COLORADO GENERAL ASSEMBLY JOINT BUDGET COMMITTEE



SUPPLEMENTAL REQUESTS FOR FY 2011-12 DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

(Public School Finance only)

JBC Working Document - Subject to Change Staff Recommendation Does Not Represent Committee Decision

> Prepared By: Carolyn Kampman, JBC Staff January 20, 2012

For Further Information Contact:

Joint Budget Committee Staff 200 E. 14th Avenue, 3rd Floor Denver, Colorado 80203 Telephone: (303) 866-2061 TDD: (303) 866-3472

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Narrative Page	Numbers Page
Prioritized Supplementals in Department-Assigned Order		
Supplemental #1 - Total Program Funding	1	14

Appendix A - [Prepared by Department of Education staff] District-level impact of the Department's proposed mid-year adjustment for FY 2011-12 and the Department's amended budget request for FY 2012-13

Supplemental Request, Department Priority #1 Total Program Funding

	Request	Recommendation
Total	<u>(\$4,380,662)</u>	(\$4,380,662)
General Fund	19,800,000	0
Cash Funds - State Education Fund	(24,180,662)	(4,380,662)
Cash Funds - State Public School Fund	0	0

Does JBC staff believe the request meets the Joint Budget Committee's supplemental criteria? [An emergency or act of God; a technical error in calculating the original appropriation; data that was not available when the original appropriation was made; or an unforseen contingency.]	YES
The Department and staff agree that this supplemental is the result of <i>data that was not available when thoriginal appropriation was made</i> .	ne

This request requires separate legislation.

Department Request: The Department requests adjustments to two FY 2011-12 line item appropriations related to the School Finance Act, resulting in a \$4.4 million net <u>decrease</u> in state expenditures.

First, both the funded pupil count and the number of at-risk students are higher than anticipated, resulting in a higher than anticipated total program funding calculation prior to the application of the negative factor. Absent legislative action, the Department will be required to increase the negative factor from 12.9 percent to 13.2 percent and per pupil funding will decrease by \$18.44, on average, below the amount anticipated by districts.

The Department proposes a \$19.8 million <u>increase</u> in total program funding to reflect the higher than anticipated funded pupil count and number of at-risk students. Local tax revenues available for school finance did not decline as much as projected, and are \$24.2 million higher than anticipated. As these unanticipated local revenues exceed the requested increase in total program funding, the Department requests a \$4.4 million <u>decrease</u> in the state share of districts' total program funding (specifically a decrease in the cash funds appropriation from the State Education Fund). In addition, the Department proposes a fund source adjustment, increasing the General Fund appropriation by \$19.8 million and decreasing the cash funds appropriation from the State Education Fund by \$19.8 million.

Second, the Department requests an increase of \$44,857 cash funds from the State Education Fund for "Hold-harmless Full-day Kindergarten Funding" to cover the higher per pupil costs associated with a higher than anticipated number of at-risk students.

Staff Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Committee approve the request and introduce a bill as part of the supplemental bill package to make the associated statutory changes. Approval of the request will provide school districts with the anticipated level of per pupil funding, and early action will provide districts with the information they need for budget and planning purposes. However, staff recommends delaying any potential fund source adjustments until late March, when the Committee is finalizing its budget proposals for both FY 2011-12 and FY 2012-13. In addition, staff recommends that the Committee include in the companion bill a statutory change to specify a total program funding floor for FY 2012-13. This figure is intended to simply serve as a starting point for purposes of preparing the FY 2012-13 Long Bill and for purposes of identifying the fiscal impact of any legislation that impacts school finance funding for FY 2012-13.

Staff Analysis:

Background Information. While the applicable inflation rate is known at the time the Long Bill appropriation for school finance is established (and thus the required increase in base per pupil funding is known), other data that affects funding for public school finance are not known. Specifically, the initial appropriation is based on estimates of the funded pupil count, the number of at-risk students, available local tax revenues, and certain sources of state revenues. Subsequently:

- school districts conduct a student count (on or near October 1);
- county assessors certify to the Department of Education the total valuation for assessment of all taxable property (by August 25) and the State Board of Equalization certifies assessors' abstracts of assessments (by December 20); and
- school district boards, with the assistance of the Department, certify to the board of county commissioners and inform the county treasurer of the district's mill levy for school finance (by December 15).

By early January within the fiscal year, this data has been collected by school districts and compiled by the Department of Education. Pursuant to Section 22-54-106 (4) (b), C.R.S., the Department is required to submit a request for a supplemental appropriation in an amount that will fully fund the state share of districts' total program funding. If existing appropriations are insufficient and the General Assembly does not provide additional funds, or a supplemental appropriation is made to reduce existing appropriations, the Department is required to reduce state aid for each school district

and each Institute charter school on a pro rata basis [see Section 22-54-106 (4) (c), C.R.S.]. Table A provides a recent history of supplemental appropriations for school finance, as well as the mid-year adjustment requested for FY 2011-12.

TABLE A: History of Mid-Year Appropriation Adjustments for School Finance /a						
	Total <i>State Share</i> Appropriation Made in	Mid-year Adj	Mid-year Adjustments Dollars % Change			
Fiscal Year	Session Preceding Fiscal Year	Dollars				
FY 2001-02	\$2,221,879,782	\$8,156,453	0.4%	\$2,230,036,235		
FY 2002-03	2,455,147,022	29,395,541	1.2%	2,484,542,563		
FY 2003-04	2,604,731,215	22,342,837	0.9%	2,627,074,052		
FY 2004-05	2,732,460,144	11,444,662	0.4%	2,743,904,806		
FY 2005-06	2,838,429,178	32,800,098	1.2%	2,871,229,276		
FY 2006-07	3,040,302,744	20,866,091	0.7%	3,061,168,835		
FY 2007-08	3,266,328,775	(113,617,998)	-3.5%	3,152,710,777		
FY 2008-09 b/	3,393,363,222	(418,016)	0.0%	3,392,945,206		
FY 2009-10 c/	3,696,288,785	(177,332,868)	-4.8%	3,518,955,917		
FY 2010-11 d/	3,399,817,396	(193,428,514)	-5.7%	3,206,388,882		
FY 2011-12 (requested adjustment)	3,336,347,674	(4,425,519)	-0.1%	3,331,922,155		

 $[\]overline{a}$ Amounts <u>include</u> additional state aid related to locally negotiated business incentive agreements, and <u>exclude</u> appropriations to transfer moneys from the General Fund to the State Education Fund.

b/In FY 2008-09 the General Assembly did not approve a \$26.3 million supplemental request to fully fund the existing statutory total program funding formula. The General Assembly passed legislation (S.B. 09-215) to adjust base per pupil funding for FY 2008-09, eliminating the additional \$19.72 per pupil that was not constitutionally required, thereby reducing total program funding by \$20.0 million. Ultimately, the Department was required to rescind \$5,777,656.

c/ The 2009 school finance bill (S.B. 09-256) included a provision requiring school districts and the State Charter School Institute to create and budget an amount in FY 2009-10, equivalent to about 1.9 percent of total program funding (a total of \$110 million statewide), to a fiscal emergency restricted reserve. The act allowed districts to spend the moneys in the reserve beginning January 29, 2010, unless the General Assembly reduced state appropriations for school finance prior to that date. The General Assembly subsequently reduced state appropriations by \$177 million, including a reduction of \$110 million as contemplated in S.B. 09-256, as well as a reduction of \$67 million to reflect higher than anticipated local revenues. This mid-year adjustment did not and \$19.8 million to fund a higher than anticipated number of funded pupils and at-risk pupils. Thus, the Department was required to rescind a total of \$129,813,999.

d/ The mid-year adjustment for FY 2010-11 included: (1) a reduction of \$216,358,164 General Fund, which was fully offset by the appropriation of federal moneys; and (2) an increase of \$22,929,650 cash funds to offset lower than anticipated local revenues. This mid-year adjustment did <u>not</u> increase the appropriation to fund a higher than anticipated number of funded pupils and at-risk pupils, resulting in a decrease in the average per pupil funding amount.

The Department has submitted a request to decrease the FY 2011-12 appropriation of *state funds* by \$4.4 million (0.1 percent). Table E, beginning on page 8, summarizes the changes in various components that affect the amount of state funding required for FY 2011-12. Each major change is described in detail below. In addition, Appendix A is a document prepared by staff at the Department of Education. This document details the district-level impact of the proposed mid-year adjustment for FY 2011-12, as well as the amended budget request for FY 2012-13.

Total Program Funding

The Department is requesting legislative action to increase total program funding to account for the higher than anticipated student counts. Specifically, **the Department proposes** that the General Assembly make statutory **changes to increase total program funding available to school districts by \$19.8 million. Absent legislative action**, the Department will be required to increase the negative factor from 12.9 percent to 13.2 percent and **per pupil funding will decrease by \$18.44**, **on average, below the amount anticipated by districts.**

Funded Pupil Count. The actual funded pupil count is <u>higher</u> than anticipated. The original appropriations were based on an estimated funded pupil count of 805,891; the Department indicates that the actual funded pupil count is 808,195 -- 2,304 FTE (0.3 percent) higher than anticipated. As indicated in Table B, this is a fairly typical mid-year adjustment. Prior to the negative factor, an increase in the funded pupil count would generally increase districts' total program funding. However, under current law, the Department is required to increase the negative factor to offset the costs associated with the higher than anticipated number of students. The Department is requesting legislative action to increase total program funding to account for the higher than anticipated funded pupil count.

TABLE B: Comparison of Estimated and Final Funded Pupil Counts							
		Mid-Year Adjı	Mid-Year Adjustment				
Fiscal Year	Estimate for Initial Appropriation	Funded Pupils	Percent Change	Estimate for Final Appropriation			
FY 2001-02	705,782.3	1,435.7	0.2%	707,218.0			
FY 2002-03	715,793.4	1,955.3	0.3%	717,748.7			
FY 2003-04	725,360.6	(2,130.6)	-0.3%	723,230.0			

TABLE B: Comparison of Estimated and Final Funded Pupil Counts						
		Mid-Year Adjı	ıstment			
Fiscal Year	Estimate for Initial Appropriation	Funded Pupils	Percent Change	Estimate for Final Appropriation		
FY 2004-05	728,575.3	841.2	0.1%	729,416.5		
FY 2005-06	738,014.1	3,389.2	0.5%	741,403.3		
FY 2006-07	750,306.8	3,031.2	0.4%	753,338.0		
FY 2007-08	768,416.3	(7,499.0)	-1.0%	760,917.3		
FY 2008-09	776,017.0	2,118.9	0.3%	778,135.9		
FY 2009-10	788,648.3	862.8	0.1%	789,511.1		
FY 2010-11	797,438.5	1,238.1	0.2%	798,676.6		
FY 2011-12	805,890.6	2,303.9	0.3%	808,194.5		

Per Pupil Funding. The number of students considered "at-risk" under the School Finance formula¹ is higher than anticipated. Specifically, the number of at-risk students increased by 7,263 (2.5 percent), compared to a projected increase of 2,674 (0.9 percent). Based on the actual October 2011 student count, at-risk students now comprise 37.1 percent of students, compared to 36.6 percent in FY 2010-11.

Typically, a higher number of at-risk students results in a higher statewide average per pupil funding amount. Prior to the negative factor, an increase in the number of at-risk students would generally increase districts' total program funding. However, under current law, the Department is required to increase the negative factor to offset the costs associated with a higher than anticipated number of at-risk students. The Department is requesting legislative action to increase total program funding to account for the higher than anticipated at-risk student count. The magnitude of this mid-year change is relatively small, as indicated in Table C.

20-Jan-12 5 EDUCMK-sup

¹ Districts receive additional funding based on the number and concentration of students eligible for free meals under the federal school lunch program and the number of English language learners.

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION (Public School Finance only) FY 2011-12 SUPPLEMENTAL RECOMMENDATIONS

JBC WORKING DOCUMENT - SUBJECT TO CHANGE

TABLE C: Comparison of Estimated and Final Statewide Average Per Pupil Funding						
		Mid-Year Adjı				
Fiscal Year	Estimate for Initial Appropriation	Per Pupil Funding	Percent Change	Estimate for Final Appropriation		
FY 2001-02	\$5,449.97	\$3.06	0.1%	\$5,453.03		
FY 2002-03	\$5,782.95	\$11.26	0.2%	\$5,794.21		
FY 2003-04	\$5,930.26	\$12.90	0.2%	\$5,943.16		
FY 2004-05	\$6,066.50	\$7.31	0.1%	\$6,073.81		
FY 2005-06	\$6,163.99	\$3.44	0.1%	\$6,167.43		
FY 2006-07	\$6,375.68	(\$16.76)	-0.3%	\$6,358.92		
FY 2007-08	\$6,658.37	\$2.66	0.0%	\$6,661.03		
FY 2008-09	\$6,904.49	(\$22.58)	-0.3%	\$6,881.91		
FY 2009-10 (prior to mid-year recision)	\$7,225.40	\$16.28	0.2%	\$7,241.68		
FY 2010-11 (mid-year adjustment) a/	\$6,823.57	(\$280.80)	-4.1%	\$6,542.77		
FY 2011-12 (requested mid- year adjustment)	\$6,468.24	\$6.00	0.1%	\$6,474.24		

a/Mid-year adjustment for FY 2010-11 does <u>not</u> reflect \$216,358,164 in federal moneys that were made available to school districts, but which were technically not part of districts' total program funding. If these moneys are taken into consideration, average per pupil funding was \$6,813.27, which only represents a \$10.30 mid-year decrease.

