

---

---

# State Treasurers Office

Presentation to the Joint Budget Committee

*Higher Education Revenue Bond Intercept Program Discussion*

January 14, 2014



# State Financial Management: Who Manages the State's Financial Obligations?

---

**Before SB 12-150:** the State's financial obligations were scattered and decentralized:

- Colorado was an outlier compared to other states with no entity who organized and managed debt or financial obligations.
- Ratings agencies had no centralized point of contact for the State's finances
- State's debt and financial obligations were not managed as a "whole" portfolio,
- Colorado lacked any oversight to ensure SEC and IRS-required post-issuance requirements

**After SB 12-150:** The State established a centralized point of contact for the issuance and management of its financial obligations:

- Stability: Market and credit agencies view State as an organized financial issuer
- Savings opportunities: Oversight creates opportunities for savings through refinancings and identifying efficiencies in the financial management process
- Global Management: State's debt and financial obligations were not managed as a "whole" portfolio,
- Compliance: Colorado now in 100% compliance with annual SEC and IRS-required post-issuance requirements



# Debt Consolidation Successes: SB 12-150

---

---

Highlights of successes after consolidating the State's financial management:

- *Policies and Procedures:* The Treasurers Office promulgated the State's first State Public Financing Policy (or "debt" policy)
- *Compliance:* The Treasurers office organized an organizational overhaul of the State's post-issuance compliance procedures
  - Hired DAC Bond, a company to help facilitate transparency of financial issuance and post-compliance activities to financial markets and the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board
  - For first time, the State is 100% in compliance with post-issuance requirements
- *Savings:* Over the last 18 months, the Treasurer's office has identified several refinancing opportunities that saved the State over \$8 million
  - CU Fitzsimons COP Refunding, Series 2013 (\$4.67m in savings)
  - CDOT TRANS Refunding, Series 2013 (\$2.04m in savings)
  - CSP II Refinancing/Restructuring, Series 2013 (\$1.5 million in cash flow savings, restructured to help find new tenant)
- *Efficiencies:* In process of streamlining document process and establishing qualified pools of financial services for the State



# State Treasurer's Scope of Financial Management

*Who is in? Who is out?*

---

---

*The State Treasurer manages any financial obligation that is a direct State obligation, paid by pledged State revenues, generally subject to annual appropriation (ask yourself: is the State seal on this transaction?):*

## In:

- FML Higher Ed COPs
- CDOT TRANS
- Judicial/History COPs
- BEST COPs
- ETRAN/GTRAN Short Term Notes
- Agriculture COPs

## Out:

- Higher Ed Revenue Bonds (Intercept and non-Intercept)
- CDOT Bridge Enterprise Revenue Bonds
- School District Moral Obligation Bonds
- Charter School Moral Obligation Intercept Program



# Higher Education Revenue Bond Intercept Program

---

---

*What is the Higher Ed Revenue Bond Intercept Program?:*

- The Intercept program is a credit enhancement program for Higher Education institutions
- Primary benefit to Higher Ed institutions is substantial interest rate savings based on the State's credit rating
- Estimated interest rate savings of the Intercept program: *Roughly \$63 million*

*What if a Higher Ed institution cannot pay their debt service?:*

- Paying agent or institution notifies State Treasurer
- State Treasurer sends paying agent “immediately available funds” sufficient to pay principal and interest amounts owed
- State Treasurer recovers that amount through withholding the institution's fee-for-service allocation



# Higher Education Revenue Bond Intercept Program

## *Recent Improvements – SB 13-199*

---

*SB 13-199: Modifying the formula for qualifying Higher Education Intercept Program participants*

- Sponsored by the Capital Development Committee, this bill helped re-define debt capacity along the lines of an industry standard.
- Original formula was based on fee-for-service – a line item with no correlation to an institution’s overall financial health.

*Modified formula for Intercept Program is now a two-pronged test to emphasize financial health:*

1. Debt capacity is defined as 150% “coverage” of available revenue versus annual debt service
2. Requires any Higher Ed institution to have a rating of at least single-A by a nationally recognized rating organization.



# Higher Education Revenue Bond Intercept Program

## *TABOR Compliance*

---

---

*The Higher Education Intercept Program is viewed as TABOR-compliant for the following reasons:*

- Intercept program is not viewed as an obligation; rather an *advance* of funds already allocated through fee-for-service line item
- The State Treasurer would essentially withhold money already allocated and in its possession which would otherwise have been paid to the institution.
- Intercept payments by the State Treasurer on behalf of an institution does not constitute a multi-fiscal year obligation, and is contingent on “*immediately available funds*” and reimbursed by that institution’s fee-for-service line item.

