## HOUSE COMMITTEE OF REFERENCE REPORT

February 26, 2025

Chair of Committee Date	
Committee on <u>Judiciary</u> .	
After consideration on the merits, the Committee recommends the following:	1e
HB25-1147 be amended as follows, and as so amended, be referred the Committee of the Whole with favorab recommendation:	
Amend printed bill, page 2, after line 1 insert:  "SECTION 1. Legislative declaration. (1) The gener assembly finds and declares that:  (a) Fair and consistent criminal sentencing, access to counsel for indigent people accused of crimes, and transparency of court proceeding across Colorado are matters of statewide concern;  (b) Disparities in criminal sentencing reduce the fairness rationality, and equity of Colorado's criminal justice system;  (c) In 2020, Governor Jared Polis, in his Biennial Topics Letter and the Colorado's criminal sentencing reduce the fairness rationality.	for gs ss,
directed the Colorado Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice of propose comprehensive reform to Colorado's sentencing laws, explaining "Our sentencing scheme should be rational, just, and consistent so that the punishment fits the conduct";	g:
(d) In this letter, Governor Polis listed as "values" to guid sentencing reform: "Ensuring fair and consistent treatment" ar "Eliminating unjustified disparities in sentencing";	
(e) Following Governor Polis' directive, the Colorad Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice recommended passage of Senate Bill 21-271, which standardized and rationalized sentencing for misdemeanors in state court. The bill was silent with regard to application to municipal courts;	of or
(f) Senate Bill 21-271 passed with bipartisan, law enforcement and criminal justice reformer support;	ıt,
(g) Since the passage of Senate Bill 21-271, some municip courts have exercised their authority to sentence defendants to jail for u to 364 days for crimes related to poverty, which are capped at a 10-days for crimes related to poverty.	ıp

jail sentence in state court under Senate Bill 21-271;

(h) The sentencing disparities between municipal and state courts

- is a matter of statewide concern, requiring action to align sentences to avoid irrational, unjust, and excessive punishments that are out of step with Colorado values and legal standards; and
- 4 (i) The sentencing disparities between municipal and state courts 5 creates a 2-tiered system of justice that ensures unequal treatment under 6 the law, which is inconsistent with Colorado values and legal standards.
- 7 (2) Therefore, the general assembly expressly declares that there 8 is a need to end sentencing disparities between state and municipal courts 9 in order to ensure equal treatment under the law for all Coloradans.".
- 10 Renumber succeeding sections accordingly.
- 11 Page 2, line 13, strike "Technology or staffing" and substitute
- 12 "Technology or Staffing".
- 13 Page 2, line 15, strike "INTERNET" and substitute "TEMPORARY OR
- 14 INTERMITTENT INTERNET OR TECHNOLOGY".
- 15 Page 4, line 5, strike "INDIGENT DEFENDANTS," and substitute "CERTAIN
- 16 INDIGENT DEFENDANTS PURSUANT TO SECTION 13-10-114.5,".
- 17 Page 4, line 13, after "sheriff" insert "OR MUNICIPAL JAIL
- 18 ADMINISTRATOR".
- 19 Page 4, line 17, after "sheriff" insert "OR MUNICIPAL JAIL
- 20 ADMINISTRATOR".
- 21 Page 4, line 24, after "sheriff" insert "OR MUNICIPAL JAIL
- 22 ADMINISTRATOR".
- 23 Page 4, line 27, after "sheriff" insert "OR MUNICIPAL JAIL
- 24 ADMINISTRATOR".
- 25 Page 5, line 3, after "sheriff" insert "OR MUNICIPAL JAIL ADMINISTRATOR".
- Page 7, strike lines 2 through 12 and substitute:
- 27 "(4) IF A MUNICIPAL COURT RECEIVES NOTICE THAT A DEFENDANT
- WHO HAS A CRIMINAL OR TRAFFIC MATTER PENDING BEFORE THE COURT IS
- 29 IN CUSTODY IN COLORADO FOR ANY REASON, THE MUNICIPAL COURT
- 30 SHALL MAKE DILIGENT EFFORTS TO TIMELY RESOLVE THE MUNICIPAL
- 31 MATTER, INCLUDING TAKING REASONABLE STEPS TO PROMPTLY BRING THE
- 32 DEFENDANT BEFORE THE COURT. THE COURT SHALL ASSIGN INDIGENT
- 33 DEFENSE COUNSEL IN THE SAME MANNER AS REQUIRED BY SECTION