State Funding for FY 2011-12

If the amount of available local tax revenues matched the estimates used to determine the FY 2011-12 appropriation, the state share of funding would need to increase by \$19.8 million to increase total program funding as proposed by the Department. However, **actual** *local tax revenues* **are** \$24.2 million higher than projected last Spring. Specifically, property tax revenues are \$33.1 million (1.9)

percent) higher than projected, and specific ownership taxes² are \$9.0 million (6.5 percent) lower than projected. Table D provides a history of mid-year adjustments related to local tax revenues.

TABLE D: Comparison of Estimated and Final Local Share of Funding						
		Mid-Year Adju	stment			
Fiscal Year	Estimate for Initial Appropriation	Local Funding	Percent Change	Estimate for Final Appropriation		
FY 2001-02	\$1,629,630,908	\$1,833,498	0.1%	\$1,631,464,406		
FY 2002-03	1,686,085,389	(10,006,172)	-0.6%	1,676,079,217		
FY 2003-04	1,699,224,722	(25,647,702)	-1.5%	1,673,577,020		
FY 2004-05	1,689,777,616	(1,149,886)	-0.1%	1,688,627,730		
FY 2005-06	1,711,822,927	(9,357,746)	-0.5%	1,702,465,181		
FY 2006-07	1,744,552,387	(14,398,874)	-0.8%	1,730,153,513		
FY 2007-08	1,850,072,036	65,707,519	3.6%	1,915,779,555		
FY 2008-09	1,965,055,671	(9,186,989)	-0.5%	1,955,868,682		
FY 2009-10	2,002,007,038	66,609,048	3.3%	2,068,616,086		
FY 2010-11	2,041,563,656	(22,707,653)	-1.1%	2,018,856,003		
FY 2011-12	1,876,347,000	24,178,468	1.3%	1,900,525,468		

20-Jan-12 7 EDUCMK-sup

² Vehicle registration taxes are collected by counties and shared with school districts. Pursuant to Section 22-54-106 (1) (a) (I), C.R.S., each district's local share of funding for total program includes a portion of these district "specific ownership tax revenues" -- specifically, that portion that was collected for the previous budget year that is attributable to all property tax levies made by the school district, except those levies made for the purpose of satisfying bonded indebtedness obligations (both principal and interest) and those authorized pursuant to voter approval to raise and expend additional ("override") property tax revenues in excess of the district's total program [see Section 22-54-103 (11), C.R.S.]. Total specific ownership tax revenues are directly related to the number and taxable value of vehicles. The portion of these revenues that count toward the local share of total program funding is impacted by school districts' general fund mill levies in relation to other school district mill levies, as well as other local mill levies.

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION (Public School Finance only)

FY 2011-12 SUPPLEMENTAL RECOMMENDATIONS JBC WORKING DOCUMENT - SUBJECT TO CHANGE

Thus, existing appropriations of state funds are \$24.2 million higher than the amount required to maintain districts' total program funding at the level that was used as the basis for the initial FY 2011-12 appropriation. The Department proposes using \$19.8 million of these unanticipated local funds to increase total program funding by \$19.8 million, and using the remainder to decrease state appropriations by \$4.4 million.

Summary of Changes for FY 2011-12

Table E summarizes the above-described changes in the funded pupil count, total program funding, and the state and local shares of such funding based on the statutory formula and the Department's request.

TABLE E: Changes to School Finance Based on Actual Enrollment and Local Revenues					
		FY 11-12			
School Finance: Total Program	FY 10-11 Actual	Data Used for Initial Appropriation	Data Related to Revised Appropriation	Mid-year Change	
Funded Pupil Count	798,599.5	805,890.6	808,194.5	2,303.9	
Annual Percent Change	1.2%	0.9%	1.2%		
Statewide <u>Base</u> Per Pupil Funding	\$5,529.71	\$5,634.77	\$5,634.77		
Annual Percent Change	0.4%	1.9%	1.9%		
Total Program Funding PRIOR TO Negative Factor	\$5,822,311,212	\$5,987,109,016	\$6,006,861,965	\$19,752,949	
LESS: Negative Factor Reduction	(\$597,257,157)	(\$774,414,342)	(\$774,414,342)	\$0	
Negative Factor as % of Total Program	-10.3%	-12.9%	-12.9%		
EQUALS: Adjusted Total Program Funding	\$5,225,054,055	\$5,212,694,674	\$5,232,447,623	\$19,752,949	
Annual Percent Change	-6.5%	-0.2%	0.1%		
Statewide Average Per Pupil Funding (for adjusted total program funding)	\$6,542.77	\$6,468.24	\$6,474.24	\$6.00	
Annual Percent Change	-7.6%	-1.1%	-1.0%		

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION (Public School Finance only)

FY 2011-12 SUPPLEMENTAL RECOMMENDATIONS JBC WORKING DOCUMENT - SUBJECT TO CHANGE

TABLE E: Changes to School Finance Based on Actual Enrollment and Local Revenues						
		FY 11-12				
School Finance: Total Program	FY 10-11 Actual	Data Used for Initial Appropriation	Data Related to Revised Appropriation	Mid-year Change		
Local Share of Districts' Total Program Funding	<u>\$2,018,856,003</u>	<u>\$1,876,347,000</u>	<u>\$1,900,525,468</u>	<u>\$24,178,468</u>		
Property Tax Revenue	\$1,881,028,126	\$1,738,519,123	\$1,771,660,759	\$33,141,636		
Specific Ownership Tax Revenue	\$137,827,877	\$137,827,877	\$128,864,709	(\$8,963,168)		
Annual Percent Change on Total	-2.4%	-7.1%	-5.9%			
State Share of Districts' Total Program Funding	\$3,206,198,052	\$3,336,347,674	\$3,331,922,155	(\$4,425,519)		
Annual Percent Change	-8.9%	4.1%	3.9%			
State Share as Percent of Districts' Total Program	61.4%	64.0%	63.7%			

Staff Recommendation: Staff recommends the following:

• Approve the request to reduce state funding by \$4.4 million and introduce a bill as part of the supplemental bill package to make the associated statutory changes. Approval of the request will provide school districts with the anticipated level of per pupil funding, and early action will provide districts with the information they need for budget and planning purposes. The recommended fund source adjustment is detailed in the following Table F; the recommended statutory changes are discussed following this set of bullet points.

TABLE F: Recommended Adjustments to FY 2011-12 Appropriations for School Finance							
Fund Source	Initial Recommended Recommended Appropriation Appropriation Adjustmen						
General Fund	\$2,671,845,744	\$2,671,845,744	\$0				
Cash Funds: State Education Fund	515,485,287	511,059,768	(4,425,519)				
Cash Funds: State Public School Fund	149,016,643	149,016,643	<u>0</u>				
Total State Funds	3,336,347,674	3,331,922,155	(4,425,519)				

- **Delay any potential fund source adjustments** until late March, when the Committee is finalizing its budget proposals for both FY 2011-12 and FY 2012-13. At that time the Committee will have updated revenue forecasts, will know more about the General Fund needs for both fiscal years, and will be in a better position to determine whether fund source adjustments and/or year-end transfers of General Fund to the State Education Fund (as proposed by the Governor³) should be part of the Committee's recommendations to the General Assembly.
- Include in the companion bill a statutory change to specify a total program funding floor for FY 2012-13. This figure is intended to simply serve as a starting point for purposes of preparing the FY 2012-13 Long Bill and for purposes of identifying the fiscal impact of any legislation that impacts school finance funding for FY 2012-13.

Recommended Companion Bill. Based on discussions with staff from the Office of Legislative Legal Services, Legislative Council, and the Department of Education, staff recommends that the Committee make the recommended appropriation changes through a separate bill that includes associated statutory changes. Specifically, staff recommends that the Committee introduce a bill that would include the following provisions:

- A non-statutory legislative declaration would explain the current situation and the General Assembly's intent to increase total program funding. Specifically, the declaration would state that: (a) Based on the actual funded pupil count and the actual at-risk student counts for FY 2011-12, total program funding is \$19,752,949 higher than anticipated when appropriations were established in the 2011 Session; (b) Based on actual local property tax and specific ownership taxes available to school districts for FY 2011-12, the local share of total program funding is \$24,178,468 higher than anticipated when appropriations were established in the 2011 Session; (c) It is the intent of the General Assembly that FY 2011-12 total program funding, after application of the negative factor, be adjusted to provide additional funding associated with the higher than anticipated funded pupil count and at-risk student count.
- A provision to modify current law to establish a new (higher) total program floor for FY 2011-12 to provide clear direction to Department of Education and Legislative Council staff in recalculating the FY 2011-12 negative factor. Specifically, this provision would amend current law as follows:

20-Jan-12 10 EDUCMK-sup

³ The Governor, in his January 3, 2012 letter to the Committee, recommends that available General Fund revenue above the four percent statutory reserve be transferred to the State Education Fund in FY 2011-12. The proposed transfer is estimated to total \$107.0 million.

22-54-104. District total program.

- (g) (I) For the 2010-11 budget year and each budget year thereafter, the general assembly determines that stabilization of the state budget requires a reduction in the amount of the annual appropriation to fund the state's share of total program funding for all districts and the funding for institute charter schools. The department of education shall implement the reduction in total program funding through the application of a negative factor as provided in this paragraph (g). For the 2010-11 budget year and each budget year thereafter, the department of education and the staff of the legislative council shall determine, based on budget projections, the amount of such reduction to ensure the following:
- (B) That, for the 2011-12 budget year, the sum of the total program funding for all districts, including the funding for institute charter schools, after application of the negative factor, is not less than five billion two hundred ten million seven hundred ninety-five thousand eight hundred twenty-three dollars (\$5,210,795,823) FIVE BILLION TWO HUNDRED TWENTY-NINE MILLION FIVE HUNDRED SIXTY THOUSAND THREE HUNDRED FORTY-SIX DOLLARS (\$5,229,560,346)⁴; except that the department of education and the staff of the legislative council shall make mid-year revisions to replace projections with actual figures including, but not limited to, actual pupil enrollment, assessed valuations, and specific ownership tax revenue from the prior year, to determine any necessary changes in the amount of the reduction to maintain a total program funding amount for the 2011-12 budget year that is equal to the total program funding amount as initially established pursuant to CONSISTENT WITH this sub-subparagraph (B)⁵.

20-Jan-12 11 EDUCMK-sup

⁴ This change establishes a new <u>floor</u> for total program funding for FY 2011-12. This would be the starting point for calculating the negative factor. Actual total program funding after application of the negative factor will be \$5,232,447,623 – \$2,887,277 higher than the new floor. This \$2.9 million difference is the amount of the negative factor reduction that is attributable to those districts that receive little or no state funding for total program, and thus are not impacted by the negative factor to the same extent as other districts.

⁵ This change recognizes that the total program funding amount has changed from what was initially established in the 2011 Session, but allows for the total program funding amount after application of the negative factor to be higher than the new floor that is established through this bill.

• An appropriation clause to adjust appropriations of state funds for FY 2011-12 for both total program funding and hold-harmless full-day kindergarten funding, as follows:

Appropriation - adjustments in 2011 long bill. (1) For the implementation of this act, appropriations made in the annual general appropriation act to the department of education for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2011, are adjusted as follows:

- (a) The cash funds appropriation for the state share of districts' total program funding, is decreased by \$4,425,519. Said sum is from the state education fund created in section 17 (3) of article IX of the state constitution.
- (B) The cash funds appropriation for hold-harmless full-day kindergarten funding, is increased by \$44,857. Said sum is from the state education fund created in section 17 (3) of article IX of the state constitution.
- A provision to specify a total program funding floor for <u>FY 2012-13</u>. This figure is intended to simply serve as a starting point for purposes of preparing the FY 2012-13 Long Bill and for purposes of identifying the fiscal impact of any legislation that impacts school finance funding for FY 2012-13. For purposes of simplicity, and consistent with initial legislative action for FY 2010-11, staff recommends simply setting the total program floor amount for FY 2012-13 at the same level as for FY 2011-12 (\$5,229,560,346). The 2012 Long Bill would then include appropriations based on this initial floor amount. Staff assumes that this floor would then be amended through the school finance bill (either increased or decreased), and an appropriation clause would adjust Long Bill appropriations for FY 2012-13 accordingly.

For example, if the General Assembly ultimately approves the Department's request for FY 2012-13, this floor would need to be reduced through the school finance bill by approximately \$48.4 million. In contrast, if the General Assembly ultimately elects to increase funding (*e.g.*, to maintain the statewide average per pupil funding amount, or to increase the statewide average per pupil funding amount by inflation), this floor would need to be increased through the school finance bill.

Finally, staff requests permission to work with staff from the Department of Education and the Legislative Council to draft this legislation for the Committee's final approval.

Staff Analysis Related to Hold-harmless Full-day Kindergarten Funding:

Background Information. Under current law [Section 22-28-104 (2) (a) (III), C.R.S.], a total of 20,160 half-day preschool slots are authorized for the Colorado Preschool Program (CPP) for FY 2011-12. Pursuant to H.B. 08-1388, CPP slots can no longer be used to provide full-day kindergarten. For those

districts that had previously elected to use CPP slots to provide full-day kindergarten, this act included a "hold harmless" provision. Thus, these districts currently receive 0.58 FTE funding for all kindergarten students, plus an additional amount of per pupil funding (0.42 FTE) based on the number of kindergarten students previously served through CPP.

Reason for Request. Consistent with its request related to the State Share line item, the Department has requested an increase in the appropriation for this program based on actual student enrollment data and the resulting changes in districts' per pupil funding amounts. **Staff recommends approving the request.** As indicated above, this appropriation adjustment would be included in the appropriation clause of the companion bill.

