COLORADO GENERAL ASSEMBLY JOINT BUDGET COMMITTEE



SUPPLEMENTAL REQUESTS FOR FY 2012-13

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT

JBC Working Document - Subject to Change Staff Recommendation Does Not Represent Committee Decision

> Prepared By: Megan Davisson, JBC Staff January 14, 2013

For Further Information Contact:

Joint Budget Committee Staff 200 E. 14th Avenue, 3rd Floor Denver, Colorado 80203 Telephone: (303) 866-2061 TDD: (303) 866-3472

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Narrative Page	Numbers Page
Prioritized Supplementals in Department-Assigned Order		
Supplemental #1 – Department Indirect Adjustments	1	12
Supplemental #2 – Processors and End Users Fund	2	16
Supplemental #3 – COFRS Modernization	7	17
Non-prioritized Supplementals		
JBC Staff-initiated Supplemental #1 – Hazardous Materials Legal Services	8	18
JBC Staff-initiated Supplemental #2 – Technical Correction to H.B. 12-1283 Appropriation	9	19
JBC Staff-initiated Supplemental #3 – Retirements Line Item Technical Correction	10	20
Statewide Common Policy Supplemental Requests	11	N.A.
Totals for All Supplementals	N.A.	X

Prioritized Supplemental Requests

SUPPLEMENTAL REQUEST, DEPARTMENT PRIORITY #1 DEPARTMENT INDIRECT ADJUSTMENTS

	Request	Recommendation
Total	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Cash Funds	297,476	297,476
Federal Funds	(297,476)	(297,476)

Does JBC staff believe the request meets the Joint Budget Committee's supplemental criteria? [An emergency or act of God; a technical error in calculating the original appropriation; data that was not available when the original appropriation was made; or an unforseen contingency.]	YES
JBC staff and the Department agree that this request is the result of data that was not available when the appropriation was made.	e original

Department Request: The Department requests fund split adjustments to all the indirect cost assessment line items to reflect the actual indirect rates charged on cash and federal funds.

Staff Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Committee approve the Department's supplemental request.

Staff Analysis: Department indirect costs assessments are used to charge each division its share of the departmental overhead expenses. Different rates apply to different fund sources, and rates vary depending on how the funds are used (e.g. indirect rates on funds used for program expenses are higher than rates on funds distributed out to local governments). Once the centrally appropriated line items are set, the Department calculates the total overhead expenses, and uses best available data to determine the fund mix for each division's indirect costs. Utilizing actual data for the first part of the fiscal year, the Department has submited a supplemental to true-up the funding mix for each division's indirect costs.

SUPPLEMENTAL REQUEST, DEPARTMENT PRIORITY #2 PROCESSORS AND END USERS FUND

	Request	Recommendation	
Total	<u>\$2,249,276</u>	<u>\$1,666,830</u>	
Cash Funds	2,249,276	\$1,666,830	

Does JBC staff believe the request meets the Joint Budget Committee's supplemental criteria? [An emergency or act of God; a technical error in calculating the original appropriation; data that was not available when the original appropriation was made; or an unforseen contingency.]

JBC staff and the Department agree that the extra available funds are a result of data that was not available when the original appropriation was made, but does not agree that the need for additional spending authority is a result of any of the supplemental criteria.

Department Request: The Department requests the spending authority for the Processors and End Users Fund be increased by \$2,249,276 cash funds for FY 2012-13. The Processors and End Users Fund is used by the Waste Tire Program to reimburse entities that process and use waste tires. The request is driven by two factors:

- An accounting error that occurred in FY 2010-11, but was not discovered until the close of FY 2012-13; and
- The Program's methodology to establish a reimbursement rate for the first six months of FY 2012-13, which resulted in expenditures equal to all of the FY 2012-13 spending authority.

