579,425	\$64,760,645	\$53,526,615	1,875.9
RECOMMENDED INCREASE/(DECREASE)	\$1,597,698	\$1,215,654	\$151,268	\$251,042	(\$20,266)	0.0
Percentage Change	0.7%	1.8%	0.4%	0.4%	(0.0%)	0.0%
FY 2016-17 EXECUTIVE REQUEST						
Request Above/(Below) Recommendation	\$2,720,774	\$3,582,080	(\$231,592)	\$1,301,375	(\$1,931,089)	0.0

Note: This document covers the supplementals in the highlighted rows only. Supplementals shown in the other rows will be covered by other analysts at a later date. The figures in the non-highlighted rows reflect the Department request.

REQUEST/RECOMMENDATION DESCRIPTIONS

S3 DEPARTMENT INDIRECT COSTS: The Department requests an increase of \$3,075,587 total funds, of which \$3,514,960 is an increase in General Fund, \$40,435 is a reduction of cash funds, \$1,552,417 is an increase of reappropriated funds, and \$1,951,355 is a reduction of federal funds, for departmental indirect costs. Staff recommends that the Committee deny the request because the request does not meet supplemental criteria, the Department has already asked for, and been denied a portion of this funding, and the Department fails to adequately justify the need for additional funds.

S7 DOC INTERAGENCY TRUE-UP: The Department requests an increase of \$285,179 reappropriated funds from the Department of Corrections (DOC) to true-up the appropriation for maintenance services provided by the Department of Human Services to the Department of Corrections. Staff recommends that the Committee approve the request to accurately reflect the cost of maintenance services provided by the Department of Human Services to the Department of Corrections through an interagency agreement.

GRAND JUNCTION RC INTERIM SUPPLEMENTAL: The Department requested \$703,750 cash funds spending authority to transition residents from the Grand Junction Regional Center (GJRC) campus to different settings, in order to meet the July 1, 2018, deadline established in S.B. 16-178 (sponsored by the JBC) for vacating the GJRC campus and listing it for sale. Staff recommended, and the Committee approved, \$200,000 cash funds from the GJRC Transition Cash Fund for the components of the request related to the Resident Engagement Consultation and the Consulting Fees for a Project Manager.

SNP ANNUAL FLEET ADJUSTMENT: The Department requests a reduction of \$130,366 total funds, of which \$67,120 is General Fund for the annual midyear adjustment to the vehicle lease payment appropriation. Since the request would result in a small reduction of General Fund, staff recommends the Committee approve this request to capture the General Fund savings.

PRIORITIZED SUPPLEMENTAL REQUESTS

S3 DEPARTMENT INDIRECT COSTS

	REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION
TOTAL	\$3,075,587	\$0
General Fund	3,514,960	0
Cash Funds	(40,435)	0
Reappropriated Funds	1,552,417	0
Federal Funds	(1,951,355)	0

Does JBC staff believe the request meets the Joint Budget Committee's supplemental criteria? **NO**
 [An emergency or act of God; a technical error in calculating the original appropriation; data that was not available when the original appropriation was made; or an unforeseen contingency.]

Explanation: JBC staff and the Department do not agree that this request is the result of new data that was not available when the original appropriation was made or a technical error in calculating the original appropriation. The Department indicates that the transfer of the Division of Vocational Rehabilitation resulted in the Department determining prior mechanisms to collect money to cover indirect costs was no longer possible. None of the mechanisms identified by the Department relate to expenditure of funds for the Division of Vocational Rehabilitation. Second the Department cites a technical error in the indirect costs transferred over to the Department of Labor and Employment for the Division of Vocational Rehabilitation. Staff does not agree there was an error made when calculating the costs since the costs were based on the FY 2015-16 Long Bill letternote information on the amount of funds collected from the Division of Vocational Rehabilitation.