	FY 2010-11	FY 2011-12	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Suppleme		emental
			Requested	Recommended	New Total with
	Actual	Appropriation	Change	Change	Recommendation
	-				
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION					
Robert Hammond, Commissioner of Education					
Supplemental #1 - Total Program Funding					
(2) Assistance to Public Schools					
(A) Public School Finance					
State Share of Districts' Total Program Funding	3,206,198,052	3,336,347,674	(4,425,519)	(4,425,519)	<u>3,331,922,155</u>
General Fund (including General Fund Exempt			-		
Account)	2,797,831,709	2,671,845,744	19,800,000	0	2,671,845,744
Cash Funds	408,366,343	664,501,930	(24,225,519)	(4,425,519)	660,076,411
CF - State Education Fund (included above)	283,801,647	515,485,287	(24,225,519)	(4,425,519)	511,059,768
CF - State Public School Fund (included above)	124,564,696	149,016,643	0	0	149,016,643
Hold-harmless Full-day Kindergarten Funding - CF	< 0.27 7.41	- 0 - 0 0 - -	44.055	44.055	6010010
(SEF)	6,925,561	6,869,056	44,857	44,857	6,913,913
Total for Supplemental #1	3,213,123,613	3,343,216,730	(4,380,662)	(4,380,662)	<u>3,338,836,068</u>
General Fund	2,797,831,709	2,671,845,744	19,800,000	0	2,671,845,744
Cash Funds	415,291,904	671,370,986	(24,180,662)	(4,380,662)	666,990,324

	FY 2010-11	FY 2011-12	Fiscal Y	ear 2011-12 Supple	mental
		_	Requested	Recommended	New Total with
	Actual	Appropriation	Change	Change	Recommendation
Totals					
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION					
Totals for Public School Finance subsection only	3,416,781,889	3,344,790,125	(4,380,662)	(4,380,662)	3,340,409,463
FTE	<u>15.0</u>	<u>18.5</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>18.5</u>
General Fund (including General Fund Exempt					
Account)	2,797,831,709	2,671,845,744	19,800,000	0	2,671,845,744
Cash Funds	415,374,320	671,491,404	(24,180,662)	(4,380,662)	667,110,742
Reappropriated Funds	1,387,688	1,452,977	0	0	1,452,977
Federal Funds	202,188,172	0	0	0	0
Key:					
"N.A." = Not Applicable or Not Available					

	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	1	Р	Q
		, , ,	Č	J		'	l.				<u> </u>
1							2011-12				
2	COUNTY	DISTRICT	ACTUAL FUNDED PUPIL COUNT- 2011-12	2011-12 FULLY FUNDED TOTAL PROGRAM	Negative Factor 2011-12 NO SUPPLEMENTAL	TOTAL PROGRAM AFTER NEGATIVE FACTOR NO SUPPLEMENTAL	SUPPLEMENTAL BUDGET PEQUEST	REVISED TOTAL PROGRAM WITH SUPPLEMENTAL	Negative Factor 2011-12 WITH SUPPLEMENTAL	2011-12 PER PUPIL FUNDING NO SUPPLEMENTAL	2011-12 PER PUPIL FUNDING WITH SUPPLEMENTAL
4	ADAMS	MAPLETON	7,342.3	55,163,375.62	(7,320,642.40)	47,842,733.22	182,375.96	48,025,109.18	(7,138,266.44)	6,516.04	6,540.88
5	ADAMS	ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR	43,079.0	310,690,779.45	(41,231,271.10)		1,027,176.62	270,486,684.97	(40,204,094.48)	·	6,278.85
6	ADAMS	COMMERCE CITY	7,221.4	57,184,993.15	(7,588,928.00)	49,596,065.15	189,059.64	49,785,124.79	(7,399,868.36)	6,867.93	6,894.11
7	ADAMS	BRIGHTON	15,458.9	110,487,657.84	(14,662,638.46)	95,825,019.38	365,283.90	96,190,303.27	(14,297,354.57)	6,198.70	6,222.33
8	ADAMS	BENNETT	1,047.6	8,027,626.79	(1,065,333.37)	6,962,293.42	26,540.18	6,988,833.60	(1,038,793.19)	6,645.95	6,671.28
9	ADAMS	STRASBURG	952.5	7,293,494.13	(967,907.82)	6,325,586.31	24,113.06	6,349,699.38	(943,794.75)	6,641.04	6,666.35
10		WESTMINSTER	12,238.4	94,991,091.94	(12,606,114.25)	82,384,977.69	314,050.61	82,699,028.29	(12,292,063.65)	6,731.68	6,757.34
11	ALAMOSA	ALAMOSA	2,097.6	15,283,195.78	(2,028,208.21)	13,254,987.57	50,527.86	13,305,515.44	(1,977,680.34)	6,319.12	6,343.21
12	ALAMOSA	SANGRE DE CRISTO	297.4	2,916,101.93	(386,991.17)	2,529,110.76	9,640.94	2,538,751.70	(377,350.23)	8,504.07	8,536.49
13	ARAPAHOE	ENGLEWOOD	2,931.4	22,436,420.06	(2,977,501.04)		74,177.18	19,533,096.20	(2,903,323.86)	6,638.10	6,663.40
14		SHERIDAN	1,489.5	12,950,928.32	(1,718,696.76)		42,817.14	11,275,048.70	(1,675,879.62)	7,540.94	7,569.69
15	ARAPAHOE	CHERRY CREEK	49,788.0	366,299,911.98	(48,611,069.18)	317,688,842.80	1,211,026.30	318,899,869.10	(47,400,042.88)	6,380.83	6,405.16
16	ARAPAHOE	LITTLETON	14,928.0	106,915,948.80	(14,188,642.73)	92,727,306.07	353,475.45	93,080,781.52	(13,835,167.28)	6,211.64	6,235.31
17	ARAPAHOE	DEER TRAIL	157.1	2,180,535.88	(289,375.39)	1,891,160.49	7,209.08	1,898,369.57	(282,166.31)	12,037.94	12,083.83
18	ARAPAHOE	AURORA	36,551.8	281,910,743.18	(37,411,919.01)	244,498,824.17	932,026.77	245,430,850.94	(36,479,892.24)	6,689.10	6,714.60
19	ARAPAHOE	BYERS	453.6	3,905,408.23	(518,280.41)	3,387,127.82	12,911.69	3,400,039.51	(505,368.72)	7,467.21	7,495.68
20	ARCHULETA	ARCHULETA	1,482.3	11,152,867.31	(1,480,078.99)	9,672,788.32	36,872.56	9,709,660.88	(1,443,206.43)	6,525.53	6,550.40
21	BACA	WALSH	147.0	1,865,884.34	(247,618.49)	1,618,265.85	6,168.81	1,624,434.66	(241,449.68)	11,008.61	11,050.58
22	BACA	PRITCHETT	67.2	988,729.17	(131,212.65)	857,516.52	3,268.84	860,785.36	(127,943.81)	12,760.66	12,809.31
23	BACA	SPRINGFIELD	270.0	2,667,379.10	(353,983.57)	2,313,395.53	8,818.64	2,322,214.17	(345,164.93)	8,568.13	8,600.79
24	BACA	VILAS	291.5	2,268,025.46	(300,985.99)	1,967,039.47	7,498.33	1,974,537.80	(293,487.66)	6,747.99	6,773.71
25	BACA	CAMPO	48.7	730,220.20	(96,906.34)	633,313.86	2,414.19	635,728.04	(94,492.16)	13,004.39	13,053.96
26	BENT	LAS ANIMAS	528.6	4,248,329.74	(563,788.97)	3,684,540.77	14,045.43	3,698,586.20	(549,743.54)	6,970.38	6,996.95
27	BENT	MCCLAVE	271.3	2,632,612.16	(349,369.70)	2,283,242.46	8,703.69	2,291,946.15	(340,666.01)	8,415.93	8,448.01
28	BOULDER	ST VRAIN	26,120.2	189,964,498.50	(25,209,881.51)	164,754,616.99	628,042.75	165,382,659.74	(24,581,838.76)	6,307.56	6,331.60
29	BOULDER	BOULDER	28,317.5	207,466,752.74	(27,532,577.38)	179,934,175.36	685,907.05	180,620,082.42	(26,846,670.32)	6,354.17	6,378.39
30		BUENA VISTA	939.0	7,214,440.12	(957,416.69)	6,257,023.43	23,851.70	6,280,875.14	(933,564.98)	6,663.50	6,688.90
31	CHAFFEE	SALIDA	1,069.2	7,921,816.20	(1,051,291.42)	6,870,524.78	26,190.36	6,896,715.14	(1,025,101.06)	6,425.86	6,450.35
32		KIT CARSON	114.5	1,524,268.89	(202,283.26)	1,321,985.63	5,039.39	1,327,025.02	(197,243.87)	11,545.73	11,589.74
33		CHEYENNE	176.2	2,150,232.66	(285,353.90)	1,864,878.76	7,108.90	1,871,987.66	(278,245.00)	10,583.87	10,624.22
34	CLEAR CREEK	CLEAR CREEK	907.1	7,087,714.97	(266.56)	7,087,448.41	0.00	7,087,448.41	(266.56)	7,813.30	7,813.30
35	CONEJOS	NORTH CONEJOS	1,050.0	7,763,417.14	(1,030,270.54)	6,733,146.60	25,666.68	6,758,813.28	(1,004,603.86)	6,412.52	6,436.97
36	CONEJOS	SANFORD	328.4	3,048,654.07	(404,581.95)	2,644,072.12	10,079.17	2,654,151.29	(394,502.78)	8,051.38	8,082.07
37	CONEJOS	SOUTH CONEJOS	253.7	2,706,836.91	(359,219.95)	2,347,616.96	8,949.09	2,356,566.05	(350,270.86)	9,253.52	9,288.79
38	COSTILLA	CENTENNIAL	235.9	2,652,150.11	(351,962.55)	2,300,187.56	8,768.29	2,308,955.85	(343,194.26)	9,750.69	9,787.86
39		SIERRA GRANDE	270.5	2,813,100.55	(373,322.03)	2,439,778.52	9,300.41	2,449,078.93	(364,021.62)	9,019.51	9,053.90
40	CROWLEY	CROWLEY	485.7	3,972,109.31	(527,132.21)	3,444,977.10	13,132.21	3,458,109.32	(513,999.99)	7,092.81	7,119.85
41	CUSTER	WESTCLIFFE	449.7	3,682,525.09	(488,701.95)		12,174.82	3,205,997.96	(476,527.13)	7,102.12	7,129.19
42	DELTA	DELTA	5,064.7	35,861,989.18	(4,759,186.61)	31,102,802.57	118,563.53	31,221,366.10	(4,640,623.08)	6,141.09	6,164.50
43	DENVER	DENVER	75,004.5	592,117,624.44	(78,578,972.76)	513,538,651.68	1,957,603.57	515,496,255.25	(76,621,369.19)	6,846.77	6,872.87
44	DOLORES	DOLORES	267.0	2,816,694.73	(373,799.00)	2,442,895.73	9,312.29	2,452,208.02	(364,486.71)	9,149.42	9,184.30
45	DOUGLAS	DOUGLAS	59,606.4	425,518,050.27	(56,469,812.59)	369,048,237.68	1,406,807.73	370,455,045.42	(55,063,004.85)	6,191.42	6,215.02

