HOUSE COMMITTEE OF REFERENCE REPORT

April 5, 2023
Chair of Committee Date
Committee on <u>Judiciary</u> .
After consideration on the merits, the Committee recommends the following:
HB23-1155 be amended as follows, and as so amended, be referred to the Committee of the Whole with favorable recommendation:
Amend printed bill, page 2, strike lines 4 and 5 and substitute:
"16-3-406. Custodial interrogation - admissibility - legislative declaration - definition. (1) The General assembly finds and declares that:
(a) THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION AND THE STATE CONSTITUTION DECLARE A PRIVILEGE AGAINST SELF-INCRIMINATION AND A RIGHT TO COUNSEL TO BE FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS;
(b) WITHOUT PROCEDURAL SAFEGUARDS, CUSTODIAL INTERROGATION BY LAW ENFORCEMENT CAN LEAD TO INHERENTLY COMPELLING PRESSURES THAT WORK TO UNDERMINE THE WILL OF THE
INDIVIDUAL SUBJECTED TO THE INTERROGATION; (c) PRIOR TO CUSTODIAL INTERROGATION, AN INDIVIDUAL MUST BE CLEARLY AND UNEQUIVOCALLY APPRISED OF THE INDIVIDUAL'S RIGHTS; (d) THE EXERCISE OF THESE RIGHTS PRIOR TO OR DURING
CUSTODIAL INTERROGATION MUST BE FULLY HONORED; (e) IN <i>MIRANDA V. ARIZONA</i> , 384 U.S. 436 (1966), THE UNITED
STATES SUPREME COURT RECOGNIZED PROCEDURAL SAFEGUARDS AND THAT AN ADVISEMENT MUST BY GIVEN PRIOR TO ANY CUSTODIAL
INTERROGATION IN ORDER FOR STATEMENTS FROM THAT CUSTODIAL INTERROGATION TO BE ADMITTED AT TRIAL BY THE PROSECUTION; (f) THE COURT FURTHER STATED IN <i>MIRANDA</i> THAT STATES ARE
FREE TO DEVELOP THEIR OWN SAFEGUARDS CONSISTENT WITH MIRANDA; (g) IN THE DECADES THAT HAVE FOLLOWED MIRANDA V. ARIZONA,
EXPERIENCE HAS DEMONSTRATED THAT PROCEDURAL SAFEGUARDS TO

(h) COLORADO SHOULD JOIN OTHER STATES THAT HAVE CODIFIED

INFORM INDIVIDUALS OF THEIR RIGHTS AND TO HONOR EXERCISE OF THEIR

RIGHTS ARE BENEFICIAL AND JUST;

- 1 SUCH PROCEDURAL SAFEGUARDS; AND
- 2 (i) It is the intent of general assembly that Colorado 3 Should therefore provide independent statutory protection 4 Consistent with *Miranda* in no greater or lesser degree.
- 5 (2) AS USED IN THIS SECTION, "CUSTODIAL INTERROGATION" HAS 6 THE".
- 7 Renumber succeeding subsections accordingly.
- 8 Page 2, line 9, strike "PROCEEDING" and substitute "TRIAL".
- 9 Page 2, strike lines 10 through 21 and substitute "DEFENDANT, PRIOR TO
- 10 MAKING THE STATEMENT, WAS ADVISED IN A MANNER THAT REASONABLY
- 11 CONVEYED THE FOLLOWING WARNINGS:
 - (a) YOU HAVE THE RIGHT TO REMAIN SILENT;
- 13 (b) ANYTHING YOU SAY CAN AND WILL BE USED AGAINST YOU IN A COURT OF LAW;
- 15 (c) YOU HAVE THE RIGHT TO CONSULT A LAWYER PRIOR TO QUESTIONING AND HAVE THE LAWYER PRESENT DURING QUESTIONING;
- 17 (d) If you cannot afford to hire a lawyer, a lawyer will 18 be appointed to represent you before any questioning if you 19 request one; and
- 20 (e) You can stop the interview and request to remain 21 silent or request a lawyer at any time before or during
- 22 QUESTIONING.".

12

- Page 2, line 22, strike "THE" and substitute "WHEN PROPERLY RAISED BY
- 24 THE DEFENDANT PURSUANT TO RULES PROMULGATED BY THE COLORADO
- 25 SUPREME COURT, THE".
- Page 2, line 25, strike "(2)" and substitute "(3)".
- 27 Page 3, after line 1 insert:
- 28 "(6) NOTHING IN THIS SECTION PRECLUDES THE ADMISSION OF A
- 29 VOLUNTARY STATEMENT WHEN THE PROSECUTION PROVES BY A
- 30 PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE THAT AN EXCEPTION RECOGNIZED
- 31 THROUGH THE PROGENY OF MIRANDA V. ARIZONA, 384 U.S. 436 (1966)
- 32 APPLIES, INCLUDING THE PUBLIC SAFETY EXCEPTION OR BOOKING
- 33 EXCEPTION.".
- 34 Strike "ACCUSED" and substitute "DEFENDANT" on: Page 2, lines 8, 9, 23,

1 and 27.

** *** ** ***