Staff Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Committee approve an increase of \$1,666,830 cash funds. This will enable the Waste Tire Program to continue to fund reimbursements to processors and end users, and also retain \$582,446 cash funds to implement changes that may be needed as a result of the study being conducted to develop a statewide plan to encourage the development of markets that use waste tires.

Staff Analysis:

Analysis of the accounting error

Staff agrees that the accounting error that occurred at the end of FY 2010-11, but was not discovered until the year end close of FY 2011-12, does meet supplemental criteria of unknown data at the time the appropriation was made. The Department's request states that the complexities of H.B. 10-1018 (Looper and Primavera/Gibbs) resulted in the Department's misunderstanding of the transfer mechanism and failure to transfer excess fund balances to the Processors and End Users Fund at the end of FY 2010-11. The amount of funds identified in the accounting error is \$2,249,276 cash funds. See the diagram on the following page to understand how the revenue for the Waste Tire Program is distributed.

Distribution of Waste Tire Program Revenue



At the end of the Fiscal Year any unspent moneys in any of the funds are transferred to the Processors and End Users Fund



Analysis of Spending Authority Issue

The funds identified in the accounting error may not, under most circumstances, warrant a supplemental request because these are additional dollars that could be expended, but do not have to be expended in the current fiscal year. The current practice of the Program is to adjust the reimbursement fee on a monthly basis by dividing the number of tons submitted for reimbursement by the available fund balance. By using the available fund balance as a factor in calculating the reimbursement fee in FY 2012-13, the Program set the fee at an unsustainable level in the first six months of FY 2012-13. This methodology resulted in expenditures equaling the spending authority approved by the General Assembly in December 2012.

The Program's fee methodology, and the reason staff does not believe this part of the request meets supplemental criteria, is a result of the Department's failure to follow the State Controller's Office August 2011 interpretation of Section 25-17-202.5 (1), C.R.S. By using the available fund balance, and not the appropriation amount, when calculating the reimbursement rate, the Program ignored the State Controller's statutory interpretation that directed the Department to set the fee based on the spending authority not the available fund balance.

Section 25-17-202.5 (1), C.R.S. states, "Any moneys in the fund not expended or encumbered from any appropriation at the end of any fiscal year shall remain available, without further appropriation, for expenditure in the next fiscal year by the department." This language provides the program with roll forward spending authority only for moneys appropriated, but unspent, in one fiscal year to the next. The \$2.2 million identified in the accounting error was never appropriated, and therefore should not have been included in reimbursement calculation.

Staff would remind the Committee this issue was raised last year during the emergency supplemental process. The Department requested increased spending authority because the reimbursement methodology was based on the fund balance, and was told by the State Controller's Office the Program could only spend the amount of funds based on the spending authority not the fund balance.

In response to staff's inquiry about the methodology used to set the fee, the Department indicated that although the issue was raised, and supposedly resolved last year, the methodology used to calculate the reimbursement rate was not changed modified. This is not new information to the Program and the need for additional spending authority does not meet any supplemental criteria.

The added challenge to the request is that the Program has already used up all the spending authority, and if the General Assembly does not approve additional spending authority, there will be no reimbursements paid for the remainder of FY 2012-13.

Section 25-17-202.5 (1), C.R.S. states "the General Assembly shall appropriate moneys in the fund for monthly partial reimbursement to processors and end users, up to a maximum of sixty five dollars for each ton." The sixty five dollar reimbursement rate is not a requirement but a cap. In order to ensure the General Assembly is retaining appropriate control over expenditures from the Processors and End Users Fund going forward, while providing processors and end users with reimbursement funds, **staff recommends \$1,666,830 be appropriated for the**

remainder of FY 2012-13 for reimbursement fees. Staff calculated this amount based on the following methodology.

Step 1: Staff examined the amount of tons reimbursed in FY 2011-12, which is shown in Table 1, and calculated each month's percent of total tons.