	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	Р	Q
1							2011-12				
			ACTUAL FUNDED PUPIL COUNT-	2011-12 FULLY FUNDED TOTAL	Negative Factor 2011-12 NO	TOTAL PROGRAM AFTER NEGATIVE FACTOR	SUPPLEMENTAL	REVISED TOTAL PROGRAM WITH	Negative Factor 2011-12 WITH	2011-12 PER PUPIL FUNDING NO	2011-12 PER PUPIL FUNDING WITH
2	COUNTY	DISTRICT	2011-12	PROGRAM	SUPPLEMENTAL	NO SUPPLEMENTAL	BUDGET REQUEST	SUPPLEMENTAL	SUPPLEMENTAL	SUPPLEMENTAL	SUPPLEMENTAL
46	EAGLE	EAGLE	6,124.6	47,427,388.25	(6,294,012.03)	41,133,376.22	156,799.97	41,290,176.19	(6,137,212.06)	6,716.09	6,741.69
47	ELBERT	ELIZABETH	2,576.3	18,713,152.61	(2,483,392.23)	16,229,760.38	61,867.66	16,291,628.04	(2,421,524.57)		6,323.65
48	ELBERT	KIOWA	357.7	3,433,547.21	(455,660.50)	2,977,886.71	11,351.67	2,989,238.38	(444,308.83)	8,325.10	8,356.83
49	ELBERT	BIG SANDY	306.0	3,151,371.86	(418,213.47)	2,733,158.39	10,418.77	2,743,577.16	(407,794.70)	8,931.89	8,965.94
50	ELBERT	ELBERT	222.4	2,614,985.57	(347,030.51)	2,267,955.06	8,645.42	2,276,600.48	(338,385.09)	10,197.64	10,236.51
51	ELBERT	AGATE	45.8	719,858.01	(95,531.19)	624,326.82	2,379.93	626,706.74	(93,151.27)	13,631.59	13,683.55
52	EL PASO	CALHAN	582.3	4,845,617.37	(643,054.05)	4,202,563.32	16,020.12	4,218,583.45	(627,033.92)	7,217.18	7,244.69
53	EL PASO	HARRISON	10,355.1	77,852,699.04	(10,331,705.84)	67,520,993.20	257,389.27	67,778,382.47	(10,074,316.57)	6,520.55	6,545.41
54	EL PASO	WIDEFIELD	8,578.3	60,522,470.87	(8,031,839.27)	52,490,631.60	200,093.70	52,690,725.30	(7,831,745.57)	6,119.00	6,142.33
55	EL PASO	FOUNTAIN	7,208.6	50,859,484.35	(6,749,479.95)	44,110,004.40	168,146.84	44,278,151.24	(6,581,333.11)	6,119.08	6,142.41
56	EL PASO	COLORADO SPRINGS	30,222.2	220,172,533.09	(29,218,740.95)	190,953,792.14	727,913.71	191,681,705.85	(28,490,827.24)	6,318.33	6,342.41
57	EL PASO	CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN	4,405.3	31,081,109.57	(4,124,723.81)	26,956,385.76	102,757.44	27,059,143.20	(4,021,966.37)	6,119.08	6,142.41
58	EL PASO	MANITOU SPRINGS	1,434.5	10,771,968.62	(1,429,530.54)	9,342,438.08	35,613.27	9,378,051.35	(1,393,917.27)	6,512.68	6,537.51
59	EL PASO	ACADEMY	22,437.9	158,300,583.97	(21,007,814.60)	137,292,769.37	523,358.49	137,816,127.86	(20,484,456.11)	6,118.79	6,142.11
60	EL PASO	ELLICOTT	942.2	7,463,505.38	(990,469.73)	6,473,035.65	24,675.14	6,497,710.79	(965,794.59)	6,870.13	6,896.32
61	EL PASO	PEYTON	648.5	5,243,698.22	(695,882.71)	4,547,815.51	17,336.22	4,565,151.73	(678,546.49)	7,012.82	7,039.56
62	EL PASO	HANOVER	238.6	2,733,357.96	(362,739.52)	2,370,618.44	9,036.77	2,379,655.21	(353,702.75)	9,935.53	9,973.41
63	EL PASO	LEWIS-PALMER	5,667.7	39,987,833.90	(5,306,720.79)	34,681,113.11	132,204.01	34,813,317.12	(5,174,516.78)	6,119.08	6,142.41
64	EL PASO	FALCON	14,283.7	100,690,754.86	(13,362,507.31)	87,328,247.55	332,894.30	87,661,141.85	(13,029,613.01)	6,113.84	6,137.15
65	EL PASO	EDISON	187.3	2,244,072.74	(297,807.27)	1,946,265.47	7,419.14	1,953,684.62	(290,388.12)	10,391.17	10,430.78
66	EL PASO	MIAMI-YODER	305.5	3,072,653.63	(407,766.90)	2,664,886.73	10,158.52	2,675,045.25	(397,608.38)	8,723.03	8,756.29
67	FREMONT	CANON CITY	3,775.8	26,639,741.56	(3,535,317.04)		88,073.81	23,192,498.33	(3,447,243.23)	6,119.08	6,142.41
68	FREMONT	FLORENCE	1,630.9	11,699,043.48	(1,552,561.15)	10,146,482.33	38,678.28	10,185,160.61	(1,513,882.87)	6,221.40	6,245.12
69	FREMONT	COTOPAXI	207.7	2,421,516.74	(321,355.57)	2,100,161.17	8,005.79	2,108,166.96	(313,349.78)	10,111.51	10,150.06
70	GARFIELD	ROARING FORK	5,334.0	40,883,798.10	(5,425,622.76)	35,458,175.34	135,166.17	35,593,341.51	(5,290,456.59)	6,647.58	6,672.92
71	GARFIELD	RIFLE	4,530.5	32,584,274.36	(4,324,206.38)	28,260,067.98	107,727.06	28,367,795.05	(4,216,479.31)	6,237.74	6,261.52
72	GARFIELD	PARACHUTE	1,153.2	8,872,665.49	(1,177,477.09)	7,695,188.40	29,333.97	7,724,522.37	(1,148,143.12)	6,672.90	6,698.34
73	GILPIN	GILPIN	331.0	3,325,575.33	(441,331.73)	2,884,243.60	10,994.70	2,895,238.31	(430,337.02)	8,713.73	8,746.94
74	GRAND	WEST GRAND	446.7	3,922,757.26	(286,062.24)	3,636,695.02	0.00	3,636,695.02	(286,062.24)	7,881.58	7,881.58
75	GRAND	EAST GRAND	1,301.6	9,658,538.74	(1,281,769.06)	8,376,769.68	31,932.15	8,408,701.83	(1,249,836.91)	6,435.75	6,460.28
76	GUNNISON	GUNNISON	1,724.0	12,798,870.75	(1,698,517.45)	11,100,353.30	42,314.42	11,142,667.72	(1,656,203.03)	6,438.72	6,463.26
77	HINSDALE	HINSDALE	82.4	1,258,261.39	(166,981.84)	1,091,279.55	4,159.95	1,095,439.50	(162,821.89)	13,243.68	13,294.17
78	HUERFANO	HUERFANO	573.3	4,599,486.64	(610,390.44)	3,989,096.20	15,206.39	4,004,302.59	(595,184.05)	6,958.13	6,984.65
79	HUERFANO	LA VETA	234.1	2,466,017.25	(327,261.16)	2,138,756.09	8,152.91	2,146,909.00	(319,108.25)	9,136.08	9,170.91
80	JACKSON	NORTH PARK	188.1	2,341,207.92	(310,697.92)	2,030,510.00	7,740.28	2,038,250.28	(302,957.64)	10,794.84	10,835.99
81	JEFFERSON	JEFFERSON	81,021.8	587,156,201.79	(77,920,550.38)	509,235,651.41	1,941,200.58	511,176,851.99	(75,979,349.80)	6,285.17	6,309.13
82	KIOWA	EADS	175.8	2,069,503.87	(274,640.51)	1,794,863.36	6,842.00	1,801,705.36	(267,798.51)	10,209.69	10,248.61
83	KIOWA	PLAINVIEW	79.1	1,097,413.06	(145,635.91)	951,777.15	3,628.16	955,405.31	(142,007.75)	12,032.58	12,078.45
84	KIT CARSON	ARRIBA-FLAGLER	149.9	1,872,647.82	(248,516.06)	1,624,131.76	6,191.17	1,630,322.93	(242,324.89)	10,834.77	10,876.07
85	KIT CARSON	HI PLAINS	117.0	1,517,324.92	(201,361.74)	1,315,963.18	5,016.44	1,320,979.62	(196,345.30)	11,247.55	11,290.42
86	KIT CARSON	STRATTON	183.0	2,136,048.88	(283,471.59)	1,852,577.29	7,062.00	1,859,639.29	(276,409.59)	10,123.37	10,161.96
87	KIT CARSON	BETHUNE	121.3	1,644,597.25	(218,251.84)	1,426,345.41	5,437.21	1,431,782.62	(212,814.63)	11,758.82	11,803.65

FY2011-12 Supplemental Funding Request AND FY2012-13 Governor's Revised Budget Request

					F12012-13 Govern	nor's Revised Budget	Request				
	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	Р	Q
1							2011-12				
2	COUNTY	DISTRICT	ACTUAL FUNDED PUPIL COUNT- 2011-12	2011-12 FULLY FUNDED TOTAL PROGRAM	Negative Factor 2011-12 NO SUPPLEMENTAL			REVISED TOTAL PROGRAM WITH SUPPLEMENTAL	Negative Factor 2011-12 WITH SUPPLEMENTAL	2011-12 PER PUPIL FUNDING NO SUPPLEMENTAL	2011-12 PER PUPIL FUNDING WITH SUPPLEMENTAL
	KIT CARSON	BURLINGTON	735.8	5,488,336.63	(728,348.28)	4,759,988.35	18,145.02	4,778,133.37	(710,203.26)	6,469.13	6,493.79
_	LAKE	LAKE	1,088.5	8,606,671.81	(1,142,177.50)		28,454.57	7,492,948.88	(1,113,722.93)	6,857.60	6,883.74
	LA PLATA	DURANGO	4,796.8	34,992,575.17	(4,643,808.08)	30,348,767.09	115,689.16	30,464,456.25	(4,528,118.92)	6,326.88	6,351.00
	LA PLATA	BAYFIELD	1,323.2	10,177,297.27	(1,350,612.67)	8,826,684.60	33,647.22	8,860,331.82	(1,316,965.45)	6,670.71	6,696.14
	LA PLATA	IGNACIO	770.2	6,369,024.93	(845,223.00)	5,523,801.93	21,056.67	5,544,858.60	(824,166.33)	7,171.91	7,199.25
_	LARIMER	POUDRE	26,810.0	188,963,619.25	(25,077,056.44)		624,733.74	164,511,296.54	(24,452,322.71)	6,112.89	6,136.19
_	LARIMER	THOMPSON	14,672.9	103,514,308.87	(13,737,216.60)		342,229.27	90,119,321.54	(13,394,987.33)	6,118.56	6,141.89
	LARIMER	ESTES PARK	1,126.2	8,724,654.68	(897,046.44)	7,827,608.24	0.00	7,827,608.24	(897,046.44)	6,739.86	6,744.51
_	LAS ANIMAS	TRINIDAD	1,436.8	10,711,456.41	(1,421,500.06)		35,413.21	9,325,369.55	(1,386,086.86)	6,465.73	6,490.37
	LAS ANIMAS	PRIMERO	198.3	2,320,300.03	(307,923.27)	2,012,376.76	7,671.16	2,020,047.92	(300,252.11)	10,148.14	10,186.83
_	LAS ANIMAS	HOEHNE	337.6	3,121,144.00	(414,201.97)	2,706,942.03	10,318.83	2,717,260.86	(403,883.14)	8,018.19	8,048.76
	LAS ANIMAS	AGUILAR	110.7	1,467,376.23	(194,733.13)	1,272,643.10	4,851.30	1,277,494.40	(189,881.83)	11,496.32	11,540.15
_	LAS ANIMAS	BRANSON	433.6	3,020,229.60	(400,809.79)		9,985.20	2,629,405.01	(390,824.59)	6,041.10	6,064.13
_	LAS ANIMAS	KIM	55.7	781,119.43	(103,661.10)		2,582.46	680,040.80	(101,078.63)	12,162.63	12,208.99
_	LINCOLN	GENOA-HUGO	167.1	2,115,961.33	(280,805.81)	1,835,155.52	6,995.59	1,842,151.11	(273,810.22)	10,982.38	11,024.24
	LINCOLN	LIMON	451.5	3,712,253.91	(492,647.22)	3,219,606.69	12,273.10	3,231,879.80	(480,374.11)	7,130.91	7,158.09
	LINCOLN	KARVAL	200.0	1,660,781.10	(220,399.58)	1,440,381.52	5,490.72	1,445,872.24	(214,908.86)	7,201.91	7,229.36
_	LOGAN	VALLEY	2,300.6	16,237,447.00	(2,154,845.34)		53,682.72	14,136,284.38	(2,101,162.62)	6,121.27	6,144.61
	LOGAN	FRENCHMAN	180.0	2,189,501.82	(290,565.25)	1,898,936.57	7,238.72	1,906,175.30	(283,326.52)	10,549.65	10,589.86
	LOGAN	BUFFALO	308.0	2,975,385.95	(394,858.66)	2,580,527.29	9,836.94	2,590,364.23	(385,021.72)	8,378.34	8,410.27
	LOGAN	PLATEAU	172.0	2,152,864.20	(285,703.13)	1,867,161.07	7,117.60	1,874,278.67	(278,585.53)	10,855.59	10,896.97
109	MESA	DEBEQUE	124.9	1,703,012.03	(278.06)	1,702,733.97	0.00	1,702,733.97	(278.06)	13,632.78	13,632.78
110	MESA	PLATEAU VALLEY	462.8	3,738,379.24	(496,114.27)	3,242,264.97	12,359.48	3,254,624.45	(483,754.79)	7,005.76	7,032.46
111	MESA	MESA VALLEY	21,577.4	152,234,889.07	(20,202,846.03)	132,032,043.04	503,304.66	132,535,347.70	(19,699,541.37)	6,119.00	6,142.32
112	MINERAL	CREEDE	97.7	1,408,815.29	(186,961.60)	1,221,853.69	4,657.69	1,226,511.38	(182,303.91)	12,506.18	12,553.85
113	MOFFAT	MOFFAT	2,224.8	15,696,831.67	(2,083,101.16)	13,613,730.51	51,895.39	13,665,625.90	(2,031,205.77)	6,119.08	6,142.41
114	MONTEZUMA	MONTEZUMA	2,824.0	19,986,644.42	(2,652,395.27)	17,334,249.15	66,077.96	17,400,327.11	(2,586,317.31)	6,138.19	6,161.59
115	MONTEZUMA	DOLORES	665.1	5,279,591.73	(700,646.08)	4,578,945.65	17,454.89	4,596,400.54	(683,191.19)	6,884.60	6,910.84
116	MONTEZUMA	MANCOS	367.3	3,408,377.43	(452,320.26)	2,956,057.17	11,268.46	2,967,325.63	(441,051.80)	8,048.07	8,078.75
117	MONTROSE	MONTROSE	6,029.0	44,746,120.83	(5,938,185.36)	38,807,935.47	147,935.41	38,955,870.88	(5,790,249.95)	6,436.88	6,461.41
118	MONTROSE	WEST END	311.5	3,304,754.44	(438,568.62)	2,866,185.82	10,925.87	2,877,111.69	(427,642.75)	9,201.24	9,236.31
119	MORGAN	BRUSH	1,428.3	10,815,101.81	(1,435,254.68)	9,379,847.13	35,755.87	9,415,603.00	(1,399,498.81)	6,567.14	6,592.17
120	MORGAN	FT. MORGAN	2,990.4	22,373,724.52	(2,969,180.81)	19,404,543.71	73,969.90	19,478,513.61	(2,895,210.91)	6,488.95	6,513.68
121	MORGAN	WELDON	200.1	2,423,335.29	(321,596.91)	2,101,738.38	8,011.80	2,109,750.18	(313,585.11)	10,503.44	10,543.48
122	MORGAN	WIGGINS	497.3	4,115,217.49	(546,123.86)	3,569,093.63	13,605.34	3,582,698.97	(532,518.52)	7,176.94	7,204.30
123	OTERO	EAST OTERO	1,330.5	10,159,023.02	(1,348,187.52)	8,810,835.50	33,586.81	8,844,422.30	(1,314,600.72)	6,622.20	6,647.44
124	OTERO	ROCKY FORD	825.9	6,704,743.47	(889,775.67)	5,814,967.80	22,166.59	5,837,134.39	(867,609.08)	7,040.76	7,067.60
125	OTERO	MANZANOLA	174.9	2,238,635.03	(297,085.64)	1,941,549.39	7,401.16	1,948,950.56	(289,684.47)	11,100.91	11,143.23
	OTERO	FOWLER	411.1	3,508,138.63	(465,559.41)	3,042,579.22	11,598.28	3,054,177.50	(453,961.13)	7,401.07	7,429.28
	OTERO	CHERAW	199.0	2,373,696.50	(315,009.43)		7,847.69	2,066,534.77	(307,161.73)	10,345.16	10,384.60
420	07500	CHAMBUK	250.5	2 200 402 50	(405 504 00)	2.052.540.53	10,077.70	2 054 425 25	(405 757 04)	7.040.00	7.042.50

