First Regular Session Seventy-second General Assembly STATE OF COLORADO

REREVISED

This Version Includes All Amendments Adopted in the Second House

LLS NO. 19-0422.02 Jerry Barry x4341

SENATE BILL 19-030

SENATE SPONSORSHIP

Gonzales, Court, Fenberg, Fields, Hill, Lee, Moreno, Williams A., Winter

HOUSE SPONSORSHIP

Tipper, Arndt, Benavidez, Coleman, Galindo, Herod, Hooton, Jackson, Jaquez Lewis, Kennedy, Kipp, Mullica, Snyder, Sullivan, Valdez A., Weissman

Senate Committees

House Committees

Judiciary Appropriations Judiciary Appropriations

A BILL FOR AN ACT

101 CONCERNING A REMEDY FOR IMPROPERLY ENTERED GUILTY <u>PLEAS</u>,
102 AND IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, MAKING AN APPROPRIATION.

Bill Summary

(Note: This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not reflect any amendments that may be subsequently adopted. If this bill passes third reading in the house of introduction, a bill summary that applies to the reengrossed version of this bill will be available at http://leg.colorado.gov.)

The bill finds that some criminal defendants who, when they entered a guilty plea in connection with a deferred judgment, were not advised that there may be additional penalties that attach to the plea even if the plea is later withdrawn and the case is dismissed. These defendants did not knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily enter the plea of guilty as required by law. The bill authorizes these persons to petition the court

HOUSE 3rd Reading Unamended April 27, 2019

HOUSE nd Reading Unamended April 26, 2019

SENATE Amended 3rd Reading April 17, 2019

SENATE Amended 2nd Reading April 16, 2019

Shading denotes HOUSE amendment.

Capital letters or bold & italic numbers indicate new material to be added to existing statute.

Dashes through the words indicate deletions from existing statute.

1	Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado:
2	SECTION 1. In Colorado Revised Statutes, add 18-1-110.5 as
3	<u>follows:</u>
4	18-1-410.5. Relief from improperly entered guilty pleas -
5	legislative declaration. (1) The General assembly finds that:
6	(a) A CRIMINAL DEFENDANT CANNOT CHALLENGE AN
7	UNCONSTITUTIONAL GUILTY PLEA WHEN THAT PLEA HAS BEEN
8	WITHDRAWN AND THE UNDERLYING CHARGES DISMISSED FOLLOWING THE
9	SUCCESSFUL COMPLETION OF A DEFERRED JUDGMENT OR THE DISMISSAL
10	OF CHARGES PURSUANT TO SECTION 18-18-404(3) PRIOR TO ITS REPEAL IN
11	<u>2010;</u>
12	(b) Based on the statutory language of sections 18-1.3-102
13	AND 18-18-404 (3), TOGETHER WITH THE WRITTEN DEFERRED JUDGMENT
14	AGREEMENT AND COURT COLLOQUY THAT ACCOMPANIES SUCH
15	AGREEMENTS, MANY NONCITIZEN DEFENDANTS DID NOT UNDERSTAND
16	THAT THE GUILTY PLEA WOULD CONTINUE TO CONSTITUTE A CONVICTION
17	FOR IMMIGRATION PURPOSES AND RESULT IN ADVERSE IMMIGRATION
18	CONSEQUENCES, DESPITE THE SUBSEQUENT WITHDRAWAL OF THE GUILTY
19	PLEA AND DISMISSAL OF THE CHARGES UPON SUCCESSFUL COMPLETION OF
20	THE DEFERRED JUDGMENT OR DISMISSAL PURSUANT TO SECTION 18-18-404
21	(3); <u>AND</u>
22	(c) In the absence of an appropriate mechanism, many
23	NONCITIZEN DEFENDANTS HAVE BEEN UNFAIRLY DEPRIVED OF THE
24	OPPORTUNITY TO CHALLENGE GUILTY PLEAS THAT WERE ENTERED IN
25	VIOLATION OF THE CONSTITUTION OR LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES OR OF