DEPARTMENT REQUEST: The Department requests an increase of \$3,075,587 total funds, of which \$3,514,960 is an increase in General Fund, \$40,435 is a reduction of cash funds, \$1,552,417 is an increase of reappropriated funds, and \$1,951,355 is a reduction of federal funds, for departmental indirect costs. The request is broken into two components as illustrated in the following table:

SUMMARY OF DEPARTMENT S3 DEPARTMENT INDIRECT COSTS						
	TOTAL FUNDS	GENERAL FUND	CASH FUNDS	REAPPROPRIATED FUNDS	FEDERAL FUNDS	FTE
Payments to OIT	\$1	\$2,275,811	(\$40,435)	\$251,237	(\$2,486,612)	0.0
Office of Operations, Personal Services	3,075,586	1,239,149	0	1,301,180	535,257	0.0
Total	\$3,075,587	\$3,514,960	(\$40,435)	\$1,552,417	(\$1,951,355)	0.0

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Staff recommends that the Committee deny the request because the request does not meet supplemental criteria, the Department has already asked for and been denied a portion of this funding, and the Department fails to adequately justify the need for additional funds.

STAFF ANALYSIS: The following staff analysis is broken into two components. The first is the analysis focuses on the changes requested for the Payments to OIT line item, and the second is the increase to the Office of Operations.

Payments to OIT

The Department is requesting an increase of \$2,275,811 General Fund among other funding changes for payments to the Office of Information Technology (OIT). The Department's justification for this increase of General Fund is that in FY 2009-10 General Fund accounted for

64.0 percent of the Payments to OIT line item and in FY 2016-17 the General Fund accounts for 54.0 percent of the line item. The Department continues to state “that while appropriations [for the Payments to OIT line item] have shifted from General Fund to other funds sources, the Department cannot earn sufficient cash, reappropriated or federal revenues to fully support the OIT costs.” The Department does not provide any additional information on what costs for OIT are not able to be paid for by non-General Fund indirect costs assessments, or why the Department did not express concerns in FY 2010-11 when the General Fund percentage of the Payments to OIT line item dropped from 64.0 percent to 57.0 percent. Therefore staff would recommend the Committee deny this request because the Department fails to adequately justify the need for additional funds.

Staff does not believe this component of the request meets any of the supplemental criteria because each year during the figure setting and Long Bill drafting process staff and the Department engage in conversation about appropriate funding sources for the Payments to OIT line item. This dialogue is acknowledged in the figure setting document provided by the OIT common policy analysis:

“Additionally, the fund source allocations [for each Department’s Payments to OIT line item] are based on estimations of available funds from the four sources. Staff requests permission to adjust the final fund sources for agency allocations for Payments to OIT line items to account for fund source availability, an agency’s ability to offset General Fund appropriations with other fund sources (e.g. cash funds), or other financing structures that may be in place within an agency for financing centrally appropriated line items.”

The Department had the opportunity at the time the FY 2016-17 Long Bill was set to request these adjustments and the Department did not.

The Department’s original FY 2016-17 request asked that General Fund account for 53.7 percent of the Payments to OIT line item. If there was a problem with the amount of the General Fund in the Payments to OIT line item the FY 2016-17 budget request should have included an adjustment. As the table below illustrates, the FY 2016-17 appropriation fund split percentages mirrors the Department’s request.

COMPARISON OF REQUESTED VS APPROPRIATED FUND SPLITS FOR PAYMENTS TO OIT					
	TOTAL FUNDS	GENERAL FUND	CASH FUNDS	REAPPROPRIATED FUNDS	FEDERAL FUNDS
FY 16-17 request	\$24,820,677	\$13,331,769	\$376,035	\$788,683	10,324,190
Percent of Total		53.7%	1.5%	3.2%	41.6%
FY 2016-17 Appropriation	\$24,090,080	\$12,939,609	\$364,484	\$765,483	\$10,020,504
Percent of Total		53.7%	1.5%	3.2%	41.6%

Staff will ensure that when FY 2017-18 OIT common policies are set the Department is aware of the appropriation and adequate discussion occurs about the appropriate funding sources occurs.

