

Written Testimony

Written testimony is a public record under the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) and is provided to individuals upon request. Individuals submitting written testimony must use this sheet, but do not need to complete the optional portions. Attachments to this sheet are permitted. Please see a staff person for any questions regarding written testimony.

Bill: HB 20-1206 Against
 Date: 2/19/20 ethm. of R.P.s

Name	Kat Shea 40 yrs in practice		
Address (optional)	2925 3rd St R.P. since		
City (optional)	Boulder	Zip (optional)	80304 '94
Telephone (optional)	303 447-0474		
Email (optional)	katshea@msn.com		
Representing	Registered Psychotherapists		
Position on Bill:	For	Against	
	Neutral	X	

Co. statute defines psycho-therapy as "a treatment, diagnosis testing assessment" all pathology based except "therapy" + "counseling". Yes, let's allow all diagnosis testing + assessment be totally the purview of licenced professionals!

But let's separate: treatment + counseling "in a professional relationship to assist individuals or groups to alleviate behavioral mental health disorders, understand unconscious or conscious motivation, resolve emotional, relationship or attitudinal conflicts or modify behaviors which interfere with effective emotional, social or intellectual functioning." This is the description of all helping professions to some degree, and is the high ideal of the scope of practice of Registered Psychotherapists. The major difference is that R.P.s are much less interested in the pathology - or "what is wrong with this person." The DSM 5 is largely written by pharmaceutical interests, and links largely to medicating the problem. Yes, knowing descriptors for the issues can be helpful. But this is a human being with a wide spectrum of mental, physical, spiritual, relational, financial effects, wounds... and yet underneath a diagnosis is the essence or their original design which is where the healing comes from.

Insurance companies that reimburse services from licenced mental health professionals. There is a huge population that can benefit from their help + knowing insurance can cover part of the cost. We celebrate our colleagues who serve this population that often only seeks help from insurance sanctioned providers.

R.P.s do not accept insurance for the most part, and are not as attached to the labels of diagnosis which can stigmatize a person for what is on their medical record permanently. What if they heal? So those that seek help not wanting labels or medication, who don't have insurance or have a very high deductible, R.P.s can offer just the therapy + counseling with different types of

modalities, many of which are not yet offered in mainstream educational systems. So in response to our colleagues who want to eliminate those who offer different treatment + counseling options fill these with insurance or who choose to seek alternative approaches to explore their inner life.

- the bill is assuming harm in the therapeutic relationship, when JOCRA has found no such harm. "more focus on pathology demanding evidence based" is a very vague term, demanding extensive double blind studies. No 2 studies are alike. I would like to propose that evidence based "is exactly who is being helped will return + pay out of pocket. ^{get the insurance people} educational qualifications + there people of educational qualifications. 2/50% of RPs don't list those qualifications that should be a JOCRA issue. How did they allow that in RPA applications?

- The human condition is constantly changing, as we see a mental health crisis in the sheets of children, adolescents, adults in need. Classically medicine + science have always codified that which is "stable" and vehemently resisted change. R.P.s represent the therapeutic paradigm that are outside the mainstream. We must always make room for the innovators to meet the changing needs of humanity.