

Proposition 133: Penalties for Human Trafficking of a Minor

Placed on the ballot by citizen initiative • Passes with a majority vote

Proposition 133 proposes amending the Colorado statutes to:

- mandate a sentence of life in prison without parole for child sex trafficking convictions; and
- expand child sex trafficking to include buying sexual activity with a minor.

What Your Vote Means

YES

A “yes” vote on Proposition 133 increases the penalties for child sex trafficking to life in prison without parole, and expands child sex trafficking to include buying sexual activity with a minor.

NO

A “no” vote on Proposition 133 keeps the existing felony criminal penalties for child sex trafficking with a sentencing range of 8 to 48 years in prison plus a mandatory parole period.

Summary and Analysis of Proposition 133

What is child sex trafficking?

Under Colorado law, human trafficking of a minor for sexual servitude, or child sex trafficking, occurs when an adult forces or makes a minor available for commercial sexual activity in exchange for money or other compensation. Child sex trafficking is one of many sex crimes with which a buyer or seller may be charged. Legally, a minor cannot consent to commercial sexual activity. Current law provides legal defenses and protections for individuals charged with this crime who are victims of sex trafficking.

How does Proposition 133 change the crime of child sex trafficking?

Proposition 133 increases the penalty for child sex trafficking to life in prison without the possibility of parole, and removes judicial discretion in sentencing. It also adds exchanging anything of value to buy or sell sexual activity to the list of acts included in child sex trafficking. If convicted of this crime, buyers and sellers will receive a life sentence.

Table 1 outlines the current penalties for those convicted of child sex trafficking and the penalties if Proposition 133 is approved. The table reflects the range of potential penalties under current law, but the actual sentence would depend on the specifics of the crime and the outcome of the trial.

Table 1
Current and Proposed Penalties for Child Sex Trafficking

Policy	Felony Classification	Penalties
Current Law	Class 2 felony	8 to 48 years in prison and mandatory 5 years parole
Proposition 133	Class 1 felony	Life in prison without parole

Argument For Proposition 133

- 1) Child sex trafficking causes serious and long-lasting harm to victims. Someone who commits this terrible crime deserves a life sentence instead of a shorter prison term or probation. The punishment outlined in the measure is clear, definite, and ensures that offenders cannot hurt more children. With this change, victims will have no doubt that child sex trafficking offenders are punished to the fullest extent of the law. The measure helps deter people from engaging in child sex trafficking and sends a strong message that Colorado takes these crimes seriously. Proposition 133 makes certain that justice is served, children are protected, and victims are shielded from punishment and further harm.

Argument Against Proposition 133

- 1) Harsher sentences are unlikely to deter human trafficking, but may instead result in punishing victims. Many victims of child sex trafficking are pressured or forced to commit crimes to help traffickers. Due to the fear of a life sentence, victims may be less likely to report these crimes or cooperate with police. Additionally, a judge would no longer be able to consider the facts of the case when sentencing someone convicted of child sex trafficking. The measure prioritizes punishment over prevention, and redirects resources from other strategies that combat child sex trafficking. Proposition 133 is unlikely to stop child sex trafficking or protect victims.

Information about the organizations supporting and opposing this measure is available on the Colorado Secretary of State's website:

www.coloradosos.gov/pubs/elections/Initiatives/ballot/contacts/2026.html

Fiscal Impact of Proposition 133

State Spending

The measure increases future state spending in the Colorado Department of Corrections (CDOC). Costs for the measure are estimated to begin in about 14 years. Initial costs are estimated at \$180,000 in budget year 2041-42, and grow by that amount for several years thereafter. This would be an increase of \$360,000 in budget year 2042-43, \$540,000 in budget year 2043-44, and so on. These costs are not adjusted for inflation. Eventually, the increase will

Legislative Council Draft

1 stabilize as the costs of new offenders staying longer are offset by a decrease in costs from life
2 sentences ending due to an offender dying or potentially receiving a commutation or pardon.

3 The cost increase results from persons convicted of child sex trafficking serving a life sentence
4 instead of a fixed term. It takes years for the first affected offenders to reach the point in their
5 original sentence where they would have been paroled under current law, and that is when the
6 added cost starts. This cost estimate reflects current sentencing data and values for state-run
7 prison beds; actual costs will likely be higher in the future due to inflation, and if more
8 individuals are convicted of child sex trafficking than under current law. Notably, costs for
9 medical care will grow separately as offenders age in prison.

