COLORADO GENERAL ASSEMBLY JOINT BUDGET COMMITTEE



SUPPLEMENTAL REQUESTS FOR FY 2008-09

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

JBC Working Document - Subject to Change Staff Recommendation Does Not Represent Committee Decision

> Prepared By: Bernie Gallagher, JBC Staff January 22, 2009

For Further Information Contact:

Joint Budget Committee Staff 200 E. 14th Avenue, 3rd Floor Denver, Colorado 80203 Telephone: (303) 866-2061 TDD: (303) 866-3472

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Narrative Page	Numbers Page
Prioritized Supplementals in Department-Assigned Order		
Supplemental #1 - General Fund refinancing of personal services associated with the Phytosanitary Cash Fund	2	16
Supplemental #2 - General Fund refinancing of the CSU seed analysis contract	3	16
Supplemental #3 - General Fund refinancing of conservation districts matching grants	4	17
Supplemental #4 - Reduction of General Fund associated with federal feed program personal services	6	17
Supplemental #5 - Department reduction of personal services as a result of the state hiring freeze	7	18
Non-prioritized Supplementals		
JBC Staff-initiated - Department indirect cost recovery adjustments	8	19
Statewide Common Policy Supplemental Requests	10	21
Totals for All Supplementals	N.A.	21
Cash Fund Transfers		
Department Requested - Brand Inspection Fund	11	N.A.
Other Balancing Options	12	N.A.

Prioritized Supplementals

Supplemental Request, Department Priority #1

General Fund refinancing of personal services associated with the Phytosanitary Cash Fund

	Request	Recommendation
Total	\$0	\$0
FTE	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>
General Fund	(14,366)	(14,366)
FTE	0.0	(0.3)
Cash Funds - Seed Cash Fund	14,366	14,366
FTE	0.0	0.3

Does JBC staff believe the request meets the Joint Budget Committee's supplemental criteria?	YES
[An emergency or act of God; a technical error in calculating the original appropriation; data that was	
not available when the original appropriation was made; or an unforseen contingency]	
not available when the original appropriation was made; or an unforseen contingency]	

JBC Staff and the Department agree that this request is the result of an unforeseen contingency.

Department Request: The Department requests a one time refinancing of the personal services line item in the Agricultural Services Division. The request is proposing to shift \$14,366 in personal services from the General Fund to the Phytosanitary Cash Fund. The request does <u>not</u> reflect any reductions or increases in FTE authority associated with the requested personal services appropriation funding mix adjustment.

Staff Analysis: Personal services expenses associated with nursery and seed inspections are reflected in the Agricultural Services Division, Personal Services line item. The inspection of nurseries are entirely funded with fees, however the inspections of seed are funded with two-thirds General Fund and one-third with fees collected from the issuance of certificates. The total FY 2008-09 personal services related expenses associated with the position that performs these functions is \$43,140. The Department proposes that one-third (\$14,366) of the personal services costs be shifted from General Fund to the Phytosanitary Cash Fund, based on the rationale that the administrative support staff for the Nursery and Seed programs also provides administrative support to the Phytosanitary program, as needed.

Pursuant to Section 35-4-111 (2), C.R.S., the Phytosanitary Inspection Fund was created to be the repository of fees collected for the inspection of crops, plant material, or other articles or premises for pests for the purpose of covering the direct and indirect costs of administering the program.

Inspections are to ensure plant and crop product being shipped meets the importing requirements of other states and countries. Because the purpose of the fund is not to support the direct and indirect costs of the Nursery and Seed programs, staff does not recognize the Phytosanitary Inspection Fund as a proper funding mechanism to offset the General Fund costs of Seed Program.

The Seed Cash fund, created in Section 35-27-124, C.R.S., was created to *supplement <u>any</u>* General Fund appropriation for the purpose of covering the costs of inspecting the labeling of seeds. Statute does <u>not</u> restrict the Seed Cash Fund from *supplanting* General Fund appropriated for the purposes of inspecting seed labels. The Department reports that it anticipates that the Seed Cash Fund will have an uncommitted fund balance of \$27,874 at the end of FY 2008-09. Thus, the supplemental funding reduction could instead be funded with fund balance from the applicable Seed Cash Fund rather than the less suitable Phytosanitary Cash Fund.

Staff Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Committee reduce the Department's General Fund appropriation to the Personal Services line item in the Agricultural Services division by \$14,366 and 0.3 FTE and commensurately increase the cash funds appropriation from the Seed Cash Fund by \$14,366 and 0.3 FTE for FY 2008-09.