Table 1. FY 2011-12 Tons of Waste Tires Reimbursed		
		Percent of Total
	Tons	Tons
July 2011	8,693	10.6%
Aug. 2011	14,388	17.5%
Sept. 2011	5,256	6.4%
Oct. 2011	8,319	10.1%
Nov. 2011	5,210	6.3%
Dec. 2011	4,998	6.1%
Jan. 2012	5,766	7.0%
Feb. 2012	6,679	8.1%
March 2012	3,534	4.3%
April 2012	6,564	8.0%
May 2012	4,829	5.9%
June 2012	8,037	9.8%
FY 11-12 Total	82,273	100.0%

Step 2: Using the actual tons for the first four months of FY 2012-13, and the associated percentage of the total in FY 2011-12, staff projected the total FY 2012-13 tons, as shown in table 2.

Table 2 - Calculation of Projected FY 2012-13 Total Tons			
	· ·		Projected Total Tons
	a	b	=a/b
July 2012	6,455	10.6%	60,896
Aug. 2012	16,863	17.5%	96,360
Sept. 2012	8,516	6.4%	133,063
Oct. 2012	8,965	10.1%	88,762

For the recommendation, staff selected the projected total tons based on the August 2012 number due to the trend in FY 2012-13 that would indicate more tons will be reimbursed for in FY 2012-13 than in FY 2011-12. The projected number staff selected as the basis for the recommendation represents an increase of 14,087 tons or 17.1 percent over FY 2011-12. This total ton allowance will also provide the Program with the flexibility to set reimbursement rates based on the tons recycled, while allowing the General Assembly to control the appropriation of the reimbursement funds pursuant to statute.

Step 3: Staff calculated, based on the projections in Table 2, how many tons would be submitted for reimbursement for the remainder of FY 2012-13.

Table 3. Total Tons Remaining for FY 2012-13			
Projected FY 2012-13 Total Tons	2-13 Actual Tons Used Remaining To		
a b		=a-b	
60,896	40,799	20,097	
88,762	40,799	47,963	
96,360	40,799	55,561	
133,063	40,799	92,264	

Step 4: Staff calculated, based on the four options shown in Table 3, the cost of reimbursements based on reimbursement rates that range from \$25 per ton to the maximum of \$65 per ton. Since the Program has demonstrated that they are unable to manage the appropriation, staff recommends the General Assembly appropriate funds based on a projected reimbursement rate of \$30 per ton. Table 4 outlines the cost of each option. Note that the maximum the General Assembly could appropriate for FY 2012-13 is \$2,249,276.

Table 4. Projected FY 2012-13 Total Reimbursement Costs				
Reimbursement	Remainin	g Tons for FY	2012-13 (fron	n Table 3)
per Ton	20,097	47,963	55,561	92,264
\$25	502,425	1,199,075	1,389,025	2,306,600
\$30	602,910	1,438,890	1,666,830	2,767,920
\$35	703,395	1,678,705	1,944,635	3,229,240
\$40	803,880	1,918,520	2,222,440	3,690,560
\$45	904,365	2,158,335	2,500,245	4,151,880
\$50	1,004,850	2,398,150	2,778,050	4,613,200
\$55	1,105,335	2,637,965	3,055,855	5,074,520
\$60	1,205,820	2,877,780	3,333,660	5,535,840
\$65	1,306,305	3,117,595	3,611,465	5,997,160
*Staff recommend	lation is in yell	ow.		

The remaining \$582,446 cash funds would stay in the Processors and End Users Fund, and could be used to implement the recommendations put forth in the plan being developed by an independent contractor, to outline strategies which will encourage the development of markets that use waste tires. If the plan does not require additional funds, the General Assembly can appropriate these funds in FY 2013-14.