10 In addition, workload in the trial courts and agencies that provide representation to indigent
11 offenders in the Judicial Department will minimally increase as cases with enhanced penalties
12 generally take longer to process than cases with lesser penalties.

13 The measure does not specify a funding source to pay for the increased spending in the CDOC
14 and it is assumed that these costs will be paid from the state General Fund. While the measure
15 may reduce money available for other uses (the three largest of which are Health Care Policy
16 and Financing, Education, and Higher Education), the likely effect of the measure on other
17 programs is unknown and will depend on future budgeting decisions by the state legislature.

18 **Local Government Spending**

19 Similar to the state, workload will minimally increase for county-funded district attorneys to
20 prosecute longer cases with enhanced penalties.

Initiative 108: Penalties for Human Trafficking of a Minor

Placed on the ballot by citizen initiative • Passes with a majority vote

Initiative 108 proposes amending the Colorado statutes to:

- mandate a sentence of life in prison without parole for child sex trafficking convictions; and
- expand child sex trafficking to include buying sexual activity with a minor.

What Your Vote Means

YES

A “yes” vote on Initiative 108 increases the penalties for child sex trafficking to life in prison without parole, and expands child sex trafficking to include buying sexual activity with a minor.

NO

A “no” vote on Initiative 108 keeps the existing felony criminal penalties for child sex trafficking with a sentencing range of 8 to 48 years in prison plus a mandatory parole period.

Summary and Analysis of Initiative 108

What is child sex trafficking?

Under Colorado law, human trafficking of a minor for sexual servitude, or child sex trafficking, occurs when an adult forces or makes a minor available for commercial sexual activity in exchange for money or other compensation. Legally, a minor cannot consent to this activity. This conduct is one of many crimes with which a child sex trafficker or a buyer could be charged, such as solicitation or commercial sexual activity with a child. Colorado law provides legal defenses and protections for individuals charged with this crime who are victims of sex trafficking.

How does Initiative 108 change the crime of child sex trafficking?

Initiative 108 increases the penalty for child sex trafficking to life in prison without the possibility of parole, and removes judicial discretion in sentencing. It also adds exchanging anything of value to buy or sell sexual activity to the list of acts included in child sex trafficking. If convicted of this crime, buyers and sellers will receive a life sentence.

Table 1 outlines the current penalties for those convicted of child sex trafficking and the penalties if Initiative 108 is approved. The table reflects the range of potential penalties under current law, but the actual sentence would depend on the specifics of the crime and the outcome of the trial.

Table 1
Current and Proposed Penalties for Child Sex Trafficking

Policy	Felony Classification	Penalties
Current Law	Class 2 felony	8 to 48 years in prison and mandatory 5 years parole
Initiative 108	Class 1 felony	Life in prison without parole

Argument For Initiative 108

- 1) Child sex trafficking causes serious and long-lasting harm to victims. Someone who commits this terrible crime deserves a life sentence instead of a shorter prison term or probation. The punishment outlined in the measure is clear, definite, and ensures that offenders cannot harm more children. With this change, victims will have no doubt that child sex trafficking offenders are punished to the fullest extent of the law. The measure sends a strong message that Colorado takes these crimes seriously and discourages people from engaging in child sex trafficking. Initiative 108 makes certain that justice is served, children are protected, and victims are shielded from punishment and further harm.

Argument Against Initiative 108

- 1) Harsher sentences do little to break up organized trafficking networks, and instead punish people with smaller roles in these operations. Many victims of child sex trafficking are pressured or forced to commit crimes to help traffickers. Due to the fear of a life sentence, victims may be less likely to report these crimes or cooperate with police. Additionally, a judge would no longer be able to consider the facts of the case when sentencing someone convicted of child sex trafficking. The measure prioritizes punishment over prevention, and redirects resources from proven strategies that combat child sex trafficking. Initiative 108 is unlikely to stop child sex trafficking or protect victims.

