COLORADO GENERAL ASSEMBLY JOINT BUDGET COMMITTEE



SUPPLEMENTAL REQUESTS FOR FY 2011-12

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

(Executive Director's Office, Office of Information Technology Services, and Office of Operations)

JBC Working Document - Subject to Change Staff Recommendation Does Not Represent Committee Decision

> Prepared By: Kevin Neimond, JBC Staff January 17, 2012

For Further Information Contact:

Joint Budget Committee Staff 200 E. 14th Avenue, 3rd Floor Denver, Colorado 80203 Telephone: (303) 866-2061 TDD: (303) 866-3472

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Narrative Page | Numbers Page |
|---|-------------------|-----------------|
| Prioritized Supplementals in Department-Assigned Order | | |
| Supplemental #3 – Utilities Funding Request | 1 | 9 |
| Supplemental #4 – Colorado Child Care Assistance Program Provider Self Service Portal | 2 | 9 |
| HCPF Supplemental #12 – CBMS Technical Adjustments for Fund Splits in H.B. 09-1293 and HCPF Only Projects | 5 | 8 |
| Non-prioritized Supplementals | | |
| Non-prioritized Supplemental #5 – Hospital Provider Fee Administrative True-Up | 6 | 12 |
| Statewide Common Policy Supplemental Requests | 7 | 10 |
| Totals for All Supplementals | N.A. | 13 |

Prioritized Supplementals

Supplemental Request, Department Priority #3 Utilities Funding Request

| | Request | Recommendation |
|----------------------|-------------|--------------------|
| Total | (\$175,000) | <u>(\$175,000)</u> |
| General Fund | 175,000 | 175,000 |
| Reappropriated Funds | (350,000) | (350,000) |
| Net General Fund | \$0 | \$0 |

| Does JBC staff believe the request meets the Joint Budget Committee's supplemental criteria? [An emergency or act of God; a technical error in calculating the original appropriation; data that was not available when the original appropriation was made; or an unforeseen contingency.] | YES |
|---|-----|
| JBC staff and the Department agree that this request is the result of an unforeseen contingency. | |

Department Request: The Department requests a decrease of \$175,000 total funds (including a net General Fund impact of \$0) for FY 2011-12 and future fiscal years to implement two technical corrections. First, the Department requests a reduction in its reappropriated Medicaid funds transferred from the Department of Health Care Policy and Financing (HCPF) of \$350,000 total funds (including \$175,000 net General Fund) to align the FY 2011-12 Long Bill appropriation with anticipated Medicaid revenue. The \$175,000 General Fund saved by HCPF is requested to be appropriated to the Office of Operations' Utilities line item to offset a portion of the loss of \$350,000 reappropriated funds. Second, the Department requests an internal transfer of \$197,000 General Fund from the Office of Operations' Personal Services line item to the Office of Operations' Utilities line item.

Staff Recommendation: Staff recommends the Committee approve the Department's request to decrease the FY 2011-12 appropriation to the Office of Operations' Utilities line item of Medicaid reappropriated funds by \$350,000 (including \$175,000 net General Fund) and increase the Office of Operations' Utilities line item by \$372,000 through an intradivision transfer and an appropriation of General Fund previously appropriated to HCPF for DHS utilities.

Staff Analysis: DHS Office of Operations' utility expenses are primarily derived from the operation of its 24-hour, direct care facilities (Mental Health Institutes, Regional Centers for Individuals with Developmental Disabilities, and Division of Youth Corrections facilities). The utility line item budget supports three components:

1. The purchase of utilities including costs associated with natural gas, electricity, coal, water, and waste water expenses. Utility purchases represent the most dynamic of the

three cost components. Factors such as weather, consumption, program changes, price fluctuations based on supply and demand, and other market conditions all impact the costs of the Department's utilities purchases.

- 2. Energy performance contracts to increase energy efficiency through infrastructure improvements. Energy performance contracts are funded through the energy savings derived from the infrastructure improvements.
- Energy management systems to control all mechanical and electrical systems and reduce the number of maintenance staff required at each facility and monitor energy performance.