SUPPLEMENTAL REQUEST, DEPARTMENT PRIORITY #3 COFRS MODERNIZATION

	Request	Recommendation	
Total	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	
General Fund	417,565	417,565	
Cash Funds	281,805	281,805	
Reappropriated Funds	(699,370)	(699,370)	

Does JBC staff believe the request meets the Joint Budget Committee's supplemental criteria? [An emergency or act of God; a technical error in calculating the original appropriation; data that was not available when the original appropriation was made; or an unforseen contingency.]	YES
JBC staff and the Department agree that this request is the result of data that was not available when the	e original

Department Request: The Department requests a change to the fund sources in the line item funding the Department's share of the statewide Colorado Financial Reporting System (COFRS) modernization project. The request is a result of federal rules preventing the use of federal grant funds on capital construction projects.

Staff Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Committee approve the Department's request.

Staff Analysis: The federal government prevents any federal grant funds, either directly billed or collected through indirect costs, from being used for capital construction projects. The contract with the COFRS modernization vendor does not include directly bill federal funds shown in other Department's COFRS Modernization line items. The vendor contract does include all the federal funds collected through indirects in the Department of Public Health and Environment because the indirect collections are shown as reappropriated funds in the line item. The request seeks to directly bill all cash funds, and to add General Fund to replace the dollars initially anticipated to be collected through federal indirect cost assessments. The restriction was not known at the time the appropriation was set for FY 2012-13. Staff does not believe this request violates Section 24-75-1300, C.R.S., which prevents the backfill of federal funds with General Fund because these federal funds were never collected in the first place, meaning that there is nothing to backfill.

If the Committee does not fund this supplement, the Department will be unable to pay the Office of Information Technology for the Department's share of the vendor costs, which will result for a need of General Fund in a need in the Office of Information Technology so that the contractual costs with the vendor can be paid.

Non-prioritized Supplemental Requests

JBC STAFF-INITIATED SUPPLEMENTAL #1 HAZARDOUS MATERIALS LEGAL SERVICES

	Request Recommendation	
Total	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$232,523</u>
Cash Funds	0	155,195
Federal Funds	0	77,327

Does JBC staff believe the request meets the Joint Budget Committee's supplemental criteria? [An emergency or act of God; a technical error in calculating the original appropriation; data that was not available when the original appropriation was made; or an unforseen contingency.]	YES
This request is the result of data that was not available when the original appropriation was made.	

Department Request: The Department did not request this change. However, the Department is aware and supportive of staff's recommendation.

Staff Recommendation: Staff recommends an increase of 3,010 hours to the Hazardous Materials and Waste Management Division legal services line item. The recommendation is intended to undo a staff initiated reduction taken during the FY 2012-13 figure setting process. At the time, staff was unaware of the pending legal cases the Division is involved and driving the need for additional hours.

Staff Analysis: There are two primary drivers behind staff's recommendation to restore a portion of the legal service hours. The first is the on-going work with the Lincoln Park Community regarding the decommissioning of the Cotter uranium mill site. The Department is continuing to work with the community to reform the Advisory Committee and to resume the decommissioning activities at the mill site. The second primary driver of the need for legal services hours is the ongoing legal dispute over the proposed Energy Fuels uranium mill. The Radiation Program was required to conduct an additional public hearing in November and is currently in the process of re-evaluating the license application.

Staff inquired about the implications of not increasing the legal services hours and was informed that the majority of the Division's legal service hours are used to respond to complaints and filed legal actions. Without the increase, the Division would be required to decide which cases to prioritize, while accounting for cases which have non-negotiable federal law requirements and court ordered deadlines.

The FY 2012-13 figure setting recommendation reduced the number of legal service hours for the Hazardous Materials and Waste Management Division by 3,923 hours, based on the actual use of hours in the most recent actual years of FY 2009-10 and FY 2010-11.

In order to project what the need would be for the remainder of FY 2012-13, staff used the following steps to project the Departments need for the remainder of FY 2012-13. The table below illustrates how the recommendation was calculated.

Step 1: Staff used the first five months of actual legal service hours used (shown in column a), to project what the total need for FY 2012-13 would be assuming the level of use remains the same (column b).

Step 2: Staff then determined how many of the total hours calculated in column b, would be used in the last seven months of the fiscal year (shown in column c).

