

HOUSE COMMITTEE OF REFERENCE AMENDMENT

Committee on Energy & Environment.

HB25-1042 be amended as follows:

1 Amend printed bill, strike everything below the enacting clause and  
2 substitute:

3 "SECTION 1. In Colorado Revised Statutes, **add** 25-7-110.9 as  
4 follows:

5 **25-7-110.9. Air quality control rule-making - economic impact**  
6 **analysis procedures - cost-benefit analyses - report - definitions -**  
7 **repeal.** (1) AS USED IN THIS SECTION:

8 (a) "DEPARTMENT" MEANS THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH  
9 AND ENVIRONMENT.

10 (b) "DISPROPORTIONATELY IMPACTED COMMUNITY" HAS THE  
11 MEANING SET FORTH IN SECTION 24-4-109 (2)(b)(II).

12 (c) "EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR" MEANS THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF  
13 THE DEPARTMENT.

14 (d) "WORKFORCE IMPACT" MEANS THE IMPACT OF AIR QUALITY  
15 CONTROL RULES PROPOSED BY THE DEPARTMENT, COMMISSION, OR AIR  
16 POLLUTION CONTROL DIVISION ON EMPLOYMENT THAT MAY RESULT IN JOB  
17 LOSS OR GAIN, WORKER DISPLACEMENT, WORKFORCE TRANSITIONS,  
18 EXPANSION OR DOWNSIZING OF BUSINESSES, MAJOR INDUSTRY-WIDE  
19 WORKFORCE CHANGE, DISRUPTION IN OPERATIONS, CHANGES IN  
20 WORKPLACE SAFETY, AN IMPACT ON THE OVERALL HEALTH OF THE  
21 WORKFORCE, OR AN ECONOMIC IMPACT TO THE STATE.

22 (2) THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SHALL CONDUCT AN INTERNAL  
23 REVIEW OF:

24 (a) THE ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS PROCEDURES USED BY THE  
25 COMMISSION IN THE ADOPTION OF AIR QUALITY CONTROL RULES; AND

26 (b) THE COST-BENEFIT ANALYSES CONDUCTED DURING THE AIR  
27 QUALITY CONTROL RULE-MAKING PROCESS PURSUANT TO SECTION  
28 24-4-103 (2.5).

29 (3) THE INTERNAL REVIEW REQUIRED BY SUBSECTION (2) OF THIS  
30 SECTION MUST INCLUDE:

31 (a) A REVIEW OF THE COMMISSION'S AIR QUALITY CONTROL  
32 RULE-MAKING PROCESS IN ORDER TO ASSESS HOW IT IS CURRENTLY  
33 WORKING AND MAY BE IMPROVED;

34 (b) A REPORT ON THE ECONOMIC FACTORS THAT THE COMMISSION  
35 CONSIDERS AND USES IN AN ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS OR A  
36 COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS AND HOW THE INFORMATION REGARDING  
37 WORKFORCE IMPACTS IS TYPICALLY CONSIDERED WHEN CONDUCTING THE  
38 ANALYSIS;