2,853,548.57

2,375,480.66

10,877.70

9,055.31

2,864,426.26

2,384,535.97

(425,757.24)

(354,428.20)

7,913.33

11,084.84

7,943.50

11,127.09

(436,634.93)

(363,483.51)

128 OTERO

129 OURAY

SWINK

OURAY

360.6

214.3

3,290,183.50

2,738,964.17

А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	Р	Q
1						2011-12				
		ACTUAL FUNDED PUPIL COUNT-	2011-12 FULLY FUNDED TOTAL	Negative Factor 2011-12 NO	TOTAL PROGRAM AFTER NEGATIVE FACTOR	SUPPLEMENTAL	REVISED TOTAL PROGRAM WITH	Negative Factor 2011-12 WITH	2011-12 PER PUPIL FUNDING NO	2011-12 PER PUPIL FUNDING WITH
2 COUNTY	DISTRICT	2011-12	PROGRAM	SUPPLEMENTAL	NO SUPPLEMENTAL	BUDGET REQUEST	SUPPLEMENTAL	SUPPLEMENTAL	SUPPLEMENTAL	SUPPLEMENTAL
130 OURAY	RIDGWAY	333.6	3,456,311.57	(458,681.52)	2,997,630.05	11,426.93	3,009,056.98	(447,254.59)	8,985.70	9,019.95
131 PARK	PLATTE CANYON	1,115.5	8,631,229.10	(1,145,436.46)	7,485,792.64	28,535.76	7,514,328.40	(1,116,900.70)	6,710.71	6,736.29
132 PARK	PARK	520.3	4,383,534.29	(92,367.92)	4,291,166.37	0.00	4,291,166.37	(92,367.92)	7,651.29	7,651.29
133 PHILLIPS	HOLYOKE	592.2	4,629,226.54	(614,337.17)	4,014,889.37	15,304.71	4,030,194.08	(599,032.46)	6,779.62	6,805.46
134 PHILLIPS	HAXTUN	290.2	2,723,702.20	(361,458.12)	2,362,244.08	9,004.85	2,371,248.93	(352,453.27)	8,140.06	8,171.09
135 PITKIN	ASPEN	1,645.8	15,845,463.37	(2,102,825.83)	13,742,637.54	52,386.78	13,795,024.32	(2,050,439.05)	8,350.13	8,381.96
136 PROWERS	GRANADA	227.2	2,474,174.06	(328,343.64)	2,145,830.42	8,179.88	2,154,010.30	(320,163.76)	9,444.68	9,480.68
137 PROWERS	LAMAR	1,576.9	11,756,057.39	(1,560,127.37)	10,195,930.02	38,866.77	10,234,796.79	(1,521,260.60)	6,465.81	6,490.45
138 PROWERS	HOLLY	272.7	2,637,412.79	(350,006.79)	2,287,406.00	8,719.57	2,296,125.57	(341,287.22)	8,387.99	8,419.97
139 PROWERS	WILEY	226.9	2,450,869.03	(325,250.87)	2,125,618.16	8,102.83	2,133,721.00	(317,148.03)	9,368.08	9,403.79
140 PUEBLO	PUEBLO CITY	17,137.7	125,295,944.27	(16,627,822.22)	108,668,122.05	414,241.66	109,082,363.71	(16,213,580.56)	6,340.88	6,365.05
141 PUEBLO	PUEBLO RURAL	8,579.9	60,534,540.66	(8,033,441.03)	52,501,099.63	200,133.60	52,701,233.23	(7,833,307.43)	6,119.08	6,142.41
142 RIO BLANCO	MEEKER	647.8	4,984,688.84	(93.59)	4,984,595.25	0.00	4,984,595.25	(93.59)	7,694.65	7,694.65
143 RIO BLANCO	RANGELY	454.1	3,552,981.04	(471,510.37)		11,746.53	3,093,217.20	(459,763.84)	6,785.89	6,811.75
144 RIO GRANDE	DEL NORTE	570.2	4,566,090.84	(605,958.53)	3,960,132.31	15,095.98	3,975,228.29	(590,862.55)	6,945.16	6,971.64
145 RIO GRANDE	MONTE VISTA	1,151.9	8,729,157.40	(1,158,432.37)	7,570,725.03	28,859.52	7,599,584.55	(1,129,572.85)	6,572.38	6,597.43
146 RIO GRANDE	SARGENT	469.3	3,707,658.49	(492,037.36)		12,257.91	3,227,879.04	(479,779.45)	6,851.95	6,878.07
147 ROUTT	HAYDEN	392.8	3,696,665.71	(490,578.53)		12,221.57	3,218,308.75	(478,356.96)	8,162.14	8,193.25
148 ROUTT	STEAMBOAT SPRINGS	2,234.7	16,599,978.32	(2,202,956.29)		54,881.29	14,451,903.32	(2,148,075.00)	6,442.49	6,467.04
149 ROUTT	SOUTH ROUTT	378.6	3,662,135.94	(485,996.14)		12,107.41	3,188,247.21	(473,888.73)	8,389.17	8,421.15
150 SAGUACHE	MOUNTAIN VALLEY	116.5	1,589,331.68	(210,917.64)		5,254.50	1,383,668.54	(205,663.14)	11,831.88	11,876.98
151 SAGUACHE	MOFFAT	199.6	2,580,316.00	(342,429.57)		8,530.80	2,246,417.23	(333,898.77)	11,211.86	11,254.60
152 SAGUACHE	CENTER	580.1	4,929,640.61	(654,204.64)	4,275,435.97	16,297.91	4,291,733.89	(637,906.72)	7,370.17	7,398.27
153 SAN JUAN	SILVERTON	67.3	1,075,008.42	(142,662.63)	932,345.79	3,554.09	935,899.88	(139,108.54)	13,853.58	13,906.39
154 SAN MIGUEL	TELLURIDE	721.6	7,344,947.38	(974,736.09)	6,370,211.29	24,283.17	6,394,494.46	(950,452.92)	8,827.90	8,861.55
155 SAN MIGUEL	NORWOOD	260.7	2,923,556.13	(387,980.41)	2,535,575.72	9,665.59	2,545,241.31	(378,314.82)	9,726.03	9,763.10
156 SEDGWICK	JULESBURG	867.0	6,214,037.29	(824,654.84)	5,389,382.45	20,544.27	5,409,926.71	(804,110.58)	6,216.13	6,239.82
157 SEDGWICK	PLATTE VALLEY	124.4	1,706,561.24	(226,474.98)		5,642.07	1,485,728.33	(220,832.91)	11,897.80	11,943.15
158 SUMMIT	SUMMIT	2,942.3	22,813,363.19	(3,027,524.55)		75,423.39	19,861,262.03	(2,952,101.16)	6,724.62	6,750.25
159 TELLER	CRIPPLE CREEK	411.0	3,560,913.92	(472,563.13)	3,088,350.79	11,772.76	3,100,123.55	(460,790.37)	7,514.24	7,542.88
160 TELLER	WOODLAND PARK	2,667.4	18,955,011.03	(2,515,488.87)	16,439,522.16	62,667.27	16,502,189.43	(2,452,821.60)	6,163.13	6,186.62
161 WASHINGTON		369.8	3,347,442.01	(444,233.62)	2,903,208.39	11,067.00	2,914,275.39	(433,166.62)	7,850.75	7,880.68
162 WASHINGTON		101.0	1,418,568.33	(188,255.91)		4,689.94	1,235,002.36	(183,565.97)	12,181.31	12,227.75
163 WASHINGTON		186.7	2,235,751.84	(296,703.01)	1,939,048.83	7,391.63	1,946,440.46	(289,311.38)	10,385.91	10,425.50
164 WASHINGTON		107.0	1,506,529.33	(199,929.07)	1,306,600.26	4,980.75	1,311,581.00	(194,948.33)	12,211.22	12,257.77
165 WASHINGTON		94.8	1,335,988.39	(177,296.86)	1,158,691.53	4,416.92	1,163,108.45	(172,879.94)	12,221.22	12,269.08
166 WELD	GILCREST	1,811.3	13,344,031.09	(1,770,864.79)		44,116.78	11,617,283.08	(1,726,748.01)	6,389.43	6,413.78
167 WELD	EATON	1,749.9	12,617,114.28	(1,674,396.84)	10,942,717.44	41,713.52	10,984,430.96	(1,632,683.32)	6,253.34	6,277.18
168 WELD	KEENESBURG	2,143.3	15,594,082.95	(2,069,465.54)		51,555.69	13,576,173.10	(2,017,909.85)	6,310.18	6,334.24
169 WELD	WINDSOR	4,315.9	30,450,357.70	(4,041,017.75)		100,672.11	26,510,012.06	(3,940,345.64)	6,119.08	6,334.24
170 WELD	JOHNSTOWN	3,075.9	21,701,674.10	(2,879,994.09)	18,821,680.01	71,748.03	18,893,428.04	(2,808,246.06)	6,119.08	6,142.41
						,			·	·
171 WELD	GREELEY	18,882.0	137,005,652.19	(18,181,798.63)	118,823,853.56	452,955.19	119,276,808.76	(17,728,843.43)	6,292.97	6,316.96

FY2011-12 Supplemental Funding Request AND

FY2012-13 Governor's Revised Budget Request

	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	Р	Q
1							2011-12				
2	COUNTY	DISTRICT	ACTUAL FUNDED PUPIL COUNT- 2011-12	2011-12 FULLY FUNDED TOTAL PROGRAM	Negative Factor 2011-12 NO SUPPLEMENTAL	TOTAL PROGRAM AFTER NEGATIVE FACTOR NO SUPPLEMENTAL	SUPPLEMENTAL BUDGET REQUEST	REVISED TOTAL PROGRAM WITH SUPPLEMENTAL	Negative Factor 2011-12 WITH SUPPLEMENTAL	2011-12 PER PUPIL FUNDING NO SUPPLEMENTAL	2011-12 PER PUPIL FUNDING WITH SUPPLEMENTAL
172	WELD	PLATTE VALLEY	1,104.2	8,283,197.66	(1,099,249.77)	7,183,947.89	27,385.13	7,211,333.02	(1,071,864.64)	6,506.02	6,530.82
173	WELD	FT. LUPTON	2,280.4	17,355,363.84	(2,303,202.28)	15,052,161.56	57,378.67	15,109,540.23	(2,245,823.61)	6,600.67	6,625.83
174	WELD	AULT-HIGHLAND	831.5	6,554,952.84	(869,897.20)	5,685,055.64	21,671.37	5,706,727.01	(848,225.83)	6,837.11	6,863.17
175	WELD	BRIGGSDALE	147.3	1,935,403.21	(256,844.23)	1,678,558.98	6,398.65	1,684,957.63	(250,445.58)	11,395.51	11,438.95
176	WELD	PRAIRIE	163.9	2,070,276.00	(274,742.98)	1,795,533.02	6,844.55	1,802,377.57	(267,898.43)	10,955.05	10,996.81
177	WELD	PAWNEE	95.4	1,368,176.65	(66.17)	1,368,110.48	0.00	1,368,110.48	(66.17)	14,340.37	14,340.37
178	YUMA	YUMA 1	782.9	6,414,020.53	(851,194.30)	5,562,826.23	21,205.43	5,584,031.67	(829,988.86)	7,105.41	7,132.50
179	YUMA	WRAY RD-2	670.4	5,299,574.19	(703,297.92)	4,596,276.27	17,520.95	4,613,797.22	(685,776.97)	6,856.02	6,882.16
180	YUMA	IDALIA RJ-3	137.3	1,870,871.78	(248,280.37)	1,622,591.41	6,185.30	1,628,776.71	(242,095.07)	11,817.85	11,862.90
181	YUMA	LIBERTY J-4	82.0	1,248,662.56	(165,707.99)	1,082,954.57	4,128.21	1,087,082.78	(161,579.78)	13,206.76	13,257.11
183		TOTALS	808,194.50	6,006,861,965.53	(794,167,291.08)	5,212,694,674.45	19,752,949.33	5,232,447,623.78	(774,414,341.75)	6,449.80	6,474.24