Office of Operations – Personal Services

The Department is requesting an increase of \$8,733,264 total funds for the Office of Operations. The Department is requesting an appropriation increase of \$3,075,586 total funds, meaning the remaining \$5,657,678 would be an off-budget increase. The following table summarizes the Department’s request. Items in the first two rows (highlighted in yellow) are those which would be reflected as an appropriation increase.

TOTAL REQUESTED OFFICE OF OPERATIONS INCREASE

	TOTAL FUNDS	GENERAL FUND	CASH FUNDS	REAPPROPRIATED FUNDS	FEDERAL FUNDS
Offsetting Division of Vocational Rehabilitation funding	\$1,774,406	\$1,239,149	\$0	\$0	535,257
Regional Center Indirect Costs	1,301,180	0	0	1,301,180	0
Child Welfare					
Title IV-B	5,220,502	0	0	0	5,220,502
Title XX	422,820	0	0	0	422,820
Child Care Development Funds	14,356	0	0	0	14,356
Total	\$8,733,264	\$1,239,149	\$0	\$1,301,180	6,192,935

Staff does not recommend any of these changes for the following reasons:

- 1 None of the requested changes meet supplemental criteria because the Department submitted an indirect cost decision item last year which did not mention any of these issues for FY 2016-17. Additionally, the Department has to submit its indirect cost recoveries plan to the federal government, the plan is not new and does not constitute data that was not available when the original appropriation was made, nor is it an unforeseen contingency
- 2 During the March 2016 figure setting process, the Department requested and was twice denied funding to offset indirect costs transferred to the Department of Labor and Employment related to the Division of Vocational Rehabilitation.
- 3 The Department’s proposed mechanism for taking funds from child welfare funds with no associated appropriation changes lacks transparency and required spending authority.
- 4 Approval of this supplemental request removes the incentive for the Department to work with JBC staff on the FY 2017-18 decision item for the same issue.
- 5 Staff believes the Department’s historical use of Section 24-75-1101, C.R.S. to convert Medicaid reappropriated funds to General Fund has subsidized their indirect cost pool in an inappropriate manner and this request could continue the subsidization of indirect costs with General Fund.
- 6 Since the Department’s request would add \$5.6 million of federal funds to pay for indirect costs, there should be an associated reduction of General Fund which has been, to this point, used to subsidize the indirect costs associated with child welfare services. The Department’s request fails to justify why there is no associated General Fund reduction.
- 7 The Department has increased the FTE within the Office of Operations from 438.9 FTE in FY 2014-15 to 445.9 FTE in FY 2015-16 without associated budget requests. For FY 2016-17 the Department anticipates only needing 422.2 FTE. Therefore why does the Department need an additional \$3.0 million for personal services when the number of FTE appears to be going down?

OFFICE OF OPERATIONS - PERSONAL SERVICES EXPENDITURES

	FY 12-13 ACTUAL	FY 13-14 ACTUAL	FY 14-15 ACTUAL	FY 15-16 ACTUAL	CURRENT FY 16-17	FY 16-17 WITH REQUEST
Total	\$21,981,226	\$21,539,742	\$23,629,870	\$23,631,469	\$24,000,899	\$27,076,485
FTE	439.0	439.9	438.9	445.9	422.2	422.2
General Fund	11,531,437	12,669,236	13,193,330	14,048,042	13,722,827	14,961,976
Cash Funds	2,102,932	1,352,525	2,177,085	1,838,450	2,285,779	2,285,779
Reappropriated Funds	5,276,661	5,461,153	6,410,939	5,812,161	6,704,280	8,005,460
Federal Funds	3,070,196	2,056,828	1,848,516	1,932,816	1,288,013	1,823,270

- 8 The Department’s request is counting on child welfare funds that may not be available at the end of FY 2016-17. Staff does not believe it would be appropriate to approve a request which could be used by the Department to submit another supplemental when it becomes apparent that the \$5.6 million the Department is expecting from the Child Welfare funds is not available.