The utilities budget for the Department is funded from a variety of sources, including Medicaid moneys transferred from HCPF. Medicaid revenue appropriated to DHS utilities is not consistent with actual Medicaid revenues earned for FY 2011-12. The current appropriation contains an additional \$350,000 in Medicaid moneys (including \$175,000 General Fund) that is not able to be transferred to DHS for utility purposes. As a result, staff concurs with the Department's request to reduce DHS reappropriated funds appropriation by \$350,000 in FY 2011-12, reduce HCPF's appropriation by \$350,000 total funds (including \$175,000 General Fund), and increase DHS' General Fund appropriation by \$175,000 to offset the loss of \$350,000 reappropriated funds for utility expenses.

In addition to the Medicaid adjustment, the Department requests a transfer of \$197,000 General Fund from the Office of Operations' Personal Services line to the Office of Operations' Utilities line item. Historically, the Department has used funds from the Personal Services line item to cover utility costs in excess of the appropriation contained in the Utilities line item. This was feasible due to performance contracting measures taken by the Department which reduced personal services costs at various facilities. Again, staff concurs with the Department that funds should be transferred from the Personal Services line item to the Utilities line item to align FY 2011-12 appropriations with fiscal management practices occurring to manage utility costs.

Supplemental Request, Department Priority #4 Colorado Child Care Assistance Program Provider Self Service Portal

| | Request | Recommendation |
|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Total | <u>\$26,063</u> | <u>\$26,063</u> |
| Federal Funds | 26,063 | 26,063 |

| Does JBC staff believe the request meets the Joint Budget Committee's supplemental crite [An emergency or act of God; a technical error in calculating the original appropriation; data that not available when the original appropriation was made; or an unforseen contingency.] | |
|--|--|
| JBC staff and the Department agree that this request is the result of an unforseen contingency. | |

Department Request: The Department requests an appropriation of \$26,063 for FY 2011-12 and \$410,340 for FY 2012-13 in federal Child Care Development Funds to implement a webbased access point to the Childcare Automated Tracking System (CHATS) for Colorado Child Care Assistance Program (CCCAP) providers.

Staff Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Committee approve the Department's request to provide \$26,063 in federal Child Care Development Funds in FY 2011-12 to implement a web-based access point to CHATS for CCCAP providers. It is staff's opinion that the Department should have anticipated the need for a robust access point to CHATS for providers during the design phase. However, staff believes that the current inefficiencies created by the lack of an access point to CHATS for providers create a barrier to cost savings that cannot be ignored due to an administrative oversight.

Staff Analysis:

Background

CCCAP provides financial assistance to low-income families that are working, searching for employment or are in training, and families that are enrolled in the Colorado Works Program and need child care services to support their efforts toward self-sufficiency. CCCAP is administered through county departments of social services under the direction of the Department's Division of Child Care.

CHATS is the tool used to manage family, provider, county, and payment data. After cases are entered into the system, it determines child care eligibility based on family information and county policy. The family authorization, provider rate, and payment information is then stored in CHATS. Provider payment information is generated from attendance records captured by a point-of-service (POS) tool whereby families swipe a benefit card when dropping off and picking up their child from a provider. Currently, the POS tool generates a paper confirmation for providers to track attendance and resolve payment issues that may arise.

Current System Issues Negatively Impacting Business Processes

When CHATS was originally designed, it was envisioned that providers would have the ability to access a tool to view and modify system data. This component was to be implemented after the system was implemented in county agencies. The Department indicates, however, that once CHATS was in place in county agencies and the POS was in place in provider locations (December 6, 2010), it was evident that providers would need access to more information and increased information security than was originally assumed to effectively and efficiently track

billable amounts and reconcile payments received. As a result, providers are not able to access CHATS, and rely on county agencies to correspond with them via paper to confirm billable amounts and reconcile payments.

