

Distinguished Members of the Colorado State Senate,

I am writing to express CASB and D51's strong opposition to Senate Bill 24-049, titled "Content of Materials in Libraries." The CASB Board of Directors has voted to oppose this bill due to our concerns regarding its potential infringement on the authority granted to locally elected Boards of Education by the Colorado Constitution.

While we acknowledge the importance of addressing concerns related to the content of materials in libraries, such decisions should remain within the purview of local school boards. Local school boards are elected by the community and are uniquely positioned to understand the diverse needs, values, and perspectives of their constituents.

SB24-049, as currently proposed, centralizes the decision-making process and restricts the autonomy of locally elected school boards. This raises significant concerns about the erosion of local control, a principle that has been fundamental to the success of our education system in Colorado. Our state's Constitution entrusts local school boards with the authority to make decisions that reflect the values and preferences of the communities they serve.

The proposed legislation could lead to unintended consequences, including potential conflicts between state mandates and the diverse educational needs of different communities. By placing additional restrictions on the content of materials in libraries at the state level, there is a risk of stifling local innovation and responsiveness to individual school districts' unique educational goals and cultural contexts. Most, if not all, school districts are addressing these issues in Board Policy.

We urge the Colorado State Senate to carefully consider the potential impact of SB24-049 on the constitutional authority granted to local school boards. A collaborative approach involving local communities, educators, and elected officials is essential to address concerns related to library materials while respecting the principles of local governance.

We appreciate your attention to this matter and your dedication to the well-being of Colorado's education system. We urge you to reconsider the provisions of SB24-049 and work towards a solution that preserves the vital role of locally elected school boards in shaping the educational experiences of our students.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Andrea Haitz, President
Board of Education
Mesa County Valley School District 51
Colorado Association of School Board, Region 10 Director



JAMES IRWIN CHARTER SCHOOLS

Character Development and Academic Excellence

February 23, 2024

Madame Chair and Members of the Senate Education Committee,

I am writing to you today as a leader within the James Irwin Charter Schools network, a position that affords me a unique perspective on the potential impacts of the proposed legislation concerning the establishment of committees for the reconsideration of library resources within our schools. Our network operates with multiple authorizers, a structure that has served us well in meeting the diverse needs of our students and communities. However, the bill under consideration poses significant challenges to our operations and, by extension, the educational experience we offer.

The bill suggests granting district superintendents the authority to establish committees responsible for the reconsideration of library resources. For a charter network like James Irwin, which is currently authorized by three different bodies, this proposal introduces a layer of complexity that could significantly impede our ability to manage educational content effectively. Each authorizer could potentially interpret and implement these committees differently, leading to inconsistencies in how resources are evaluated and approved across our network. This fragmentation could undermine our efforts to provide a coherent and unified educational approach, an essential factor in our success to date.

Moreover, as we plan for growth and consider adding more authorizers to our network, the proposed bill threatens to compound these challenges, making it increasingly difficult to navigate the regulatory landscape and maintain the quality and consistency of our educational offerings. The diversity of committee interpretations and decisions could lead to a patchwork of standards and approvals, complicating our curriculum development and resource allocation efforts.

It is important to note that our charter board already functions in a capacity similar to the committees proposed by the bill. It actively engages with the public, including parents, to hear concerns and make informed decisions regarding the approval, removal, or disapproval of books and curriculum. This existing structure ensures that decisions about educational content are made by individuals deeply familiar with our network's mission, values, and the communities we serve, thereby safeguarding the integrity and relevance of our educational materials.

In light of these considerations, I respectfully urge the committee to reconsider the implications of this bill for charter schools like James Irwin. Imposing a one-size-fits-all approach to the reconsideration of library resources fails to account for the unique structures and needs of



JAMES IRWIN CHARTER SCHOOLS

Character Development and Academic Excellence

charter networks, potentially stifling innovation and growth within this vital sector of our educational system.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I am available to discuss these concerns further and to provide any additional information the committee may require.

Sincerely,

Rob Daugherty
Chief Executive Officer
James Irwin Charter Schools

My name is Dr. Rich Guggenheim. I am the Colorado Chapter leader of Gays Against Groomers. A nonprofit organization of gay, lesbian, bisexual, and transgender individuals who oppose indoctrination, sexualization, and medicalization of children under the guise of LGBTQ+.