Additional Detail on Reasons to Deny the Request

Reason #1 – Child Welfare

The Department is requesting \$5.6 million federal funds appropriated to Child Welfare line items be used instead for department indirect costs. The Department does not have an appropriation increase for indirect costs, which means these funds would be taken off budget. Additionally since the Department has not previously collected indirect costs from child welfare funds, there remains \$5.6 million General Fund that is inappropriately paying for indirect costs that should be paid for by the child welfare funds. Since child welfare money is allocated at the beginning of the year, staff is unclear from which funding source for child welfare services the Department will collect the \$5.6 million for indirect costs. Lastly the Department’s request states the Department has the statutory authority to simply take funds from the Child Welfare line items and use those funds for indirect costs. The Department indicated Section 26-1-109(2) (a) and (3), C.R.S. is the authority under which the Department may take funds from federal child welfare funds for indirect costs. Staff agrees that child welfare funds should be paying their fair share of indirect costs, but fails to see how the Department has the spending authority to accomplish what they have requested and also believes that there should be an associated reduction of General Fund.

Reason #2 – Conversion of Medicaid Funds to General Fund

The Department has used Section 24-75-106, C.R.S., to convert Medicaid Funds to General Funds which are then used to pay for indirect costs. It would appear based on the information provided by the Department that historically Regional Centers have been the primary mechanism for this conversation. The following table summarizes the magnitude of unused spending authority of the Regional Centers.

HISTORICAL UNUTILIZED SPENDING AUTHORITY AT THE REGIONAL CENTERS	
FISCAL YEAR	TOTAL UNUTILIZED SPENDING AUTHORITY
FY 2011-12	\$4,551,350.27
FY 2012-13	\$6,435,713.55
FY 2013-14	\$6,456,919.12
FY 2014-15	\$11,751,046.51
FY 2015-16	\$10,811,088.69

The Department indicated that the unused spending authority has actually been converted to General Fund in responses to JBC staff questions by saying that the Department received General Fund from the Department of Health Care Policy and Financing in exchange for transferring excess reappropriated funds spending authority from the Regional Centers and other sources. The Department spent the General Funds received through the transfers of reappropriated funds spending authority.” Therefore the Department has historically traded reappropriated funds spending authority for General Fund, and then expended General Fund in line items without an associated appropriation.

Reason 6 – No General Fund Offset

The Department is seeking to increase their appropriation by \$8.7 million with no offset of General Fund. The Department’s request seeks to use more TANF, Child Welfare, and Regional Center funds for indirect costs without an associated reduction of General Fund. The cost of the indirect cost pool is not expanding. Therefore the way the Department has formed this request would add addition funds to pay for costs which would now be off budget. Increasing the amount of funds paying for the indirect cost pool does not make sense if the cost of the pool is not also increasing. Therefore in order to maintain the balance of the costs of indirects and how much is collected to pay the costs, there should be a reduction of General Fund to ensure the collections don’t exceed the costs.

In conclusion, staff has significant concerns with this request. Staff recommends the Committee deny the request. Staff will provide the Committee with a comprehensive solution to this issue including the concerns raised in this document during the figure setting presentation.

S7 DOC INTERAGENCY TRUE-UP

	REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION
TOTAL	\$285,179	\$285,179
Reappropriated Funds	285,179	285,179

Does JBC staff believe the request meets the Joint Budget Committee's supplemental criteria?	YES
[An emergency or act of God; a technical error in calculating the original appropriation; data that was not available when the original appropriation was made; or an unforeseen contingency.]	

Explanation: JBC staff and the Department agree that this request is the result of data that was not available when the original appropriation was made because the Department had not review the contract and determined the Department was out of compliance with accounting rules at the time the appropriation as set.

