1

3

4 5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

2526

27

HOUSE COMMITTEE OF REFERENCE REPORT

	April 20, 2023
Chair of Committee	Date

Committee on **Energy & Environment**.

After consideration on the merits, the Committee recommends the following:

SB23-016 be amended as follows, and as so amended, be referred to the Committee on <u>Finance</u> with favorable recommendation:

Amend reengrossed bill, page 11, after line 18 insert:

"SECTION 6. In Colorado Revised Statutes, 25-7-142, amend (8)(c)(I) introductory portion and (8)(c)(II) introductory portion as follows:

25-7-142. Energy benchmarking - data collection and access - utility requirements - task force - rules - reports - definitions legislative declaration - repeal. (8) (c) (I) If at least two-thirds of the members appointed to the task force agree on recommendations pursuant to subsection (8)(a)(I) of this section, and the director of the office in consultation with the division determines that the recommendations meet the greenhouse gas emission reduction requirements set forth in subsection (8)(a)(II) of this section, the division shall, on or before January 31, 2023, request that the commission publish a notice of proposed rule-making to adopt rules to implement performance standards. On or before June 1, 2023 SEPTEMBER 1, 2023, the commission, upon careful consideration of the recommendations of the task force as presented by the division, shall promulgate rules to establish performance standards. The commission shall also adopt rules regarding waivers and extensions of time regarding the performance standard requirements. The commission's rules must include a provision that an owner of a public building need only comply with performance standards with regard to work on a construction or renovation project that:

(II) If two-thirds of the members of the task force cannot agree on recommendations or if the director of the office in consultation with the commission determines that the task force's recommendations do not meet the greenhouse gas emission reduction requirements set forth in subsection (8)(a)(II) of this section, the commission, on or before June 1,

- 1 2023 SEPTEMBER 1, 2023, shall, by rule, adopt performance standards that
- 2 meet the greenhouse gas emission reduction requirements set forth in
- 3 subsection (8)(a)(II) of this section. The commission shall also adopt
- 4 rules regarding waivers and extensions of time regarding the performance
- 5 standard requirements. The commission's rules must include a provision
- 6 that an owner of a public building need only comply with performance
- 7 standards with regard to work on a construction or renovation project
- 8 that:".
- 9 Renumber succeeding sections accordingly.
- 10 Page 12, line 27, strike "(9)(c) and (9)(d)" and substitute "(9)(c), (9)(d),
- 11 (9)(e), (9.3), and (9.5)".
- Page 14, strike lines 15 through 21 and substitute "SUBSECTION (9)(a) OF
- 13 THIS SECTION.
- (III) (A) IF THE CLASS VI INJECTION WELL IS PROPOSED TO BE
- 15 SITED IN AN AREA THAT WOULD AFFECT A DISPROPORTIONATELY
- 16 IMPACTED COMMUNITY, THE COMMISSION SHALL WEIGH THE GEOLOGIC
- 17 STORAGE OPERATOR'S SUBMITTED CUMULATIVE IMPACTS ANALYSIS AND
- 18 DETERMINE WHETHER, ON BALANCE, THE CUMULATIVE IMPACTS OF THE
- 19 CLASS VI INJECTION WELL, INCLUDING THE ECONOMIC IMPACTS AND ANY
- 20 REQUIRED MITIGATION MEASURES, WILL HAVE A POSITIVE EFFECT ON THE
- 21 DISPROPORTIONATELY IMPACTED COMMUNITY. A PROPOSAL THAT WILL
- 22 HAVE NEGATIVE NET CUMULATIVE IMPACTS ON ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY
- 23 IMPACTED COMMUNITY MUST BE DENIED. THE COMMISSION SHALL ADOPT
- 24 RULES TO GUIDE EACH COMMISSIONER'S EVALUATION OF CUMULATIVE
- 25 IMPACTS.
- 26 (B) THE COMMISSION MAY AMEND BY RULE THE CUMULATIVE
- 27 EFFECTS ANALYSIS AND REQUIREMENTS SET FORTH IN THIS SUBSECTION
- 28 (9)(c)(III) IF THE ANALYSIS AND REQUIREMENTS ARE FOUND TO BE
- 29 INCONSISTENT WITH OR INCOMPLETE WITH RESPECT TO THE COMMISSION'S
- 30 APPLICATION TO THE FEDERAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY FOR CLASS VI PRIMACY.".
- 32 Renumber succeeding subparagraph accordingly.
- 33 Page 15, line 7, strike "(9)(c)(III)(B)" and substitute "(9)(c)(IV)(B)".
- Page 15, after line 20 insert:
- 35 "(d) IN ISSUING AND ENFORCING PERMITS PURSUANT TO
- 36 SUBSECTION (9)(c) OF THIS SECTION, THE COMMISSION SHALL ENSURE,

