

DISTRICT COURT DENVER County, Colorado Court Address: 1437 Bannock Street, Rm 256, Denver, CO 80202	<b>COURT USE ONLY</b>
Plaintiff/Petitioner: Pro Se  v. Defendants/Respondents: City and County of Denver, Amy M. Apodaca, Leon G. Tafoya, et al.	
	Case Number: 2019CV  Division 5A    Courtroom
<b>PER CRS 24-72-301 et al.          MOTION FOR COPY OF JUDGE'S SUPPRESSION ORDER          MOTION TO UNSUPPRESS COURT RECORDS</b>	

For the following reasons (cite any applicable law)

Above (and Colorado Supreme Court rule stating suppressed court cases should include the names of those involved.)

**Plaintiff requests the Court provide a copy of the Order suppressing and protecting Plaintiff's court records,**

**Plaintiff requests the Court un-suppress and un-protect the following records:**

- 1) BOTH the original and amended NOA/DOR
- 2) ALL four (4) attachments to the NOA/DOR

**Plaintiff requests the Court to refrain from suppressing plaintiff's court records going forward given this case is a matter of public concern and the Respondents do not need to be shielded given their status as "public servants" who are tax-funded.**

**Plaintiff requests the Court to redact APPROPRIATELY as is the standard.**

Date: 3/21/19

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Petitioner/Plaintiff  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Address  
 \_\_\_\_\_

DISTRICT COURT DENVER County, Colorado Court Address: 1437 Bannock Street, Rm 256, Denver, CO 80202	<b>COURT USE ONLY</b>
Plaintiff/Petitioner: Pro Se [REDACTED]  v. Defendants/Respondents: City and County of Denver, Amy M. Apodaca, Leon G. Tafoya, et al.	
	Case Number: 2019CV [REDACTED]  Division 5A      Courtroom
<b>PER C.J.D. 05-01(9.00)(c) and C.R.S. 24-72-301 et al.          MOTION TO CORRECT FALSIFIED COURT RECORDS</b>	

Per the above

[REDACTED]

Plaintiff requests the Court to correct the following falsified records:

[REDACTED]

*AMENDED Notice of Appeal and Designation of Record:* Was filed on March 11, 2019 MID-DAY AND NOT 2019 Mar 12 AM 3:25 when the court was closed.

*Motion to File without Payment of Filing Fee:* Was filed on March 12, 2019 MID-DAY AND NOT 2019 Mar 12 AM 3:25 when the court was closed.

*ORIGINAL Notice of Appeal and Designation of Record:*

- 1) Was attempted to be filed on multiple dates including 2/21/19 when Plaintiff was ejected by force from the first floor of the City and County Building near Room 135 while attempting to file and obtain public records after the noon hour.
- 2) On subsequent attempts District Court was closed each time regardless of whether it was early morning or afternoon.
- 3) Due to threat of arrest as well as [REDACTED] hours the ORIGINAL (not amended) NOA/DOR was eventually able to be filed on March 12, 2019 AROUND NOON AND NOT 2019 Mar 12 AM 3:25 when the court was closed.

*Finding and Order Concerning Payment of Filing Fees dated 3/14/19:*

Defendants/Respondents are clearly stated to be *City and County of Denver, Amy M. Apodaca and Leon G. Tafoya et al.* on BOTH the ORIGINAL NOA/DOR and the Motion to File without Payment of Filing Fee.

# EXHIBIT A2 PAGE 2

## Integrated Colorado Online Network (ICON) Registry of Actions:

- 1) Correct *Defendant/Respondent* to reflect what is shown on the ORIGINAL NOA/DOR and Motion to File without Payment of Filing Fee, which is again *City and County of Denver, Amy M. Apodaca, Leon G. Tafoya et al.*
- 2) Correct *Case File Date: 3/12/2019* to when case was originally attempted to be filed *March 8, 2019* and when it was finally able to be filed on March 11, 2019 (see above.)
- 3) Correct *Motions Filed: N* to show that [REDACTED] fact been filed on this case, specifically the Motion to File without Payment of Filing Fee and the motion [REDACTED] 3/28/2019.
- 4) Correct *Def 1* to show there are in fact multiple defendants: *Def 1 City and County of Denver, Def 2 Amy M. Apodaca, Def 3 Leon G. Tafoya and Def 4 et al.*
- 5) Correct *File Date(s)* to accurately reflect the dates as stated above, specifically the NOA/DOR and the ATTACHMENTS to reflect 3/11/19 (not 3/12/19.)

## Plaintiff motions the court to confirm the above using:

All security camera footage from the 2nd floor of the City and County Building recorded on 3/11/19 as well as 3/12/19

All security camera footage from the 1st floor of the City and County Building recorded on 2/21/19 as well as 3/8/19.

Motion is made given falsified court records violate both *In the Interest of Justice* as well as other rights including and not limited to procedural due process rights, which cannot and do not exist concurrent with falsified court records.

Date: 3/21/19

[REDACTED] Petitioner/Plaintiff  
[REDACTED]  
Address  
[REDACTED]  
City, State and Zip Code  
[REDACTED]  
Telephone Number (Home) [REDACTED] (Work)

---

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on March 28, 2019 a true and accurate copy of the MOTION PER C.J.D. 05-01(9.00)(c) and C.R.S. 24-72-301 et al. TO CORRECT FALSIFIED COURT RECORDS was served on the court by hand delivery/e-file/fax to .