Information about the organizations supporting and opposing this measure is available on the Colorado Secretary of State's website:

www.coloradosos.gov/pubs/elections/Initiatives/ballot/contacts/2026.html

Fiscal Impact of Initiative 108

State Spending

The measure increases future state spending in the Colorado Department of Corrections (CDOC). Costs for the measure are estimated to begin in about 14 years. Initial costs are estimated at \$180,000 in budget year 2041-42, and grow by that amount for several years thereafter. This would be an increase of \$360,000 in budget year 2042-43, \$540,000 in budget year 2043-44, and so on. These costs are not adjusted for inflation. Eventually, the increase will

1 stabilize as the costs of new offenders staying longer are offset by a decrease in costs from life
2 sentences ending due to an offender dying or potentially receiving a commutation or pardon.

3 The cost increase results from persons convicted of child sex trafficking serving a life sentence
4 instead of a fixed term. It takes years for the first affected offenders to reach the point in their
5 original sentence where they would have been paroled under current law, and that is when the
6 added cost starts. This cost estimate reflects current sentencing data and values for state-run
7 prison beds; actual costs will likely be higher in the future due to inflation, and if more
8 individuals are convicted of child sex trafficking than under current law. Notably, costs for
9 medical care will grow separately as offenders age in prison.

10 In addition, workload in the trial courts and agencies that provide representation to indigent
11 offenders in the Judicial Department will minimally increase as cases with enhanced penalties
12 generally take longer to process than cases with lesser penalties.

13 The measure does not specify a funding source to pay for the increased spending in the CDOC
14 and it is assumed that these costs will be paid from the state General Fund. While the measure
15 may reduce money available for other uses (the three largest of which are Health Care Policy
16 and Financing, Education, and Higher Education), the likely effect of the measure on other
17 programs is unknown and will depend on future budgeting decisions by the state legislature.

18 **Local Government Spending**

19 Similar to the state, workload will minimally increase for county-funded district attorneys to
20 prosecute longer cases with enhanced penalties.

Last Draft Comments from Interested Parties

Proposition 133

Penalties for Human Trafficking of a Minor

Amanda Finger, representing the Laboratory to Combat Human Trafficking:

Hello,

I would like to submit two minor comments for the 3rd version of Initiative 108, and I hope this feedback can still be considered as I realize I'm submitting this after the 8/17 deadline. Thank you in advance for all of your work on this initiative!

Amanda

Argument Against Initiative 108 section (Page 2, rows 12-13):

"Harsher sentences do little to break up organized trafficking networks, and instead punish people with smaller roles in these operations."

– Noting that most HT cases prosecuted in CO are not organized crime networks, but individuals.

Propose reframing the first sentence to: "Research has shown that the harshest sentences do not actually deter crime, they silence victims from coming forward."

Rows 18-19:

The measure prioritizes punishment over prevention, and redirects resources from proven strategies that combat child sex trafficking. Initiative 108 is unlikely to stop child sex trafficking or protect victims.

Suggest revising to: "The measure prioritizes punishment over prevention, and redirects resources from proven strategies that improve access to justice for survivors of child sex trafficking. Initiative 108 is very unlikely to reduce child sex trafficking or protect victims who are coerced to commit crimes while being trafficked."

Scott Gessler, representing Gessler Blue Law LLC:

Mr. Gessler submitted Attachment A.

Kevin Lundberg, representing Protect Kids Colorado:

I am a bit concerned by a bias I detect in this analysis of 108, favoring the rejection of 108.

The argument your analysis gives for 108 is weak. Little is said about the deterrence that 108 was designed to create with this significant increase in the sentencing. The whole point of 108 is to prevent as much child sex-trafficking in Colorado as possible. This should be the focus in the argument "for" 108. Instead it only dwells on an attitude that the offender should be punished. It misdirects the reader from the prime reason for 108 and fails to adequately present that rationale for the passage of 108.

However, in the arguments against 108 a great deal of speculation is included on why 108 may not work, and presented as facts rather than speculation. The very first sentence of that paragraph makes the definitive statement: "Harsher sentences do little to break up organized trafficking networks." Another example is the statement: "The measure prioritizes punishment over prevention," This statement fails to acknowledge the fact that increasing the penalty is intended to diminish the number of people committing the crime.

Further, the sentence goes on to say: "...redirects resources from proven strategies that combat child sex trafficking." Using the word "proven" is another assumption that harsher penalties don't work, but other methods are effective. Additionally, the argument that 108 "redirects resources" flies in the face of the fiscal note which states no real fiscal impact for 14 years. And then, after 14 years the fiscal impact is assuming that the life sentence will have not reduced the incidences of child sex-trafficking.