-2- 030

1	THIS STATE THAT RESULTED IN ADVERSE IMMIGRATION CONSEQUENCES.
2	(2) NOTWITHSTANDING THE TIME LIMITATION CONTAINED IN
3	SECTION 16-5-402, AT ANY TIME FOLLOWING THE WITHDRAWAL OF THE
4	GUILTY PLEA AND DISMISSAL OF THE CHARGES UPON SUCCESSFUL
5	COMPLETION OF A DEFERRED JUDGMENT, OR UPON THE DISMISSAL OF
6	CHARGES PURSUANT TO SECTION 18-18-404 (3) PRIOR TO ITS REPEAL, A
7	CRIMINAL DEFENDANT MAY CHALLENGE THE GUILTY PLEA ON THE
8	GROUNDS SET FORTH IN SUBSECTION (3) OF THIS SECTION. THE COURT IN
9	WHICH THE GUILTY PLEA WAS ORIGINALLY ENTERED HAS JURISDICTION
10	AND AUTHORITY TO DECIDE THE MOTION.
11	(3) A DEFENDANT MOVING TO VACATE A GUILTY PLEA THAT HAS
12	ALREADY BEEN WITHDRAWN FOLLOWING THE SUCCESSFUL COMPLETION
13	OF A DEFERRED JUDGMENT OR UPON THE DISMISSAL OF CHARGES
14	PURSUANT TO SECTION 18-18-404(3) PRIOR TO ITS REPEAL MUST, IN GOOD
15	FAITH, ALLEGE THE FOLLOWING:
16	(a) As a result of the guilty plea, the defendant has
17	SUFFERED, IS CURRENTLY SUFFERING, OR WILL SUFFER, AN ADVERSE
18	IMMIGRATION CONSEQUENCE; AND
19	(b) The guilty plea was obtained in violation of the
20	CONSTITUTION OR LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES OR OF THIS STATE UNDER
21	ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING GROUNDS:
22	(I) THE DEFENDANT WAS NOT INFORMED THAT THE GUILTY PLEA
23	WOULD CONTINUE TO RESULT IN ADVERSE IMMIGRATION CONSEQUENCES
24	DESPITE THE SUBSEQUENT WITHDRAWAL OF THE GUILTY PLEA AND
25	DISMISSAL OF THE CHARGES WITH PREJUDICE;
26	(II) THE DEFENDANT WAS NOT ADEQUATELY ADVISED OF THE
27	IMMIGRATION CONSEQUENCES OF THE GUILTY PLEA; OR

-3-

1	(III) THE GUILTY PLEA WAS CONSTITUTIONALLY INFIRM FOR ANY
2	OTHER REASON SET FORTH IN SECTION 18-1-410 (1).
3	(4) (a) Upon receipt of the motion, the court shall direct
4	THE PROSECUTION TO RESPOND WITHIN TWENTY-ONE DAYS OR REQUEST
5	ADDITIONAL TIME FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN. IF A RESPONSE IS NOT FILED,
6	THE MOTION IS DEEMED UNOPPOSED, AND THE COURT SHALL GRANT THE
7	MOTION. IF THE PROSECUTION OPPOSES THE MOTION, IT SHALL ALLEGE, IN
8	GOOD FAITH, THE FACTS UPON WHICH IT BASES ITS OPPOSITION. IF THE
9	RESPONSE RAISES AN ISSUE OF MATERIAL FACT, THE COURT SHALL SET THE
10	MATTER FOR AN EVIDENTIARY HEARING.
11	(b) Unless the prosecution proves by a preponderance of
12	THE EVIDENCE THAT THE DEFENDANT WILL NOT SUFFER AN IMMIGRATION
13	CONSEQUENCE OR THAT THE GUILTY PLEA WAS CONSTITUTIONALLY
14	ENTERED, THE COURT SHALL GRANT THE MOTION.
15	(c) FOR CLAIMS RAISED PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION (3)(b)(I) OF
16	THIS SECTION, THE PROSECUTION CAN NEITHER RAISE AN ISSUE OF
17	MATERIAL FACT TO OBTAIN AN EVIDENTIARY HEARING NOR DEFEAT A
18	CLAIM AT THE HEARING BY RELYING ON WRITTEN DOCUMENTS, SUCH AS A
19	DEFERRED JUDGMENT AGREEMENT, PLEA PAPERWORK, OR TRANSCRIPT OF
20	A COURT COLLOQUY, UNLESS THOSE DOCUMENTS CLEARLY SHOW THAT
21	THE DEFENDANT WAS INFORMED THAT THE IMMIGRATION CONSEQUENCES
22	RESULTING FROM A GUILTY PLEA WOULD REMAIN DESPITE THE
23	SUBSEQUENT WITHDRAWAL OF THAT GUILTY PLEA AND THE DISMISSAL OF
24	THE CHARGES WITH PREJUDICE.
25	(5) IF THE DEFENDANT SUCCEEDS IN CHALLENGING A GUILTY PLEA
26	UNDER SUBSECTION (3) OF THIS SECTION, THE COURT SHALL VACATE THE
2.7	GUILTY PLEA AS CONSTITUTIONALLY INFIRM THE ORDER CONSTITUTES AN

-4- 030

1	ADDITIONAL INDEPENDENT BASIS FOR THE VACATUR OF THE GUILTY PLEA
2	AND DOES NOT RESULT IN THE REINSTATEMENT OF CHARGES.
3	SECTION 2. Appropriation. (1) For the 2019-20 state fiscal
4	year, \$543,461 is appropriated to the judicial department. This
5	appropriation is from the general fund and is based on the assumption that
6	the department will require an additional 4.8 FTE. To implement this act,
7	the department may use this appropriation for trial court programs.
8	(2) For the 2019-20 state fiscal year, \$55,139 is appropriated to the
9	department of law for use by the appellate unit. This appropriation is from
10	the general fund and is based on the assumption that the department will
11	require an additional 0.6 FTE.
12	SECTION 3. Applicability. This act applies to charges dismissed
13	before, on, or after the effective date of this act.
14	SECTION 4. Safety clause. The general assembly hereby finds,
15	determines, and declares that this act is necessary for the immediate
16	preservation of the public peace, health, and safety.

-5- 030