We at Gays Against Groomers are strong advocates for the First Amendment and in Article II Section 10 of the Colorado State Constitution.

We also believe that parents have the primary responsibility to raise and educate their children as they see fit. Schools are a tool in that process, and parental rights in directing their child's education and upbringing are inalienable. Schools' primary responsibility should be in educating, not indoctrinating children and providing them with the knowledge and skills they will need to succeed as adults in society. To facilitate in this process, we believe that the books and materials used in schools and in classrooms should be educational and age appropriate.

This bill would create a new level of bureaucracy and would limit parents' right to direct the education of their children. It would limit a school or school board's ability to remove material that is not educational or age appropriate from the school's library or classroom. Instead, this bill would place that authority in the hands of an appointed board with no accountability or oversight. Thus this bill would make it more difficult to remove materials that are deemed, by parents, to be inappropriate or obscene from school's libraries and classrooms. This bill further erodes the rights of parents and the trust between schools and their communities.

For these reasons, we urge a strong NO vote on SB24-049

I work in a public library and am naturally concerned by the increase in challenges to books in library collections and to the efforts by some groups to control what everyone has access to.

When I was a child, I spent a lot of time at my local public library. I've always been an avid reader and the library was a treasure trove of books. A treasure trove I only had full access to because my parent filled out paperwork to allow me access to more than just the children's section of the library. (A default restriction that so angered my mother that she didn't patronize the library herself.)

As a child, I was just glad to have access to all those books. Now, as an adult, and especially as a library professional, I realize how backwards it was that parents had to opt their children *into* having access. No wonder my mother was so incensed.

What children read should be in their family's hands, not the library's, and certainly not some out-of-state group interested in restricting what all children can read. Parents who want to know what their kids are reading should accompany them to the library and actively engage with what they check out. Trying to place that responsibility on other people will never work out to anyone's satisfaction—not the parents' or the library's.

As a library professional, I don't want to be in the position of deciding what's appropriate for someone else's child. Nor do I want to have to explain that popular and well regarded books have been removed from the library because they were challenged by someone who doesn't live in this state, much less my community. And I would think most parents would be unhappy with someone else deciding what is appropriate for their child. My mother certainly was.

I hope this bill will help keep decisions about library collections in the hands of libraries and their communities. And help keep decisions about what a child reads in their family's hands.

February 8, 2024

Senator Cutter, Senator Kolker, Representative Joseph and Representative Hamrick:

I am writing to thank you for sponsoring SB24-049, and for supporting our libraries and our right to read whatever we chose. As a life-long reader and retired educator of 40 years, I know the value of having access to information be it within the pages of a book, a periodical, a database, by electronic means or through online access. I had the joy of being a teacher-librarian for 20 of my 40 years, so can easily recognize knowledge to be the key to curiosity and successful learning.

Although most school districts and library systems have policies in place with regards to challenging library materials, SB24-049 will ensure that these policies will be followed accordingly, especially with respect to an individual's request to ban a book, especially when without merit. Discrimination and censorship in our libraries simply cannot, nor should be tolerated.

Thank-you,

Susan Gilbert



SB24-049suzette benish to: committees.lcs.ga@coleg.gov 02/26/2024 12:56 PMPlease respond to "suzette benish"

Honored members of the Colorado Legislature,

I would like to address the consideration of SB 25-049 concerning the protection of libraries and librarians. My name is Suzette Baker and I am the former head librarian of the Kingsland branch of the Llano County Library System. I am also a U S. Army veteran. I took an oath to protect the constitution even to the ultimate sacrifice. I still believe on that oath.

Our library was attacked by a group of people who viewed their own beliefs outweigh the freedoms and rights. They use the battle cry "save the children". Which if it was true, is an honorable quest. But if you read what the oppose, they are trying to oppress ideas they are diametrically against. Ideas such as LGBT+, racism, and self expression.

This is an organized movement using the Internet to spread a downloadable hate speech guideline. They will stand up reading experts of books taking them out of context and misrepresenting the stories. This is a "plug and play" form of hate speech.

These stories are a vital part of our culture. They foster understanding of lifestyles and ideas which we may not normally be exposed to. Stories that give voice to the richness and diversity of American culture. A chance to walk in someone else's shoes. Books that we have the right to read.