It is also instructive to note that the fiscal note discusses the possibilities of 108 increasing the number of convictions, but no mention of the possibility of a reduction in the number of convictions.

A more balanced approach would be to acknowledge that harsh penalties are intended to stop some potential offenders from trying it in Colorado and stop making definitive statements for the opposition that are more accurately speculative statements. There is an underlying theme that harsh penalties have no bearing on the frequency of these offenses. That is not a fact, it is an opinion of some and should be stated as such.

Qualify the statements for the opposition with "some criminal justice opinions do not think harsher sentencing reduces crime." Drop the word "proven" and balance the speculation of increasing convictions with the other possibility that sex-trafficking children will become less frequent.

Thank you for considering my review of the 3rd draft of 108.



August 17, 2026

PDF VIA EMAIL: humantrafficking2026@coleg.gov

Amanda King
Colorado General Assembly
200 E Colfax Avenue
Denver, CO 80203

Re: Ballot Initiative 108

Dear Ms. King:

I write on behalf of the Proponents who make one comment.

In the summary section, the third sentence states “[t]his conduct is one of many crimes with which a child sex trafficker or a buyer could be charged, such as solicitation or commercial sexual activity with a child.”

Specifically, the sentence begins with the phrase “this conduct.” The phrase “this conduct” refers to the conduct prohibited by the initiative. As a result, the follow-on phrase “such as solicitation or commercial sexual activity with a child” is easily interpreted to mean the very activity prohibited by the initiative. Thus, voters can easily be misled into believing that the initiative also penalizes “solicitation or commercial sexual activity with a child.”

In fact, the initiative is very limited, focused only on child sex trafficking. The Blue Book should take care to avoid confusion and misunderstanding concerning the activities an initiative encompasses, and therefore the ballot summary should remove the phrase “such as solicitation or commercial sexual activity.” The phrase adds no meaningful information the helps voters understand the initiative. and the summary can properly describe the initiative without reference to what other laws may or may not do.

Thank you for your consideration, and please feel free to contact me directly at this email or at 720-839-6637 with any questions.

Sincerely,

GESSLER BLUE LLC

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Scott E. Gessler'.

Scott E. Gessler

gesslerblue.com

Contact List

Proposition 133

Penalties for Human Trafficking of a Minor

Last Name	First Name	Email	Organization Name
.	Blake	faiths.slowish-0c@icloud.com	
511589868071610805 9423355	854948132354738349 8121262	lecmqatin5_1779987979259@bsfra mework.shop	2539433155354329323686498
Adler	Natasha	natashaa@roseandomcenter.org	Rose Andom Center
Allen	Tonya	mamanodrama@gmail.com	
ANDERSON	COLE	cole@csinstitute.org	Common Sense Institute
Austin	Michele	michelemaustin@msn.com	
bailey	chloÃ©	baileychloe003@gmail.com	
Barnes	Sarah	sarah@coloradokids.org	Colorado Children's Campaign
Bateman	Sean	Sean@thewhiterose.life	The White Rose Resistance
Bauer	Shirley	msshirleyb@gmail.com	
Beasley	Julia	julia@5280strategies.com	5280 Strategies
Belkin	Arriana	arriana.belkin@coleg.gov	Senate Majority
Bennell	Joe	joe@coloradogroup.com	The Colorado Group
Benton	Lorna	lornabentonlcsww@gmail.com	Dynamic Parent Coaching LLC dba Lorna Benton LCSW
Berndt	Mark	mark.berndt@coleg.gov	House Minority Office
Binder	C	leaserate@yahoo.com	

Last Name	First Name	Email	Organization Name
Bingham	Chad	cbingham@jeffco.us	Jefferson County Sheriff's Office
Bliesener	Emma	emma@ebpublicaffairs.com	EB public affairs
Bradbury	Andrea	abradbury01@yahoo.com	DVOMB
Brantley	Erin	logicandliberty5280@gmail.com	
Brockmeyer	John	JohnBrockmeyer@outlook.com	Self
Capps	Carson	carson.capps@coleg.gov	Colorado House Republicans
CASTLE	NATALIE	natalie.castle@coleg.gov	
Castrellon	Trenna	jctcruz@msn.com	
Chandler	Kathleen	kchandler07@comcast.net	
Christensen	Larry	larstuff@gmx.com	
Clifford	Chad	Chad.clifford.house@coleg.gov	CO House of Representatives
Cole	Onnastasia	onnastasia@ccasa.org	
Cole	Shaina	shaina@rockymountainvoice.com	Rocky Mountain Voice
Cook	Matt	mcook@casb.org	Colorado Association of School Boards
Cordell	Brian	briancordell1967@gmail.com	
Crews	Michael	michael.crews@coleg.gov	Senate Majority Office
Crisp	Jessa	jessa@jessacrisp.com	
Custer	Mark	mcuster505@proton.me	
Dallison	Kristin	kdallison87@gmail.com	
Davis	Brenna	brenna@simplemajority.org	Families Not Politics