The paper-based system providers and county agencies use to communicate and confirm billable amounts and reconcile payments is neither elegant nor efficient. Relying on a paper confirmation for providers to track attendance and resolve payment issues with county agencies creates additional hours of labor that are not needed with a modern IT system. Providers must comb through strips and strips of receipt data to reconcile their accounting ledgers. When problems are found, the provider supplies documentation to county agencies, beginning the remediation process. The remediation process would be simplified (or not needed at all in many instances) if the providers had the ability to access attendance and payment data in CHATS and resolve issues without having to send correspondence to the county agency. Today, though, county agencies review the documentation supplied by providers and send mail-based communication back to the provider with a resolution or further questions. From start to finish, the current process is cumbersome, outdated, and costly to providers and county agencies.

Proposed and Recommended Solution

Staff concurs with the Department that an IT-based reporting solution should be developed to supply providers with the following data:

- Authorization information for children in the provider's care;
- Payments received;
- Attendance for children swiped in to the facility; and
- Fiscal agreements between providers and the counties for which they are authorized to do business with for the provision of child care.

The table below outlines the individual cost components of the Department's request (as stated above, staff recommends approving the Department's request in the dollar amount sought).

| Provider Self-service Portal Project Components | | | | |
|---|--|-----------|---|--|
| Component | FY 11-12 | FY 12-13 | Notes | |
| Install and Configure Provider Self-service Portal (PSSP) | \$26,063 | \$0 | Based on a vendor estimate | |
| Customize Oracle Identity Management (OIM) Tool | 0 | 54,640 | 470 hours of work (3 months) X \$116 per hour | |
| New Functionality | 0 172,260 | | 1,566 hours of work (9 months) X \$110 per hour | |
| Implementation Support | | | | |
| Project Manager | 0 | 114,400 | 1,040 hours of work (6 months) X \$110 per hour | |
| PSSP Trainer | 0 | 32,640 | 480 hours of work (3 months) X \$68 per hour | |
| Customer Support Coordinator | <u>0</u> <u>36,400</u> 1,040 hours of we | | 1,040 hours of work (6 months) X \$35 per hour | |
| Total | \$26,063 | \$410,340 | | |

The project plan, vetted and authorized by the Governor's Office of Information Technology, is to be carried out with contract personnel with a completion timeframe of FY 2012-13. The

Department seeks roll forward authority in the event that an unforeseen event delays implementation. **Staff recommends that the Committee not provide roll forward authority for the funds.** If the Department anticipates that the project will be delayed beyond FY 2012-13, it should be discussed with the Committee as part of the budget request process so that the legislature is aware of the circumstances creating the delay.

Supplemental Request, Department of Human Services Non-prioritized #4
Supplemental Request, Department of Health Care Policy and Financing Priority #12
CBMS Technical Adjustments for Fund Splits in H.B. 09-1293 and HCPF Only Projects

| DHS | Request | Recommendation |
|----------------------|------------|----------------|
| Total | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> |
| General Fund | (199,322) | (199,322) |
| Cash Funds | (37,655) | (37,655) |
| Reappropriated Funds | 511,406 | 511,406 |
| Federal Funds | (274,429) | (274,429) |

| НСРБ | Request | Recommendation |
|----------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Total | <u>\$511,406</u> | <u>\$511,406</u> |
| General Fund | (42,022) | (42,022) |
| Cash Funds | 298,257 | 298,257 |
| Reappropriated Funds | (650) | (650) |
| Federal Funds | 255,821 | 255,821 |

| Does JBC staff believe the request meets the Joint Budget Committee's supplemental criteria? | YES |
|---|-----|
| [An emergency or act of God; a technical error in calculating the original appropriation; data that was | |
| not available when the original appropriation was made; or an unforseen contingency.] | |

JBC staff and the Department agree that this request is the result of a technical error in calculating the original appropriation.