I ask you to protect the libraries and librarians that protect our constitutional right to knowledge.

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

Dear Members of the Senate Education Committee,

My name is Jan Knauer. I am a librarian and a resident of Douglas County, Colorado. I am also a co-founder of the Douglas County FReadom Defenders, a community group that formed last year in response to attacks against LGBTQ materials in our local public library. I am writing to register our group's support of SB24-049, "Content of Materials in Libraries."

The Freedom Fathers, a conservative Christian men's group, launched a campaign to remove LGBTQ materials from Douglas County Libraries in April 2023. The book banners claimed that several award-winning books with LGBTQ characters were "pornography" and accused library staff of "indoctrination" and "grooming children." One of them even called for a bonfire to burn these books.

The Douglas County FReadom Defenders formed soon after. We circulated a petition asking the library board of trustees to keep these challenged materials on the library shelves. Our petition gathered more than 1,700 signatures, demonstrating just how unpopular book banning is – even among conservative constituents. Ultimately, the library director and board of trustees refused to remove these materials.

This isn't how the story always ends. That's why the Douglas County FReadom Defenders support legislation that codifies the First Amendment right to read in Colorado. All Coloradans should have the right to read, no matter who is elected to their local school or library board.

Thank you for introducing this important legislation.

Sincerely,

Jan Knauer

Senate Education

02/26/2024 01:30 PM

SB24-049 Content of Material in Libraries

Typed Text of Testimony Submitted

Name, Position, Representing	Typed Text of Testimony
Kira Abelow For themselves	<p>My name is Kira Abelow--I am a Douglas County resident, frequent library user, and a member of the LGBTQ+ community. The impact of book challenges is truly felt in Douglas County. It shows that there are extremists and hateful people in our community, it has made myself scared to participate in our community, and I know it impacts queer youth as well.</p> <p>I grew up in Orlando, Florida a place that is both extremely progressive and there is also pockets of hate in that town and around the whole state. I went to college at the University of Central Florida when the Pulse Nightclub shooting happened nearby, and I lived in Douglas County when the Club Q shooting happened in Colorado Springs, also nearby. I've seen how public displays of hate embolden people to create other public displays of hate and make life worse or even end the lives prematurely of valued people in the communities I've lived in.</p> <p>Book challenges are often the public display of hate that I often see in Douglas County. These bans target my communities and my friends, and I know they are not representative of the way most Colorado citizens feel. I urge you to pass this bill so that book challenges and book bans in Colorado are not permitted. It is a way to say no to extremist pockets of hate, and show how important diversity and acceptance are in our Colorado community. Thank you for listening and thank you for your time.</p>
Philip Krogmeier For themselves	<p>Good afternoon. My name is Philip Krogmeier. I thank you for allowing me to present my argument in support of SB24-049 today. I am a lifelong resident of Colorado, and I am writing to you today as a parent of five children, as a member of the LGBTQIA+ community, and as a librarian. We are currently in the midst of an unprecedented attack on freedom of information. On the front lines of this battle are educators and librarians, who serve to provide accurate information to all who might seek it. This fight is demoralizing to us all, especially as we see challenges against books rising by over 140% from 2022 to 2023. I staunchly believe that these challenges are more harmful to</p>

	<p>our children than any content they might find in a book. The literature these children have access to can show them that they are not alone, that other people around the world have had experiences akin to theirs. These books can give our children hope that the future might be brighter. They can expand the horizons of readers far beyond the bounds of the smaller communities they might live in now. They can encourage our children to stay alive long enough to find support. SB24-049 will help educators and librarians alike by protecting them from unnecessary harm from people who seek to prevent access to these books. If adults are willing to talk to kids about what they read, and really engage with them, they'll find that the kids are being helped by access to books, and that attempts to restrict what they can read do far more harm than good. If we want to protect our children, we must protect those who are here to teach them and help them to access information. Teachers and librarians deserve the support of all Coloradans in defense against a crushing wave of ignorance. SB24-049 is a good starting point for that support. Librarians and teachers should not have to fear for their careers and their lives just for making materials accessible. Thank you.</p>
<p>Barbara Niederhoff Amend themselves</p>	<p>Dear Madam Chair and Members of the Committee,</p> <p>I am pleased and proud to ask you to support SB24-049, with one change I will describe below. Our schools and libraries are cornerstones of American communities, where our freedoms and futures are built.</p> <p>During my high school years, I was aware of a national politically instigated panic over education. But I was also fortunate to see my school, Cherry Creek High School, rise to the challenge. Rather than lie low and duck controversy, the school administration and staff set up a week of special programming so that the students could explore the issues openly, develop our own discernment, and build mature thinking skills.</p> <p>Now as then, not all students are lucky enough to live in districts with the resources and support needed to protect their freedoms and build the skills they need for adulthood. This why I am asking for your "yes" on 049. This bill provides a standard for materials review that will allow for actual errors in acquisition to be corrected while also protecting the rights of all Colorado students and their families -- no matter where they live -- to use materials that best suit their own needs without outside interference.</p>