Step 3: Based on the FY 2012-13 blended legal rate of \$77.25 per hour (column d), staff calculated the dollar amount, by fund source of the additional legal service hours (column e).

C	Calculation of Staff Recommendation on Hazardous Materials Legal Service Hours							
Fund Source	FY 2012-13 5 Month Use of Legal Services	Projected Use for FY 2012-13	Remaining 7 Months	Cost Per Hour	FY 2012-13 Additional Need			
	a	b = (a*12)/5	c =b-a	d	e = c*d			
Cash Funds	1,435	3,444	2,009	\$77.25	\$155,195			
Federal Funds	715	1,716	1,001	\$77.25	\$77,327			
Total	2,150	5,160	3,010		\$232,523			

JBC STAFF-INITIATED SUPPLEMENTAL #2 H.B. 12-1283 TECHNICAL CORRECTION

	Request	Recommendation	
Total	<u>\$0</u>		<u>\$0</u>
General Fund	0		0

Does JBC staff believe the request meets the Joint Budget Committee's supplemental criteria? [An emergency or act of God; a technical error in calculating the original appropriation; data that was not available when the original appropriation was made; or an unforseen contingency.]	YES
This request is the result of a technical error in calculating the original appropriation.	

Department Request: The Department did not request this supplemental change, but is aware of the staff initiated supplemental and is supportive of it.

Staff Recommendation: Staff recommends a technical change to the appropriation clause of H.B. 12-1283 to move the reduction of \$19,060 General Fund from the Administration and Support Division to the Emergency Response and Preparedness Division.

Staff Analysis: House Bill 12-1283 moved funding for 2.0 FTE from the Emergency Response Division to the Department of Public Safety for the consolidation of homeland security functions within the Department of Public Safety. A technical error was made in the appropriation clause of H.B. 12-1283 which resulted in the reduction of General Fund from the Administration and Support Division. The appropriation should have reduced General Fund from the Emergency and Preparedness Response Division. This supplemental has a net zero impact, does not change how much General Fund is transferred to the Department of Public Safety, and corrects where the General Fund in the Department of Public Health and Environment is transferred from.

JBC STAFF-INITIATED SUPPLEMENTAL #3 RETIREMENTS LINE ITEM TECHNICAL CORRECTION

	Request Recommendation	
Total	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$199,227</u>
Reappropriated Funds	0	199,227

Does JBC staff believe the request meets the Joint Budget Committee's supplemental criteria?	YES
[An emergency or act of God; a technical error in calculating the original appropriation; data that was	
not available when the original appropriation was made; or an unforseen contingency.]	
This request is the result of data that was not available when the original appropriation was made.	

Department Request: The Department did not request this supplemental.

Staff Recommendation: Staff recommends the retirements line item be increased by \$199,227 reappropriated funds in FY 2012-13 to reverse a staff initiated recommendation made during the FY 2012-13 figure setting process.

Staff Analysis: It has come to staff's attention that the recommendation made during the FY 2012-13 figure setting process to reduce the retirements line item was made without a full understanding of how retirement payouts worked. The initial recommendation was intended to ensure the line item was only used to pay federally funded employee payouts, but has resulted in excessive administrative burdens on the department in trying to align the accounting mechanisms with the Long Bill spending authority. Therefore to reduce unnecessary administrative burdens staff is recommending the action taken during the figure setting process be reversed

Statewide Common Policy Supplemental Requests

These requests are not prioritized and are not analyzed in this packet.