Department Request: The Department of Health Care Policy and Financing (HCPF) and the Department of Human Services (DHS) request a technical correction to refinance Colorado Benefits Management System (CBMS) changes related to H.B. 09-1293 with less General Fund and increased moneys from the Hospital Provider Fee Cash Fund for FY 2011-12 and beyond. Additionally, the Departments jointly request a new line item in their respective budgets to capture the appropriations associated with the H.B. 09-1293 project adding expansion populations to Medicaid eligibility and for CMBS compliance with low income subsidy and

disability determination services federal requirements. The request represents no net change to CMBS appropriations and a Statewide General Fund savings of \$241,344 in FY 2011-12.

Staff Recommendation: Staff recommends the Committee approve the Department's request to refinance two HCPF-related CBMS projects and create a new line item in the HCPF and DHS budgets to accurately account for the projects.

Staff Analysis: Funding splits for CBMS are determined using the Random Moment Sampling (RMS) technique. RMS assumes that all DHS and HCPF programs supported by CBMS contribute to funding of CBMS operations, maintenance, and changes. RMS does not, however, account for CBMS changes and maintenance that do not impact all programs (for example, a special project impacting only Medicaid). As a result of two HCPF-only related CBMS projects, the RMS-based CBMS fund splits for FY 2011-12 contained in the Long Bill do not accurately reflect the impacted programs in proper proportions.

Staff concurs with the Department that a technical correction should be made to more accurately reflect impacted programs in the fund splits of CBMS funding. Staff also agrees that separating HCPF-related projects into a separate line item in both DHS and HCPF will add additional transparency to CBMS funding.

Non-Prioritized Supplementals

Non-prioritized Supplemental Request, Department Priority #5 (HCPF Supplemental #7) Hospital Provider Free Administrative True-Up

| | Request | Recommendation |
|----------------------|-------------|--------------------|
| Total | \$1,466,040 | <u>\$1,466,040</u> |
| Reappropriated Funds | 1,466,040 | 1,466,040 |

| Does JBC staff believe the request meets the Joint Budget Committee's supplemental criteria? | YES | ì |
|---|-----|---|
| [An emergency or act of God; a technical error in calculating the original appropriation; data that was | | ı |
| not available when the original appropriation was made; or an unforseen contingency.] | | ì |
| This supplemental is the result of a technical error in calculating the original appropriation. | | ì |

Department Request: The Department of Health Care Policy and Financing (HCPF) submitted a supplemental request to true-up appropriations with actual need for hospital provider fee administration. The request includes a reappropriation of \$1.5 million to the Department of Human Services for operating expenses associated with the Colorado Benefits Management System (CBMS). The Department indicates a need for funds to complete system development

work in CBMS to implement the "Disabled Buy-In" and "Adults without Dependent Children" on March 1, 2012 and the "Children's Buy-In" four to six months later.

Staff Recommendation: This request will be considered during the presentation of the HCPF's FY 2011-12 supplemental requests scheduled for January 19, 2012. **Staff asks permission to include the corresponding appropriation for this supplemental request in the Department of Human Services' supplemental bill after the Committee acts on the request during the HCPF's supplemental presentation.**

Statewide Common Policy Supplemental Requests

These requests are not prioritized and are not analyzed in this packet. The JBC will act on these items later when it makes decisions regarding common policies.

| Department's Portion of Statewide Supplemental Request | Total | General Fund | Cash Funds | Reapprop Funds | Federal Funds | Net GF | FTE |
|--|------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|------------|-----|
| Annual Fleet True-Up | (\$72,245) | (\$37,873) | (\$5,492) | (\$18,053) | (\$10,857) | (\$45,755) | 0.0 |
| Operating Common Policy True-up (combines Administrative Law Judges and Capital Complex Leased Space) | 86,343 | 46,180 | 4,951 | 2,395 | 32,817 | 46,816 | 0.0 |
| Department's Total Statewide Supplemental Requests | \$14,098 | \$8,307 | (\$541) | (\$15,658) | \$21,960 | \$1,061 | 0.0 |