	<p>I do ask for one amendment: that language be added for any work under review to be reviewed as a whole. Decisions to retain or remove must not be made based on any part or parts of a work in isolation from the whole.</p> <p>Thank you very much for your time and consideration.</p>
<p>Dawn Fritz For Colorado Parent Teacher Association</p>	<p>Colorado PTA supports Senate Bill 49</p> <p>We believe that students need access to information in order to explore and experience the diversity of ideas in a pluralistic society. PTA also supports the rights of both public libraries and school libraries to provide factually correct and historically accurate information and materials that are free of censorship based on bias, partisanship or doctrinal disapproval.</p> <p>To that end PTA advocates for laws and policies that—</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Encourage the establishment of school system policies to ensure the rights of educators, parents, students, and other community members to assume roles in the evaluation, selection, and adoption or removal procedures of school library materials in public schools. • Provide age and developmentally appropriate educational materials which includes family structure, gender identity, sexual orientation and sexual health. • Present the diverse racial and ethnic heritages of this country, and the contributions of all groups, including historically and currently marginalized groups, in the educational materials and textbooks used by our schools. • Portray accurately the role and contribution of all people in textbooks and materials used by our public schools. <p>We recognize and support the rights of parents to guide their children in choosing appropriate reading and education materials. We also support policies that foster parent involvement including</p>

	<p>decision- making, however, no one parent should be allowed to determine the curriculum or services that shall be available to children other than his or her own.</p> <p>PTA supports Senate Bill 49 because establishing a review process into law aligns well with one of Colorado PTA’s highest priorities — which is to protect access to diverse materials and information particularly in libraries.</p>
<p>Samantha Wild Against Moms For Liberty El Paso County</p>	<p>Good Afternoon Senate Education Committee,</p> <p>My name is Samantha Wild, and I am the Vice Chair of Moms for Liberty El Paso County Colorado. Today, I urge you to vote NO on Senate Bill 24-049 for the following reasons.</p> <p>Colorado voters elect their local school boards to align with the values of the local community in which they are elected. If this bill passes, you will be taking away the rights of local school board control, which many school districts already have policies in place for removing library content. This is government overreach at its finest, and why are we working so hard to protect content in libraries that is not age-appropriate? When children are at school they do not have full access to the internet, and the same should go for books.</p> <p>This bill also prevents the Colorado State Board of Education from waiving the requirements of the bill as they are applied to public schools, district charter schools, and institute charter schools, and the Colorado State Board of Education is also another elected body in our state, that you are taking power away from.</p> <p>This bill also discriminates against a large majority of parents in Colorado, by saying THREE PARENTS OF STUDENTS REPRESENTATIVE OF A MINORITY GROUP DESCRIBED IN SECTION 22-1-104 (1)(a) WHOSE CHILDREN ATTEND A SCHOOL IN THE SCHOOL DISTRICT. Commmittees’ of any sort need to be opened to anyone who lives within the district boundaries, and not just focused on those who are part of minority groups. This is a violation of Title VI.</p>