Department's Portion of Statewide Supplemental Request	Total	General Fund	Cash Funds	Reapprop. Funds	Federal Funds	FTE
Liability Premiums Technical True-up	\$15,914	\$0	\$0	\$15,914	\$0	0.0
Statewide Tobacco True-up	169,156	0	169,156	0	0	0.0
Department's Total Statewide Supplemental Requests	\$185,070	\$0	\$169,156	\$15,914	\$0	0.0

Staff Recommendation:

Liability Premiums Technical True-up

This amount represents the Department's share of the *Liability Premiums Technical True-up* interim 1331 supplemental that was approved by the Committee on Friday, Jan. 4, 2013. **Staff recommends that this adjustment be included in the Department's supplemental bill.**

Statewide Tobacco True-up

The Long Bill appropriation of tobacco master settlement dollars is set based on the March 2012 Legislative Council revenue forecast of tobacco master settlement dollars. The actual revenue for FY 2012-13 is projected to come in 1.7 percent higher than was appropriated in the Long Bill. On Wednesday January 9, 2012 the Committee voted to sponsor legislation which would enable Tobacco Settlement-funded programs to expend up to 5.0 percent more than their annual appropriation of Tobacco Settlement funds, if tobacco receipts exceed projections. Based on the Committee's action to sponsor this legislation, and assuming the legislation has a safety clause, this supplemental does not need to be included in the Department's supplemental bill. Staff asks permission to include, if needed, in the Department of Public Health and Environment's budget the Committee action on this supplemental when presented during the Higher Education supplemental presentation.

Appendix A: Number Pages						
	FY 2011-12 Actual	FY 2012-13 Appropriation	FY 2012-13 Requested Change	FY 2012-13 Rec'd Change	FY 2012-13 Total W/ Rec'd Change	
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND E	NVIRONMENT					
Department Indirect Adjustments						
ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT (A) Administration						
Indirect Costs Assessment Cash Funds Reappropriated Funds Federal Funds	542,440 302,282 8,325 231,833	559,818 300,657 110,000 149,161	(155,437) (155,437) 0 0	(155,437) (155,437) 0 0	404,381 145,220 110,000 149,161	
CENTER FOR HEALTH AND ENVIRONMEN Indirect Cost Assessment	TAL INFORMAT	ION				
Indirect Cost Assessment Cash Funds Reappropriated Funds Federal Funds	1,495,430 789,689 15,564 690,177	1,190,366 753,421 31,369 405,576	486,935 486,935 0 0	486,935 486,935 0 0	1,677,301 1,240,356 31,369 405,576	
LABORATORY SERVICES Director's Office						
Indirect Cost Assessment Cash Funds Reappropriated Funds Federal Funds	1,423,520 905,266 1,693 516,561	1,619,588 1,153,204 0 466,384	119,808 246,796 0 (126,988)	119,808 246,796 0 (126,988)	1,739,396 1,400,000 0 339,396	

AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DIVISION

	FY 2011-12 Actual	FY 2012-13 Appropriation	FY 2012-13 Requested Change	FY 2012-13 Rec'd Change	FY 2012-13 Total W/ Rec'd Change
Administration					
Indirect Cost Assessment	2,584,221	2,976,008	(421,609)	(421,609)	2,554,399
Cash Funds	1,923,566	2,500,473	(421,609)	(421,609)	2,078,864
Federal Funds	660,655	475,535	0	0	475,535
WATER QUALITY CONTROL DIVISION					
Administration					
Indirect Cost Assessment	2,247,765	2,049,741	139,052	139,052	2,188,793
Cash Funds	683,567	911,888	139,052	139,052	1,050,940
Federal Funds	1,564,198	1,137,853	0	0	1,137,853
HAZARDOUS MATERIALS AND WASTE MA	NAGEMENT DIV	ISION			
	1.004.202	2 100 110	152 027	152 927	2 251 047
Indirect Cost Assessment Cash Funds	1,984,292	<u>2,198,110</u>	153,837	153,837	<u>2,351,947</u>
	1,114,801 33,091	1,360,775 50,000	153,837	153,837 0	1,514,612 50,000
Reappropriated Funds Federal Funds	836,400	787,335	0	0	787,335
rederal runds	630,400	101,333	U	U	767,333
DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH A	AND SUSTAINAB	ILITY			
Indirect Costs Assessment	212,700	990,904	(277,358)	(277,358)	713,546
Cash Funds	143,006	634,252	(179,210)	(179,210)	455,042
Federal Funds	69,694	356,652	(98,148)	(98,148)	258,504