	А	В	Т	U	V	W	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AK
1					2012-13			ESTIM/	ATED CHA	NGE - 2011	-12 AND 2	2012-13
2	COUNTY	DISTRICT	2012-13 ESTIMATED FUNDED PUPIL COUNTS 2012-13	2012-13 ESTIMATED FULLY FUNDED TOTAL PROGRAM	2012-13 Estimated Negative Factor	2012-13 TOTAL PROGRAM AFTER NEGATIVE FACTOR	2012-13 ESTIMATED PER PUPIL FUNDING AFTER NEGATIVE FACTOR	CHANGE IN FUNDED PUPILS	CHANGE IN FULLY FUNDED TOTAL PROGRAM	CHANGE IN NEGATIVE FACTOR WITH SUPPLEMENTAL	CHANGE IN TOTAL PROGRAM AFTER NEGATIVE FACTOR	CHANGE IN PER PUPIL FUNDING
4	ADAMS	MAPLETON	7,526.3	58,520,100.35	(10,302,348.41)	48,217,751.94	6,406.57	184.00	3,356,724.73	(3,164,081.96)	192,642.77	(134.31)
5		ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR	43,946.4	328,051,537.04	(57,752,826.98)	270,298,710.06	6,150.65	867.40	17,360,757.59	(17,548,732.50)	(187,974.91)	(134.31)
6	ADAMS	COMMERCE CITY	7,339.2	60,154,537.76	(10,590,087.89)	49,564,449.87	6,753.39	117.80	2,969,544.61	(3,190,219.54)	(220,674.93)	(140.72)
7	ADAMS	BRIGHTON	16,125.9	119,304,341.16	(21,003,294.28)	98,301,046.88	6,095.85	667.00	8,816,683.32	(6,705,939.72)	2,110,743.60	(126.48)
8	ADAMS	BENNETT	1,037.8	8,238,100.45	(1,450,301.36)	6,787,799.09	6,540.57	(9.80)	210,473.66	(411,508.17)	(201,034.51)	
9	ADAMS	STRASBURG	951.8	7,545,503.21	(1,328,370.98)	6,217,132.23	6,531.97	(0.70)	252,009.08	(384,576.23)	(132,567.15)	(134.38)
	ADAMS	WESTMINSTER	12,680.0	101,813,473.80	(17,924,061.53)	83,889,412.27	6,615.88	441.60	6,822,381.86	(5,631,997.89)	1,190,383.97	(141.46)
_	ALAMOSA	ALAMOSA	2,086.8	15,742,274.68	(2,771,396.45)	12,970,878.23	6,215.68	(10.80)	459,078.90	(793,716.11)	(334,637.21)	(127.53)
12		SANGRE DE CRISTO	292.8	2,987,836.47	(526,002.73)	2,461,833.74	8,407.90	(4.60)	71,734.54	(148,652.49)	(76,917.95)	(128.59)
13	ARAPAHOE	ENGLEWOOD	2,825.5	22,433,296.68	(3,949,337.70)	18,483,958.98	6,541.84	(105.90)	(3,123.38)	(1,046,013.84)	(1,049,137.22)	(121.56)
14	ARAPAHOE	SHERIDAN	1,486.2	13,373,892.28	(2,354,447.40)	11,019,444.88	7,414.51	(3.30)	422,963.96	(678,567.77)	(255,603.81)	(155.18)
15	ARAPAHOE	CHERRY CREEK	50,275.5	382,834,823.27	(67,397,316.62)	315,437,506.65	6,274.18	487.50	16,534,911.29	(19,997,273.74)	(3,462,362.45)	(130.98)
16	ARAPAHOE	LITTLETON	14,839.9	110,000,462.64	(19,365,364.79)	90,635,097.85	6,107.53	(88.10)	3,084,513.84	(5,530,197.51)	(2,445,683.67)	(127.78)
17	ARAPAHOE	DEER TRAIL	164.2	2,327,251.10	(409,707.97)	1,917,543.13	11,678.09	7.10	146,715.22	(127,541.66)	19,173.56	(405.74)
18	ARAPAHOE	AURORA	37,103.4	296,207,608.60	(52,146,766.09)	244,060,842.51	6,577.86	551.60	14,296,865.42	(15,666,873.86)	(1,370,008.44)	(136.74)
19	ARAPAHOE	BYERS	442.5	4,004,477.45	(704,980.37)	3,299,497.08	7,456.49	(11.10)	99,069.22	(199,611.65)	(100,542.43)	(39.19)
20	ARCHULETA	ARCHULETA	1,446.7	11,283,154.27	(1,986,377.09)	9,296,777.18	6,426.20	(35.60)	130,286.96	(543,170.66)	(412,883.70)	(124.20)
21	BACA	WALSH	143.0	1,890,906.93	(332,890.44)	1,558,016.49	10,895.22	(4.00)	25,022.59	(91,440.76)	(66,418.17)	(155.36)
22	BACA	PRITCHETT	68.4	1,040,280.72	(183,139.37)	857,141.35	12,531.31	1.20	51,551.55	(55,195.57)	(3,644.02)	(278.00)
23	BACA	SPRINGFIELD	264.1	2,737,632.93	(481,954.89)	2,255,678.04	8,541.00	(5.90)	70,253.83	(136,789.95)	(66,536.12)	(59.79)
24	BACA	VILAS	289.2	2,326,704.10	(409,611.67)	1,917,092.43	6,628.95	(2.30)	58,678.64	(116,124.01)	(57,445.37)	(144.76)
25	BACA	CAMPO	47.2	732,690.68	(128,988.75)	603,701.93	12,790.30	(1.50)	2,470.48	(34,496.59)	(32,026.11)	(263.66)
26	BENT	LAS ANIMAS	522.1	4,335,928.24	(763,331.63)	3,572,596.61	6,842.74	(6.50)	87,598.50	(213,588.08)	(125,989.58)	(154.21)
27	BENT	MCCLAVE	269.3	2,718,093.01	(478,514.92)	2,239,578.09	8,316.29	(2.00)	85,480.85	(137,848.91)	(52,368.06)	(131.72)
28	BOULDER	ST VRAIN	26,730.6	201,234,234.40	(35,426,890.62)	165,807,343.78	6,202.90	610.40	11,269,735.90	(10,845,051.86)	424,684.04	(128.70)
	BOULDER	BOULDER	28,480.1	215,966,680.21	(38,020,508.69)	177,946,171.52	6,248.09	162.60	8,499,927.47	(11,173,838.37)	(2,673,910.90)	(130.30)
	CHAFFEE	BUENA VISTA	943.1	7,494,796.47	(1,319,444.16)	6,175,352.31	6,547.93	4.10	280,356.35	(385,879.17)	(105,522.82)	(140.97)
31		SALIDA	1,064.6	8,164,731.88	(1,437,384.97)	6,727,346.91	6,319.13	(4.60)	242,915.68	(412,283.91)	(169,368.23)	
32		KIT CARSON	115.6	1,590,519.08	(280,007.75)	1,310,511.33	11,336.60	1.10	66,250.19	(82,763.89)	(16,513.70)	(253.14)
33	CHEYENNE	CHEYENNE	172.7	2,197,492.06	(386,864.15)	1,810,627.91	10,484.24	(3.50)	47,259.40	(108,619.15)	(61,359.75)	(139.98)
34		CLEAR CREEK	906.7	7,333,369.26	(20.16)	7,333,349.10	8,087.82	(0.40)	245,654.29	246.40	245,900.69	274.52
35		NORTH CONEJOS	1,029.5	7,889,001.05	(1,388,843.10)	6,500,157.95	6,313.90	(20.50)	125,583.91	(384,239.24)	(258,655.33)	(123.07)
36		SANFORD	323.3	3,122,422.84	(549,696.39)	2,572,726.45	7,957.71	(5.10)	73,768.77	(155,193.61)	(81,424.84)	(124.36)
37		SOUTH CONEJOS	242.1	2,748,058.16	(483,790.23)	2,264,267.93	9,352.61	(11.60)	41,221.25	(133,519.37)	(92,298.12)	63.82
38		CENTENNIAL	235.4	2,740,829.40	(482,517.62)	2,258,311.78	9,593.51	(0.50)	88,679.29	(139,323.35)	(50,644.06)	(194.35)
39		SIERRA GRANDE	261.8	2,867,734.08	(504,858.94)	2,362,875.14	9,025.50	(8.70)	54,633.53	(140,837.32)	(86,203.79)	(28.40)
40		CROWLEY	484.2	4,097,103.46	(721,286.99)	3,375,816.47	6,971.95	(1.50)	124,994.15	(207,287.00)	(82,292.85)	(147.90)
41		WESTCLIFFE	433.2	3,755,173.24	(661,090.85)	3,094,082.39	7,142.39	(16.50)	72,648.15	(184,563.72)	(111,915.57)	13.20
_	DELTA	DELTA	5,015.1	36,769,124.32	(6,473,131.92)	30,295,992.40	6,040.95	(49.60)	907,135.14	(1,832,508.84)	(925,373.70)	(123.55)
_	DENVER	DENVER	76,433.9	624,602,494.34	(109,960,038.93)	514,642,455.41	6,733.17	1,429.40	32,484,869.90	(33,338,669.75)	(853,799.85)	(139.70)
	DOLORES	DOLORES	263.5	2,899,720.38	(510,490.06)	2,389,230.32	9,067.29	(3.50)	83,025.65	(146,003.35)	(62,977.70)	(117.01)
45	DOUGLAS	DOUGLAS	61,616.8	455,262,564.49	(80,148,077.81)	375,114,486.68	6,087.86	2,010.40	29,744,514.22	(25,085,072.96)	4,659,441.26	(127.16)

