



# Fiscal Note

## Legislative Council Staff

Nonpartisan Services for Colorado’s Legislature

### SB 26-035: INCREASE OF TRAFFIC VIOLATION PENALTIES

**Prime Sponsors:**

Sen. Roberts

**Fiscal Analyst:**

Clayton Mayfield, 303-866-5851

clayton.mayfield@coleg.gov

**Published for:** Senate Transportation & Energy

**Drafting number:** LLS 26-0434

**Version:** Initial Fiscal Note

**Date:** February 16, 2026

**Fiscal note status:** The fiscal note reflects the introduced bill.

### Summary Information

**Overview.** The bill increases the penalties for improper passing and repeat speeding violations.

**Types of impacts.** The bill is projected to affect the following areas on an ongoing basis starting in FY 2026-27:

- Minimal State Revenue
- State Expenditures
- Local Government

**Appropriations.** For FY 2026-27, the bill requires a cash fund appropriation of \$14,234 to the Department of Revenue.

**Table 1  
State Fiscal Impacts**

Type of Impact	Budget Year FY 2026-27	Out Year FY 2027-28
State Revenue	\$0	\$0
State Expenditures (Cash Funds)	\$14,234	\$0
Transferred Funds	\$0	\$0
Change in TABOR Refunds	\$0	\$0
Change in State FTE	0.0 FTE	0.0 FTE

## Summary of Legislation

---

The bill increases the penalties for improper passing and repeat speeding violations as described below.

### Improper Passing

The bill increases the points assessed against a driver license for improper passing from four to eight. Additionally, for the offense of violating the limitations on overtaking on the left, the penalty of \$100 is mandatory and no portion may be suspended by a court.

The bill also clarifies that a no-passing zone may be indicated by the beginning and end of a solid yellow pavement line. The Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT) must prioritize installing no-passing signs in areas where crashes have increased from incidents of illegal overtaking on the left in no-passing zones.

### Exceeding Speed Limits

The bill establishes the following driver license point penalties, which are in addition to other point penalties, for driving in excess of the reasonable and prudent speed or in excess of 75 miles per hour:

- for a second violation in a 12-month period, two points
- for three or more violations in a 24-month period, four points; and
- for five or more violations in a five-year period, eight points.

Finally, a driver who is subject to one of these additional point penalties is ineligible to receive a penalty assessment notice and is not subject to the penalty and surcharge schedule for traffic violations. Instead, the penalties are those applied to traffic infractions and misdemeanor traffic offenses generally.

## Comparable Crime Analysis

---

Legislative Council Staff is required to include certain analysis in the fiscal note for any bill that creates a new crime, or that either reclassifies or creates a new factual basis for an existing crime. This section identifies comparable crimes and discusses assumptions on future conviction rates resulting from the bill.

### Prior Conviction Data

#### Limitations on Overtaking on the Left

This bill increases the driver license point penalties and mandates an unsuspended penalty for the existing offense of violating the limitations on overtaking on the left, a class A traffic

infraction. From FY 2022-23 to FY 2024-25, 398 individuals have been convicted and sentenced for this offense. Of the persons convicted, 309 were male and 89 were female. Demographically, 309 were White, 31 were Black/African American, 40 were Hispanic, 3 were Asian, 3 were American Indian, 7 were classified as "Other," and 5 did not have a race identified.

## Speed Limits

For the existing offense of exceeding the speed limit, a class A traffic infraction, a class 2 misdemeanor traffic offense, or a class 1 misdemeanor traffic offense depending on the circumstances, the bill stipulates additional driver license point penalties for repeated violations that occur within certain periods of time. From FY 2022-23 to FY 2024-25, 76,229 individuals have been convicted and sentenced for this offense. Of the persons convicted, 54,022 were male, 21,865 were female, and 342 did not have a gender identified. Demographically, 60,561 were White, 5,680 were Black/African American, 6,059 were Hispanic, 1,360 were Asian, 403 were American Indian, 1,357 were classified as "Other," and 809 did not have a race identified.