	FY 2011-12 Actual	FY 2012-13 Appropriation	FY 2012-13 Requested Change	FY 2012-13 Rec'd Change	FY 2012-13 Total W/ Rec'd Change		
Administration, General Disease Control and Su	rveillance						
Indirect Cost Assessment	2,439,898	2,563,476	31,319	31,319	2,594,795		
Cash Funds	18,323	20,789	31,319	31,319	52,108		
Federal Funds	2,421,575	2,542,687	0	0	2,542,687		
PREVENTION SERVICES DIVISION							
Administration							
Indirect Cost Assessment	3,139,137	3,598,339	(205,356)	(205,356)	3,392,983		
Cash Funds	411,754	985,656	(205,356)	(205,356)	780,300		
Reappropriated Funds	0	137,347	0	0	137,347		
Federal Funds	2,727,383	2,475,336	0	0	2,475,336		
HEALTH FACILITIES AND EMERGENCY M	EDICAL SERVIC	CES DIVISION					
Indirect Cost Assessment							
Indirect Cost Assessment	2,204,705	2,422,897	201,149	201,149	<u>2,624,046</u>		
Cash Funds	925,981	1,099,415	201,149	201,149	1,300,564		
Reappropriated Funds	482,923	569,894	0	0	569,894		
Federal Funds	795,801	753,588	0	0	753,588		
EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS AND RESPONSE DIVISION							
Indirect Cost Assessment	622,816	700,000	(72,340)	(72,340)	627,660		
Federal Funds	622,816	700,000	(72,340)	(72,340)	627,660		

	FY 2011-12 Actual	FY 2012-13 Appropriation	FY 2012-13 Requested Change	FY 2012-13 Rec'd Change	FY 2012-13 Total W/ Rec'd Change
Total for Department Indirect Adjustments	18,896,924	20,869,247	0	0	20,869,247
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Cash Funds	7,218,235	9,720,530	297,476	297,476	10,018,006
Reappropriated Funds	541,596	898,610	0	0	898,610
Federal Funds	11,137,093	10,250,107	(297,476)	(297,476)	9,952,631

	FY 2011-12 Actual	FY 2012-13 Appropriation	FY 2012-13 Requested Change	FY 2012-13 Rec'd Change	FY 2012-13 Total W/ Rec'd Change
Processors and End Users Fund					
DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH A	AND SUSTAINAB	ILITY			
Waste Tire Program	3,908,459	5,134,982	2,249,276	1,666,830	6,801,812
FTE	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
Cash Funds	3,908,459	5,134,982	2,249,276	1,666,830	6,801,812
Total for Processors and End Users Fund	3,908,459	5,134,982	2,249,276	1,666,830	6,801,812
FTE	<u>1.0</u>	<u>1.0</u>	$\underline{0.0}$	<u>0.0</u>	<u>1.0</u>
Cash Funds	3,908,459	5,134,982	2,249,276	1,666,830	6,801,812

	FY 2011-12 Actual	FY 2012-13 Appropriation	FY 2012-13 Requested Change	FY 2012-13 Rec'd Change	FY 2012-13 Total W/ Rec'd Change
COFRS Modernization					
CENTER FOR HEALTH AND ENVIRON Information Technology Services	NMENTAL INFORMAT	TION			
COFRS Modernziation	<u>0</u>	699,370	<u>0</u>	0	699,370
General Fund	$\overline{0}$	0	417,565	417,565	417,565
Cash Funds	0	0	281,805	281,805	281,805
Reappropriated Funds	0	699,370	(699,370)	(699,370)	0
Total for COFRS Modernization	0	699,370	0	0	699,370
FTE	0.0	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>
General Fund	0	0	417,565	417,565	417,565
Cash Funds	0	0	281,805	281,805	281,805
Reappropriated Funds	0	699,370	(699,370)	(699,370)	0