[REDACTED] Petitioner/Plaintiff

DISTRICT COURT DENVER County, Colorado Court Address: 1437 Bannock Street, Rm 256, Denver, CO 80202	
Plaintiff/Petitioner: Pro se [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	<b>COURT USE ONLY</b>
v. Defendants/Respondents: City and County of Denver, Amy M. Apodaca, Leon G. Tafoya, et al.	Case Number: 2019CV [REDACTED]
	Division 5A      Courtroom
<b>MOTION FOR COLORADO COURTS E-FILING SYSTEM USER ID</b>	

For the following reasons (cite any applicable law)

The judicial branch e-filing pilot for pro se litigants ended in August 2017. The judicial branch worked for months to expand the e-filing system for pro se litigants across all case classes. It is now 1 year and 7 months after the pro se e-filing pilot ended.

Plaintiff requests the Court to:

**Provide Plaintiff Colorado Courts E-Filing System User ID as a pro se litigant so Plaintiff can file, serve and receive legal documents, etc., electronically given Plaintiff is continually being denied the ability to file, serve and retrieve legal documents in person in Rooms 256 and 135 under threat of arrest while being accosted by various public servants and Denver Sheriff Deputies whom Plaintiff funds with taxes.**

**Plaintiff motions for User ID to file cases and service related documents, including Core and Optional Service Features, within the District and County Courts using the Colorado Courts E-Filing System.**

**Plaintiff motions for explanation as to why the Colorado Judicial Branch E-Filing Oversight Committee's (EOC) meeting minutes only show minutes to May 12, 2017 as of 3/26/2019?**

Date: 3/21/19

\_\_\_\_\_  
 [REDACTED] Petitioner/Plaintiff  
 [REDACTED]  
 Address  
 [REDACTED]  
 City, State and Zip Code  
 [REDACTED]  
 Telephone Number (Home)      [REDACTED] (Work)

DISTRICT COURT DENVER County, Colorado Court Address: 1437 Bannock Street, Rm 256, Denver, CO 80202	<b>COURT USE ONLY</b>
Plaintiff/Petitioner: Pro se [REDACTED] v. Defendants/Respondents: City & County of Denver, Amy M. Apodaca, Leon G. Tafoya, et al.	
	Case Number: 2019CV [REDACTED]  Division 5A      Courtroom
<b>MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF FINDING AND ORDER DATED 3/14/19 IN RE INDIGENCY</b>	

Per Supreme Court Chief Justice Directive (CJD) 98-01 and "in the interest of justice" that the court claims it is devoted to "as an extension of this CJD" and

Given We The People grant judges their "judicial discretion" and expect they will not engage in "abuse of discretion" by committing errors of law given all the circumstances (Medina v. Otero) and

Notwithstanding Judge Michael Anthony Martinez's Finding and Order, Plaintiff motions the court to "otherwise find plaintiff to be indigent" in light of CJD 98-01's 3 factors:

- Plaintiff is long-term unemployed and falls within the poverty guidelines set forth in CJD 98-01 (factor 1 income.) The court being the government already knows this,
- Plaintiff requests the court cease attempting to bankrupt Plaintiff in order to avoid accountability for the court's own violations and abuse (factor 2 assets,)
- Plaintiff has been battling public servant violations of her constitutional and statutory rights using her own dimes for quite some time now (factor 3 expenses,)

Plaintiff notes per CJD 98-01 that court staff who are abusing plaintiff "reviews the applicant's information on form JDF 205 to determine whether the applicant is indigent on the basis of three factors" and "court staff completes Finding and Order Concerning Payment of Costs from JDF 206."

CAN YOU SAY MASSIVE CONFLICTS OF INTEREST?

Judges are limited to determining whether petitioning party has financial resources to pay costs and expenses incident to litigation (Cook v. Weld.)

11) Plaintiff's right of *right and justice being administered without sale, delay or denial* (Alvarez v. Weld) is being violated (e.g. nearly \$700 to file and have case 18CV dismissed along with a refusal to waive costs denying access to the courts in this case.)

12) Plaintiff was under extreme duress during her trial (e.g. she was forced to urinate in a plastic drinking cup in the courtroom 3A conference room and clerks/law enforcement repeatedly denied her demands as per constitutional rights to confront the witnesses against her in person and not from the conference room) and then Plaintiff spent nearly 3 months in jail unemployed. Preparing a statement of the evidence or proceedings from Plaintiff's recollection is a ridiculous alternative given those facts and more.

That said, plaintiff requests the Court to:

**Provide this motion to the judge assigned to the case – Shelley Gilman - instead of allowing court clerks to continue to abuse the discretion they are granted in CJD 98-01.**

**Have Judge Gilman review all actions thus far in these cases.** Law enforcement and the judiciary have already examined Plaintiff's gluteus maximus and minimus and figuratively climbed up Plaintiff's anus, small and large colons in violation of Plaintiff's rights. Plaintiff is unwilling to provide further data to public servants who are violating her rights so that they may further abuse Plaintiff using tax dollars.

Plaintiff respectfully and rightfully ceases to agree to go along with the abuse any longer and hopes the judiciary "sees the light" prior to provoking/forcing a redux of the American Revolution (to gain independence not from the British this time but from American public servant abusers) and/or a redux of the Civil War. Please.

**Enter a written order per CJD 98-01 (3)(ii) setting forth the reasons for a finding of indigency based on extraordinary circumstances and the other factors outlined above.**

Date: 3/21/19

\_\_\_\_\_  
Petitioner/Plaintiff  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Address  
\_\_\_\_\_  
City, State and Zip Code  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Telephone Number (Home)                      (Work)

---

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on March 28, 2019 a true and accurate copy of the **MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF FINDING AND ORDER DATED 3/14/19 IN RE INDIGENCY** was served on the District Court in Room 256 by hand delivery/e-file/fax to .

\_\_\_\_\_  
Petitioner/Plaintiff