	Request	Recommendation
Total	\$0	\$0
FTE	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>
General Fund	(20,000)	(20,000)
FTE	0.0	(0.3)
Cash Funds - Seed Cash Fund	20,000	20,000
FTE	0.0	0.3

Supplemental Request, Department Priority #2 General Fund refinancing of the CSU seed analysis contract

Does JBC staff believe the request meets the Joint Budget Committee's supplemental criteria?YES[An emergency or act of God; a technical error in calculating the original appropriation; data that was
not available when the original appropriation was made; or an unforseen contingency]YES

JBC Staff and the Department agree that this request is the result of an unforeseen contingency.

Department Request: The Department requests a one time refinancing of the personal services line item in the Agricultural Services Division. The request is proposing to shift \$20,000 in personal

services from the General Fund to the Seed Cash Fund. The request does <u>not</u> reflect any reductions or increases in FTE authority associated with the requested personal services appropriation funding mix adjustment.

Staff Analysis: The Colorado Seed Act regulates the distribution and subsequent use of poor quality seed caused by inaccurate or misleading labeling to prevent economic hardship due to low crop yields, poor crop quality, and the spread of noxious weed seed. The bill created the Seed Cash Fund, which is intended to supplement <u>any</u> General Fund appropriation, pursuant to Section 35-27-124, C.R.S. (as discussed in the previous supplemental request).

The Department currently contracts with Colorado State University (CSU) to analyze seeds for viability, purity, germination, as well as other prescribed aspects. The contract is approximately \$56,000 total funds, of which \$20,000 is General Fund and is financed through the Agricultural Services Division, Personal Services line item. The remainder is financed through the Seed Cash Fund (\$36,000).

Staff Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Committee approve the Department's supplemental request and reduce the General Fund appropriation to the Personal Services line item in the Agricultural Services division by \$20,000 and 0.3 FTE and commensurately increase the cash funds appropriation from the Seed Cash Fund by \$20,000 and 0.3 FTE for FY 2008-09.

Supplemental Request, Department Priority #3 General Fund refinancing of conservation districts matching grants

	Request	Recommendation
Total	(\$150,000)	<u>(\$200,000)</u>
General Fund	(150,000)	(200,000)
Cash Funds - Agriculture Management Fund ¹	0	0

¹ Upon repayment of all outstanding debt on the State Fair, the Agriculture Mangement Fund will be created and will receive 65 percent of all interest earned on the proceeds of unclaimed securities and will also be provided an appropriation of \$1,348,763 for FY 2008-09, pursuant to H.B. 08-1399.

Does JBC staff believe the request meets the Joint Budget Committee's supplemental criteria?	YES
[An emergency or act of God; a technical error in calculating the original appropriation; data that was not available when the original appropriation was made; or an unforseen contingency]	
not available when the original appropriation was made; or an unforseen contingency	

JBC Staff and the Department agree that this request is the result of an *unforeseen contingency*.

Department Request: The Department requests a one time refinancing of the Matching Grants to Districts line item in the Conservation Board division. The request is proposing to reduce the General Fund appropriation by \$150,000 and then backfilling this reduction with moneys from the Agriculture Management Fund.

Staff Analysis: The Department is appropriated \$225,000 General Fund and is allocated \$450,000 cash funds from the Operational Account of the Severance Tax Trust Fund to fund conservation projects. Local conservation districts apply for grant awards through the Colorado State Conservation Board to fund conservation projects or programs in their communities. Local conservation districts must provide a matching contribution from local, private, or federal sources.

The Department is requesting a reduction of \$150,000 General Fund and a requisite backfill of moneys allocated from the Agriculture Management Fund. The Agriculture Mangement Fund will be created upon the enactment of H.B. 08-1399. **However, the effective clause in S.B. 08-1399 stipulates that the bill shall not take effect until all outstanding debt on the State Fair has been repaid in full.** To date, the Department has not yet fully met the requirements necessary to enact H.B. 08-1399. Thus, the Agriculture Mangement Fund is not yet created and is not in possession of said funds. The Department assures staff that the debt on the Events Center will be fully repaid at the end of January, and that the Department will have met the requirements necessary to enact H.B. 08-1399.

Upon enactment of H.B. 08-1399, the Department is authorized to use moneys in the Agriculture Management Fund to finance agricultural efforts approved by the Commissioner, including, but not limited to, funding additional Department employeeds necessary to implement and manage approved programs.

As of January 16, 2009, the Department had expended \$22,790 General Fund to Conservation Districts for projects from its \$225,000 FY 2008-09 appropriation, leaving approximately \$202,000 unexpended. The supplemental request is for a reduction of \$150,000 General Fund. Thus, approximately \$50,000 of additional General Fund could be reduced.

Staff Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Committee reduce the Department's General Fund appropriation to the Matching Grants to Districts line item in the Conservation Board division by \$200,000 for FY 2008-09. Staff's recommendation reduces the appropriation to this line item by \$50,000 more than what was requested by the Deparatment.