	<p>Verbiage in this bill also allows a principal, librarian, media specialist, other employees, contractor, or a volunteer to override the appointed committee at any time as long as they are acting in "good faith."</p> <p>I pledge to honor the fundamental rights of parents including, but not limited to the right to direct the education, medical care, and moral upbringing of their children. I pledge to advance policies that strengthen parental involvement and decision-making, increase transparency, defend against government overreach, and secure parental rights at all levels of government.</p> <p>Thank you for taking the time to read this.</p> <p>In Liberty,</p> <p>Samantha Wild Vice-Chair of Moms for Liberty El Paso Co. Colorado</p>
<p>Lloyd Benes Against himself</p>	<p>SB24-049, my Name is Lloyd Benes. I represent myself. I placed 4 objections to this Bill in the written testimony to the Legislative website today. (https://www2.leg.state.co.us/CLICS/CLICS2024A/commsumm.nsf/signIn.xsp).</p> <p>1. Unanimous consent: Section 2, (7) states that "A LIBRARY RESOURCE EVALUATED FOR RECONSIDERATION MAY BE REMOVED ONLY UPON UNANIMOUS CONSENT OF THE COMMITTEE. It is nearly impossible to unanimity. Even the US Constitution uses levels of 67% or 75% consent for changes. I quote, "An amendment may be proposed by a 2/3 vote of both Houses of Congress... The amendment must then be ratified by 3/4 of the State legislatures..."). If you require unanimity, informed voters will wonder why you have set such an unreasonable level.</p> <p>2. Timeliness is 14 days, not 90: Section 2, (10) states that "AN OBJECTION TO MULTIPLE DIFFERENT LIBRARY RESOURCES MUST BE LODGED AS A SEPARATE REQUEST FOR ... EACH LIBRARY RESOURCE." If a parent objects to 24 pornographic books in the school library, it would take 6 years for the Committee to complete disposition because Section 2, (6) (g) says disposition for each reconsideration must be issued "WITHIN NINETY DAYS OF THE HEARING". Those words should be changed to "within 14 days of receiving the request for a request for a Hearing". This would allow</p>

	<p>backlog to be cleared on 24 objectionable resources in 1 year instead of 6 years. Informed voters will expect a timely response for this process, & 90 days is not reasonable.</p> <p>3. restriction on objections to resources to parents-only: Section 2, (8) states that "ONLY A STUDENT ATTENDING A SCHOOL OR A PARENT OF A 3 STUDENT ATTENDING A SCHOOL MAY LODGE A REQUEST FOR 4 RECONSIDERATION OF A LIBRARY RESOURCE." This is discriminatory against grandparents & concerned citizens. Informed voters may believe this is an attempt to silence vigilant members of the community from being able to protect students from harmful resources.</p> <p>4. A "Volunteer" can prevent removal of material even if the Committee has agreed to its removal, as Section 2, (13) says, "(13) A PRINCIPAL, SCHOOL LIBRARIAN, MEDIA SPECIALIST,..OR VOLUNTEER AT A SCHOOL MAY REFUSE ...TO REMOVE A LIBRARY RESOURCE FROM A SCHOOL LIBRARY IF THE INDIVIDUAL HAS A REASONABLE GOOD FAITH BELIEF THAT THE DIRECTIVE CONFLICTS WITH APPLICABLE LAW OR POLICY...". The words "A reasonable good faith belief" begs the question, "How do you verify if a "reasonable good faith belief" is true or false? The Bill does not tell how this will be adjudicated.</p>
<p>JEANY RUSH</p> <p>Against themselves</p>	<p>TO: SENATE EDUCATION COMMITTEE 2-26-24</p> <p>FROM: JEANY RUSH CONCERNED CONSTITUENT COLORADO SPRINGS</p> <p>RE: SB-24-049 CONTENT OF MATERIAL IN LIBRARIES</p> <p>NO vote on this or any version in the future!</p> <p>There is a War raging on our children, through school programs, and further, through harmful materials provided in the school libraries, as well as public libraries.They include books, magazines, videos, memes, games, applications, cartoons, movies, computer programs, and connections to social media. It is accomplished by a Marxist form of governmental control and replacement of parents and families.</p> <p>Cultural, ideological, and physical normalization of the rape of their minds and bodies has become criminal. Crimes against Humanity. Through materials you all allow in the hands and eyes of innocent children, you have become complicit in the indoctrination, hyper sexualization, and even increasing deaths of children. Materials often are Porn, and promote that its normal. The results of most of these materials create gender dysphoria, indoctrination,</p>