DEPARTMENT REQUEST: The Department requests an increase of \$285,179 reappropriated funds from the Department of Corrections to true-up the appropriation that supports Department of Human Services maintenance costs.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Staff recommends that the Committee approve the request to accurately reflect the cost of maintenance services provided by the Department of Human Services to the Department of Corrections through an interagency agreement.

STAFF ANALYSIS: Through an interagency agreement, the Department of Human Services provides maintenance, repair, grounds keeping, housekeeping, capital project management, facilities expertise, utilities, vehicles, and leased space to three Colorado Department of Corrections (DOC) facilities and three DOC programs located on the Colorado Mental Health Institute at Pueblo Campus (CMHIP) since approximately 1990.

Specifically, the Department of Human Services provides these services to DOC’s San Carlos, La Vista, and Youth Offender Services facilities and the DOC Pharmacy, Parole Board, and Investigator General’s Office programs. The interagency agreement results in more efficient use of

State resources and improved consistency in management of facility infrastructure on the Pueblo campus, which is inter-connected between the Department and DOC facilities located on the Pueblo campus.

The Department determined that the spending authority in the Long Bill does not align with the costs outlined in the interagency agreement and is requesting to correct the issue. The request and recommendation do not increase the cost of services. Staff recommends the request to ensure the Department of Human Services has adequate spending authority to collect funds for services provided through the interagency agreement.

NON-PRIORITIZED SUPPLEMENTAL REQUESTS

SNP2 ANNUAL FLEET ADJUSTMENT

	REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION
TOTAL	(\$130,366)	(\$130,366)
General Fund	(67,120)	(67,120)
Cash Funds	(8,843)	(8,843)
Reappropriated Funds	(34,137)	(34,137)
Federal Funds	(20,266)	(20,266)

DEPARTMENT REQUEST: The Department requests a reduction of \$130,366 total funds, of which \$67,120 is General Fund for the annual midyear adjustment to the vehicle lease payment appropriation.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: The Committee approved the January 12, 2017 recommendation by Alfredo Kemm to deny the statewide request while allowing individual staff to make a different recommendation. Since the request would result in a small reduction of General Fund, staff recommends the Committee approve this request to capture the General Fund savings.

PREVIOUSLY APPROVED INTERIM SUPPLEMENTAL REQUEST

NAME OF SUPPLEMENTAL REQUEST

	REQUEST	APPROVED
TOTAL	\$703,750	\$200,000
Cash Funds	703,750	200,000

DEPARTMENT REQUEST: The Department requests \$703,750 cash funds spending authority to transition residents from the Grand Junction Regional Center (GJRC) campus to different settings, in order to meet the July 1, 2018, deadline established in S.B. 16-178 (sponsored by the JBC) for vacating the GJRC campus and listing it for sale. The GJRC campus currently serves 28 people with developmental disabilities (the request identified 27 occupied beds on the campus, but 28 is the most current census). The source of cash funds is the GJRC Transition Cash Fund that was created by

S.B. 16-178 with a one-time transfer of \$2.0 million from reversions from appropriations for home- and community-based services for people with developmental disabilities.

Some components of the request will also help the Department meet a December 10, 2016, statutory deadline for submitting a plan to the Capital Development Committee for the disposition of the GJRC campus and any related construction requests. The plan must take into account resident choices for where they want to receive care and the recommendations of an advisory group.

The table below summarizes the Department's estimate of the transition costs. The Department indicates that the estimates for FY 2017-18 are preliminary and provided for informational purposes only. An official request for that fiscal year will be submitted at a later date after more is known about the transition choices of residents and their families.