Supplemental Request, Department Priority #4 Reduction of General Fund associated with federal feed program personal services

	Request	Recommendation
General Fund	(\$10,000)	(\$10,000)
FTE	0.0	(0.1)

Does JBC staff believe the request meets the Joint Budget Committee's supplemental criteria?	YES
[An emergency or act of God; a technical error in calculating the original appropriation; data that was	
not available when the original appropriation was made; or an unforseen contingency]	

JBC Staff and the Department agree that this request is the result of an unforeseen contingency.

Department Request: The Department requests a one time reduction of \$10,000 associated with an agreement with the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) related to the safety of animal feed. The reduction will be taken from the personal services line item in the Agricultural Services Division. The request does <u>not</u> reflect any reductions in FTE authority associated with the requested personal services appropriation reduction.

Staff Analysis: The Colorado Feed Program is a part of a nationwide effort by state and federal agencies to ensure the food safety. The Colorado Commercial Feed Law assures the safety of meat, milk, and eggs produced for human consumption by regulating the products fed to food production animals. The program works cooperativelty with the FDA to prevent the establishment and amplification of bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE) and to prevent contamination of human food by regulation of drugs fed to food producing animals. The Colorado Feed Program is currently funded with 44 percent General Fund (\$431,000), 51 percent cash funds from registration and distribution fees (\$507,000), and 5 percent from federal grants (\$50,000).

The Department is recommending that \$10,000 of General Fund be supplanted with operational cost avoidance measures and where applicable, moneys from its federal grant from the FDA.

Staff Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Committee reduce the General Fund appropriation to the Personal Services line item in the Agricultural Services division by \$10,000 and 0.1 FTE for FY 2008-09.

Supplemental Request, Department Priority #5

Department reduction of personal services as a result of the state hiring freeze

	Request	Recommendation
Total	(\$137,992)	(\$152,899)
FTE	<u>0.0</u>	<u>(2.4)</u>
General Fund	(82,011)	(82,011)
FTE	0.0	(1.3)
Cash Funds - Seed Cash Fund	(55,981)	(70,888)
FTE	0.0	(1.1)

Does JBC staff believe the request meets the Joint Budget Committee's supplemental criteria?YES[An emergency or act of God; a technical error in calculating the original appropriation; data that was
not available when the original appropriation was made; or an unforseen contingency]YES

JBC Staff and the Department agree that this request is the result of an unforeseen contingency.

Department Request: The Department requests a one time reduction of \$137,992 in personal services appropriations for FY 2008-09 related to positions and contracts frozen by the Department. Of the amount being reduced, \$82,011 is General Fund and \$55,981 is from cash fund sources. The request does <u>not</u> reflect any reductions in FTE authority associated with the requested personal services appropriation reduction.

Staff Analysis: The Department implemented the hiring freeze in October 2008 as directed by the Governor and has identified five positions affected by this action. The Department has identified savings associated with the funding for the following vacant positions: Deputy Commissioner, Fruit and Vegetable Program Supervisor, Assistant State Veterinarian, Administrative Assistant III (part-time), and a Laboratory Technician.

The OSPB's hiring freeze savings calculation makes two key assumptions: (1) the calculation is based on the date on which the Department would have expected a new hire to start in each position in the absence of the hiring freeze, *rather than the first day of the freeze or the date that such a position became vacant*; and (2) assumes that a pay date shift applies to all fund sources, and not just General Fund. Because the hiring freeze calculation applies a pay date shift to cash fund sources, additional savings are not recognized.

When the pay date shift is removed from the affected cash-funded positions, an additional \$14,907 in savings is recognized. Because the supplemental request does not include a requisite reduction

in FTE for the requested amount in personal services to be reduced, staff has calculated that a 2.4 FTE reduction in FTE is commensurate for the amount of personal services that are being reduced as a result of the state hiring freeze. Of this FTE reduction, 1.3 FTE is from General Fund personal services and 1.1 FTE is from cash-funded personal services.

Staff Recommendation: Staff recommends a total department wide reduction of \$152,899 and 2.4 FTE in personal services in FY 2008-09. Of this amount, staff recommends that the Committee reduce the Department's General Fund appropriation to the Personal Services line item in the Commissioner's Office by \$82,011 and 1.3 FTE for FY 2008-09. Staff also recommends that the Committee reduce the Department's cash funds appropriation to the Personal Services line item in the Agricultural Services division by \$70,888 and 1.1 FTE for FY 2008-09.

Non-Prioritized Supplementals

JBC Staff-initiated Supplemental Department Indirect Cost Recovery Adjustments

	Recommendation
Total	<u>\$150,971</u>
General Fund	(150,971)
Cash Funds	177,017
Reappropriated Funds	150,971
Federal Funds	(26,046)

Does JBC staff believe the request meets the Joint Budget Committee's supplemental criteria?YES[An emergency or act of God; a technical error in calculating the original appropriation; data that was
not available when the original appropriation was made; or an unforseen contingency]YES

JBC Staff believes that this request is the result of a technical error in calculating the original appropriation.

