HCR24-1002: Constitutional Bail **Exception First Degree Murder**

Placed on the ballot by the legislature • Passes with 55 percent of the vote

HCR24-1002 proposes amending the <u>Colorado Constitution</u> to: 1

- restore the ability of judges to deny bail to people charged with first degree 2 3
 - murder when certain criteria are met.

What Your Vote Means 4

- 5 YES
- 6 A "yes" vote on HCR24-1002 allows judges
- 7 to deny bail to a person charged with first
- 8 degree murder when the judge
- 9 determines that the proof is evident or
- 10 presumption is great that the person
- 11 committed the crime.

Summary and Analysis of HCR24-1002 17

18 Background

19 Since the ratification of the Colorado Constitution in 1876, a person accused of a crime has 20 the right to bail out of county jail while awaiting trial, except under certain circumstances. One of these exceptions is for offenses for which the death penalty may be sought, which 21 22 includes first degree murder, as long as the "proof is evident and the presumption is great" 23 that the person committed the offense. This is a high legal standard used by judges after a 24 prosecutor presents evidence at an initial hearing in a criminal case. It is a standard that is 25 greater than the standard required for arrest but less than the standard required for a 26 conviction in a trial. 27 In 2020, the General Assembly passed a law that abolished Colorado's death penalty. As a

- 28 result, there is no longer an exception to the bail requirement for first degree murder and
- 29 differing interpretations emerged on whether or not judges must set bail in first degree
- 30 murder cases. The Colorado Supreme Court intervened and, on June 20, 2023, ruled that all
- 31 people charged with first-degree murder are eligible for pretrial release and therefore judges
- 32 cannot deny them bail.

33 What does the measure change?

- 34 In response to the Colorado Supreme Court ruling, the General Assembly passed House
- 35 Concurrent Resolution 24-1002, which, if approved by the voters, amends the Colorado

12 **NO**

- 13 A "no" vote on HCR24-1002 requires
- 14 judges to set bail for all persons charged
- 15 with first degree murder regardless of the
- 16 facts of the case.

- 1 Constitution to again allow judges to deny bail in first degree murder cases when the proof
- 2 is evident or the presumption is great that the person committed the crime.

3 What is first degree murder?

4 A person can be charged with first degree murder if the offense occurs as a result of any of 5 the following:

- 6 a premeditated intent to kill; •
- 7 showing extreme indifference to human life while engaging in conduct that could 8 knowingly kill another person which then results in a death;
- 9 providing a controlled substance to a child on school grounds who dies as a result; or
- 10 a person in a position of trust knowingly causes the death of someone under 12 years 11 old.

For information on those issue committees that support or oppose the measures on the ballot at the November 5, 2024, election, go to the Colorado Secretary of State's elections center web site hyperlink for ballot and initiative information:

https://coloradosos.gov/pubs/elections/Initiatives/InitiativesHome.html

Argument For HCR24-1002 12

13 1) When the General Assembly abolished the death penalty in 2020, its intent was not to 14 begin requiring judges to set bail for all persons charged with first degree murder; this 15 was an unintended and unforeseen consequence. The measure restores a longstanding 16 statewide legal precedent that was eliminated unintentionally. In addition, the legal 17 standard of "proof is evident or presumption is great" is high enough to provide a 18 safeguard against judges routinely denying bail for all persons charged with first degree

19 murder, regardless of the evidence in the case.

20 Argument Against HCR24-1002

- 21 1) In the United States, a person is considered innocent until proven guilty. If an individual 22 is ultimately found not guilty at trial, a pre-trial detention means they would have spent 23 time in jail for a crime they did not commit. This raises significant concerns about justice 24 and fairness, as the time lost and impacts on their life cannot be undone. Therefore, a 25 person arrested for a criminal offense should have the opportunity to be free pending 26
- trial. Judges have the discretion to set restrictive bail conditions if they believe a person
- 27 is especially violent or likely to commit another offense if they are released pending trial.

Fiscal Impact of HCR24-1002 28

29 The fiscal impact will be included in the second draft.