AFTER A PUBLIC HEARING, THAT:

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- (I) THE PERMITTING OF A CLASS VI INJECTION WELL COMPLIES WITH A LOCAL GOVERNMENT'S SITING OF THE PROPOSED CLASS VI INJECTION WELL LOCATION;
- (II) THE PROPOSED NEW OR MODIFIED CLASS VI INJECTION WELL HAS RECEIVED AN APPLICABLE AIR PERMIT FROM THE DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATION IN THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT;
- (III) THE OPERATOR OF THE CLASS VI INJECTION WELL HAS RECEIVED THE CONSENT OF ANY SURFACE OWNER OR OWNERS OF THE LAND WHERE THE SURFACE DISTURBANCE WILL OCCUR AND HAS PROVIDED THE COMMISSION A WRITTEN CONTRACTUAL AGREEMENT THAT THE SURFACE OWNER OR OWNERS HAVE EXECUTED; AND
- (IV) THE COMMISSION HAS DELIBERATED ON THE CUMULATIVE IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED CLASS VI INJECTION WELL. THE CUMULATIVE IMPACT ANALYSIS MUST INCLUDE:
- (A) ANY APPLICABLE AIR QUALITY MODELING REQUIRED BY SECTION 25-7-111 (2);
- 19 (B) AN ANALYSIS OF THE NET IMPACT OF THE WELL ON 20 GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS AND COPOLLUTANTS, INCLUDING 21 CONSIDERATION OF A NO-ACTION ALTERNATIVE AND OF NET CHANGES IN LIFE CYCLE EMISSIONS; AND
- 23 (C) AN EVALUATION OF HOW NEGATIVE IMPACTS ARE AVOIDED OR, 24 IF NOT AVOIDED, HOW NEGATIVE IMPACTS ARE MINIMIZED AND MITIGATED 25 AND WHICH, IF ANY, NEGATIVE IMPACTS COULD NOT BE MITIGATED.".
- 26 Reletter succeeding paragraph accordingly.
- 27 Page 15, after line 24 insert:
- 28 "(II) "CUMULATIVE IMPACTS" MEANS THE EFFECT OF A PROPOSED
- 29 NEW OR MODIFIED CLASS VI INJECTION WELL ON THE ENVIRONMENT,
- 30 INCLUDING THE EFFECT ON AIR QUALITY, WATER QUALITY, CLIMATE,
- 31 NOISE, ODOR, WILDLIFE, BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES, AND PUBLIC HEALTH,
- 32 THAT IS CAUSED BY THE INCREMENTAL IMPACT THAT A NEW OR MODIFIED
- 33 CLASS VI INJECTION WELL HAS, WHEN ADDED TO THE IMPACTS FROM
- 34 OTHER PAST, PRESENT, AND REASONABLY FORESEEABLE FUTURE
- 35 DEVELOPMENT OF ANY TYPE, ON THE RELEVANT AREA, INCLUDING AN
- 36 AIRSHED OR WATERSHED, OR ON A DISPROPORTIONATELY IMPACTED
- 37 COMMUNITY.".
- 38 Renumber succeeding subparagraphs accordingly.