	Α	В	Т	U	V	W	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AK
1					2012-13			ESTIMA	ATED CHA	NGE - 2011	-12 AND 2	012-13
2	COUNTY	DISTRICT	2012-13 ESTIMATED FUNDED PUPIL COUNTS 2012-13	2012-13 ESTIMATED FULLY FUNDED TOTAL PROGRAM	2012-13 Estimated Negative Factor	2012-13 TOTAL PROGRAM AFTER NEGATIVE FACTOR	2012-13 ESTIMATED PER PUPIL FUNDING AFTER NEGATIVE FACTOR	CHANGE IN FUNDED PUPILS	CHANGE IN FULLY FUNDED TOTAL PROGRAM	CHANGE IN NEGATIVE FACTOR WITH SUPPLEMENTAL	CHANGE IN TOTAL PROGRAM AFTER NEGATIVE FACTOR	CHANGE IN PER PUPIL FUNDING
46	EAGLE	EAGLE	6,188.3	49,600,854.69	(8,732,132.78)	40,868,721.91	6,604.19	63.70	2,173,466.44	(2,594,920.72)	(421,454.28)	(137.50)
47	ELBERT	ELIZABETH	2,505.7	18,854,135.11	(3,319,233.35)	15,534,901.76	6,199.83	(70.60)	140,982.50	(897,708.78)	(756,726.28)	(123.82)
48	ELBERT	KIOWA	368.9	3,615,732.87	(636,542.65)	2,979,190.22	8,075.87	11.20	182,185.66	(192,233.82)	(10,048.16)	(280.96)
49	ELBERT	BIG SANDY	305.2	3,255,822.79	(573,181.19)	2,682,641.60	8,789.78	(0.80)	104,450.93	(165,386.49)	(60,935.56)	(176.16)
50	ELBERT	ELBERT	211.9	2,637,623.83	(464,348.48)	2,173,275.35	10,256.14	(10.50)	22,638.26	(125,963.39)	(103,325.13)	19.63
51	ELBERT	AGATE	40.4	664,306.46	(116,949.84)	547,356.62	13,548.43	(5.40)	(55,551.55)	(23,798.58)	(79,350.13)	(135.12)
52	EL PASO	CALHAN	574.5	4,953,262.79	(872,012.16)	4,081,250.63	7,104.00	(7.80)	107,645.42	(244,978.23)	(137,332.81)	(140.69)
53	EL PASO	HARRISON	10,352.1	80,553,234.81	(14,181,238.33)	66,371,996.48	6,411.45	(3.00)	2,700,535.77	(4,106,921.76)	(1,406,385.99)	(133.96)
54	EL PASO	WIDEFIELD	8,675.8	63,359,077.77	(11,154,240.85)	52,204,836.92	6,017.29	97.50	2,836,606.90	(3,322,495.28)	(485,888.38)	(125.04)
55	EL PASO	FOUNTAIN	7,380.3	53,898,773.72	(9,488,772.96)	44,410,000.76	6,017.37	171.70	3,039,289.37	(2,907,439.85)	131,849.52	(125.04)
56	EL PASO	COLORADO SPRINGS	30,436.0	229,449,866.70	(40,394,197.12)	189,055,669.58	6,211.58	213.80	9,277,333.61	(11,903,369.88)	(2,626,036.27)	(130.83)
57	EL PASO	CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN	4,359.3	31,836,229.46	(5,604,705.49)	26,231,523.97	6,017.37	(46.00)	755,119.89	(1,582,739.13)	(827,619.24)	(125.04)
58	EL PASO	MANITOU SPRINGS	1,446.4	11,235,645.11	(1,978,013.19)	9,257,631.92	6,400.46	11.90	463,676.49	(584,095.92)	(120,419.43)	(137.05)
59	EL PASO	ACADEMY	23,013.6	168,061,869.88	(29,586,961.18)	138,474,908.70	6,017.09	575.70	9,761,285.91	(9,102,505.08)	658,780.83	(125.02)
60	EL PASO	ELLICOTT	952.9	7,797,990.78	(1,372,820.92)	6,425,169.86	6,742.75	10.70	334,485.40	(407,026.32)	(72,540.92)	(153.57)
61	EL PASO	PEYTON	642.6	5,383,403.27	(947,737.54)	4,435,665.73	6,902.69	(5.90)	139,705.05	(269,191.05)	(129,486.00)	(136.87)
62	EL PASO	HANOVER	219.8	2,722,794.54	(479,342.62)	2,243,451.92	10,206.79	(18.80)	(10,563.42)	(125,639.87)	(136,203.29)	233.38
	EL PASO	LEWIS-PALMER	5,698.7	41,617,948.02	(7,326,757.78)	34,291,190.24	6,017.37	31.00	1,630,114.12	(2,152,241.00)	(522,126.88)	(125.04)
64	EL PASO	FALCON	14,833.6	108,241,145.93	(19,055,640.55)	89,185,505.38	6,012.40	549.90	7,550,391.07	(6,026,027.54)	1,524,363.53	(124.75)
65	EL PASO	EDISON	185.2	2,310,773.35	(406,807.10)	1,903,966.25	10,280.60	(2.10)	66,700.61	(116,418.97)	(49,718.36)	(150.18)
66	EL PASO	MIAMI-YODER	300.6	3,150,132.86	(554,574.69)	2,595,558.17	8,634.59	(4.90)	77,479.23	(156,966.31)	(79,487.08)	(121.70)
67	FREMONT	CANON CITY	3,751.3	27,395,968.98	(4,823,006.38)	22,572,962.60	6,017.37	(24.50)	756,227.42	(1,375,763.15)	(619,535.73)	(125.04)
68	FREMONT	FLORENCE	1,599.1	11,887,602.62	(2,092,789.03)	9,794,813.59	6,125.20	(31.80)	188,559.14	(578,906.16)	(390,347.02)	(119.92)
69	FREMONT	COTOPAXI	199.5	2,447,042.52	(430,797.02)	2,016,245.50	10,106.49	(8.20)	25,525.78	(117,447.23)	(91,921.45)	(43.57)
_	GARFIELD	ROARING FORK	5,360.8	42,529,938.13	(7,487,311.84)	35,042,626.29	6,536.83	26.80	1,646,140.03	(2,196,855.25)	(550,715.22)	(136.09)
71	GARFIELD	RIFLE	4,634.7	34,505,532.36	(6,074,631.01)	28,430,901.35	6,134.36	104.20	1,921,258.00	(1,858,151.70)	63,106.30	(127.16)
72 73	GARFIELD GILPIN	PARACHUTE GILPIN	1,130.9	9,029,750.39	(1,589,669.77)	7,440,080.62	6,578.90	(22.30)	157,084.90	(441,526.65)	(284,441.75)	(119.44)
74	GRAND	WEST GRAND	330.2 434.4	3,436,413.47	(604,973.82)	2,831,439.65	8,574.92 7,995.30	(0.80)	110,838.14 91,307.08	(174,636.80)	(63,798.66) 15,636.29	(172.02) 113.72
75	GRAND	EAST GRAND	1,269.9	4,014,064.34 9,770,315.46	(361,733.03)	3,652,331.31 8,050,270.67	6,339.29	(31.70)	111,776.72	(75,670.79) (470,207.88)	(358,431.16)	(120.99)
76	GUNNISON	GUNNISON	1,269.9	13,378,167.14	(2,355,199.98)	11,022,967.16	6,339.29	18.50	579,296.39	(698,996.95)	(119,700.56)	(120.99)
77	HINSDALE	HINSDALE	80.7	1,279,645.68	(225,279.10)	1,054,366.58	13,065.26	(1.70)	21,384.29	(62,457.21)	(41,072.92)	(228.91)
78		HUERFANO	551.9	4,598,926.55	(809,631.96)	3,789,294.59	6,865.91	(21.40)	(560.09)	(214,447.91)	(215,008.00)	,
79	HUERFANO	LA VETA	221.9	2,487,262.68	(437,877.70)	2,049,384.98	9,235.62	(12.20)	21,245.43	(118,769.45)	(97,524.02)	64.71
80	JACKSON	NORTH PARK	186.5	2,416,217.39	(425,370.31)	1,990,847.08	10,674.78	(1.60)	75,009.47	(122,412.68)	(47,403.21)	(161.21)
81	JEFFERSON	JEFFERSON	80,799.4	606,045,734.57	(106,693,157.93)	499,352,576.64	6,180.15	(222.40)	18,889,532.78	(30,713,808.13)	(11,824,275.35)	(128.98)
82	KIOWA	EADS	176.1	2,145,368.32	(377,687.87)	1,767,680.45	10,037.94	0.30	75,864.45	(109,889.35)	(34,024.90)	(210.67)
83	KIOWA	PLAINVIEW	78.6	1,129,655.89	(198,873.69)	930,782.20	11,842.01	(0.50)	32,242.83	(56,865.95)	(24,623.12)	(236.44)
84	KIT CARSON	ARRIBA-FLAGLER	145.9	1,899,851.11	(334,465.05)	1,565,386.06	10,729.17	(4.00)	27,203.29	(92,140.15)	(64,936.86)	(146.90)
85	KIT CARSON	HI PLAINS	114.8	1,545,200.72	(272,029.54)	1,273,171.18	11,090.34	(2.20)	27,875.80	(75,684.24)	(47,808.44)	, ,
86	KIT CARSON	STRATTON	168.7	2,100,123.30	(369,722.57)	1,730,400.73	10,257.27	(14.30)	(35,925.58)	(93,312.98)	(129,238.56)	95.31
87	KIT CARSON	BETHUNE	121.5	1,704,538.33	(300,080.62)	1,404,457.71	11,559.32	0.20	59,941.08	(87,265.99)	(27,324.91)	(244.33)

	Α	В	Т	U	V	W	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AK
1					2012-13			ESTIM/	ATED CHA	NGE - 2011	-12 AND 2	012-13
	COUNTY	DISTRICT	2012-13 ESTIMATED FUNDED PUPIL COUNTS 2012-13	2012-13 ESTIMATED FULLY FUNDED TOTAL PROGRAM	2012-13 Estimated Negative Factor	2012-13 TOTAL PROGRAM AFTER NEGATIVE FACTOR	2012-13 ESTIMATED PER PUPIL FUNDING AFTER NEGATIVE FACTOR	CHANGE IN FUNDED PUPILS	CHANGE IN FULLY FUNDED TOTAL PROGRAM	CHANGE IN NEGATIVE FACTOR WITH SUPPLEMENTAL	CHANGE IN TOTAL PROGRAM AFTER NEGATIVE FACTOR	CHANGE IN PER PUPIL FUNDING
2	KIT CARSON	BURLINGTON	738.5	5,698,620.14	(1,003,230.85)	4,695,389.29	6,358.01	2.70	210,283.51	(293,027.59)	(82,744.08)	(135.78)
89		LAKE	1,109.8	9,073,649.33	(1,597,398.09)	7,476,251.24	6,736.58	21.30	466,977.52	(483,675.16)	(16,697.64)	(147.16)
90		DURANGO	4,770.4	36,017,343.24	(6,340,782.34)	29,676,560.90	6,220.98	(26.40)	1,024,768.07	(1,812,663.41)	(787,895.34)	(130.02)
91	LA PLATA	BAYFIELD	1,320.9	10,520,977.18	(1,852,197.31)	8,668,779.87	6,562.78	(2.30)	343,679.91	(535,231.86)	(191,551.95)	(133.36)
92	LA PLATA	IGNACIO	761.3	6,532,577.83	(1,150,047.46)	5,382,530.37	7,070.18	(8.90)	163,552.90	(325,881.13)	(162,328.23)	(129.07)
93	LARIMER	POUDRE	27,158.0	198,031,605.74	(34,863,074.15)	163,168,531.59	6,008.12	348.00	9,067,986.49	(10,410,751.45)	(1,342,764.96)	(128.07)
94	LARIMER	THOMPSON	14,713.9	107,447,447.52	(18,915,911.51)	88,531,536.01	6,016.86	41.00	3,933,138.65	(5,520,924.18)	(1,587,785.53)	(125.03)
95	LARIMER	ESTES PARK	1,105.2	8,864,460.80	(962,351.37)	7,902,109.43	6,832.12	(21.00)	139,806.12	(65,304.93)	74,501.19	87.61
96	LAS ANIMAS	TRINIDAD	1,414.6	10,936,732.53	(1,925,390.22)	9,011,342.31	6,370.24	(22.20)	225,276.12	(539,303.37)	(314,027.25)	(120.13)
97	LAS ANIMAS	PRIMERO	190.6	2,351,066.08	(413,900.55)	1,937,165.53	10,163.51	(7.70)	30,766.05	(113,648.44)	(82,882.39)	(23.32)
98	LAS ANIMAS	HOEHNE	334.1	3,208,732.06	(564,890.96)	2,643,841.10	7,913.32	(3.50)	87,588.06	(161,007.82)	(73,419.76)	(135.44)
99	LAS ANIMAS	AGUILAR	97.3	1,367,968.89	(240,828.23)	1,127,140.66	11,584.18	(13.40)	(99,407.34)	(50,946.40)	(150,353.74)	44.03
100	LAS ANIMAS	BRANSON	428.3	3,087,697.09	(543,582.99)	2,544,114.10	5,940.03	(5.30)	67,467.49	(152,758.40)	(85,290.91)	(124.10)
101	LAS ANIMAS	KIM	55.3	804,220.97	(141,581.52)	662,639.45	11,982.63	(0.40)	23,101.54	(40,502.88)	(17,401.34)	(226.36)
102	LINCOLN	GENOA-HUGO	166.0	2,180,409.26	(383,856.76)	1,796,552.50	10,822.61	(1.10)	64,447.93	(110,046.54)	(45,598.61)	(201.63)
103	LINCOLN	LIMON	441.6	3,804,684.36	(669,807.19)	3,134,877.17	7,098.91	(9.90)	92,430.45	(189,433.08)	(97,002.63)	(59.18)
104	LINCOLN	KARVAL	195.7	1,675,068.11	(294,892.44)	1,380,175.67	7,052.51	(4.30)	14,287.01	(79,983.58)	(65,696.57)	(176.85)
105	LOGAN	VALLEY	2,260.6	16,543,650.76	(2,912,477.13)	13,631,173.63	6,029.89	(40.00)	306,203.76	(811,314.51)	(505,110.75)	(114.72)
106	LOGAN	FRENCHMAN	177.7	2,247,847.19	(395,729.07)	1,852,118.12	10,422.72	(2.30)	58,345.37	(112,402.55)	(54,057.18)	(167.14)
107	LOGAN	BUFFALO	307.6	3,076,945.41	(541,690.18)	2,535,255.23	8,242.05	(0.40)	101,559.46	(156,668.46)	(55,109.00)	(168.22)
108	LOGAN	PLATEAU	170.3	2,212,160.54	(389,446.51)	1,822,714.03	10,702.96	(1.70)	59,296.34	(110,860.98)	(51,564.64)	(194.01)
109	MESA	DEBEQUE	117.7	1,683,772.75	(40.73)	1,683,732.02	14,305.28	(7.20)	(19,239.28)	237.33	(19,001.95)	672.50
110	MESA	PLATEAU VALLEY	459.8	3,844,095.83	(445,982.85)	3,398,112.98	7,226.73	(3.00)	105,716.59	37,771.94	143,488.53	194.27
111	MESA	MESA VALLEY	21,581.4	157,608,098.60	(27,746,595.34)	129,861,503.26	6,017.29	4.00	5,373,209.53	(8,047,053.97)	(2,673,844.44)	(125.03)
112	MINERAL	CREEDE	91.1	1,378,860.83	(242,745.73)	1,136,115.10	12,471.08	(6.60)	(29,954.46)	(60,441.83)	(90,396.29)	(82.77)
113	MOFFAT	MOFFAT	2,202.6	16,085,719.96	(2,831,859.32)	13,253,860.64	6,017.37	(22.20)	388,888.29	(800,653.55)	(411,765.26)	(125.04)
114	MONTEZUMA	MONTEZUMA	2,787.2	20,424,154.09	(3,595,632.10)	16,828,521.99	6,037.79	(36.80)	437,509.67	(1,009,314.79)	(571,805.12)	(123.80)
115	MONTEZUMA	DOLORES	669.5	5,496,359.69	(967,623.30)	4,528,736.39	6,764.36	4.40	216,767.96	(284,432.10)	(67,664.14)	(146.48)
	MONTEZUMA	MANCOS	364.1	3,509,692.35	(617,874.43)	2,891,817.92	7,942.37	(3.20)	101,314.92	(176,822.62)	(75,507.70)	(136.38)
	MONTROSE	MONTROSE	5,998.6	46,085,656.66	(8,113,289.09)	37,972,367.57	6,330.20	(30.40)	1,339,535.83	(2,323,039.14)	(983,503.31)	(131.21)
	MONTROSE	WEST END	310.6	3,414,738.04	(601,157.91)	2,813,580.13	9,058.53	(0.90)	109,983.60	(173,515.16)	(63,531.56)	(177.78)
	MORGAN	BRUSH	1,419.3	11,135,384.46	(1,960,362.50)	9,175,021.96	6,464.47	(9.00)	320,282.65	(560,863.70)	(240,581.05)	(127.70)
	MORGAN	FT. MORGAN	2,989.1	23,165,077.75	(4,078,166.31)	19,086,911.44	6,385.50	(1.30)	791,353.23	(1,182,955.40)	(391,602.17)	(128.18)
	MORGAN	WELDON	204.9	2,543,649.15	(447,804.42)	2,095,844.73	10,228.62	4.80	120,313.86	(134,219.32)	(13,905.46)	(314.86)
	MORGAN	WIGGINS	486.8	4,178,089.88	(735,544.49)	3,442,545.39	7,071.79	(10.50)	62,872.39	(203,025.98)	(140,153.59)	(132.51)
	OTERO	EAST OTERO	1,312.9	10,379,558.21	(1,827,300.78)	8,552,257.43	6,514.02	(17.60)	220,535.19	(512,700.06)	(292,164.87)	(133.42)
	OTERO	ROCKY FORD	820.2	6,893,797.75	(1,213,639.52)	5,680,158.23	6,925.33	(5.70)	189,054.28	(346,030.44)	(156,976.16)	(142.27)
	OTERO	MANZANOLA	163.7	2,227,898.34	(392,217.11)	1,835,681.23	11,213.69	(11.20)	(10,736.69)	(102,532.64)	(113,269.33)	70.46
	OTERO	FOWLER	410.7	3,630,182.61	(639,086.50)	2,991,096.11	7,282.92	(0.40)	122,043.98	(185,125.37)	(63,081.39)	(146.36)
	OTERO	CHERAW	198.6	2,454,372.96	(432,087.53)	2,022,285.43	10,182.71	(0.40)	80,676.46	(124,925.79)	(44,249.33)	(201.89)
	OTERO	SWINK	360.2	3,407,507.26	(599,884.94)	2,807,622.32	7,794.62	(0.40)	117,323.76	(174,127.70)	(56,803.94)	(148.88)
129	OURAY	OURAY	199.4	2,724,181.28	(479,586.75)	2,244,594.53	11,256.74	(14.90)	(14,782.89)	(125,158.55)	(139,941.44)	129.65