	<p>dehumanization, and the misguided and often complicit American Library Association, American Psychiatric Association, and American School Counselors Association promote it.</p> <p>School libraries have power to curtail-control who can see them. I am not advocating banning public libraries. I am not advocating separation for LGBTQ. Materials should be rated for older people, and not made readily available to schoolchildren, they should have what I would call a FIREWALL of protection. Those FIREWALLS should never, ever be breached by the Librarians against Parents' backs.</p> <p>This bill sounds like a Ministry of Truth, like further bureaucracy, none of which is needed. Schools already have legal rights on these issues. We also have too many committees, overlords, and overriding of parental rights. DO YOU ALL REALIZE IN A WORKSPACE ANY OF THE ABOVE REFERENCED MATERIALS, BOOKS I AM REFERRING TO, EG. GENDER QUEER, FLAMER, AND MANY OTHERS, would allow law suits. Yet, you</p> <p>want to quibble over what a small child can be exposed to. That is not your job as legislator. You also actually guarantee neutrality of who chooses, decides, appoints.</p> <p>The materials we want barred from childrens' views already violate all decency. Sec IV (H) is about prohibiting discrimination by age etc. It should discriminate by age. It should also discriminate by content!</p> <p>I AM OFFENDED THAT YOU ALL COULD EVEN WRITE A BILL LIKE THIS.</p>
--	--



February 6, 2024

Colorado Senate Committee on Education

SCR 357, Colorado General Assembly
200 E Colfax Avenue, Denver, CO 80203

Dear Chair, Vice Chair, and Members of the Senate Committee on Education,

I write to you on behalf of GLSEN, the leading national organization on LGBTQI+ issues in K-12 education. GLSEN is committed to ensuring that all youth – including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer, nonbinary, Two-Spirit, and intersex youth – have equal opportunities to thrive, grow, and reach their full potential. GLSEN works to build safe and affirming learning environments for LGBTQI+ youth while advancing racial, gender, and disability justice in education settings.

GLSEN supports S.B.049 and urges the committee to support the effort by the sponsors to curb censorship and book bans in Colorado libraries. The bill prohibits the removal of school library materials in order to uplift a political agenda and/or dismiss diverse experiences.

PEN America's Index of School Book Bans collected 1,477 instances of book bans in states across the country in the first six months of the 2022-23 school year alone. Over 800 unique titles were taken from classroom shelves, many books were removed without implementing a comprehensive review process.¹ Books by and about LGBTQ+ people and people of color are disproportionately impacted by book bans. This is more than just removing diverse books from our classrooms; ideologically motivated book bans promote an atmosphere of repression and fear amongst students. This conclusion is supported by the U.S. Department of Education which found that hostile school environments can be created during the library book screening process.² In contrast, when the diversity of our nation is presented in the classroom and available to students in school libraries, students find themselves reflected in what they are learning and gain greater understanding of the broader communities within Colorado. This can create safer and more inclusive school environments, reducing bullying and harassment for marginalized students and ensuring all children have a greater opportunity to learn.

We cannot allow for a rollback of educational freedom to take hold in Colorado. We call on the Senate Education Committee to support S.B. 49 and protect against bigoted attempts to limit what our students can access in school libraries. Should you have any questions about the above information, please feel free to reach out to Rin Alajaji, State and Local Policy Manager, via email at rin.alajaji@glsen.org.
Sincerely,

Brian Dittmeier
Director of Public Policy
GLSEN

¹ <https://pen.org/report/banned-in-the-usa-state-laws-supercharge-book-suppression-in-schools/>

² Available at U.S. Department of Education, Office for Civil Rights, "U.S. Department of Education's Office for Civil Rights Resolves Investigation of the Removal of Library Books in Forsyth County Schools in Georgia," May 19, 2023, <https://bit.ly/4bpPc7f>

Senator Cutter, Senator Kolker, Representative Joseph and Representative Hamrick:

I am writing in support of SB24-049, Content of Material in Libraries. I have been a library user since childhood and have worked as a paraprofessional in libraries for over 30 years, most recently as a high school library media technician. I consider libraries to be the great equalizer for our communities by making ideas and information available to all. Information is power and through libraries all citizens have access to information they need to make their lives better through books, internet access, and community engagement.

The recent increase of book banning and attacks on libraries and their staff across the country is alarming. As Coloradans, we must act to protect access to the materials in our libraries and give protections to the people who work in them. SB24-049 gives a framework for conversation and communication that respects an individual's right to free speech and expression but also protects the rights of the community.

Thank you.