Staff Recommendation: The staff recommendation for these requests is pending Committee approval of common policy supplementals. **Staff asks permission to include the corresponding appropriations in the Department's supplemental bill when the Committee approves common policy supplementals. If staff believes there is reason to deviate from the common policy, staff will appear before the Committee later to present the relevant analysis.**

| FY 2010-11 | FY 2011-12 | FY 2011-12 | FY 2011-12 | FY 2011-12 Total |
|------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|-------------------|
| Actual | Appropriation | Requested Change | Rec'd Change | With Rec'd Change |

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

Reggie Bicha, Executive Director

Supplemental #12 (HCPF #12, DHS NPI #4) - CBMS Technical Adjustment for Fund Splits in H.B. 09-1293 and HCPF Only Projects

(2) OFFICE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SERVICES

| Colorado Benefits Management System, | | | | | |
|---|----------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| Operating Expenses | <u>0</u> | 18,858,730 | (812,400) | (812,400) | 18,046,330 |
| General Fund | $\overline{0}$ | 4,585,647 | $\overline{(199,322)}$ | $\overline{(199,322)}$ | 4,386,325 |
| Cash Funds | 0 | 1,034,792 | (37,655) | (37,655) | 997,137 |
| Reappropriated Funds | 0 | 6,924,731 | (300,994) | (300,994) | 6,623,737 |
| Federal Funds | 0 | 6,313,560 | (274,429) | (274,429) | 6,039,131 |
| Colorado Benefits Management System, HCPF | | | | | |
| Only (new line item) | <u>0</u> | <u>0</u> | 812,400 | 812,400 | 812,400 |
| General Fund | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cash Funds | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reappropriated Funds | 0 | 0 | 812,400 | 812,400 | 812,400 |
| Federal Funds | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total for Supplemental #12 (HCPF #12, DHS | | | | | |
| NPI #4) - CBMS Technical Adjustment for | | | | | |
| Fund Splits in H.B. 09-1293 and HCPF Only | | | | | |
| Projects | <u>0</u> | <u>18,858,730</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>0</u> | 18,858,730 |
| FTE | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| General Fund | 0 | 4,585,647 | (199,322) | (199,322) | 4,386,325 |
| Cash Funds | 0 | 1,034,792 | (37,655) | (37,655) | 997,137 |
| Reappropriated Funds | 0 | 6,924,731 | 511,406 | 511,406 | 7,436,137 |
| Federal Funds | 0 | 6,313,560 | (274,429) | (274,429) | 6,039,131 |
| | | | | | |

| | FY 2010-11 Actual | FY 2011-12 Appropriation | FY 2011-12 Requested Change | FY 2011-12 Rec'd Change | FY 2011-12 Total With Rec'd Change |
|--|------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Supplemental #3 - Utilities Funding Request | | | | | |
| (3) OFFICE OF OPERATIONS (A) Administration | | | | | |
| Personal Services | 22,553,842 | 22,359,053 | (197,000) | (197,000) | 22,162,053 |
| FTE | 433.6 | 432.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 432.8 |
| General Fund | 11,426,220 | 12,708,212 | (197,000) | (197,000) | 12,511,212 |
| Cash Funds | 2,416,311 | 1,928,966 | 0 | 0 | 1,928,966 |
| Reappropriated Funds | 5,629,391 | 5,856,262 | 0 | 0 | 5,856,262 |
| Federal Funds | 3,081,920 | 1,865,613 | 0 | 0 | 1,865,613 |
| Utilities | 7,756,203 | 7,744,210 | 22,000 | 22,000 | 7,766,210 |
| General Fund | 6,221,740 | 5,796,693 | 372,000 | 372,000 | 6,168,693 |
| Cash Funds | 0 | 50,000 | 0 | 0 | 50,000 |
| Reappropriated Funds | 1,534,463 | 1,897,517 | (350,000) | (350,000) | 1,547,517 |
| Total for Supplemental #3 - Utilities Funding | | | | | |
| Request | 30,310,045 | 30,103,263 | (175,000) | (175,000) | 29,928,263 |
| FTE | 433.6 | 432.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 432.8 |
| General Fund | 17,647,960 | 18,504,905 | 175,000 | 175,000 | 18,679,905 |
| Cash Funds | 2,416,311 | 1,978,966 | 0 | 0 | 1,978,966 |
| Reappropriated Funds | 7,163,854 | 7,753,779 | (350,000) | (350,000) | 7,403,779 |
| Federal Funds | 3,081,920 | 1,865,613 | 0 | 0 | 1,865,613 |
| Supplemental #4 - Colorado Child Care Assistance Pi (2) OFFICE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SER | | f Service Portal | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Child Care Automated Tracking System Federal Funds | 2,070,430 2,070,430 | 2,299,593 2,299,593 | 26,063 26,063 | 26,063 26,063 | 2,325,656 2,325,656 |