Last Name	First Name	Email	Organization Name
Dawe	Ren	ren@youcanplayproject.org	You Can Play
Dewey	Devynn	devynn@dontstripourrights.org	Donâ€™t Strip Our Rights
Dillon	Jennifer	jennifer@ccjrc.org	CCJRC
Donner	Christie	christie@ccjrc.org	Colorado Criminal Justice Reform Coalition
Dotter	Jessica	jessica@cdac.state.co.us	Colorado District Attorneys' Council
Duran	Monica	monica.duran.house@coleg.gov	
Enos	Colleen	colleen@chec.org	Christian Home Educators of Colorado
Eslick	Regina	reslickLSW@gmail.com	
Ewing	David	David.L.Ewing93@gmail.com	
Fabrizio	Brittany	bfabrizio@arapahoegov.com	Juvenile Assessment Center
Fearn	Austin	afearn@aponte-busam.com	Aponte Busam Public Affairs
Finger	Amanda	amanda@combathumantrafficking.org	Laboratory to Combat Human Trafficking
Finn	Jeff	jeff@the-finns.com	Self
Fisher	Nathan	nfisher@cocatholic.org	Colorado Catholic Conference
Flanell	Ava	avaflanell@gmail.com	
Fletcher	Judy	fletchejudy@gmail.com	N/A
Freudenthal	Elizabeth	elizabeth.freudenthal@gmail.com	N/A
Frizell	Lisa	lisa.frizell.senate@coleg.gov	Colorado State Senate
Froelich	Meg	repfroelichhd3@gmail.com	Colorado House of Representatives, State Representative

Last Name	First Name	Email	Organization Name
Frosh	Ariane	afrosh@aclu-co.org	ACLU of Colorado
Gardett	Mark	markgardett@gmail.com	
Garner	Jen	jen@garnerist.com	Garnerist
Garrett	Brian	brian@garrett.net	
Gimelshteyn	Lori	Lori@coloradoparents.org	Protect Kids Colorado & Colorado Parent Advocacy Network
Goodrich	Jenelle	jgoodrich@fsts.org	FSTS
Guerrieri	John	jmguerrieri@gmail.com	Center for Health Progress
Gurule	Dusti	dusti@colorlatina.org	
Hanson	Kimberly	kimberly.hanson@coleg.gov	House Minority Office
Hanuman	Arnold	arnold@cdac.state.co.us	Colorado District Attorneys' Council (CDAC)
Hastings	Emily	emilyjhastings@gmail.com	
Hatton	Kiera	kiera@cobaltadvocates.org	Cobalt
Holdcraft	Kyle	kydholdcraft@comcast.net	
Horowitz	Zuri	zuribella@gmail.com	
Hrovat	Emily	emily.hrovat@state.co.us	OSPB
Hughes	Monica	Monica@cobaltadvocates.org	Cobalt
Husted	Rick	rrhphase2@aim.com	WWR
Jackson	Julia	julia.jackson@coleg.gov	LCS
Jessen	Jennisue	jenni@compass31.org	Compass 31