1 Page 16, after line 5 insert:

- "(9.3) (a) The commission, in consultation with the department of public health and environment, shall develop and utilize regulations for analyzing the full life cycle of emissions related to the permitting of a proposed new or modified class VI injection well. The commission and the department may request from an operator, and are entitled to receive, any relevant data required to complete such analysis.
- (b) A LIFE CYCLE EMISSIONS ANALYSIS MUST INCLUDE CONSIDERATION OF:
- (I) THE DIRECT EMISSIONS FROM THE CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATIONS OF THE CLASS VI INJECTION WELL;
- (II) POTENTIAL LEAKAGE FROM THE CLASS VI INJECTION WELL OVER ITS LIFETIME, IF APPLICABLE; AND
- (III) THE SCOPE OF THE EMISSIONS FROM ENERGY INPUTS TO CONSTRUCT OR OPERATE THE CLASS VI INJECTION WELL, BASED ON THE MOST CURRENT ENERGY INPUTS AND CONSIDERATION OF EMISSION INTENSITY FORECASTS, IF APPLICABLE.
- (c) The commission may adopt rules to establish a process to certify the quantity of carbon dioxide that will be stored in a proposed new or modified class VI injection well.
- (d) The commission, in consultation with the department of public health and environment, shall evaluate the risk of class VI injection wells by determining the likelihood and severity of an incident involving a class VI injection well, the potential for exposure from such incident, and the overall effect that such incident could have on the public health, safety, and welfare and on the environment.
- (9.5) (a) On or before February 1, 2024, the commission, in consultation with the department of public health and environment, shall conduct a study to better understand the safety of class VI injection wells, the potential for carbon dioxide releases from the wells, and methods to limit the likelihood of a carbon dioxide release from a class VI injection well or carbon dioxide pipeline or sequestration facility. The study must include:
- (I) AN EVALUATION OF THE POTENTIAL AIR QUALITY IMPACTS OF CAPTURE TECHNOLOGY AT A CARBON DIOXIDE SOURCE FACILITY;
- (II) CARBON DIOXIDE PIPELINE SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS, INCLUDING COMPUTER MODELING TO SIMULATE CARBON DIOXIDE LEAKS FROM PIPELINES OF VARYING DIAMETERS AND LENGTHS;
 - (III) APPROPRIATE SAFETY PROTOCOLS IN THE OPERATION AND

MAINTENANCE OF A CLASS VI INJECTION WELL;

- (IV) METHODS FOR DETERMINING THE STABILITY OF UNDERGROUND CARBON DIOXIDE STORAGE AND ESTIMATES OF THE TIME NEEDED FOR CARBON DIOXIDE PLUME STABILIZATION; AND
- (V) RECOMMENDATIONS FOR SAFETY MEASURES TO PROTECT COMMUNITIES FROM CARBON DIOXIDE RELEASES, SUCH AS HAZARD ZONES, PUBLIC NOTIFICATION SYSTEMS, SETBACKS, ADDITIONAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS, OR OTHER MEASURES.
- (b) On or before March 1, 2024, the commission shall present its findings and conclusions from the study, including any recommendations for legislation, to the house of representatives energy and environment committee and the senate transportation and energy committee, or their successor committees. The commission shall not permit a class VI injection well in the state until the study has been completed and presented to the general assembly.
- 17 (c) A CLASS VI INJECTION WELL SHALL NOT BE LOCATED WITHIN
 18 TWO THOUSAND FEET OF A RESIDENCE, SCHOOL, OR COMMERCIAL
 19 BUILDING. THE COMMISSION MAY ADJUST THE TWO-THOUSAND-FOOT
 20 SETBACK BY RULE AFTER STUDYING AND EVALUATING THE SEVERITY OF
 21 IMPACTS ARISING FROM FOUR OR MORE CLASS VI INJECTION WELLS THAT
 22 HAVE BEEN IN PLACE IN THE STATE FOR AT LEAST FOUR YEARS.".
- 23 Strike "(9)(c)(III)" and substitute "(9)(c)(IV)" on: **Page 15**, lines 2, 11, 12, 24 and 18.

** *** ** ***