Relocation Activity	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18
Resident Engagement Consultation (to assist residents in choosing new homes)	\$50,000	\$0
Convert the HCBS Home Located on 29 Road in Grand Junction to ICF License	\$226,000	\$0
Consulting Fees for a Project Manager	\$150,000	\$150,000
Moving Fees	\$17,750	\$17,750
Establishing Off-Campus Food Storage and Refrigeration Space	\$200,000	\$0
Facility Program Plan	\$60,000	\$0
Decommissioning and Closure of Campus	\$0	\$75,000
Administrative and Facilities Leased Space Build-out	\$0	\$200,000
Lease Costs - 6 months	\$0	\$250,000
Total	\$703,750	\$692,750

SUMMARY: Section 24-75-111 (5), C.R.S. requires the Joint Budget Committees to introduce a supplemental appropriation for the fiscal year in which the over-expenditure occurred for the amount of any over-expenditure allowed pursuant Section 24-75-111, C.R.S.

COMMITTEE ACTION: Staff recommended, and the Committee approved, \$200,000 cash funds from the GJRC Transition Cash Fund for the components of the request related to the Resident Engagement Consultation and the Consulting Fees for a Project Manager. The recommendation for each FY 2016-17 component of the request is discussed below.

Resident Engagement Consultation – The Department requests \$50,000 for a contractor to help residents and their families evaluate their care options and select a new care setting. The Department believes a third party consultant is the best option to mitigate perceptions of bias and conflict of interest that might occur if the Department's staff or staff from the Mesa County area Community Centered Board (Strive) performed this function.

The JBC staff recommends this component of the request. Engaging the residents is a necessary prerequisite for the Department to complete the December 10, 2016, plan for the disposition of the GJRC campus. This function could potentially be absorbed within existing resources by using staff from the Department and/or Strive. However, the JBC staff decided to trust the Department's assessment that a third party facilitator would improve the trust of residents and their families that

their needs, rather than the needs of the Department or Strive, were the top priority during the consultation.

Consulting Fees for a Project Manager – The Department requests \$150,000 in FY 2016-17 (\$300,000 over two years) for consulting services to (1) facilitate the advisory group responsible for helping the Department develop the GJRC transition plan and (2) coordinate the logistics to implement the transition plan. This cost estimate is based on \$200 per hour and 750 hours per year (roughly equivalent to 4-5 months of hours for one person each year).

The JBC staff recommends the requested amount for FY 2016-17. The project manager services will help the Department complete the transition plan that is due by December 10, 2016, and it makes sense to provide the Department with enough resources to keep the same contractor to assist with the implementation of the transition plan for the remainder of the fiscal year. However, the recommendation regarding FY 2016-17 should not be interpreted as endorsing the Department's estimate of costs for FY 2017-18. The JBC staff believes that Department staff should play a significant role in managing this project and once the rush of the initial planning and mapping of the project is complete, the need for contract services should diminish. The staff recommendation on FY 2017-18 funding will be made during the normal budget process, after the December 10, 2016, transition plan has been submitted and the scope of the project is better fleshed out.

*JBC Staff Supplemental Recommendations - FY 2016-17
Staff Working Document - Does Not Represent Committee Decision*

Appendix A: Number Pages

	FY 2015-16 Actual	FY 2016-17 Appropriation	FY 2016-17 Requested Change	FY 2016-17 Rec'd Change	FY 2016-17 Total w/Rec'd Change
--	----------------------	-----------------------------	--------------------------------	----------------------------	------------------------------------

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES
Reggie Bicha, Executive Director

S3 Department indirect costs

(2) OFFICE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SERVICES

(A) Information Technology

Payments to OIT	<u>25,051,330</u>	<u>24,090,080</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>24,090,080</u>
General Fund	13,534,199	12,939,609	2,275,811	0	12,939,609
Cash Funds	303,805	364,484	(40,435)	0	364,484
Reappropriated Funds	731,655	765,483	251,237	0	765,483
Federal Funds	10,481,671	10,020,504	(2,486,612)	0	10,020,504

(3) OFFICE OF OPERATIONS

(A) Administration

Personal Services	<u>23,631,469</u>	<u>24,000,899</u>	<u>3,075,586</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>24,000,899</u>
FTE	445.9	422.2	0.0	0.0	422.2
General Fund	14,048,042	13,722,827	1,239,149	0	13,722,827
Cash Funds	1,838,450	2,285,779	0	0	2,285,779
Reappropriated Funds	5,812,161	6,704,280	1,301,180	0	6,704,280
Federal Funds	1,932,816	1,288,013	535,257	0	1,288,013