Staff-initiated Request: Staff requests that the indirect cost assessments applied to several divisions be adjusted due to a technical error made when calculating the Department's indirect cost recoveries when drafting the 2008 Long Bill.

Staff Analysis: The indirect cost recovery methodology employed by the Department of Agriculture in recent years has subsidized the indirect costs incurred by the State Fair with General Fund and

other fund sources. With moneys made available from the passage of H.B. 08-1399, the State Fair is better positioned to fund its associated indirect costs.

Indirect Costs: Indirect costs are the overhead costs associated with the operation of departmental administrative duties. Indirect cost recoveries are intended to offset these overhead costs, that otherwise would have been supported by the General Fund, from cash- and federally-funded programs. Recoveries from cash and federally-funded programs are calculated for statewide and departmental overhead costs. The Department of Agriculture allocates recovery of its indirect costs through a formula based on a 'per FTE' basis, or each FTE's share of recoverable expenses and then multiplies that amount by the total FTE within a specific program or division. Historically, the Department has not applied an indirect cost assessment to the State Fair due to its poor operational performance, thus requiring the Department's other divisions and the General Fund to backfill the State Fair's associated indirect costs.

State Fair Revenue: Between fiscal years 2002-03 and 2006-07 (5 years), the State Fair has had on average expenditures that are \$989,000 greater than their revenues, losing \$4.95 million over that time period. The State Fair requires substantial state support to maintain its solubility and to keep it operational. To the best of staff's understanding, the Department ceased to assess the State Fair an indirect cost payment as it recognized that it was incapable of doing so.

Pending Legislation: House Bill 08-1399 changed the allocation of moneys credited to the Colorado Travel and Tourism Promotion Fund from being fully allocated to the State Fair to pay off debt to a new allocation including 65 percent to various agriculture programs, 25 percent to the State Fair, and 10 percent to agritourism efforts. The allocation of of said moneys are contingent upon full repayment of the outstanding debt on the Events Center is fully repaid. The Department anticipates the full repayment of debt in January 2009. However, once H.B. 08-1399 is enacted, the Department will have a steady stream of funding to pay the indirect costs of the State Fair and should do so. In addition, as a result of the full repayment on the State Fair's Events Center, the bill reduced 3.6 federally-funded FTE and increased 6.0 cash-funded FTE. Thus, the total indirect cost recoveries owed from federally-funded sources is reduced and the amount owed from cash-funded sources is increased.

Staff Recommendation: Staff recommends the JBC approve the supplemental change to reduce the Department's General Fund appropriation by \$150,971, increase the cash funds appropriation by \$177,017, and reduce the federal funds appropriation by \$26,046.

Calculations: The following table provides the recommended adjustments to the Department's indirect cost recoveries, by fund source. The Department's total indirect cost responsibility is \$1,746,249, which includes \$1,389,585 in recoverable Department overhead and \$334,782 in

statewide overhead¹. Additional detail, by Department Program, is provided in Table B, found within Appendix A-1, found at the end of this document.

Recommended Corrections: TOTAL Indirect Cost Responsibility, by Fund Source				
Fund Source	Current	REVISED	Difference	
Total Indirect Cost Responsibility	<u>\$1,746,249</u>	<u>\$1,746,249</u>	<u>\$0</u>	
General Fund Backfill	876,913	725,942	(150,971)	
Cash Funds	744,936	921,953	177,017	
Federal Funds	124,400	98,354	(26,046)	

Statewide Common Policy Supplemental Requests

These requests are not prioritized and are not analyzed in this packet. The JBC will act on these items later when it makes decisions regarding common policies.

Department's Portion of Statewide Supplemental Request	Total	General Fund	Cash Funds	Reapprop. Funds	Federal Funds	FTE
Management and Administration of OIT	\$4,604	\$4,604	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0
Worker's Compensation	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0
Administrative Law Judge Services	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0
Purchase of Services from Computer Center	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0
Multiuse Network Payments	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0
Payment to Risk Management and Property Funds	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0
Vehicle Lease Payments	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0
Capitol Complex Leased Space	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0
Communication Services Payments	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0
Department's Total Statewide Supplemental Requests	\$4,604	\$4,604	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0

¹ The statewide indirect cost recovery plan prepared by the State Controller's Office for FY 2008-09 was approved by the JBC on January 30, 2008.

Staff Recommendation: The staff recommendation for these requests is pending Committee approval of common policy supplementals. **Staff asks permission to include the corresponding appropriations in the Department's supplemental bill when the Committee approves this common policy supplemental.** If staff believes there is reason to deviate from the common policy, staff will appear before the Committee later to present the relevant analysis.

