



## Fiscal Summary

### Legislative Council Staff

Nonpartisan Services for Colorado's Legislature

---

**Measure:** Initiative 264 – ELECT GENERAL ASSEMBLY THROUGH MIXED MEMBER PROPORTIONAL REPRESENTATION

**Analyst:** Hamza Syed, hamza.syed@coleg.gov, 303-866-4976

**Date:** March 30, 2026

---

### Fiscal Summary of Initiative 264

This fiscal summary, prepared by the nonpartisan Director of Research of the Legislative Council, contains a preliminary assessment of the measure's fiscal impact. A full fiscal impact statement for this initiative is or will be available at [leg.colorado.gov/bluebook](http://leg.colorado.gov/bluebook). This fiscal summary identifies the following impact.

#### State Expenditures and Revenue

The measure is estimated to increase state expenditures by several million dollars in the Department of State (DOS) to implement changes to elections systems and processes. Specifically, the DOS must update election rules, modify election software and information technology systems, create new vote counting methodology, and conduct public awareness campaigns to inform voters about the changes to voting in Colorado. Costs will also increase to reimburse counties, which are equal to 45 percent of county costs under current law (see local government impacts below). If costs are paid from the Department of State Cash Fund, state revenue from business filing fees paid to the DOS must be increased. The actual amount of new revenue and fee charges will be set administratively by DOS in order to cover any costs to implement the initiative paid from the DOS Cash Fund.

#### Local Government

The measure increases costs for county clerks and other local election officials in several ways. Adding proportional voting and statewide offices to the general elections increases the size and complexity of printed ballots, which will require that clerks educate voters, and revise processes for the operation of polling places and ballot counting. For some counties, this will require new election system software and equipment. Other counties will have costs to modify existing voting systems. Any additional primary elections will increase costs to counties. A portion of local costs are reimbursed by the state.

# Initiative 264

## Economic Impacts

The measure has no direct impact on the state economy. Changes to elections may result in different leadership and different policies, which could have an economic effect. However, these effects depend on voter choices and future decision making.