| | FY 2010-11 Actual | FY 2011-12 Appropriation | FY 2011-12 Requested Change | FY 2011-12 Rec'd Change | FY 2011-12 Total With Rec'd Change |
|---|----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | | | | |
| Total for Supplemental #4 - Colorado Child Care | | | | | |
| Assistance Program Provider Self Service Portal | 2,070,430 | 2,299,593 | <u>26,063</u> | <u>26,063</u> | <u>2,325,656</u> |
| FTE | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Federal Funds | 2,070,430 | 2,299,593 | 26,063 | 26,063 | 2,325,656 |
| Totals Excluding Pending Items | | | | | |
| HUMAN SERVICES | | | | | |
| TOTALS for ALL Departmental line items | <u>1,512,014,051</u> | 1,500,724,048 | (148,937) | (148,937) | 1,500,575,111 |
| FTE | 3,160.1 | 3,177.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3,177.1 |
| General Fund | 583,829,164 | 577,866,732 | (24,322) | (24,322) | 577,842,410 |
| Cash Funds | 248,519,667 | 253,782,353 | (37,655) | (37,655) | 253,744,698 |
| Reappropriated Funds | 59,867,185 | 64,738,527 | 161,406 | 161,406 | 64,899,933 |
| Federal Funds | 619,798,035 | 604,336,436 | (248,366) | (248,366) | 604,088,070 |
| Non-prioritized Supplemental #2 - Annual Fleet True (3) OFFICE OF OPERATIONS (A) Administration | -Up | | | | |
| Vehicle Lease Payments | <u>1,051,421</u> | 1,075,007 | (72,275) | Pending | <u>Pending</u> |
| General Fund | 685,449 | 563,315 | (37,873) | | |
| Cash Funds | 60,448 | 81,692 | (5,492) | | |
| Reappropriated Funds | 228,564 | 268,518 | (18,053) | | |
| Tremppropriate a raises | | -00,010 | (,) | | |