Cash Fund Transfers

Department Requested Transfer, OSPB #6 Transfer from Brand Inspection Fund Statutory Change Required

FY 2008-09	Request	Recommendation
Transfer from Brand Inspection Fund to General Fund	\$500,000	\$500,000

Staff Analysis: All revenues recieved by the Brand Board, including fees collected for the inspection of cattle, shall constitute and be known as the brand inspection fund, pursuant to Section 35-41-102 (1), C.R.S. The purpose of the Brand Inspection Fund is to serve as a repository for fees from various inspections of cattle, as well as for the sale of abandoned brands and sale of brand books. Of note, the Brand Board constitutes an enterprise for the purposes of Section 20 of Article X of the State Constitution (TABOR), pursuant to Section 35-41-101 (5) (a), C.R.S. Due to the Brand Board's enterprise status, the Brand Inspection Cash Fund is exempt from the limit on uncommitted reserves.

The Department's supplemental transfer request is for \$500,000, which will leave an estimated fund balance of \$667,527. The Department does not assume any increases in revenue collected despite increasing program expenditures. This assumption is based on the fact that the fees that are deposited in the Brand Inspection Fund are set by the board, but the maximum fee levels are capped in statute. Further, fees are set on a five-year cycle, pursuant to Section 35-43-115 (1) (a), C.R.S., with the current cycle ending December, 2012. In discussions with the Department, the \$500,000 reduction would enable the Brand Board to cover its expenses until the five-year brand fee cycle elapses, enabling the Board to increase fees in accordance with programmatic expenditures without straining service delivery.

Brand Inspection Fund #108 Department Request and Staff Recommendation											
FY 2007-08FY 2008-09FY 2009-10FY 2010-11ActualEstimateEstimateEstimate											
Beginning FY Balance	\$544,224	\$1,329,719	\$667,527	\$320,225							
Revenues	4,748,047	4,448,047	4,448,047	4,448,047							
Expenditures	(3,962,552)	(4,610,239)	(4,795,349)	(4,795,349)							
Ending FY Balance without transfer	\$1,329,719	\$1,167,527	\$320,225	(\$27,077)							
Proposed Transfer	<u>0</u>	<u>(500,000)</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>							
Ending Balance after transfer	\$1,329,719	\$667,527	\$320,225	(\$27,077)							

Other Balancing Options

These options are presented without staff recommendation in order to maximize the Committee's choices. The Committee may wish to consider these options now or in the future.

Numbering does not indicate priority.

Options with <i>Appropriation</i> Impacts	GF	CF	RF	FF	Total	FTE
1					0	
Inspection and Consumer Serv with a mix of General Fund an	1 0	funded				
There are six programs in the I Services Division that are funded Consumer Services (ICS) program last budget crisis, legislation in 20 funding. In 2007, the JBC spons Fund in relation to how much the offset General Fund expenditures ICS program fees for programs re require a statutory change. If the reappropriated funds spending and	l with a mix of C ns received 100 003 refinanced t sored H.B. 07-1 e services provid s as a result of the ecieving a Generation is	General Fund a percent Genera hese programs 198 which par led by each pro e budgetary sho ral Fund approp enacted, then a	nd cash funds. al Funding. Ho away from Ge tially subsidiz gram benefits ortfall in the cu oriation may b	Prior to 20 owever in re- eneral Fund ed ICS prog the commo urrent fiscal e explored.	004, Inspection sponse to the s to 100 percent grams with Ge n good. In ord year, increasin This option w	n and state's t cash eneral der to ng the vould

_	ptions with <i>Appropriation</i> apacts	GF	CF	RF	FF	Total	FTE
2						0	
	Eliminate Indirect Cost Caps						
	Four programs in the Department of for department and statewide over making it possible for the Departr division (S.B. 03-169). It is estima \$580,000 per year. Requires a s Department's cash funds and reappr appropriation would be reduced by	head costs. I nent to collec ted that this we tatutory char opriated funds	During the last t the fullest an ould reduce the nge. If the leg s spending auth	economic dow nount of indire Department's gislation is en	vnturn, legi ect costs app General Fu acted, then	slation was pa plicable to a g nd expenditure an increase ir	ssed given es by n the
3		(200,000)	200,000	TBD	0	0	0.0
	Eliminate Potato Inspection Sub	sidy					
	The state presently pays \$200,000 o with the remainder paid with fund b to Section 35-23-114 (3) (a), C.R.S and will grade the potatoes accordin is enacted, then an increase in the D be required and the General Fund a	balance and th . Inspectors c ngly. This o p epartment's ca	rough certifica heck the condi ption would r o sh funds and re	te fees assesse ion and storag quire a statu appropriated f	d by the De e of potatoe t ory change unds spendi	partment, purs s prior to ship e. If the legisla	uant ment ation
4		(436,884)	0	0	0	(436,884)	(2.3)
	Eliminate Conservation Board						
	The Conservation Board is respon conservation districts. The Board a provides guidance on stream bank e and energy practices. No statutory Fund and 2.3 FTE, for the remaind	lso coordinate rosion, and as change is req	s various feder sists farmers ar uired. This wo	al programs rel d ranchers in i ould eliminate a	ated to natu mplementin	ral resource iss g a variety of w	sues, vater

Options with <i>Appropriation</i> Impacts	GF	CF	RF	FF	Total	FTE
5	(177,281)	0	0	0	(177,281)	(2.0)

Eliminate Agricultural Markets Division

Fund will be decreased by a like amount.