Last Name	First Name	Email	Organization Name
Jin	Shirley	shirleyjin1430@gmail.comShirley	LWV
Johnson	Pamela	pjeanj22@gmail.com	
Johnson	Kristopher	kristopher@johnson4colorado.org	Committee to Elect Johnson For HD24
Juday	Darcy	darcyjuday@gmail.com	AAUW of Colorado
Kallivrousis	Sheryl	sherylkall@comcast.net	LWVCO
Kelsen	Bryan	bryankelsen@msn.com	
King	Amanda	amandanking@comcast.net	
King	Amanda	amanda.king@coleg.gov	
King	Tari	tari@capitolsolutionsinc.com	Capitol Solutions Inc.
Kipp	Cathy	cathy.kipp.senate@coleg.gov	Colorado Senate
Kolupke	Katie	katie.kolupke@coleg.gov	LCS
LaBelle	Donna	dlabelle9@gmail.com	
Lamoureux	Dakota	dakota.pueblo@gmail.com	
Layton	Rebekah	rebekah.aspire@gmail.com	SAGE Empowerment LLC
Leech	Peggy	pegleech@aol.com	League of Women Voters of Colorado
Legleiter	Kyle	klegleiter@coloradohealth.org	Colorado Health Foundation
Lehnerz	Frank	flehnerz.uwyo@gmail.com	Self
Lester	Mark	mark@rmequality.org	Rocky Mountain Equality
Lundberg	Robert Kevin	SenatorLundberg@gmail.com	Protect Kids Colorado
Lunn	Matthew	matthew.lunn@state.co.us	Colorado Division of Criminal Justice

Last Name	First Name	Email	Organization Name
MacKillop	Meghan	meghan@nexuspolicygroup.com	Nexus Policy Group
Maher	Kathleen	kgmaher@gmail.com	
Marchetti	Stephen	battalion555@gmail.com	
Marine	Crystal	crystal.marine12@yahoo.com	Personal
Martínez	Annie	amartinez@copolicy.org	CCLP
Martin	Carolyn	cmpolicydir@gmail.com	
Martinez	Vanessa	vanessa@colorlatina.org	Colorado Organization for Latina Opportunity and Reproductive Rights (COLOR)
Martinez Hernandez	Roberta	dulcinea119@gmail.com	
Maycheco	Maureen	maureen@colorlatina.org	Colorado Organization for Latina Opportunity and Reproductive Right
Mc	M	mittenshanover@yahoo.com	
McCoy	Sidney	sidney@sharedhope.org	Shared Hope International
McKenna	Stephen	steve@themckennaproject.com	Grandparents4Kids
mckernan	patty	patty@protectkidscolorado.org	Protect Kids Colorado
Meyers	Laurie	laurie.m.meyers@gmail.com	
Moore	Sallie	inointronet@gmail.com	
Morales	Shaleen	smorales@rmian.org	Rocky Mountain Immigrant Advocacy Network
Moss	Sarah	sarah@calpho.org	Colorado Association of Local Public Health Officials (CALPHO)
Mueller	Patrick	patrick@cdac.state.co.us	Colorado District Attorneys' Council
Napolitano	Kara	kara@combathumantrafficking.org	Laboratory to Combat Human Trafficking

Last Name	First Name	Email	Organization Name
Neeley	Kristy	kristy.neeley@hotmail.com	
Newell	Kiyana	Kiyana@neweracoloradoaction.org	New Era Colorado Action Fund
Nickerson	Jody	jody57@proton.me	Citizen
Nurse	Christopher	christopher@christophernurse.com	Nurse Public Affairs
Oberman	Christine	obermanchristine@gmail.com	AAUW Lakewood Branch
Pahl	Eric	pahle93@gmail.com	
park	chae	chae@slpublicaffairs.com	
Parker	Bruce	bruce@rmequality.org	Rocky Mountain Equality
Petty	Macy	mpetty@cwfa.org	Concerned Women for America
Rawluk	Michael	rawlukm@yahoo.com	
Reeves	Todd	treeves@arvada.org	Colorado Association of Police
Richardson	Chris	Chris.Richardson.House@CoLeg.gov	General Assembly
Robinson	Anaya	arobinson@aclu-co.org	ACLU of CO
Rowland	Carla	carla.rowland50@gmail.com	
Ruedebusch	Katie	katie.ruedebusch@coleg.gov	
Rush	Jeany	jeanyrush@comcast.net	
Rutledge	Bennett	rutledges@peoplepc.com	Colorado by Consent of the Governed
Ryden	Su	su@ryden.com	AAUW
Sánchez	Alex	alex@vocesunidas.org	Voces Unidas