| | FY 2010-11 Actual | FY 2011-12 Appropriation | FY 2011-12 Requested Change | FY 2011-12 Rec'd Change | FY 2011-12 Total With Rec'd Change |
|---|-----------------------------------|---|---|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | | | | |
| Total for Non-prioritized Supplemental #2 - Annual | | | | | |
| Fleet True-Up | <u>1,051,421</u> | <u>1,075,007</u> | <u>(72,275)</u> | <u>Pending</u> | Pending |
| FTE | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| General Fund | 685,449 | 563,315 | (37,873) | | |
| Cash Funds | 60,448 | 81,692 | (5,492) | | |
| Reappropriated Funds | 228,564 | 268,518 | (18,053) | | |
| Federal Funds | 76,960 | 161,482 | (10,857) | | |
| Non-prioritized Supplemental #3 - FY 2011-12 Commo (1) EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S OFFICE (A) General Administration | n Policy Allocation | True-Up | | | |
| (1) EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S OFFICE (A) General Administration Administrative Law Judge Services General Fund | 792,374 744,364 | 728,087 440,074 | 45,283 27,370 | <u>Pending</u> | <u>Pending</u> |
| (1) EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S OFFICE (A) General Administration Administrative Law Judge Services General Fund Cash Funds | 792,374 744,364 48,010 | 728,087 440,074 44,115 | 27,370 2,744 | <u>Pending</u> | <u>Pending</u> |
| (1) EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S OFFICE (A) General Administration Administrative Law Judge Services General Fund | 792,374 744,364 | 728,087 440,074 | 27,370 | <u>Pending</u> | <u>Pending</u> |
| (1) EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S OFFICE (A) General Administration Administrative Law Judge Services General Fund Cash Funds | 792,374 744,364 48,010 | 728,087 440,074 44,115 | 27,370 2,744 | <u>Pending</u> | <u>Pending</u> |
| (1) EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S OFFICE (A) General Administration Administrative Law Judge Services General Fund Cash Funds Federal Funds (3) OFFICE OF OPERATIONS | 792,374 744,364 48,010 | 728,087 440,074 44,115 | 27,370 2,744 | Pending Pending | Pending Pending |
| (1) EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S OFFICE (A) General Administration Administrative Law Judge Services General Fund Cash Funds Federal Funds (3) OFFICE OF OPERATIONS (A) Administration | 792,374 744,364 48,010 0 | 728,087 440,074 44,115 243,898 | 27,370 2,744 15,169 | | |
| (1) EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S OFFICE (A) General Administration Administrative Law Judge Services General Fund Cash Funds Federal Funds (3) OFFICE OF OPERATIONS (A) Administration Capitol Complex Leased Space | 792,374 744,364 48,010 0 | 728,087 440,074 44,115 243,898 | 27,370 2,744 15,169 41,060 | | |
| (1) EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S OFFICE (A) General Administration Administrative Law Judge Services General Fund Cash Funds Federal Funds (3) OFFICE OF OPERATIONS (A) Administration Capitol Complex Leased Space General Fund | 792,374 744,364 48,010 0 | 728,087 440,074 44,115 243,898 1,275,866 584,496 | 27,370 2,744 15,169 41,060 18,810 | | |