This division provides marketing assistance and related support to Colorado agricultural-based businesses competing in local, national, and international arenas. The Agricultural Markets Division also coordinates various market orders, promotes Colorado agricultural products, and works to assist start-up or expanding food processing companies within the state. **No statutory change is required.** This would eliminate an estimated \$177,281 General Fund and 2.0 FTE, for the remainder of FY 2008-09 (5 months). Other reductions in cashand federal funds is anticipated.

6

Eliminate General Fund Support for Various Programs

There are several programs in the Agricultural Services Division that recive General Fund support. These programs include the Bureau of Animal Protection, Predator and Rodent Control, the State Veterinarian, the Insectary, programs related to Noxious Weeds, Seed Inspections, Greenhouse and Insect Damage, and the Agricultural Statistics Bulletin. **In some instances, statutory change is required.** This would eliminate an estimated \$2.3 million General Fund and an undetermined number of FTE, for the remainder of FY 2008-09 (5 months).

Options with <i>Revenue</i> Impacts	GF	CF	RF	FF	Total	FTE
1					0	0.0
Inspection and Consumer Ser with a mix of General Fund a	1 0					
Please reference Options with A then an increase in the Departmo by a like amount.		1	· ·	e		· · ·
2					0	0.0
Eliminate Indirect Cost Caps						
Please reference Options with A	ppropriation	Impacts Item #2	2a, above. If th	e legislation d	escribed is enac	ted,

then an increase in the Department's cash revenues and reappropriated funds will be increased and General

01	ptions with <i>Revenue</i> Impacts	GF	CF	RF	FF	Total	FTE
3						0	0.0
	Potato Inspection Subsidy						
	Please reference Options with App then an increase in the Departmen Fund will be decreased by a like a	nt's cash reve	•		•		-
4						0	0.0
	Eliminate Statutory Fee Caps						
	Department's ability to set fees to indirect operating costs of the pro Fund. The following programs h tracking, and inspection of brands and fertilizers to not compromise facilities. This option would rec	grams it regul ave capped f s on livestock groundwater	lates. The sho fees in statute ;; (3) regulatin r quality; and	rtfall of reven (1) seed labe g the proper s	ue is then back ling inspectio torage and ha	xfilled with Ger n; (2) the issua ndling of pestic	neral ince, vides
5		(200,000)	200,000	TBD	0	0	0.0
	Eliminate General Fund Suppo Programs	rt for Variou	18				
	Please reference Options with Ap then an increase in the Departmen Fund will be decreased by a like a	nt's cash reven	-		-		

	FY 2007-08	FY 2008-09	Fiscal Y	ear 2008-09 Supple	emental
	Actual	Appropriation	Requested Change	Recommended Change	New Total with Recommendation
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE					
Commissioner - John Stulp					
Supplemental #1 - General Fund refinancing	g of personal se	ervices associated	with		
the Phytosanitary Cash Fund					
(2) Agricultural Services Division					
Personal Services	9,455,221	10,105,072	0	0	10,105,072
FTE	<u>143.2</u>	<u>152.4</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>(0.0)</u>	<u>152.4</u>
General Fund	3,148,690	3,413,075	(14,366)	(14,366)	3,398,709
FTE	44.1	45.6	0.0	(0.3)	45.3
Cash Funds	5,971,506	6,202,408	14,366	14,366	6,216,774
FTE	96.1	103.8	0.0	0.3	104.1
Cash Funds Exempt / Reappropriated Funds	0	0	0	0	0
Federal Funds	335,025	489,589	0	0	489,589
FTE	3.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	3.0
Supplemental #2 - General Fund refinancing	of the CSU se	ed analysis contr	act		
(2) Agricultural Services Division					
Personal Services	9,455,221	10,105,072	0	0	10,105,072
FTE	143.2		0.0	(0.3)	152.1
General Fund	3,148,690		(20,000)	(20,000)	3,393,075
FTE	44.1		0.0	(0.3)	45.3
Cash Funds	5,971,506		20,000	20,000	6,222,408
FTE	96.1	103.8	0.0	0.0	103.8