	Α	В	Т	U	V	W	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AK
1					2012-13			ESTIM/	ATED CHA	NGE - 2011	-12 AND 2	012-13
2	COUNTY	DISTRICT	2012-13 ESTIMATED FUNDED PUPIL COUNTS 2012-13	2012-13 ESTIMATED FULLY FUNDED TOTAL PROGRAM	2012-13 Estimated Negative Factor	2012-13 TOTAL PROGRAM AFTER NEGATIVE FACTOR	2012-13 ESTIMATED PER PUPIL FUNDING AFTER NEGATIVE FACTOR	CHANGE IN FUNDED PUPILS	CHANGE IN FULLY FUNDED TOTAL PROGRAM	CHANGE IN NEGATIVE FACTOR WITH SUPPLEMENTAL	CHANGE IN TOTAL PROGRAM AFTER NEGATIVE FACTOR	CHANGE IN PER PUPIL FUNDING
130	OURAY	RIDGWAY	339.5	3,616,626.75	(636,700.02)	2,979,926.73	8,777.40	5.90	160,315.18	(189,445.43)	(29,130.25)	(242.55)
	PARK	PLATTE CANYON	1,070.6	8,588,665.34	(1,512,017.62)	7,076,647.72	6,609.98	(44.90)	(42,563.76)	(395,116.91)	(437,680.67)	(126.31)
	PARK	PARK	512.0	4,472,795.77	(125,645.57)	4,347,150.20	7,865.09	(8.30)	89,261.48	(33,277.65)	55,983.83	213.80
_	PHILLIPS	HOLYOKE	587.6	4,755,481.12	(837,193.08)	3,918,288.04	6,668.29	(4.60)	126,254.58	(238,160.63)	(111,906.05)	(137.17)
	PHILLIPS	HAXTUN	286.8	2,794,298.45	(491,930.74)	2,302,367.71	8,027.78	(3.40)	70,596.25	(139,477.47)	(68,881.22)	(143.31)
	PITKIN	ASPEN	1,657.1	16,504,270.55	(2,905,544.32)	13,598,726.23	8,206.34	11.30	658,807.18	(855,105.27)	(196,298.09)	(175.62)
	PROWERS	GRANADA	224.5	2,546,767.68	(448,353.43)	2,098,414.25	9,347.06	(2.70)	72,593.62	(128,189.68)	(55,596.06)	(133.62)
	PROWERS	LAMAR	1,571.7	12,132,128.72	(2,135,837.37)	9,996,291.35	6,360.18	(5.20)	376,071.33	(614,576.76)	(238,505.43)	(130.27)
	PROWERS	HOLLY	275.6	2,739,991.58	(482,370.12)	2,257,621.46	8,191.66	2.90	102,578.79	(141,082.90)	(38,504.11)	(228.31)
	PROWERS	WILEY	231.1	2,568,149.22	(452,117.61)	2,116,031.61	9,156.35	4.20	117,280.19	(134,969.58)	(17,689.39)	(247.44)
	PUEBLO	PUEBLO CITY	17,115.7	129,543,152.21	(22,805,816.80)	106,737,335.41	6,236.22	(22.00)	4,247,207.94	(6,592,236.24)	(2,345,028.30)	(128.83)
_	PUEBLO	PUEBLO RURAL	8,592.0	62,747,891.52	(11,046,642.72)	51,701,248.80	6,017.37	12.10	2,213,350.86	(3,213,335.28)	(999,984.42)	(125.04)
_	RIO BLANCO	MEEKER	647.8	5,159,063.11	(608.76)	5,158,454.35	7,962.80	-	174,374.27	(515.17)	173,859.10	268.15
	RIO BLANCO	RANGELY	477.2	3,828,134.18	(673,935.48)	3,154,198.70	6,609.80	23.10	275,153.14	(214,171.64)	60,981.50	(201.95)
	RIO GRANDE	DEL NORTE	556.9	4,629,095.17	(814,943.09)	3,814,152.08	6,848.90	(13.30)	63,004.33	(224,080.53)	(161,076.20)	(122.74)
_	RIO GRANDE	MONTE VISTA	1,145.6	8,987,633.28	(1,582,255.14)	7,405,378.14	6,464.19	(6.30)	258,475.88	(452,682.29)	(194,206.41)	(133.24)
	RIO GRANDE ROUTT	SARGENT HAYDEN	468.9 376.7	3,838,518.58	(675,763.64)	3,162,754.94	6,745.05	(0.40)	130,860.09	(195,984.18)	(65,124.09)	(133.02)
	ROUTT	STEAMBOAT SPRINGS	2,250.7	3,744,414.46	(659,196.79) (3,044,010.93)	3,085,217.67	8,190.12 6,329.94	(16.10) 16.00	47,748.75 690,819.69	(180,839.83) (895,935.93)	(133,091.08)	(3.13)
	ROUTT	SOUTH ROUTT	373.7	17,290,798.01	(, , , ,	14,246,787.08	8,308.56	(4.90)	106,175.30	, , ,	(205,116.24) (83,339.74)	(137.10)
	SAGUACHE	MOUNTAIN VALLEY		3,768,311.24	(663,403.77)	3,104,907.47	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, ,		(189,515.04)	, , ,	, ,
	SAGUACHE	MOFFAT	113.3 189.9	1,616,157.54 2,566,355.92	(284,521.35) (451,801.91)	1,331,636.19	11,753.19 11,135.09	(3.20)	26,825.86 (13,960.08)	(78,858.21) (117,903.14)	(52,032.35) (131,863.22)	(123.79)
	SAGUACHE	CENTER	584.4	5,143,953.70	(905,582.92)	2,114,554.01 4,238,370.78	7,252.52	4.30	214,313.09	(267,676.20)	(53,363.11)	(119.51)
	SAN JUAN	SILVERTON	68.8	1,134,094.50	(199,655.10)	934,439.40	13,581.97	1.50	59,086.08	(60,546.57)	(1,460.49)	(324.42)
	SAN MIGUEL	TELLURIDE	743.1	7,800,527.23	(1,373,267.46)	6,427,259.77	8,649.25	21.50	455,579.85	(422,814.54)	32,765.31	(212.30)
	SAN MIGUEL	NORWOOD	254.8	3,000,070.20	(528,156.45)	2,471,913.75	9,701.39	(5.90)	76,514.07	(149,841.63)	(73,327.56)	(61.71)
	SEDGWICK	JULESBURG	862.0	6,396,876.17	(1,126,157.45)	5,270,718.72	6,114.52	(5.00)	182,838.88	(322,046.87)	(139,207.99)	(125.30)
	SEDGWICK	PLATTE VALLEY	124.1	1,762,404.18	(310,267.78)	1,452,136.40	11,701.34	(0.30)	55,842.94	(89,434.87)	(33,591.93)	(241.81)
_	SUMMIT	SUMMIT	2,958.1	23,734,653.79	(4,178,439.05)	19,556,214.74	6,611.07	15.80	921,290.60	(1,226,337.90)	(305,047.30)	(139.18)
	TELLER	CRIPPLE CREEK	383.9	3,564,757.09	(627,568.46)	2,937,188.63	7,650.92	(27.10)	3,843.17	(166,778.09)	(162,934.92)	108.04
	TELLER	WOODLAND PARK	2,603.1	19,156,584.07	(3,372,478.90)	15,784,105.17	6,063.58	(64.30)	201,573.04	(919,657.30)	(718,084.26)	(123.04)
161			356.8	3,395,444.45	(597,761.31)	2,797,683.14	7,841.04	(13.00)	48,002.44	(164,594.69)	(116,592.25)	(39.64)
162	WASHINGTON	-	100.4	1,461,268.38	(257,253.42)	1,204,014.96	11,992.18	(0.60)	42,700.05	(73,687.45)	(30,987.40)	(235.57)
163		OTIS	183.9	2,292,135.47	(403,525.93)	1,888,609.54	10,269.76	(2.80)	56,383.63	(114,214.55)	(57,830.92)	(155.74)
164		LONE STAR	109.6	1,589,748.67	(279,872.12)	1,309,876.55	11,951.43	2.60	83,219.34	(84,923.80)	(1,704.46)	(306.34)
165		WOODLIN	91.3	1,338,051.13	(235,561.27)	1,102,489.86	12,075.46	(3.50)	2,062.74	(62,681.33)	(60,618.59)	(193.62)
166	WELD	GILCREST	1,797.5	13,724,398.56	(2,416,153.34)	11,308,245.22	6,291.10	(13.80)	380,367.47	(689,405.32)	(309,037.85)	(122.68)
167	WELD	EATON	1,806.4	13,443,054.08	(2,366,623.19)	11,076,430.89	6,131.77	56.50	825,939.80	(733,939.87)	91,999.93	(145.41)
168	WELD	KEENESBURG	2,235.8	16,763,402.55	(2,951,164.00)	13,812,238.55	6,177.76	92.50	1,169,319.60	(933,254.15)	236,065.45	(156.48)
169	WELD	WINDSOR	4,446.3	32,471,595.68	(5,716,560.46)	26,755,035.22	6,017.37	130.40	2,021,237.98	(1,776,214.83)	245,023.15	(125.04)
170	WELD	JOHNSTOWN	3,144.8	22,966,663.09	(4,043,235.80)	18,923,427.29	6,017.37	68.90	1,264,988.99	(1,234,989.75)	29,999.24	(125.04)
171	WELD	GREELEY	19,224.4	144,386,286.98	(25,418,921.44)	118,967,365.54	6,188.35	342.40	7,380,634.79	(7,690,078.01)	(309,443.22)	(128.61)

FY2011-12 Supplemental Funding Request AND

FY2012-13 Governor's Revised Budget Request

	А	В	Т	U	V	W	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AK
1					2012-13			ESTIM	ATED CHA	NGE - 2011	-12 AND 2	012-13
2	COUNTY	DISTRICT	2012-13 ESTIMATED FUNDED PUPIL COUNTS 2012-13	2012-13 ESTIMATED FULLY FUNDED TOTAL PROGRAM	2012-13 Estimated Negative Factor	2012-13 TOTAL PROGRAM AFTER NEGATIVE FACTOR	2012-13 ESTIMATED PER PUPIL FUNDING AFTER NEGATIVE FACTOR	CHANGE IN FUNDED PUPILS	CHANGE IN FULLY FUNDED TOTAL PROGRAM	CHANGE IN NEGATIVE FACTOR WITH SUPPLEMENTAL	CHANGE IN TOTAL PROGRAM AFTER NEGATIVE FACTOR	CHANGE IN PER PUPIL FUNDING
172	WELD	PLATTE VALLEY	1,094.2	8,497,347.15	(1,495,941.23)	7,001,405.92	6,398.65	(10.00)	214,149.49	(424,076.59)	(209,927.10)	(132.17)
173	WELD	FT. LUPTON	2,264.0	17,838,718.33	(3,140,471.23)	14,698,247.10	6,492.16	(16.40)	483,354.49	(894,647.62)	(411,293.13)	(133.67)
174	WELD	AULT-HIGHLAND	822.8	6,729,709.78	(1,184,752.15)	5,544,957.63	6,739.13	(8.70)	174,756.94	(336,526.33)	(161,769.39)	(124.04)
175	WELD	BRIGGSDALE	150.0	2,030,270.09	(357,425.05)	1,672,845.04	11,152.30	2.70	94,866.88	(106,979.47)	(12,112.59)	(286.65)
176	WELD	PRAIRIE	162.5	2,131,192.01	(375,192.16)	1,755,999.85	10,806.15	(1.40)	60,916.01	(107,293.73)	(46,377.72)	(190.66)
177	WELD	PAWNEE	88.6	1,334,694.38	(62.83)	1,334,631.55	15,063.17	(6.80)	(33,482.27)	3.34	(33,478.93)	722.80
178	YUMA	YUMA 1	777.6	6,598,223.31	(1,161,604.22)	5,436,619.09	6,991.54	(5.30)	184,202.78	(331,615.35)	(147,412.57)	(140.96)
179	YUMA	WRAY RD-2	678.1	5,541,514.24	(975,572.67)	4,565,941.57	6,733.43	7.70	241,940.05	(289,795.70)	(47,855.65)	(148.73)
180	YUMA	IDALIA RJ-3	139.2	1,956,934.37	(344,514.44)	1,612,419.93	11,583.48	1.90	86,062.59	(102,419.37)	(16,356.78)	(279.42)
181	YUMA	LIBERTY J-4	79.6	1,261,595.82	(222,101.46)	1,039,494.36	13,058.97	(2.40)	12,933.26	(60,521.68)	(47,588.42)	(198.14)
183		TOTALS	817,184.60	6,286,128,484.95	(1,102,094,909.47)	5,184,033,575.48	6,343.77	8,990.10	279,266,519.42	(327,680,567.72)	(48,414,048.30)	(130.47)