39 (c) AN EXPLANATION OF HOW ANALYSES THAT INCLUDE  
40 INFORMATION ON WORKFORCE IMPACTS ARE USED BY THE COMMISSION

1 DURING THE ADOPTION OF AIR QUALITY CONTROL RULES;

2 (d) A REPORT ON THE EXTENT TO WHICH WORKFORCE IMPACTS ARE

3 CONSIDERED DURING THE CURRENT AIR QUALITY CONTROL RULE-MAKING

4 PROCESS AND HOW CONSIDERATION OF WORKFORCE IMPACTS ARE

5 INCORPORATED INTO EXISTING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OR COST-BENEFIT

6 ANALYSIS PROCEDURES;

7 (e) AN EXPLANATION OF THE PARAMETERS AND LIMITATIONS THAT

8 EXIST IN CURRENT STATE AND FEDERAL LAW;

9 (f) CONSIDERATIONS OR RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE

10 DEPARTMENT AS TO WHETHER HAVING A STANDING WORKFORCE

11 ADVISORY COUNCIL IN THE DEPARTMENT WOULD IMPROVE AND SUPPORT

12 THE ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS AND RELATED PROCEDURES AND

13 WHETHER THE DEPARTMENT HAS ANY RECOMMENDATIONS AS TO HOW

14 SUCH A WORKFORCE ADVISORY COUNCIL WOULD BE CONFIGURED AND

15 WHAT WOULD BE INCLUDED IN ITS OVERALL MISSION;

16 (g) SPECIFIC EXAMPLES OF COMPLETED ECONOMIC IMPACT

17 ANALYSES WHERE WORKFORCE IMPACTS WERE CONSIDERED OR NOT

18 CONSIDERED IN THE AIR QUALITY CONTROL RULE-MAKING PROCESS AND

19 THE REASONS EACH ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS DID OR DID NOT INCLUDE

20 CONSIDERATION OF WORKFORCE IMPACTS; AND

21 (h) LEGAL AND PROCEDURAL CONSIDERATIONS AND

22 RECOMMENDATIONS CONCERNING HOW MORE QUALITATIVE AND

23 QUANTITATIVE DATA RELATED TO WORKFORCE IMPACTS COULD BE ADDED

24 TO THE ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS AND COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS

25 PROCEDURES IN A MANNER THAT WOULD NOT CONFLICT WITH STATE OR

26 FEDERAL LAW OR UNDERMINE THE PROCESS OF REGULATING AIR

27 POLLUTION OR REDUCING GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS IN THIS STATE.

28 (4) THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SHALL PREPARE A DRAFT REPORT OF

29 THE REVIEW CONTAINING THE ELEMENTS DESCRIBED IN SUBSECTION (3) OF

30 THIS SECTION AND, UPON COMPLETION OF THE DRAFT REPORT, ENGAGE

31 STAKEHOLDERS TO REVIEW THE REPORT AND PROVIDE INPUT FOR THE

32 FINAL REPORT THAT THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SHALL SUBMIT TO THE

33 GENERAL ASSEMBLY. THE DEPARTMENT SHALL SOLICIT INPUT FROM

34 REGULATED BUSINESSES, BUSINESSES AND PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS,

35 ENVIRONMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS, LABOR ORGANIZATIONS,

36 ORGANIZATIONS THAT REPRESENT DISPROPORTIONATELY IMPACTED

37 COMMUNITIES, OTHER EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS, AND OTHER

38 ORGANIZATIONS THAT EXPRESS INTEREST IN PROVIDING INPUT. THE

39 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MAY INCLUDE IN THEIR SOLICITATION OF INPUT

40 SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS FROM STAKEHOLDERS CONCERNING POLICIES

41 AND PROCEDURES TO EXPAND THE ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSES AND THE

42 COST-BENEFIT ANALYSES.

43 (5) ON OR BEFORE JANUARY 1, 2026, THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

44 SHALL SUBMIT THE FINAL REPORT DESCRIBED IN SUBSECTION (4) OF THIS

45 SECTION TO THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT

1 COMMITTEE OR ITS SUCCESSOR COMMITTEE SPECIFYING HOW THE  
2 DEPARTMENT INTENDS TO IMPROVE THE ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSES AND  
3 THE COST-BENEFIT ANALYSES FOR THE AIR QUALITY CONTROL  
4 RULE-MAKING PROCEDURES AND INCLUDING ANY ADDITIONAL RESOURCES  
5 NECESSARY TO EFFECT CHANGE, STAFFING CHALLENGES THAT NEED TO BE  
6 ADDRESSED, AND OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS THAT MAY REQUIRE A  
7 CLARIFICATION OR CHANGE IN STATE LAW.

8 (6) THIS SECTION IS REPEALED, EFFECTIVE JUNE 30, 2026.

9 **SECTION 2. Safety clause.** The general assembly finds,  
10 determines, and declares that this act is necessary for the immediate  
11 preservation of the public peace, health, or safety or for appropriations for  
12 the support and maintenance of the departments of the state and state  
13 institutions."

\*\* \*\* \*\* \*\* \*\*