Last Name	First Name	Email	Organization Name
Schneider	Caitlin	schneider@coloradofiscal.org	
Schulze	Megan	megschulze1@gmail.com	Self
Sher	Alex	alexliorsher@gmail.com	
Shereda	Christina	christinaj5418@gmail.com	
Sherwood	Amy	americasherwood@yahoo.com	League of Women Voters Colorado
Silverstein	Justin	justin.s@cosfp.org	Colorado School Finance Project
Sisk	Jennifer	jennifer.sisk@denverda.org	2nd DA's Office
Stensland	Toby	stenslat@gmail.com	
Story	Tammy	rep.tammy.story@gmail.com	General Assembly
Thom	Mary	marythomgj@gmail.com	
Troxell	Ashley	ashley@ashleytroxell.com	
Trujillo	Maria	maria.trujillo@state.co.us	Colorado Department of Public Safety
Wangler	Lillian	lillian.wangler@gmail.com	
Wark	Brandon	brandon.wark@gmail.com	
Webster	Andrew	andrew.webster@coleg.gov	LCS
Wheeler	Catherine	cjwheeler@msn.com	
Williams	Stephen	swilliams@fountainpd.com	Fountain Police Department
Winegar	Rebecca	rcwinegar89@gmail.com	
Winslow	JESSICA	jessica@coveredcolorado.org	Covered
Wise	Jeff	jeff@remerg.com	www.remerg.com

Last Name	First Name	Email	Organization Name
Woodson	Sarah	woodsonforhd42@gmail.com	Woodson For Colorado
Wyscaver	Laura	lwyscaver@msn.com	
Zepernick	Bill	bill.zepernick@coleg.gov	
	Step	director@citizensproject.org	Citizens Project
		mckernan.pm@gmail.com	
		skyseaychildress@pm.me	
		info@csinstituteus.org	
	NK	ENVOYCOLLECTOR@GMAIL.COM	IPL
		trevorcolorado21@gmail.com	
		miranda.martinez-mundo@coleg.gov	
		ian.escalante@rmgohq.org	
		mike.weissman.senate@coleg.gov	

Proposition 133

Penalties for Human Trafficking of a Minor

Ballot Title: Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes modifying existing law concerning human trafficking of a minor for sexual servitude, and, in connection therewith, creating new law expanding human trafficking of a minor for sexual servitude to include knowingly trading anything of monetary value to buy or sell sexual activity with a minor and increasing the penalty to be life in prison without parole or release?

Text of Measure:

Be it enacted by the people of the State of Colorado

SECTION 1. Legislative Declaration. (1) The people of the State of Colorado hereby find and declare:

(a) Residents of Colorado and other states and territories throughout the United States have seen an alarming increase in human trafficking, especially human trafficking involving minor children;

(b) Current penalties in Colorado law with parole possible in as little as eight years have failed to adequately deter the human trafficking of minor children; and

(c) It is the measure of a fair and compassionate society to ensure that children are not for sale.

SECTION 2. In Colorado Revised Statutes, 18-3-504, **amend** (2)(a) and (2)(b); and **add** (5) and (6) as follows:

18-3-504. Human trafficking for sexual servitude - human trafficking of a minor for sexual servitude.

(2) (a) A person commits human trafficking of a minor for sexual servitude if the person:

(I) Knowingly sells, recruits, harbors, transports, transfers, isolates, entices, provides, receives, obtains by any means, maintains, or makes available a minor for the purpose of commercial sexual activity; ~~or~~

(II) Knowingly advertises, offers to sell, or sells travel services that facilitate an activity prohibited pursuant to subsection (2)(a)(I) of this section; OR

(III) KNOWINGLY TRADES ANYTHING OF MONETARY VALUE TO BUY OR SELL SEXUAL ACTIVITY WITH A MINOR.

(b) Human trafficking of a minor for sexual servitude is a class ~~2~~ 1 felony. The court shall sentence a person convicted of such a class ~~2~~ 1 felony to the department of corrections for a term of ~~at least the minimum of the presumptive range for a class 2 felony,~~ LIFE IN PRISON WITHOUT PAROLE OR RELEASE, as set forth in section 18-1.3-401.

(5) NOTHING IN THIS SECTION SHALL LIMIT A GOVERNOR'S STANDING AUTHORITY OF PARDON, COMMUTATION, OR CLEMENCY.

(6) THE SHORT TITLE OF THIS SECTION IS THE "CHILDREN ARE NOT FOR SALE ACT."

SECTION 3. Effective date -- applicability. This act takes effect on January 1, 2027, and applies to offenses committed on or after that date.