| | FY 2010-11 Actual | FY 2011-12 Appropriation | FY 2011-12 Requested Change | FY 2011-12 Rec'd Change | FY 2011-12 Total With Rec'd Change |
|---|---|---|---|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | | | | |
| Total for Non-prioritized Supplemental #3 - FY 2011-12 Common Policy Allocation True-Up | | | | | |
| • | 2,038,787 | 2,003,953 | 86,343 | Pending | <u>Pending</u> |
| FTE | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| General Fund | 1,990,777 | 1,024,570 | 46,180 | | |
| Cash Funds | 48,010 | 112,690 | 4,951 | | |
| Reappropriated Funds | 0 | 74,426 | 2,395 | | |
| Federal Funds | 0 | 792,267 | 32,817 | | |
| Non-prioritized Supplemental #5 - Hospital Provider (2) OFFICE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SE | | `rue-Up | | | |
| | | True-Up <u>18,858,730</u> | <u>1,466,040</u> | <u>Pending</u> | <u>Pending</u> |
| (2) OFFICE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SECOND Colorado Benefits Management System, Operating | RVICES | · | <u>1,466,040</u> 0 | <u>Pending</u> | <u>Pending</u> |
| (2) OFFICE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SEL Colorado Benefits Management System, Operating Expenses | RVICES <u>0</u> | 18,858,730 | ' <u>-</u> | <u>Pending</u> | <u>Pending</u> |
| (2) OFFICE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SEL Colorado Benefits Management System, Operating Expenses General Fund | RVICES $\frac{0}{0}$ | 18,858,730 4,585,647 | 0 | <u>Pending</u> | <u>Pending</u> |
| (2) OFFICE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SEL Colorado Benefits Management System, Operating Expenses General Fund Cash Funds | RVICES 0 0 0 | 18,858,730 4,585,647 1,034,792 | 0 0 | <u>Pending</u> | <u>Pending</u> |
| (2) OFFICE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SET Colorado Benefits Management System, Operating Expenses General Fund Cash Funds Reappropriated Funds Federal Funds Total for Non-prioritized Supplemental #5 - | RVICES 0 0 0 0 0 | 18,858,730 4,585,647 1,034,792 6,924,731 6,313,560 | 0 0 1,466,040 0 | | <u>Pending</u> |
| (2) OFFICE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SET Colorado Benefits Management System, Operating Expenses General Fund Cash Funds Reappropriated Funds Federal Funds Total for Non-prioritized Supplemental #5 - Hospital Provider Fee Administrative True-Up | 0 0 0 0 0 | 18,858,730 4,585,647 1,034,792 6,924,731 6,313,560 18,858,730 | 0 0 1,466,040 0 | Pending Pending | Pending Pending |
| (2) OFFICE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SELECTION Colorado Benefits Management System, Operating Expenses General Fund Cash Funds Reappropriated Funds Federal Funds Total for Non-prioritized Supplemental #5 - Hospital Provider Fee Administrative True-Up FTE | © 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 18,858,730 4,585,647 1,034,792 6,924,731 6,313,560 18,858,730 0.0 | 0 0 1,466,040 0 1,466,040 0.0 | | |
| (2) OFFICE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SEL Colorado Benefits Management System, Operating Expenses General Fund Cash Funds Reappropriated Funds Federal Funds Total for Non-prioritized Supplemental #5 - Hospital Provider Fee Administrative True-Up FTE General Fund | © 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 18,858,730 4,585,647 1,034,792 6,924,731 6,313,560 18,858,730 0.0 4,585,647 | 0 0 1,466,040 0 1,466,040 0.0 0 | | |
| (2) OFFICE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SET Colorado Benefits Management System, Operating Expenses General Fund Cash Funds Reappropriated Funds Federal Funds Total for Non-prioritized Supplemental #5 - Hospital Provider Fee Administrative True-Up FTE General Fund Cash Funds | © 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 18,858,730 4,585,647 1,034,792 6,924,731 6,313,560 18,858,730 0.0 4,585,647 1,034,792 | 0 0 1,466,040 0 1,466,040 0.0 0 | | |
| (2) OFFICE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SEL Colorado Benefits Management System, Operating Expenses General Fund Cash Funds Reappropriated Funds Federal Funds Total for Non-prioritized Supplemental #5 - Hospital Provider Fee Administrative True-Up FTE General Fund | © 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 18,858,730 4,585,647 1,034,792 6,924,731 6,313,560 18,858,730 0.0 4,585,647 | 0 0 1,466,040 0 1,466,040 0.0 0 | | |

| FY 2010-11 | FY 2011-12 | FY 2011-12 | FY 2011-12 | FY 2011-12 Total |
|------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|-------------------|
| Actual | Appropriation | Requested Change | Rec'd Change | With Rec'd Change |

| Totals Including Pending Items | | | | | |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|
| HUMAN SERVICES | | | | | |
| TOTALS for ALL Departmental line items | 1,970,582,190 | <u>2,061,386,598</u> | 1,331,171 | (148,937) | 2,061,237,661 |
| FTE | 4930.7 | 4870.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4870.9 |
| General Fund | 621,415,137 | 614,650,877 | (16,015) | (24,322) | 614,626,555 |
| Cash Funds | 285,720,221 | 327,959,886 | (38,196) | (37,655) | 327,922,231 |
| Reappropriated Funds | 449,274,825 | 449,772,764 | 1,611,788 | 161,406 | 449,934,170 |
| Federal Funds | 614,172,008 | 669,003,071 | (226,406) | (248,366) | 668,754,705 |
| Net General Fund | 796,756,898 | 827,536,009 | (43,283) | (44,344) | 827,491,665 |