	FY 2007-08	FY 2008-09	Fiscal Y	ear 2008-09 Supple	mental
	Actual	Annuantian	Requested	Recommended	New Total with
	Actual	Appropriation	Change	Change	Recommendation
Cash Funds Exempt / Reappropriated Funds	0	0	0	0	0
Federal Funds	335,025	489,589	0	0	489,589
FTE	3.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	3.0
Supplemental #3 - General Fund refinancing	of conservatio	on districts match	ning grants		
(1) Conservation Board					
Matching Grants to Districts	622,065	<u>675,000</u>	(150,000)	<u>(200,000)</u>	<u>475,000</u>
General Fund	150,000	225,000	(150,000)	(200,000)	25,000
Cash Funds - Severance Tax Trust Fund	472,065	450,000	0	0	450,000
Supplemental #4 - Reduction of General Fun personal services (2) Agricultural Services Division	d associated w	ith federal feed p	orogram		
Personal Services	9,455,221	10,105,072	(10,000)	(10,000)	10,095,072
FTE	143.2	152.4	0.0	<u>(0.1)</u>	<u>152.3</u>
General Fund	3,148,690	3,413,075	(10,000)	(10,000)	
FTE	44.1	45.6	0.0	(0.1)	45.5
Cash Funds	5,971,506	6,202,408	0	0	6,202,408
FTE	96.1	103.8	0.0	0.0	103.8
Cash Funds Exempt / Reappropriated Funds	0	0	0	0	0
Federal Funds	335,025	489,589	0	0	489,589
FTE	3.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	3.0

	FY 2007-08	FY 2008-09	Fiscal Y	ear 2008-09 Supple	emental
	Actual	Appropriation	Requested	Recommended	New Total with
	Actual	Appropriation	Change	Change	Recommendation
Supplemental #5 - Department reduction of p	personal servic	es as a result of t	he		
state hiring freeze					
(1) Commissioner's Office and Administrative	e Services				
Personal Services	1,572,327	1,572,327	(82,011)	(82,011)	1,490,316
FTE	<u>19.2</u>	<u>19.2</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>(1.3)</u>	<u>17.9</u>
General Fund	734,680	734,680	(82,011)	(82,011)	652,669
FTE	19.2	19.2	0.0	(1.3)	18
Cash Funds	134,522	134,522	0	0	134,522
Cash Funds Exempt / Reappropriated Funds	703,125	703,125	0	0	703,125
(2) Agricultural Services Division					
Personal Services	9,455,221	10,105,072	(55,981)	(70,888)	10,034,184
FTE	<u>143.2</u>	<u>152.4</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>(1.1)</u>	<u>151.3</u>
General Fund	3,148,690	3,413,075	0	0	3,413,075
FTE	44.1	45.6	0.0	0.0	45.6
Cash Funds	5,971,506	6,202,408	(55,981)	(70,888)	6,131,520
FTE	96.1	103.8	0.0	(1.1)	102.7
Cash Funds Exempt / Reappropriated Funds	0	0	0	0	0
Federal Funds	335,025	489,589	0	0	489,589
FTE	3.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	3.0

	FY 2007-08	FY 2008-09	Fiscal Y	ear 2008-09 Supple	mental
	Actual	Appropriation	Requested	Recommended	New Total with
	Actual	Appropriation	Change	Change	Recommendation
Total for Supplemental #5	11,027,548	11,677,399	(137,992)	(152,899)	
FTE	<u>162.4</u>	<u>171.6</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>(2.4)</u>	<u>169.2</u>
General Fund	3,883,370	4,147,755	(82,011)	(82,011)	
FTE	63.3	64.8	0.0	(1.3)	63.5
Cash Funds	6,106,028	6,336,930	(55,981)	(70,888)	6,266,042
FTE	96.1	103.8	0.0	(1.1)	102.7
Cash Funds Exempt / Reappropriated Funds	703,125	703,125	0	0	703,125
Federal Funds	335,025	489,589	0	0	489,589
FTE	3.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	3.0
Staff-Initiated Supplemental - Indirect Cost (1) Commissioner's Office and Administrative Personal Services	e Services		0	0	1,629,112
Personal Services	<u>1,437,805</u>	<u>1,629,112</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>1,629,112</u>
General Fund	734,680	759,777	0	(150,971)	608,806
Cash Funds Exempt / Reappropriated Fund	703,125	869,335	0	150,971	1,020,306
Indirect Cost Assessment - FF	154,827	101,075	0	(26,516)	74,559
(2) Agricultural Services Division					
Indirect Cost Assessments	<u>539,710</u>	<u>615,468</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>22,897</u>	<u>638,365</u>
Indirect Cost Assessments Cash Funds	<u>539,710</u> 478,166	<u>615,468</u> 592,143	<u>0</u>	<u>22,897</u> 22,427	<u>638,365</u> 614,570
			<u>0</u>		
Cash Funds	478,166	592,143	<u>0</u>	22,427	614,570