Total for S3 Department indirect costs	48,682,799	48,090,979	3,075,587	0	48,090,979
FTE	<u>445.9</u>	<u>422.2</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>422.2</u>
General Fund	27,582,241	26,662,436	3,514,960	0	26,662,436
Cash Funds	2,142,255	2,650,263	(40,435)	0	2,650,263
Reappropriated Funds	6,543,816	7,469,763	1,552,417	0	7,469,763
Federal Funds	12,414,487	11,308,517	(1,951,355)	0	11,308,517

JBC Staff Supplemental Recommendations - FY 2016-17
Staff Working Document - Does Not Represent Committee Decision

	FY 2015-16 Actual	FY 2016-17 Appropriation	FY 2016-17 Requested Change	FY 2016-17 Rec'd Change	FY 2016-17 Total w/Rec'd Change
--	----------------------	-----------------------------	--------------------------------	----------------------------	------------------------------------

S7 CDOC interagency true-up

(3) OFFICE OF OPERATIONS

(A) Administration

Operating Expenses	<u>3,730,965</u>	<u>3,728,566</u>	<u>285,179</u>	<u>285,179</u>	<u>4,013,745</u>
General Fund	2,690,599	2,715,802	0	0	2,715,802
Cash Funds	7,007	11,422	0	0	11,422
Reappropriated Funds	833,740	846,073	285,179	285,179	1,131,252
Federal Funds	199,619	155,269	0	0	155,269

Total for S7 CDOC interagency true-up	3,730,965	3,728,566	285,179	285,179	4,013,745
<i>FTE</i>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>
General Fund	2,690,599	2,715,802	0	0	2,715,802
Cash Funds	7,007	11,422	0	0	11,422
Reappropriated Funds	833,740	846,073	285,179	285,179	1,131,252
Federal Funds	199,619	155,269	0	0	155,269

*JBC Staff Supplemental Recommendations - FY 2016-17
Staff Working Document - Does Not Represent Committee Decision*

	FY 2015-16 Actual	FY 2016-17 Appropriation	FY 2016-17 Requested Change	FY 2016-17 Rec'd Change	FY 2016-17 Total w/Rec'd Change
--	----------------------	-----------------------------	--------------------------------	----------------------------	------------------------------------

Sept GJRC Campus

**(9) SERVICES FOR PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES
(B) Regional Centers for People with Developmental Disabilities
(2) Grand Junction Regional Center**

Grand Junction Regional Center Intermediate Care

Facility	7,042,168	6,737,880	703,750	200,000	6,937,880
FTE	113.5	98.8	0.0	0.0	98.8
Cash Funds	300,690	712,070	703,750	200,000	912,070
Reappropriated Funds	6,741,478	6,025,810	0	0	6,025,810

Total for Sept GJRC Campus	7,042,168	6,737,880	703,750	200,000	6,937,880
<i>FTE</i>	<u>113.5</u>	<u>98.8</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>98.8</u>
Cash Funds	300,690	712,070	703,750	200,000	912,070
Reappropriated Funds	6,741,478	6,025,810	0	0	6,025,810

Totals Excluding Pending Items					
HUMAN SERVICES					
TOTALS for ALL Departmental line items	1,791,515,239	1,902,561,730	4,064,516	485,179	1,903,046,909
<i>FTE</i>	<u>4,844.9</u>	<u>4,793.4</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>4,793.4</u>
General Fund	813,689,796	831,637,907	3,514,960	0	831,637,907
Cash Funds	309,557,702	388,657,140	663,315	200,000	388,857,140
Reappropriated Funds	96,049,309	127,872,227	1,837,596	285,179	128,157,406
Federal Funds	572,218,432	554,394,456	(1,951,355)	0	554,394,456