	FY 2011-12 Actual	FY 2012-13 Appropriation	FY 2012-13 Requested Change	FY 2012-13 Rec'd Change	FY 2012-13 Total W/ Rec'd Change		
Hazardous Materials Legal Services							
HAZARDOUS MATERIALS AND WASTE MANAGEMENT DIVISION Administration							
Legal Services	<u>183,162</u>	171,652	<u>0</u>	232,522	404,174		
Cash Funds	35,235	116,032	0	155,195	271,227		
Reappropriated Funds	242	386	0	0	386		
Federal Funds	147,685	55,234	0	77,327	132,561		
Total for Hazardous Materials Legal Services	183,162	171,652	0	232,522	404,174		
FTE	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>		
Cash Funds	35,235	116,032	0	155,195	271,227		
Reappropriated Funds	242	386	0	0	386		
Federal Funds	147,685	55,234	0	77,327	132,561		

	FY 2011-12 Actual	FY 2012-13 Appropriation	FY 2012-13 Requested Change	FY 2012-13 Rec'd Change	FY 2012-13 Total W/ Rec'd Change
Technical Correction to H.B. 12-1283 Appropria	tion				
ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT (A) Administration					
Health, Life, and Dental	1,656,762	4,245,505	<u>0</u>	19,060	4,264,565
General Fund	576,203	595,660	0	19,060	614,720
Cash Funds	709,006	2,859,482	0	0	2,859,482
Reappropriated Funds	371,553	790,363	0	0	790,363
Federal Funds	0	0	0	0	0
EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS AND RESPO	NSE DIVISION				
Emergency Preparedness and Response Program	14,965,344	18,628,513	<u>0</u>	(19,060)	18,609,453
FTE	34.1	36.1	0.0	0.0	36.1
General Fund	1,726,649	1,619,139	0	(19,060)	1,600,079
Federal Funds	13,238,695	17,009,374	0	0	17,009,374
Total for Technical Correction to H.B. 12-1283					
Appropriation	16,622,106	22,874,018	0	0	22,874,018
FTE	<u>34.1</u>	<u>36.1</u>	$\underline{0.0}$	<u>0.0</u>	<u>36.1</u>
General Fund	2,302,852	2,214,799	0	0	2,214,799
Cash Funds	709,006	2,859,482	0	0	2,859,482
Reappropriated Funds	371,553	790,363	0	0	790,363
Federal Funds	13,238,695	17,009,374	0	0	17,009,374

	FY 2011-12 Actual	FY 2012-13 Appropriation	FY 2012-13 Requested Change	FY 2012-13 Rec'd Change	FY 2012-13 Total W/ Rec'd Change
Retirements Line Item Technical Correction					
ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT (A) Administration					
Retirements	481,145	281,918	<u>0</u>	199,227	481,145
Reappropriated Funds	481,145	281,918	0	199,227	481,145
Total for Retirements Line Item Technical	_				
Correction	481,145	281,918	0	199,227	481,145
FTE	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>
Reappropriated Funds	481,145	281,918	0	199,227	481,145
Totals Excluding Pending Items PUBLIC HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT					
TOTALS for ALL Departmental line items	462,347,398	466,101,507	2,249,276	2,098,579	468,200,086
FTE	<u>1,204.5</u>	<u>1,223.1</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>1,223.1</u>
General Fund	27,087,070	30,283,511	417,565	417,565	30,701,076
General Fund Exempt	446,100	441,600	0	0	441,600
Cash Funds	113,299,475	157,156,520	2,828,557	2,401,306	159,557,826
Reappropriated Funds	31,588,828	32,052,315	(699,370)	(500,143)	31,552,172
Federal Funds	289,925,925	246,167,561	(297,476)	(220,149)	245,947,412