	FY 2007-08	FY 2008-09	Fiscal Y	ear 2008-09 Supple	emental
	Actual	Appropriation	Requested	Recommended	New Total with
	Actual	Appropriation	Change	Change	Recommendation
(5) Special Purpose					
Indirect Cost Assessment - CF	8,588	11,663	0	47,827	59,490
(6) Colorado State Fair					
Indirect Cost Assessment - CF	0	0	0	106,685	106,685
Total for Staff-Initiated Supplemental	2,140,930	2,361,206	<u>0</u>	150,971	2,512,177
General Fund	734,680	759,777	$\overline{0}$	(150,971)	608,806
Cash Funds	486,754	607,694	0	177,017	784,711
Cash Funds Exempt / Reappropriated Fund	703,125	869,335	0	150,971	1,020,306
Federal Funds	216,371	124,400	0	(26,046)	98,354
Totals Excluding Pending Items					
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE					
TOTALS for ALL Departmental line items	37,600,332	39,258,254	(297,992)	(211,928)	
FTE	<u>267.6</u>	<u>291.9</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>291.9</u>
General Fund	6,891,291	7,695,912	(276,377)	(477,348)	7,218,564
Cash Funds	22,493,248	26,655,992	(21,615)	140,495	26,796,487
Cash Funds Exempt / Reappropriated Funds	961,343	914,335	0	150,971	1,065,306
Federal Funds	7,254,450	3,992,015	0	(26,046)	3,965,969

	FY 2007-08	FY 2008-09	Fiscal Year 2008-09 Supplemental		
	Actual	Appropriation	Requested	Recommended	New Total with
	Actual	Appropriation	Change	Change	Recommendation
Statewide Common Dollar Supplementals					
Statewide Common Policy Supplementals (see narrative for more detail)	NI A	N A	1 601	Donding	NI A
General Fund	<u>N.A.</u>	<u>N.A.</u>	<u>4,604</u> 4,604	Pending	<u>N.A.</u>
			, ,		
Other			0		
Totals Including Pending Items in Request					
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE					
TOTALS for ALL Departmental line items	42,000,000	52,000,000	(293,388)	(211,928)	51,788,072
FTE	<u>1,000.0</u>	<u>1,050.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>1,050.0</u>
General Fund	20,000,000	30,000,000	(276,377)	(477,348)	29,522,652
Cash Funds	20,000,000	20,000,000	(17,011)	140,495	20,140,495
Cash Funds Exempt / Reappropriated Funds	1,000,000	1,000,000	0	150,971	1,150,971
Federal Funds	1,000,000	1,000,000	0	(26,046)	973,954

Key: "N.A." = Not Applicable or Not Available

APPENDIX A-1: INDIRECT COST RECOVERY RECALCULATIONS

TABLE A. Recommended Corrections: TOTAL Indirect Cost Responsibility, by Fund Source						
Fund Source	Current	REVISED	Difference			
Total Indirect Cost Responsibility ¹	<u>\$1,746,249</u>	<u>\$1,746,249</u>	<u>\$0</u>			
Cash Funds & Federal Funds	869,336	1,020,307	150,971			
General Fund Backfill	876,913	725,942	(150,971)			

¹ Includes statewide and department-wide indirect assessments.

TABLE B. Recommended Corrections: Indirect Cost Responsibility, by Program						
Program	Current Indirect Cost Assessment	REVISED Staff Recommendation	Difference			
State Fair	\$0	\$106,685	\$106,685			
Special Purpose	11,663	59,490	47,827			
Non-Mandatory Fruit & Vegetable	3,815	15,864	12,049			
Inspection and Consumer Services	255,798	260,963	5,165			
Pesticide Applicator	144,615	147,535	2,920			
Pet Care Facility	38,875	39,660	785			
Groundwater Protection	31,100	31,728	628			
Agricultural Services (Federal Only)	23,325	23,795	470			
Nursery	17,105	17,450	345			
Organic	15,550	15,864	314			
Phytosanitary	7,775	7,932	157			
Agricultural Markets	3,888	3,966	78			
Weed Free	3,110	3,173	63			
Chemigation ¹	86	87	1			
Mandatory Fruit & Vegetable ¹	74,315	74,315	0			
Brand Inspection ¹	133,797	133,797	0			
Alternative Livestock ¹	3,444	3,444	0			
Commissioner's Office (Federal Only)	101,075	74,559	(26,516)			
Total Indirect Cost Assessment	<u>\$869,336</u>	\$1,020,307	\$150,971			
Cash Funds	744,936	921,953	177,017			
Federal Funds	124,400	98,354	(26,046)			

¹ Reflects programs which have indirect cost recovery caps in statute, thus the revised assessment is either unchanged or adjusted slightly.