## Assumptions

The bill is not changing the underlying factual basis for these offenses, and so it is not anticipated to affect how frequently these offenses occur. Therefore, the fiscal note assumes that there will be minimal or no additional case filings or convictions for these offenses under the bill. Visit the [Fiscal Notes website](#) for more information about criminal justice costs in fiscal notes.

## State Revenue

---

Starting in FY 2026-27, the bill may impact revenue in the Department of Revenue (DOR) and the Judicial Department as described below.

### Department of Revenue

By increasing the driver license point penalties for violations, the DOR may suspend more driver licenses. Correspondingly, state revenue in the DOR from fees paid to reinstate driver licenses may increase. This revenue accrues to the Highway Users Tax Fund (HUTF). The exact increase will depend on how many licenses are suspended and how many individuals choose to pay the fee for reinstatement. The overall amount of additional state revenue to the HUTF is expected to be minimal.

## Judicial Department

By prohibiting a penalty assessment notice in more cases, the bill may increase the number of cases filed in state courts. This would increase state revenue in the Judicial Department from court fees. However, it is unknown how many speeding offenses are a subsequent occurrence that, under the bill, would make them ineligible for a penalty assessment notice and thus incur court fees from a case filing. It is assumed that this is a narrow subset of cases, and that any revenue increase from court fees will be minimal.

## State Expenditures

---

The bill increases state expenditures in the Department of Revenue by about \$15,000 in FY 2026-27 only. These costs, paid from the DRIVES Cash Fund, are summarized in Table 2 and discussed below. The bill also minimally affects workload in the Judicial Department and CDOT.

## Department of Revenue

The DOR will have costs in FY 2026-27 only to update the DRIVES system to implement the changes to driver license point penalties and create new codes associated with successive speeding offenses. Funding is required for 40 hours of general DRIVES programming costs, with a cost of \$260 per hour. Other DRIVES programming costs, including user acceptance testing and OIT support, have a total cost of \$3,834.

**Table 2**  
**State Expenditures**  
**Department of Revenue**

<b>Cost Component</b>	<b>Budget Year FY 2026-27</b>	<b>Out Year FY 2027-28</b>
DOR Programming Costs	\$10,400	\$0
Other DRIVES Programming Costs	\$3,834	\$0
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>\$14,234</b>	<b>\$0</b>
<b>Total FTE</b>	<b>0.0 FTE</b>	<b>0.0 FTE</b>

## Judicial Department

Starting in FY 2026-27, workload will minimally increase in the trial courts of the Judicial Department if more cases are filed as a result of certain offenses becoming ineligible for a penalty assessment notification. Given the narrow scope of offenses, no change in appropriations is required for the increased workload.

## **Department of Transportation**

Starting in FY 2026-27, the CDOT will have increased expenditures to prioritize the installation of additional no-passing signage in areas with high rates of motor vehicle crashes caused by illegal overtaking. Workload to establish a uniform process for prioritizing signage installation is absorbable and no change in appropriations is required. In addition, installing more signage, when necessary, will decrease the amount of money available for maintenance activities.

## **Local Government**

---

The bill may minimally impact local government revenue from the HUTF. HUTF revenue generated by traffic fines is distributed to counties (26 percent) and municipalities (9 percent) for transportation needs.

Similar to the state, the bill may increase revenue to and workload in the local courts, including the Denver County Court, which is managed and funded by Denver City and County, and municipal courts.

## **Effective Date**

---

The bill takes effect 90 days following adjournment of the General Assembly sine die, assuming no referendum petition is filed, and applies to offenses committed on or after this date.

## **State Appropriations**

---

For FY 2026-27, the bill requires an appropriation of \$14,234 from the DRIVES Cash Fund to the Department of Revenue.

## **State and Local Government Contacts**

---

District Attorneys	Public Safety
Information Technology	Revenue
Judicial	Transportation

---

The revenue and expenditure impacts in this fiscal note represent changes from current law under the bill for each fiscal year. For additional information about fiscal notes, please visit the [General Assembly website](#).