- 1 13-10-114.5. A MUNICIPAL COURT SHALL NOT DENY A DEFENDANT THE
- 2 ABILITY TO APPEAR BEFORE THE MUNICIPAL COURT BECAUSE THE
- 3 DEFENDANT IS IN CUSTODY FOR ANOTHER, NON-MUNICIPAL MATTER. FOR
- 4 A HEARING OTHER THAN A TRIAL OR FOR THE PURPOSE OF RESOLUTION BY
- 5 PLEA, THE COURT MAY PERMIT COUNSEL TO APPEAR ON BEHALF OF THE
- 6 DEFENDANT PURSUANT TO THE COLORADO MUNICIPAL COURT RULES AND
- 7 PERMIT APPEARANCE THROUGH AUDIOVISUAL OR TELEPHONIC MEANS, IF
- 8 AVAILABLE. THIS SUBSECTION (4) DOES NOT PRECLUDE A DEFENDANT
- 9 FROM EXPRESSLY AGREEING TO DELAY A MATTER ONCE THE DEFENDANT
- 10 APPEARS BEFORE THE MUNICIPAL COURT.".
- Page 11, line 3, strike "AND SHALL NOTIFY THE" and substitute "IN THE
- 12 MUNICIPAL CASE AND SHALL NOTIFY THE MUNICIPAL".
- Page 11, line 9, after "DEFENDANT" insert "IN THE MUNICIPAL CASE".
- 14 Page 11, after line 13 insert:
- 15 "(3.5) AT THE TIME OF A DEFENDANT'S FIRST OUT-OF-CUSTODY
- 16 APPEARANCE IN MUNICIPAL COURT FOR A VIOLATION FOR WHICH THE
- 17 MUNICIPAL CODE ALLOWS A POSSIBLE SENTENCE OF INCARCERATION, THE
- 18 COURT SHALL ADVISE THE DEFENDANT OF THE DEFENDANT'S RIGHT TO
- 19 COUNSEL. THE COURT MAY INQUIRE AND MAKE A DETERMINATION AS TO
- 20 INDIGENCE IMMEDIATELY UPON REQUEST OR SHALL ADVISE THE
- 21 DEFENDANT OF THE PROCEDURE TO APPLY FOR COURT-APPOINTED
- 22 INDIGENT DEFENSE COUNSEL. IF THE COURT DETERMINES THE DEFENDANT
- 23 IS INDIGENT, THE COURT SHALL APPOINT INDIGENT DEFENSE COUNSEL IN
- 24 The municipal case unless, after being fully advised pursuant to
- 25 RULE 210 OF THE COLORADO MUNICIPAL COURT RULES AND SECTION
- 26 16-7-207, THE DEFENDANT MAKES A KNOWING, INTELLIGENT, AND
- 27 VOLUNTARY WAIVER OF THE RIGHT TO COUNSEL. NOTHING IN THIS
- 28 SECTION PREVENTS THE COURT FROM MAKING A DETERMINATION OF
- 29 INDIGENCE IN ADVANCE OF AN OUT-OF-CUSTODY HEARING OR AT THE
- 30 SAME HEARING WHEN THE DEFENDANT REQUESTS APPOINTMENT OF
- 31 COUNSEL.".
- Page 17, line 1, strike "AND SHALL NOTIFY THE" and substitute "IN THE
- 33 MUNICIPAL CASE AND SHALL NOTIFY THE MUNICIPAL".
- Page 17, after line 11 insert:
- 35 "(3.5) AT THE TIME OF A DEFENDANT'S FIRST OUT-OF-CUSTODY
- 36 APPEARANCE IN MUNICIPAL COURT FOR A VIOLATION FOR WHICH THE
- 37 MUNICIPAL CODE ALLOWS A POSSIBLE SENTENCE OF INCARCERATION, THE
- 38 COURT SHALL ADVISE THE DEFENDANT OF THE DEFENDANT'S RIGHT TO

- COUNSEL. THE COURT MAY INQUIRE AND MAKE A DETERMINATION AS TO 1
- INDIGENCE IMMEDIATELY UPON REQUEST OR SHALL ADVISE THE
- DEFENDANT OF THE PROCEDURE TO APPLY FOR COURT-APPOINTED
- INDIGENT DEFENSE COUNSEL. IF THE COURT DETERMINES THE DEFENDANT
- IS INDIGENT, THE COURT SHALL APPOINT INDIGENT DEFENSE COUNSEL IN
- 6 THE MUNICIPAL CASE UNLESS, AFTER BEING FULLY ADVISED PURSUANT TO
- 7 RULE 210 OF THE COLORADO MUNICIPAL COURT RULES AND SECTION
- 8 16-7-207, THE DEFENDANT MAKES A KNOWING, INTELLIGENT, AND
- 9 VOLUNTARY WAIVER OF THE RIGHT TO COUNSEL. NOTHING IN THIS
- 10 SECTION PREVENTS THE COURT FROM MAKING A DETERMINATION OF
- 11 INDIGENCE IN ADVANCE OF AN OUT-OF-CUSTODY HEARING OR AT THE
- 12 SAME HEARING WHEN THE DEFENDANT REQUESTS APPOINTMENT OF
- 13 COUNSEL.".
- Page 19, line 12, after "as a" insert "MAXIMUM". 14

\*\* \*\*\* \*\* \*\*\*